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To mitigate the global pollution and reliance on petroleum-based fuels and chemicals, various types of biomass, such as agricultural crops, wood, and algae, have been investigated. Among these biomass feedstocks, algae is considered as a
promising renewable resource due to its rapid growth, inedibility, and lignin-free composition.

Alginate, the major constituent of algae, has found its wide applications in numerous fields. Similar to the structure of cellulose composed of glucoses via 1,4-glycosidic linkage, alginate consists of two hexuronic acids, β-D-mannuronic acid and α-L-guluronic acid by the ether bond. With the structural analogy, alginate can also be subjected to the current biorefinery technology, namely, hydrothermal conversion, to produce value-added organic compounds. To hydrolyze biomass effectively, employing of acid catalysts is essential. The use of homogeneous catalysts, however, causes critical issues, such as separation, purification, and neutralization. In this sense, developing solid acid catalysts easily separable and having a high catalytic activity has drawn interest worldwide.

In this work, hydrothermal depolymerization of alginates into its two monomers over sugar-derived solid acid catalysts was performed to investigate effects of catalyst properties, such as acid density, specific surface area, and thermal stability, on the yield of monomers. The catalysts bearing three functional groups, phenolic OH, -COOH and -SO₃H, were synthesized by partial carbonization of D-glucose and sulfonation. It was found that the presence of strong brønsted acid sites(-SO₃H) catalyzed hydrolysis of alginate, whereas weak acid sites(-OH and -COOH) had negligible effect on the reaction. The heterogeneous carbon catalyst shows a comparable activity with that of homogeneous catalysts such as sulfuric acid. The high activity is explained by high acid density and hydrophilic surface of the
catalyst, despite low surface area. The sulfonated carbon shows the possibility of replacing homogeneous catalysts and could propose a green route to decompose alginate.
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Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1. Background

An imprudent consumption of petroleum–based fuels and chemicals has been escalating the depletion of limited crude oil reservoir. In addition, it has resulted in critical environmental issues such as pollution, emission of greenhouse gases and thus global warming[1]. In order to reduce the world’s heavy reliance on the traditional petrochemicals and to mitigate the climate change, investment in developing alternative energy has increased since 2000[2]. The portion of sustainable energy in global power generation is projected to grow substantially in the near future[3, 4]. In recent years, solar, wind, tidal, hydro, geothermal, biomass and other sources have been utilized as renewable energy[5, 6]. Among the aforementioned renewables, biomass is indubitably the only source to direct produce carbon–based materials[7].

The first–generation biofuels and chemicals rely on edible crops. These starch–based feedstocks, however, have competed with the food supply and forced the next generations of biomass to be developed. Non–edible feedstocks, i.e. lignocellulosic biomass, are often referred to as the second–generation biomass. These materials are comprised of polysaccharides and lignin. Unfortunately, lignin intertwined with polysaccharides gives it recalcitrant properties to decomposition
and makes it more difficult to produce biofuels and chemicals than the first- and third-generation biomass[8]. The algal biomass known to be the third generation biomass is considered as a promising alternative resource since it grows faster and needs less amount of arable land area than their terrestrial cousins[9]. Furthermore, the absence of lignin in algae makes it straightforward to degrade it. Several approaches have been made to decompose various types of biomass. Enzymatic hydrolysis[10], pyrolysis[11-13], and acid-catalyzed hydrolysis in water[14, 15] and ionic liquids[16, 17] are common techniques applied to yield valuable compounds such as bio-oil, ethanol, or reducing sugars from lignocellulosic biomass.

