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Introduction

Injury to the posterolateral corner structures of the knee can cause posterolateral rotatory instability, a condition that has attracted increased attention over recent years. This injury is often associated with cruciate ligament injury, and its diagnosis can be difficult unless one has a high degree of clinical suspicion for an injury to the posterolateral corner structures. Although a number of treatment methods have been proposed over the past twenty years, there has been considerable controversy regarding the optimal method of surgical treatment for this injury. The consequences of missed or unsuccessfully treated posterolateral rotatory instability can be profound: reconstructed anterior or posterior cruciate ligaments can fail, and persistent posterolateral rotatory instability may eventually cause pain, instability, and even degenerative changes.

In this report, we describe the use of an external rotation-valgus stress radiograph for the evaluation and diagnosis of posterolateral rotatory instability, and we describe a new anatomical reconstruction procedure involving use of a split Achilles tendon allograft for its treatment.

External Rotation-Valgus Stress Radiograph: a New Radiographic Method for Objective Documentation of Posterolateral Rotatory Instability of the Knee

Although several physical examination techniques for the detection of posterolateral rotatory instability of the knee have been described, no widely accepted method of objective documentation, such as the use of posterior stress radiographs to identify a posterior cruciate ligament injury, has been established for posterolateral rotatory instability. Consequently, assessment of posterolateral rotatory instability is very subjective and dependent on the examiner’s experience.

Several biomechanical studies have documented that sectioning of the posterolateral corner structures markedly increases external rotation of the tibia at 30° of knee flexion, whereas sectioning of the posterior cruciate ligament alone does not have the same effect. Consequently, increased external rotation of the tibia relative to the femur at 30° of knee flexion has been regarded as a specific finding indicating posterolateral rotatory instability of the knee. Clinically, posterolateral rotatory instability of the knee refers to a ligamentous lesion that allows posterolateral subluxation of the tibial plateau. In addition, coupled valgus or posterior translation and an external rotational force have been found to increase the degree of posterolateral subluxation. On the basis of these findings, we hypothesized that increased posterolateral subluxation of a knee with posterolateral rotatory instability could be demonstrated radiographically as increased lateral translation of the proximal part of the tibia in relation to the femur with an external rotation-valgus stress.

Position and Technique for the External Rotation-Valgus Stress Radiograph

Figures 1-A through 1-E show the position and technique used to make the external rotation-valgus stress radiograph. Stress radiography is performed with the subject in the supine position. The examiner grips the subject’s heel with one hand, supports the lateral aspect of the thigh with the other, and flexes the knee to about 30°. The subject is then encouraged to relax, and the examiner rotates the distal part of the leg externally while applying a valgus stress to translate the proximal part of the tibia posterolaterally. The hip is internally rotated 20°, and an anteroposterior radiograph is made with the beam angled caudally 10°. Internal rotation of the hip allows the posterolateral translation to appear as lateral translation on
the radiographs, and the caudal angle of the beam provides a tibial plateau view to facilitate measurement. During a pilot trial, we found that about 20° of internal rotation of the hip and 10° of caudal tilt of the radiographic tube were the optimal positions to provide the best image for measurement. However, rather than requiring exact positioning of the limb by applying the same protocol (i.e., an identical amount of internal rotation of the hip and caudal tilt of the radiographic tube to the contralateral knee), a companion radiograph can be made to achieve the most accurate evaluation.

Fig. 1-A

**Figs. 1-A through 1-E** Position and technique for making the external rotation-valgus stress radiograph. **Fig. 1-A** The examiner grips the subject’s heel with one hand, supports the lateral aspect of the thigh with the other, and flexes the knee to about 30°.

Fig. 1-B

An external rotation force is applied to the distal part of the leg.
Materials and Methods

From January 2004 to March 2006, seventeen consecutive patients diagnosed as having posterolateral rotatory instability and the same number of normal healthy volunteers were evaluated with the external rotation-valgus stress radiograph. The inclusion criteria for the patient group (the posterolateral-rotatory-instability group) were (1) unilateral posterolateral rotatory instability with an uninjured contralateral knee, (2) >10° of side-to-side difference demonstrated by the tibial external rotation (dial) test at 30° of knee flexion, and (3) a positive posterolateral drawer test. The inclusion criteria for the healthy volunteers (the control group) were (1) the absence of knee pain, (2) no history of knee injury and no obvious knee deformity, (3) <5° of side-to-side difference demonstrated by the tibial external rotation (dial) test at 30° of knee flexion, (4) a negative posterolateral drawer test, and (5) a negative varus stress test at 0° and 30° of flexion. In addition, eight patients who were diagnosed as having a posterior cruciate ligament injury without evident posterolateral rotatory instability (the posterior-cruciate-injury group) were evaluated. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the three groups. This study was approved by the institutional review board of our hospital, and informed consent for the use of their medical information was obtained from all study subjects.

