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The Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) is known as one of the master epigenetic regulators in both plants and mammals. It represses transcriptional activity of genes that are not required at specific formative stages by trimethylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27me3). In a previous study, a gain-of-function mutant, *early flowering and curly leaves-D (efc-D)* was isolated from a population of activation tagging mutants. Overexpression of EFC caused increased expression levels of PRC2 target genes, such as *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC), FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT)* and *AGAMOUS (AG)*. Among three PRC2 complexes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT SEED2-PRC2 complex plays essential roles in endosperm development by regulating genes in the central cell and
endosperm. Interestingly, *EFC* was specifically expressed in nuclei of the central cell and endosperm and interacted with MSI1, one of the core members of the PRC2. In this study I characterized a loss-of-function mutant *efc-1*. The *efc-1* mutation caused a seed abortion in *Arabidopsis*. *EFC* showed a partial or incomplete penetrance. The expression of *MEDEA* (*MEA*) and *UPWARD CURLY LEAF1* (*UCL1*), genes that are regulated by FIS2-PRC2, were derepressed in the central cell or endosperm of the *efc-1* mutant. I carried out a complementation experiment of *fis2* with *EMBRYONIC FLOWER2* (*EMF2*), a homolog of *FIS2*, in the seed in absence of *EFC*. Presumably due to the partial penetrance of *EFC*, there was no significant complementation observed in the heterozygous *efc-1*; heterozygous *fis2-11* and hemizygous *FIS2::EMF2* triple mutant plants. Therefore, it needs to be checked in the next generation when I obtain a triple homozygous mutant or double homozygous and *fis2-11* heterozygous mutant. The results so far may suggest that *EFC* might play a role in seed development along with the FIS2-PRC2 complex, however not essential.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PcG</td>
<td>Polycomb Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC2</td>
<td>Polycomb Repressive Complex 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUS</td>
<td>β-glucuronidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Histone 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K27</td>
<td>Lysine 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Murashige-Skoog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>Complementary DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS</td>
<td>Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. Introduction

The eukaryotic gene expression is regulated meticulously and perpetually in order to maintain its multicellular structure. This intricate control mechanism manipulates the expression of gene at various stages of the classic central dogma. In particular, modifications can be done at genomic, transcriptional, RNA processing, translational or at posttranslational levels through distinctive molecular events.

First found in *Drosophila*, chromatin modifiers formed by Polycomb group proteins (PcG) are one of the well-established transcriptional repression systems in plants, animals and certain unicellular organisms (Mozgova et al., 2015). Multimeric complexes built by PcG proteins are called Polycomb Repressor Complex (PRC). PcG complexes PRC1 and PRC2 are studied very well. In *Arabidopsis*, members that form these complexes are from various protein families (Mozgova et al., 2015).

1. Polycomb Group Protein Complex 2

The biochemical activity of PRC2 is trimethylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H2K27me3). In Arabidopsis, a vast number of genes (~4,400) are trimethylated at lysine 27 in histone H3 (Zhang et al., 2007). Four main subunits of PRC2 are histone methyltransferase Enhancer of zeste [E(z)], Supressor of zeste 12 [Su(z)12], Extra sex combs (Esc), and the histone binding nucleosome binding factor 55 kDa (Nurf55 or p55). Homologs of Enhancer of zeste [E(z)] are *MEDEA (MEA)*, *CURLY LEAF (CLF)* and *SWINGER (SWN)*, homologs of Supressor of zeste 12 [Su(z)12] are
**FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT SEED2** (FIS2), **EMBRYONIC FLOWER2** (EMF2) and **VERNALIZATION2** (VRN2), homologs of Nurf55 are **MULTICOPY SUPPRESSOR OF IRA1-5** (MSI1-5), and homolog of Extra sex combs (Esc) is **FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT ENDOSPERM** (FIE) (Grossniklaus et al., 1998; Kiyosue et al., 1999; Luo et al., 1999; Ohad et al., 1999; Kohler et al., 2003). There are three PRC2 complexes, FIS2-PRC2, EMF2-PRC2 and VRN2-PRC2 that exist in **Arabidopsis**, playing essential roles in the different developmental processes (Figure 1).