In 2004, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) reported top 12 value-added chemicals derived from sugars[18]. The chemicals selected include lactic acid, succinic acid, levulinic acid, malic acid and so forth. These biomass-derived chemicals can further be transformed into high-value chemicals. Some of the selected organic acids could be produced from the third generation biomass, algae. A few research groups depolymerized alginates under sub- and supercritical conditions[19-21] in an effort to displace cellulose for the production of the aforesaid organic acids, e.g. succinic acid, lactic acid, malic acid, and formic acid recently[21].
1.2. Structure of Alginate

Alginate, unbranched bio-copolymers isolated from brown algae, has found their applications in numerous fields. For instance, it has been used as a thickener, stabilizer, gelling agent, and emulsifying agent in food industry. It has also been utilized in pharmaceutical industry as a drug deliver, dressing, and dental fillings[22]. Similar to the structure of cellulose comprised of glucoses by 1,4–glycosidic bond, alginate, as shown in Figure 1, consists of two uronic acids, β–D–mannuronic acid (M) and α–L–guluronic acid (G) via the ether bond[22-26]. The amount of respective monomers and sequential distribution vary depending on its source, and the arrangement of two uronic acids in alginates was elucidated by $^1$H NMR[27-29] and FT-IR[30] elsewhere. Three different types of blocks in alginates are as follows: two homopolymeric blocks and alternating blocks (MM, GG or MG). Because of two–fold helical structure, G blocks are more rigid than the others[22, 31, 32].
Figure 1. Structure of alginate
1.3. Objective

With the structural analogy to cellulose, alginate can also be applied to the existing biorefinery technologies, viz. hydrothermal conversion, to produce valuable chemicals. As glucose, a monomer of cellulose, is regarded as an alternative building block for the production of chemicals and fuels, alginate’s monomers are expected to be versatile intermediate chemicals to higher value products. Thus, it is of great importance to selectively hydrolyze alginate into its monomers for the better utilization of the third generation biomass.

For the effective hydrolysis of alginate, employing of acid catalysts is essential. Several attempts, for instance, have been made to convert alginate into its monomers or uronic acid blocks by employing sulfuric acid[33, 34] and oxalic acid[35]. The use of these homogeneous acid catalysts, however, poses significant challenges of product separation, waste purification, and corrosion of reactors. In this respect, developing solid acid catalysts with an easy separability and a high catalytic activity has attracted interest worldwide.

To date, various sugar-derived carbon materials were studied[36-47] and found to be well qualified to hydrolyze biomass feedstocks owing to their hydrothermal stability. In addition, they are insoluble in most of solvents, e.g. water, methanol, and dimethylformamide which makes separation processes simple[48]. Furthermore, in terms of glucose yield, acid-functionalized carbon materials showed comparable catalytic activities with those of homogeneous acid catalyst,
sulfuric acid.

To my knowledge, hydrolysis of alginate over solid acid catalysts has never been reported. The scope of this research is, hence, to hydrothermally decompose alginate into its two monomers, versatile intermediate chemicals, over acid-functionalized carbon catalysts derived from glucose. In addition, catalytic activities of synthesized solid catalysts were compared with those of homogeneous acid catalysts, sulfuric acid, and other commercial solid acid catalysts.
Chapter 2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of catalysts

Glucose-derived carbon catalysts bearing functional groups of –OH, –COOH, and –SO$_3$H were prepared referring to previously reported methods with modification[41, 43, 45, 46, 49-52].

2.1.1. Partial carbonization of glucose (Glu)

10g of D-glucose was charged into a 300 ml of glass reactor with a 3-neck lid. Before partial carbonization, the reactor was purged with N$_2$ flow (40ccm) for 1hr. The reactor was, then, heated at 400 ℃ for 16hr under N$_2$ flow (40ccm). The resulting brown-black solid was ground to powder, filtered and washed with more than 3L of hot distilled water (≥80 ℃) followed by oven-drying at 100 ℃ overnight. The resulting carbon is referred to as Glu hereinafter.

2.1.2. Sulfonation of carbons derived from glucose (Glu-SO$_3$H)

1.5g of glucose-derived carbons was added to 30ml of concentrated sulfuric acid (95%, 17.8M) in a glass flask (100ml). Before the sulfonation, the flask was purged with N$_2$ flow (40ccm) for 1hr. The mixture was heated at 150 ℃ under N$_2$ flow (40ccm). After 16hr, the sulfonated carbons were filtrated and washed with 3
L of hot distilled water until the washing became neutral (≥80°C). The resultant acid-functionalized carbon catalyst is named Glu-SO₃H hereafter.