Before the stress radiographs were made, side-to-side differences in thigh-foot angles (as demonstrated with the dial test) at 30° of knee flexion were determined for all subjects by two examiners. Angle measurements were carried out with use of a goniometer in triplicate by each examiner. Average values were regarded as true values.

To analyze the stress radiograph, two fixed landmarks...
were defined: the lateral edge of the lateral femoral condyle and the lateral border of the lateral tibial condyle. At first, a baseline connecting the medial and lateral edges of the tibial plateau was drawn, and then lines tangential to each landmark were drawn perpendicular to the baseline. The degree of lateral displacement of the proximal part of the tibia relative to the distal part of the femur was measured as the distance D (in millimeters) between the two tangential lines (Fig. 2). The side-to-side difference in displacement between the injured knee and the uninjured contralateral knee was then calculated. All measurements were performed with use of digital images, which were acquired with a picture archiving and communication system (PACS) on a 21-in (53.3-cm) LCD (liquid crystal display) monitor (ME315L; Totoku, Nagaoka, Japan) with use of V-works software (version 5.0; CyberMed, Seoul, South Korea). This computerized system made it possible to carry out measurements on magnified images and allowed vertical lines to be easily drawn to the baseline. Measurements were performed by one of us (C.B.C.) and were repeated three times at intervals of two days. The average of the three measurements was regarded as the true value.

The intrarater reliability of the radiographic measurements was assessed with use of the intraclass correlation coefficient, which quantifies the variance of ratings—i.e., the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I Descriptive Summary of the Characteristics of the Subjects in the Study of the External Rotation-Valgus Stress Radiograph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Posterolateral-Rotatory-Instability Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female (no. of subjects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (range) (yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean period from injury to evaluation (range) (mo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined ligament injuries (no. of subjects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cruciate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cruciate*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ Grade 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Grade 1-0 to 5 mm of posterior translation, Grade 2-5 to 10 mm of posterior translation, and Grade 3 >10 mm of posterior translation, according to the system of Harner and Hoher**.
variability between measurements. The intraclass correlation coefficient can range from 0 to 1, and a higher value means better agreement. In general, values of >0.75 are considered to represent good agreement, whereas values of <0.40 are considered to reflect poor agreement.

The differences among the posterolateral-rotatory-instability, normal control, and posterior-cruciate-injury groups were examined with use of the Kruskal-Wallis H test for non-parametric data. When a significant difference was detected, post hoc intergroup comparisons were made with use of the Mann-Whitney U test. The association between the degree of side-to-side difference shown by the dial test and that shown by the stress radiograph in the posterolateral-rotatory-instability group was evaluated with use of the Pearson correlation test. In all analyses, a p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

The intrarater reliabilities of the measurements on the stress radiographs were almost perfect (intraclass correlation coefficient, 0.98 in the patient group and 0.99 in the normal control group). The maximum discrepancy among the measurements was 0.5 mm.

Table II summarizes the results of the dial test and of the measurements on the stress radiographs in the three groups. In the posterolateral-rotatory-instability group, the side-to-side difference in displacement measured on the stress radiographs averaged 6.2 mm, whereas it averaged 0.9 mm and 1.5 mm, respectively, in the normal control and posterior-cruciate-injury groups (Figs. 3-A and 3-B). Intergroup comparisons revealed that side-to-side differences in displacement measured on the stress radiographs were significantly larger in the posterolateral-rotatory-instability group than they were in the normal control and posterior-cruciate-injury groups (p = 0.001 for both comparisons). No significant difference was found between the normal control and posterior-cruciate-injury groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean Side-to-Side Difference (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dial Tet at 30° (deg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterolateral rotatory instability</td>
<td>17.0 (12-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal control</td>
<td>2.1 (0-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior cruciate injury</td>
<td>3.9 (1-6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Intergroup comparisons revealed that the side-to-side differences measured on the stress radiographs were significantly larger in the posterolateral-rotatory-instability group than in the normal control or posterior-cruciate-injury group (p = 0.001 for both comparisons). No significant difference was found between the normal control and posterior-cruciate-injury groups.