2.2. Characterization of the acid-functionalized carbon catalysts

2.2.1. ¹³C cross-polarization/magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (CP/MAS NMR)

¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectra were recorded by Bruker AVANCE 400 WB (400 MHz) with a 4-mm probehead with a spectrometer frequency and spinning rate of 100.6 MHz and 7 kHz, respectively.

2.2.2. Diffuse reflectance infrared fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTs)

FT-IR spectra were recorded using Nicolet iS50 FT-IR spectrometer between 600 and 4000 cm⁻¹ with resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.

2.2.3. X-ray diffraction (XRD)

X-ray diffraction patterns of catalysts were obtained by Rigaku diffractometer employing Cu Kα radiation with X-ray generating voltage and current of 40kV, 30mA, respectively. The patterns were recorded from 5 to 60° with a scanning step
2.2.4. Elemental analysis

To determined chemical composition of the catalysts and sulfur content on the catalyst after sulfonation, elemental analysis was performed on Elemental Analyzer (Thermo Fischer) with a CHNS–Porapack PQS column.

2.2.5. Back titration

The Brønsted acid density of catalysts in an aqueous medium was measured by back titration method. Back titration method was described elsewhere[52, 53]. Typically, a catalyst (0.05 g) was added into 15 ml of NaOH solution (0.01M). The solution was agitated for 1hr at room temperature and subjected to a centrifugal action. The supernatant was titrated by HCl solution (0.02M) with a drop of phenolphthalein solution (0.5 wt% in ethanol:water (1:1)).

2.2.6. N₂ adsorption–desorption

N₂ adsorption–desorption was applied to investigate the specific surface area of prepared catalysts using Micromeritics ASAP 2010 apparatus at –196°C. Glu and Glu-SO₃H were degassed under N₂ flow at 350°C and 250°C, respectively, for more than 12 hours. The specific surface area of the catalysts were measured by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method.
2.2.7. Inductively coupled plasma–atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP–AES)

ICP–AES was employed to measure the amount of sulfur leached from Glu-SO$_3$H after hydrolysis reactions. After a centrifugal action, the supernatants of product mixtures were diluted by 20 times to a total volume of 4mL and analyzed by PerkinElmer/Optima–4300 DV.

2.2.8. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

Thermogravimetric curves were recorded using SDT Q600 (TA Instruments) under N$_2$ flow (100ccm) from room temperature to 800ºC with a ramping rate of 10ºC/min.

2.2.9. Adsorption of alginate and glucuronic acid onto the prepared catalyst

Adsorption capacity of catalysts was tested referring to a method reported elsewhere[54] and the method was modified. Glucuronic acid was used as a surrogate monomer of alginate herein. 0.05g of Glu-SO$_3$H was added into each of 2 vials (20ml) containing 10 ml of 0.5% (w/v) sodium alginate solution and 0.5% (w/v) glucuronic acid solution, respectively. The mixtures were then agitated at room temperature by means of a magnetic stirrer at 400 rpm. At the time of 10, 20,
40, 80, 120 min, and 15 hr, supernatants were taken from each vial, centrifuged and analyzed by HPLC to determine the concentration of non-adsorbed sodium alginate and glucuronic acid.

2.2.10. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC)

Gel permeation chromatography was conducted by Ultimate 3000 (Dionex) equipped with reflective index (RI) detector and a series of three columns, Waters Ultrahydrogel 120, 150, and 1000 maintained at 40°C. 0.1M of sodium azide solution was used as a mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. Pullulan having a molecular weight distribution from 342 to 80,500 was used to calibrate GPC.