**Anatomical Reconstruction of the Posterolateral Corner of the Knee with a Split Achilles Tendon Allograft**

**Background**

On the basis of several anatomical and biomechanical studies, it has been generally agreed that the most consistent and important structures of the posterolateral corner of the knee are the popliteus tendon, the popliteofibular ligament, and the lateral collateral ligament. The posterolateral reconstruction procedures that are currently used to treat posterolateral instability, with respect to the principal anatomical structures, have been reported to be effective in providing satisfactory stability. However, these procedures have several shortcomings, including difficulty with concurrent reconstruction of the three important posterolateral structures.
and failure to restore the isometric point of each structure. In 2003, we reported a new reconstruction method for treating posterolateral rotatory instability that involves use of a split Achilles tendon allograft; this method allows concurrent reconstruction of the three important posterolateral structures and restores the isometry of the lateral collateral ligament and the popliteus complex. In this report, we introduce the new reconstruction method and describe its clinical outcomes.

Operative Technique

Approach
With the knee flexed, a curvilinear incision through the skin and subcutaneous tissue is made beginning 5 cm proximal to the lateral epicondyle of the femur and extending just distal to the point between the fibular head and the Gerdy tubercle. After the common peroneal nerve is exposed below the fibular head, it is protected with a nerve sling. The interval between the biceps femoris and the iliotibial band is then developed with use of blunt dissection. The plane between the lateral head of the gastrocnemius and popliteus muscle and the posterior aspect of the capsule is also developed. The lateral head of the gastrocnemius is retracted posteriorly to allow identification of the target point, where the drill will exit during the tibial tunneling procedure. An incision is then made along the midportion of the iliotibial band over the lateral femoral epicondyle and is continued proximally and distally down to the popliteal hiatus to expose the insertion of the popliteus tendon. The anterior tibial muscle is released from the anterolateral aspect of the tibial crest just below the Gerdy tubercle.

A guide pin is then passed from just inferior to the Gerdy
The technique of anatomical reconstruction of the posterolateral corner.

Fig. 4-A A tibial tunnel of 7 mm in diameter is established from just inferior to the Gerdy tubercle (G) to the desired point on the posterior aspect of the tibia anteroposteriorly, slightly oblique to the joint line, and 1.5 to 2 cm below the joint line. ITB = iliotibial band, ATM = anterior tibial muscle, and BF = biceps femoris tendon. (Reproduced, with modification, from: Lee MC, Park YK, Lee SH, Jo H, Seong SC. Posterolateral reconstruction using split Achilles tendon allograft. Arthroscopy. 2003;19:1043-9. Reprinted with permission.)

A fibular tunnel of 6 mm in diameter is created from a point near the superior surface of the fibular head (FH) to its posterior aspect and is directed posteroinferiorly. ITB = iliotibial band, P = peroneal nerve, and BF = biceps femoris tendon.
tubercle to the desired point on the posterior aspect of the tibia anteroposteriorly and slightly oblique to the joint line. After proper pin placement, 1.5 to 2 cm below the joint line, has been confirmed, a tunnel of 7 mm in diameter is established with a cannulated reamer (Fig. 4-A). From the point near the superior surface of the fibular head, a guide pin for a second tunnel is passed by aiming it posteroinferiorly. After an oblique tunnel of 6 mm in diameter is made with a cannulated reamer, a curet and a 7-mm reamer are used to enlarge the tunnel. The tunnel should lie completely within the fibular head, as the neck is much too narrow to accept a reamer (Fig. 4-B).

The femoral tunnel is established along the most proximal portion of the popliteus insertion. A guide pin is placed initially, and a femoral tunnel, 11 mm in diameter and 20 mm in length, is created with a cannulated reamer.

We use fresh-frozen Achilles tendon allograft for the reconstruction. The bone-plug portion of the chosen allograft is fashioned into a 11 × 20-mm shape with a tapered tip, and the tendinous portion is prepared to allow a sufficient length of 20 cm and is split longitudinally into 2 limbs, 7 mm and 6 mm in width (Fig. 4-C). The free tendinous end of each limb is trimmed and is rolled into a compact tube shape.

Tunnel Passage and Graft Fixation

The tapered bone plug of the allograft is placed into the femoral tunnel with the cancellous bone facing upward and is secured with an appropriately sized cannulated interference screw (Fig. 4-D). After the tendinous portion of each limb is passed below the iliotibial band and the biceps femoris, the 7-mm anterior limb is passed through the tibial tunnel, from posterior to ante-
The 7-mm limb is passed through the tibial tunnel from posterior to anterior to recreate the popliteus. The 6-mm limb is passed through the fibular tunnel to just reach the posterior aspect of the lateral femoral epicondyle, which is the isometric point of the lateral collateral ligament. A fixed position of the 6-mm limb is secured with staples placed near the origin of the original lateral collateral ligament (arrow).

Illustration of the reconstructed posterolateral corner of the knee. LCL = lateral collateral ligament, PFL = popliteofibular ligament, and PLT = popliteus.
rior, with use of a silicone tendon-passer to recreate the popli-
teus. The 6-mm limb, located posterior to the 7-mm limb, is
passed under the biceps and through the fibular tunnel from
posteriorinferior to anterosuperior and then passed under the ili-
ottibial band to just reach the posterior aspect of the lateral fem-
oral epicondyle, which is the estimated isometric point of the
lateral collateral ligament. The posterior portion of the 6-mm
limb (before it passes through the fibular tunnel) is designed to
recreate the popliteofibular ligament, and the anterior portion
(after it passes through the fibular tunnel) is designed to recre-
ate the lateral collateral ligament (Fig. 4-E). After the graft ten-
sion has been checked throughout the range of knee motion
and after pretension has been applied, the end of the 7-mm
limb is fixed directly to the tibia near the outlet of the tibial tun-
nel with use of a double-staple fixation technique with the knee
held in 30° of flexion and in neutral rotation. The end of the 6-
mm limb is fixed to the origin of the lateral collateral ligament,
just posterior to the center of the lateral epicondyle and poste-
rior to the femoral tunnel, with use of a double-staple fixation
technique (Fig. 5).

Postoperative Rehabilitation
After the surgery, the knee is placed in an immobilizer in full
extension for three to four weeks, during which time weight-
bearing is not allowed. Isometric quadriceps-muscle exercises
are started immediately. A range-of-motion program and
closed-chain kinetic exercises are begun at four weeks, and a
standard cruciate-ligament rehabilitation program is then fol-
lowed for the next six to twelve months.

Materials and Methods
The institutional review board of our hospital granted ap-
proval for a clinical study of the results of this technique, and
all patients gave informed consent for the use of their medical
information. Between January 2002 and March 2006, thirty-
two consecutive patients with posterolateral rotatory instabil-
ity were treated with the new reconstruction method, and data
on all of these patients were entered prospectively into a data-
base designed to record patient demographics and character-
istics, radiographic measurements, findings of the physical
examination, and preoperative and postoperative clinical out-
come scores, including the Tegner activity level and the Lys-
holm score. Twelve knees in twelve patients who were followed
for more than two years after the surgery were included in this
study. All patients were male, and the mean age was 30.6 years
(range, eighteen to fifty-nine years). The mean time from the
injury to the surgery was eighteen months (range, two months
to sixteen years), and the mean duration of the follow-up
period was thirty-seven months (range, twenty-six to fifty
months). The clinical details are shown in Table III.

![Table III: Descriptive Summary of Patients' Characteristics, Combined Injuries, and Operations in the Study of the Reconstruction Procedure](https://example.com/table3.png)
Results

At the time of final follow-up, all twelve patients had significant improvements in the stability of the posterolateral corner of the knee (Table IV). The mean preoperative Lysholm score was 39.5 points, and it improved to 78.1 points at the time of the latest follow-up ($p < 0.01$). The mean Tegner score improved from 1.9 points to 3.9 points ($p < 0.01$). All but one patient had a nearly full active range of motion postoperatively. One patient, who was diagnosed as having reflex sympathetic dystrophy, had 10° to 120° of passive knee motion at two years after the surgery. He reported having considerable pain during daily activity and had poor clinical outcome scores (26 points on the Lysholm scale and a grade-0 Tegner activity level) at the time of the latest follow-up.

Discussion

We have described new methods for the diagnosis and treatment of posterolateral rotatory instability of the knee. We developed the external rotation-valgus stress radiograph at 30° of knee flexion as a diagnostic tool on the basis of biomechanical studies and found it to be a practical and valuable method for objective documentation of posterolateral rotatory instability of the knee. To the best of our knowledge, this represents the first application of stress radiography for the diagnosis of posterolateral rotatory instability of the knee.