2.2.11. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

The concentrations of mannuronic acid, guluronic acid, and other water soluble organic compounds in aqueous phase after reactions were measured by a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system equipped with Agilent quaternary pump, ultraviolet-visible (UV/VIS) detector, RI detector, degasser, and two columns of Shodex KC-811 maintained at 40°C. 5 mM of H₃PO₄ was used as a mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. Temperature of the RI detector was set at 55°C. Every liquid product was diluted by 20 before injected to HPLC system.

Carbon-based yields of alginate’s monomers and other water soluble organic
compounds were calculated referring to the equation below[55].

Carbon yield of monomers (%) = 100 × (moles of monomers in the product / moles of monomer units in charged alginates)

Carbon yield of water soluble organic compounds (%) = 100 × (number of carbon atoms in an organic compound / 6) × (moles of an organic compound in the product / moles of monomer units in charged alginates)

2.2.12. Reactor and hydrolysis conditions

All hydrolysis reactions were conducted in SUS316 tubular reactors (Swagelok) with inner volume of 4mL. 1.2mL of 1% (w/v) of sodium alginate solution prepared beforehand was charged into the reactor with a magnetic stirrer. The reactor is then immersed into an oil bath maintained at different temperatures (100, 120, and 140ºC) for desired reaction times with stirring rate of 400 rpm. After the reaction, the reactor was quenched to room temperature to prevent further degradation.

2.2.13. Durability experiments of the sulfonated carbon catalyst

Durability of the Glu-SO₃H catalyst was investigated over four repeated cycles. After each reaction, the catalyst was retrieved, filtered, and washed with distilled water and ethanol repeatedly. Then, the catalyst was oven dried at least 1hr.
The oven-dried used catalyst was then employed in the same manner mentioned above.
Chapter 3. Result and discussion

3.1. Characteristics of the prepared carbon catalysts

XRD patterns of Glu and Glu-SO$_3$H catalysts are shown in Figure 2. The XRD patterns show two weak broad peaks at 20–30° and 40–50°, which are assignable to graphitic (002) and (101) reflection, respectively. These diffraction peaks indicate that both catalysts are comprised of polyaromatic amorphous carbon sheets in a disordered fashion\[56, 57\], and there is no distinctive difference in XRD patterns before and after sulfonation of Glu catalyst. This implies that the carbon catalyst retains its structure after sulfonation. To further investigate the structure of the catalysts, $^{13}$C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy was employed.

$^{13}$C CP/MAS NMR spectra of the catalysts are displayed in Figure 3. Two broad peaks appeared at 127 ppm with sidebands (at 57 and 197 ppm) and 150 ppm, which are assignable to polycyclic aromatic carbon atoms\[44, 51, 58\], and phenolic OH group, respectively. No peak attributable to C–O–C was observed at 72 ppm \[43\]. These results suggest that the prepared catalysts are sp$^2$-derived polycyclic aromatic carbons with phenolic OH moiety. A peak at 180 ppm assignable to carboxylic groups were concealed by a weak broad peak of spinning sideband. In addition, a resonance peak for carbon atoms bearing SO$_3$H group (at 140 ppm)
could not be recognized in NMR spectrum of Glu-SO$_3$H because of the intense broad signal of aromatic carbon atoms at 127 ppm[40]. Hence, FT-IR spectroscopy was performed to confirm the presence of COOH and SO$_3$H groups in the catalysts.

As shown in Figure 4, adsorption peaks at 1181 and 1032 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to SO$_3^-$ and O=S=O stretching, respectively[49, 51], were observed for Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst. This suggests that sulfonate groups were introduced after sulfonation of carbonized glucose. Adsorption signals at 1600, 1700, and 2920 cm$^{-1}$ for both catalysts are assigned to stretching bands of C=C[59], C=O[51], and C–H[60], respectively. These indicate the presence of aromatic carbons with carboxylic groups.

To sum up, incomplete carbonization of glucose followed by sulfonation yielded polycyclic aromatic carbons bearing functional groups of –OH, –COOH, and –SO$_3$H linked by sp-2 carbons.

Sulfur content and acid density of Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst were determined by elemental analysis and back titration (Table 1). It is widely known that all sulfur atoms presence in sulfonate groups on sulfonated carbons according to X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy[44]. The same would be applied to the case of Glu-SO$_3$H prepared in this work. Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst has sulfur content of 0.797 mmol/g and acid density of 2.93 mmol/g, whereas Glu has neutral property despite the presence of phenolic OH and carboxylic acid. BET surface area of Glu and Glu-SO$_3$H catalysts are relatively small (< 2 m$^2$/g).

Thermogravimetric curves show thermal stability of catalysts under N$_2$
atmosphere. As displayed in Figure 5, a slight weight loss was observed for both catalysts at approximately 100ºC, which was due to desorption of water absorbed onto the catalysts[61]. However, Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst showed a significant weight loss above 200ºC, which was caused by decomposition of sulfonate groups[61] and gasification of Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst[62].

A hypothesis that a reaction rate of cellulose hydrolysis with solid catalysts depends on adsorption capability of catalysts has been verified by adsorbing a reactant, cellulobiose, onto a sulfonated resin catalyst[63]. In addition, it was proposed that hydrophilic binding sites on a catalyst favored a molecule having more hydrophilic groups. In other words, alginate would be preferably adsorbed onto the Glu-SO$_3$H surface compared with its monomers. Herein, the same was applied by adsorbing alginate and glucuronic acid as a surrogate monomer onto the Glu-SO$_3$H surface. In this case, hydrophilic –OH and –COOH groups serve as a binding site and SO$_3$H groups act as a catalytic site. As displayed in Figure 6, adsorption curves show that approximately 2% of alginate was adsorbed onto Glu-SO$_3$H and the curve reached a plateau, whereas none of glucuronic acid was adsorbed. From this result, it can be concluded that alginate could be easily attracted onto the Glu-SO$_3$H surface and alginate’s monomers produced would be selectively desorbed after the hydrolysis giving unreacted alginites new free surface to adsorb, which increases a catalytic activity.
Figure 2. XRD patterns of the carbon catalysts.
Figure 3. $^{13}$C CP/MAS NMR spectra of the catalysts.

* spinning sidebands appear at 57 and 197 ppm
Figure 4. FT-IR Spectra of Glu and Glu-SO$_3$H catalysts.
Figure 5. Thermogravimetric curves of the carbon catalysts.
Table 1 Chemical compositions, acid density, and surface area of catalysts before and after sulfonation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
<th>Sulfur amount $^b$</th>
<th>Acid density $^c$</th>
<th>Surface area $^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.39</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>CH$<em>{0.5}$O$</em>{0.12}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu-SO$_3$H</td>
<td>CH$<em>{0.45}$O$</em>{0.37}$S$_{0.01}$</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Chemical compositions were determined by elemental analysis.

$^b$ Sulfur amount was determined by elemental analysis.

$^c$ Acid amount was measured by back titration.

$^d$ Specific surface area was measured by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method
Figure 6. Adsorption curves of Na-alginate and glucuronic acid onto Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst.
3.2. Hydrolysis of alginate using sulfuric acid and the sulfonated carbon catalyst

It has been confirmed that hydrolysis of alginate occurs by cleavage of 1,4-glycosidic linkage which was verified by FT-IR spectroscopy[64]. The break of the ether bonds yields alginate’s two monomers. The extent of depolymerization of alginate during the hydrolysis reaction was observed by GPC (Figure 7–10). As the reaction temperature and time increased, alginate having average molecular weight of 30kDa was degraded into smaller molecules even in the absence of homogeneous and heterogeneous acid catalysts. This is partly due to properties of subcritical water. At an elevated temperature, the concentration of proton ion increases owing to high value of ion product of water (K\textsubscript{w}) and thus water could catalyze the reactions at higher temperatures (120 and 140°C)[65]. However, the activity of subcritical water was not comparable to that of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. As displayed in Figure 9, Glu catalyst has little effect on the depolymerization of alginate as the subcritical water did. Comparing Figure 8 with 10, depolymerization rates are similar for both reactions using H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and Glu-SO\textsubscript{3}H. Referring to the GPC results, it is believed that the amounts of monomers reached their maxima within 16hr and 1hr when reactions were conducted at 120 and 140°C, respectively. To further investigate hydrolysis of alginate and production of other organic compounds, GC-MS analysis was conducted.