Several physical examination tests have been introduced to detect posterolateral rotatory instability of the knee,

| TABLE IV Comparison of Preoperative and Postoperative Physical Findings to Assess Posterolateral Stability of the Knee in the Twelve Patients in the Study of the Reconstruction Procedure |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Preoperative* | Postoperative* |
| Dial test at 30° of flexion | |
| Side-to-side difference $>10^\circ$ | 12 | 0 |
| Mean side-to-side difference (deg) | 16 | -1 |
| Dial test at 90° of flexion | |
| Side-to-side difference $>10^\circ$ | 8 | 0 |
| Mean side-to-side difference (deg) | 12 | 0 |
| Varus stress test at 0° of flexion | |
| Grade 0 | 4 | 11 |
| Grade 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Grade 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Grade 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Varus stress test at 30° of flexion | |
| Grade 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Grade 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Grade 2 | 7 | 0 |
| Grade 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Pos. posterolateral drawer test | 12 | 1 |
| Pos. reverse pivot shift test | 8 | 0 |
| Pos. external rotation recurvatum test | 7 | 0 |

*The values are given as the number of patients, except for the mean side-to-side differences in displacement demonstrated by the dial tests, which are given in degrees.

0° and 30° of knee flexion. In addition, we assessed whether the posterolateral drawer test, the reverse pivot shift test, and the external rotation recurvatum test were positive preoperatively and postoperatively. To assess the clinical outcomes, we compared the preoperative and postoperative ranges of motion of the knee, Tegner activity levels, and Lysholm scores.

The statistical comparisons between the preoperative and postoperative functional outcomes were made with use of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and a p value of $<0.05$ was considered significant.
jury. The surgical approach used to restore stability to the injured posterolateral aspect of the knee has evolved substantially. Early procedures involved the advancement of the femoral attachment of the posterolateral structures. Hughston and Jacobson reported improved clinical results in ninety-five patients who had undergone proximal bone-block advancement of the posterolateral complex for treatment of chronic posterolateral instability. However, this advancement procedure did not restore isometry; therefore, many patients had loosening with time. Clancy recommended biceps tenodesis to reconstruct the posterolateral corner by transferring the biceps tendon to the anterior aspect of the lateral epicondyle while leaving its distal attachment to the fibula intact. However, this reconstruction did not anatomically recreate the popliteus or the popliteofibular ligament and, thus, represented only a partial reconstruction of the posterolateral corner. Subsequent procedures involved the creation of an extra-articular sling to restore posterolateral stability. Müller introduced the popliteal bypass procedure, in which a graft was placed through a tunnel exiting at the posterolateral corner of the tibia and secured to the anterior aspect of the lateral femoral condyle. Albright and Brown reported on the posterolateral corner sling procedure, which involved the use of an autograft or an allograft to approximate the reconstruction of the popliteus tendon. More recent studies have revealed that the collateral ligament, the popliteus tendon, and the popliteofibular ligament are the three key structures in the posterolateral corner. Interest has focused on the popliteal complex, particularly the popliteofibular ligament, and several research efforts have improved our understanding of the role of the popliteofibular ligament. Consequently, there have been several reports on the anatomical reconstruction of the lateral collateral ligament and the popliteal complex. However, these concurrent reconstructions of all structures failed to restore the optimal isometric points of each structure. To address these limitations, we used a split Achilles tendon allograft to concurrently reconstruct the three important structures and restore their isometry. In our procedure, the posterior portion of the 6-mm limb (before it is passed through the fibular tunnel) was designed to recreate the popliteofibular ligament and the anterior portion (after it is passed through the fibular tunnel) was designed to recreate the lateral collateral ligament with separate isometric points. In addition, the 7-mm limb was planned to restore the popliteus tendon as closely as possible to its original position. In addition, this technique does not require an additional incision for a pull-out suture, which is needed with some of the other techniques.

Although the number of patients in our study was limited, the results after a minimum of two years of follow-up suggest that our anatomical reconstruction is a reliable method that provides excellent stability and satisfactory clinical results. We believe that the poor clinical outcome of one patient stemmed from reflex sympathetic dystrophy and not from the ligament reconstruction per se. None of the other thirty-one patients who had been treated with this procedure had a similar outcome.

Recently, several procedures designed to concurrently reconstruct the three major structures of the posterolateral corner have been introduced. These procedures correspond to ours in terms of restoration of the isometric points of each structure. Hopefully, the current reconstructive procedures will allow us to achieve outcomes that will remain satisfactory after longer follow-up.
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