The similar trends of alginate depolymerization were obtained by GC-MS analysis. Total ion chromatograms (TIC) of GC-MS were displayed in Figure 11–
13. Monomers were eluted between the shaded region, 33 and 36 min. At high temperatures (120 and 140°C) for prolonged reaction times over Glu-SO₃H, further degradation of monomers arose and production of byproducts became prominent, whereas reactions at low temperature (100°C) produced solely monomers. A few organic acids were produced as unwanted byproducts such as oxalic acid, malic acid, succinic acid, tartaric acid, and pyruvic acid. Acetic acid and furfural couldn’t be detected by GC-MS since acetic acid was highly volatile and furfural was not derivatized by the aforementioned method. Reaction conditions where these organic acids were yielded vary among the compounds. For instance, 5-keto-D-glutaric acid was produced only when the hydrolysis proceeded over 12hr at 120°C.

To quantify alginate’s monomers and few byproducts, HPLC was performed.

HPLC chromatograms of hydrolyzates were displayed in Figure 14 and 15. In the HPLC system used in this work, monomers, especially mannuronic acid, couldn’t be clearly baseline separated since a peak at 12.008 min assignable to mannuronic acid was obscured by an unknown broad peak near 12 min. The unknown peak protruded obviously as the reaction temperature and time increased. The unknown, however, is presumed to be an isomer of mannuronic acid or guluronic acid. This presumption can be supported by GC-MS analysis. Judging from the similarity among mass spectra (Figure 17 and 18) of each compound eluted at different retention times, 9 major peaks in TIC of GC-MS could be classified into four distinct groups (Figure 16) and all groups were identified as different isomers of hexuronic acids, such as galacturonic acid and glucuronic acid. Thus, the unknown in HPLC chromatograms can be regarded as an isomer of
mannuronic acid or guluronic acid considering that the given HPLC system was not able to separate isomers. Assuming that the unknown peak near 12 min in Figure 14 and 15 was an isomer convertible into mannuronic acid and that tangents of calibration curves of hexuronic acids were identical, quantification of monomers proceeded with those two peaks. Carbon-based yields of monomers obtained by HPLC quantification are shown in Figure 19–21.

Glu-SO$_3$H, with regard to monomer production, exhibits the higher total monomer yield than sulfuric acid at high temperatures (120 and 140°C). As shown in Figure 20 and 21, this is explained by the fact that produced monomers were further decomposed to smaller compounds such as acetic acid and furfural when sulfuric acid was employed. The adsorption capability of the Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst accounts for the good catalytic activity despite the low surface area. As described in the adsorption experiment, hydrophilic surface of the catalyst selectively attracts alginate and easily diffuses produced monomers out. This prevents unwanted side reactions from occurring. In every reaction, the yield of mannuronic acid is always higher than that of guluronic acid. This is due to the two-fold helical structure of guluronic acid blocks making them recalcitrant to hydrolysis[22, 31, 32].

The highest total monomer yields were observed for the reactions for 16hr at 120°C and for 1hr at 140°C over Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst. Therefore, comparative experiments with commercial solid acid catalysts were performed at those reaction conditions, hereinafter.
Figure 7. GPC chromatograms of hydrolyzates reacted at different reaction temperatures in H$_2$O.
Figure 8. GPC chromatograms of hydrolyzates reacted at different reaction temperatures in H₂SO₄.
Figure 9. GPC chromatograms of hydrolyzates reacted at different reaction temperatures over the Glu catalyst.
Figure 10. GPC chromatograms of hydrolyzates reacted at different reaction temperatures over the Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst.
Figure 11. GC-MS total ion chromatograms of hydrolyzates reacted at 100°C over Glu-SO$_3$H.
Figure 12. GC-MS total ion chromatograms of hydrolyzates reacted at 120°C over Glu-SO$_3$H
Figure 13. GC-MS total ion chromatograms of hydrolyzates reacted at 140°C over Glu-SO_3H
Figure 14. HPLC Chromatograms of reaction products hydrolyzed at different temperatures and times using H$_2$SO$_4$. 
Figure 15. HPLC Chromatograms of reaction products hydrolyzed at different temperatures and times over Glu-SO$_3$
Figure 16. GC-MS total ion chromatograms of products reacted over Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst
Figure 17. Mass spectra of GC-MS of the hydrolyzate reacted at 120°C for 12hr.
Figure 18. Mass spectra of GC-MS of the hydrolyzate reacted at 120°C for 12hr
Figure 19. Product distribution for the hydrolysis of alginate using H$_2$SO$_4$ and the carbon catalysts at 100°C.
Figure 20. Product distribution for the hydrolysis of alginate using H$_2$SO$_4$ and the carbon catalysts at 120°C.
Figure 21. Product distribution for the hydrolysis of alginate using H$_2$SO$_4$ and the carbon catalysts at 140°C.
3.3. Hydrolysis of alginate over commercial solid acid catalysts

The hydrolysis was performed over different solid acid catalysts at 120 and 140°C for 16 and 1hr, respectively. Acid density, surface area, and Si/Al ratio of commercial catalysts are summarized in Table 2. As shown in Figure 22 and 23, the Glu-SO₃H exhibits the highest total monomer yield with small amount of byproducts. Amberlyst15, a polymer comprised of polystyrene with –SO₃H, yielded high amount of byproducts, especially, furfural as in the case of sulfuric acid. Zeolite Y (CBV500 and CBV720) and MFI nanosponge zeolite show comparable catalytic activity with that of Glu-SO₃H at 120°C. The relatively high activity of zeolites is owing to large surface area. At 140°C, however, these zeolites lost their activity, which is attributable to collapse of zeolite structure under hydrothermal condition. Researches on hydrothermal stability of zeolites revealed that Al and Si species were dissolved into the high temperature liquid medium[66], and thus, most of them lost their structure[67] and showed poor hydrothermal stability.

From this comparison, the Glu-SO₃H catalyst is believed to be hydrothermally more robust than other solid acid catalysts examined. To further investigate the durability of the Glu-SO₃H catalyst, the catalyst reused up to four cycles at 140°C for 1hr.
Figure 22. Product distribution for the hydrolysis of alginate over different catalysts at 120°C.
Figure 23. Product distribution for the hydrolysis of alginate over different catalysts at 140°C.
Table 2 Acid density, surface area of sulfuric acid, and Si/Al ratio of the carbon catalysts and other commercial solid acid catalyts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Acid density a (mmol/g)</th>
<th>Surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Si/Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfuric acid</td>
<td>20.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu-SO₃H</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amberlyst-15</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFI nanosponge</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV500</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV720</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Acid density was determined by back titration
3.4. Durability experiments of Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst

As shown in Figure 24, Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst lost its activity after repeated runs. However, the extent of activity loss decreases with each run. Although the catalytic activity was drastically dropped during the 1st and 2nd run, Glu-SO$_3$H maintained its activity since then. This was verified by ICP-AES (Table 3). The amount of sulfur leached decreases with each run. Compared to the fresh Glu-SO$_3$H, approximately 76% of sulfur was leached after the 4th run. The decrease in catalytic activities is in accordance with the loss of sulfur content from Glu-SO$_3$H. A method to prevent sulfur leaching is yet to be studied.
Figure 24. Catalytic activity of recycled Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst.
Table 3 Amount of Sulfur leached in aqueous products after the hydrolysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recycle run</th>
<th>Amount of S leached (mmol/g) (^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0th run</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st run</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd run</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd run</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th run</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Sulfur contents in liquid products were determined by ICP-AES
Chapter 4. Conclusion

In this research, the sulfonated carbon catalyst was prepared by incomplete carbonization of glucose followed by sulfonation. The resultant carbon catalyst bearing –OH, –COOH, and –SO$_3$H was employed to hydrolyze alginate into its monomers and compared to sulfuric acid and other commercial solid acid catalysts. The Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst showed a remarkable catalytic activity comparable with that of homogeneous acid catalyst, sulfuric acid, and the activity of prepared catalyst exceeded those of commercial solid acid catalysts yielding smaller amount of byproducts, acetic acid and furfural at all reaction temperatures. The superior activity is explained by high acid density and hydrophilic surface of the Glu-SO$_3$H catalyst, despite the relatively smaller surface area. Although sulfur was leached from the Glu-SO$_3$H during two cycles of hydrolysis, the catalyst maintained its activity since then.
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요약 (국문초록)

환경오염을 완화시키고 석유기반 연료 및 화학 물질에 대한 의존도를 낮추기 위하여, 농작물, 목본계, 해조류 등의 다양한 바이오매스 자원이 연구되었다. 이러한 바이오매스 원료 중 빠른 성장 속도를 가진 해조류는 식량 문제와 상충되지 않고, 분해가 어려운 리그닌 성분이 없기 때문에 차세대 바이오매스 자원으로 각광받고 있다.

해조류의 주성분인 알지네이트는 다양한 산업에서 사용되고 있다. 글루코오즈의 1,4-glycosidic 결합으로 이루어진 샐룰로오즈처럼, 알지네이트는 β-D-글루로산과 α-D-マン로산의 두 단량체가 1,4-glycosidic 결합으로 이루어져있다. 이러한 구조적 유사성 때문에 알지네이트는 고부가가치 화학물질을 생산하기 위해 수열분해와 같은 기존의 바이오리피니셔 공정에 직접 이용될 수 있다. 바이오매스 자원을 효과적으로 가수분해시키기 위해서는 산 축매의 사용이 필수적이다. 그러나 황산 혹은 염산과 같은 균일계 축매의 사용은 축매와 생성물의 분리, 생성물 정제, 폐기물 중화 등의 문제를 야기시킨다. 따라서, 쉽게 분리가 가능하고 높은 축매적 활성을 갖는 고체 산 축매를 개발하는 것이 세계적 관심사로 대두되었다.

본 연구에서는 알지네이트를 수열분해하여 단량체를 생산하기 위하여 술색기가 도입된 글루코오즈 유래 카본 축매를 사용하였다. 합성된
카본 촉매의 산 농도, 표면적, 열적 안정성 등이 단량체 수율에 미치는 영향을 알아보았다. 글루코오즈의 불완전 탄화 및 술 annonces를 통해 합성된 촉매는 phenolic OH, -COOH, -SO₃H의 세 가지 작용기를 지니게 된다. 강한 브뢴스테드 산점(-SO₃H)이 알지네이트의 가수분해반응을 촉진시키지만 약한 산점(-OH와 -COOH)은 알지네이트 분해에 미미한 영향을 미치는 것으로 확인되었다. 작은 표면적을 가지고 있음에도 불구하고, 술 annonces가 기능화된 카본 촉매가 황산과 건출만한 높은 활성을 갖는 이유는 촉매의 친수성 표면과 높은 산 농도에 기인한다. 술 annonces가 도입된 글루코오즈 유래 카본 촉매는 균일하게 촉매를 대체할 차세대 고체 산 촉매로서 알지네이트, 더 나아가 바이오매스 원료를 효과적으로 분해할 수 있는 가능성을 보여주었다.

주요어: 알지네이트 가수분해, 만루론산, 글루콘산, 술 annonces가 도입된 카본 촉매
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