### 1.1. FIS2-PRC2

The function of the FIS2-PRC2 complex is crucial for the shift from the female gametophyte to sporophyte because it hinders the central cell proliferation in the absence of fertilization (Figueiredo et al., 2015; Xiao and Wagner, 2015). The FIS2-PRC2 complex consists of **MEA**, **FIS2**, **FIE** and **MSI1**. When a mutation occurs in these genes, endosperm development initiates even when there is no fertilization (Chaudhury et al., 1997; Grossniklaus et al., 1998; Guitton et al., 2004). Endosperm nuclei are overproliferated and cell division is delayed in so called fis class mutants that cause the early arrest of embryo development due to a defect of nutrient translocation (Chaudhury et al., 1997; Sorensen et al., 2001; Guitton et al., 2004). It is reported that type I MADS-box protein PHERES1 is strictly regulated by FIS2-PRC2 complex, and deregulation of **PHERES1** (**PHE1**) is extensively responsible for seed-abortion phenotype of mea mutant plants (Kohler et al., 2003). The FIS2 polycomb complex represses the expression of another type I MADS-box transcription factor **AGAMOUS-LIKE 62** (AGL62) after certain cycles of nuclei division in the endosperm syncytium in order to trigger cellularization (Kang et al., 2008).
1.2. EMF2-PRC2

The EMF2-PRC2 complex plays a significant role in repression of initiation of the precocious reproductive program in Arabidopsis, allowing normal sporophytic growth of the plant (Yoshida et al., 2001). Member proteins of this complex are SWN/CLF, EMF2, FIE and MSI1. FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), AGAMOUS (AG) and APETALA3 (AP3) are the direct targets of EMF2-PRC2 complex. In the loss-of-function emf (emf1 and emf2), development of the floral organ initiates along with the germination (Sung et al., 1992).

1.3. VRN2-PRC2

In angiosperms, the flowering process is induced by exposure to the continuous coldness of winter or artificial cold condition. This process is called vernalization and it allows plants to flower effectively. Similar to the EMF2-PRC2 complex, besides Su(z)12 homolog VRN2, CLF/SWN, FIE and MSI1 are the main members of this complex. To maintain the normal development of the plant, the VRN2-PRC2 complex represses expression of FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) in Arabidopsis (Gendall et al., 2001). FLC is responsible for floral repression. When a mutation occurs in VRN2, FLC level is increased after cold treatment where it is supposed to be downregulated (Gendall et al., 2001).
Figure 1

Figure 1. PRC2 complexes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

2. PRC2 interacting proteins

Intriguing studies unveiled the sequential repression process of flowering repressor \textit{FLC} by VRN2-PRC2 complex associated with PLANT HOMEODOMAIN (PHD) finger proteins. Before cold treatment, VRN2-PRC2 complexes are covering the whole \textit{FLC} locus. And during vernalization, PHD-PRC2 complex is localized on the specific locus of FLC and leads to a decline in histone acetylation. This complex contains VRN2-PRC2 core PcG proteins and \textit{VERNALIZATION INSENSITIVE 3 (VIN3), VERNALIZATION 5 (VRN5) and VIN3-like1 (VEL1)}. By the formation of VRN-PHD, acetylation of histone is reduced and H3k27me3 is increased. When prolonged coldness is gone and the plant is again in the warm condition, expression of \textit{VIN3} terminates and association of VRN5 is increased throughout the \textit{FLC} locus for repression of the gene (De Lucia et al., 2008).

CLF associated protein F-Box protein \textit{UPWARD CURLY LEAF1 (UCL1)} was recently described. Jeong et al (2015) suggested its function as an assistant of the FIS2-PRC2 complex formation in the endosperm. It is possible that UCL1 prevents CLF to compete with MEA in the endosperm. If CLF is expressed in the endosperm, it harms the seed development by making undesirable PRC2 complex. Specifically, UCL1 leads CLF degradation by interacting with E3 ubiquitin ligase complex (Jeong et al., 2011).

The Enhancer of zeste [E(z)] homolog CLF also interacts with cullin-ring ubiquitin ligase \textit{CUL4-DDB1} and \textit{MSI4} so that it can repress \textit{FLC} expression in \textit{Arabidopsis} (Pazhouhandeh et al., 2011). When \textit{MSI4} or \textit{CUL4} mutated H3K27me3 markers on \textit{FLC} and \textit{FT} locus are reduced, allowing misexpression of the genes (Pazhouhandeh et al., 2011).
CUL4-DDB1 also associates with MSI1 for maintenance of H3K27me3 levels at FIS2-PRC2 regulated *MEA* and *PHERES* (Dumbliauskas et al., 2011). Furthermore, MSI1 collaborates with EMBRYONIC FLOWER1 (EMF1) (Calonje et al., 2008) and LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1 (LHP1) (Derkacheva et al., 2013). More recently, it was reported that EARLY IN SHORT DAYS7 (ESD7) interacts with CLF, EMF2 and MSI1 for maintenance of H3K27me3 at FT and SOC1 (Del Olmo et al., 2016).

3. **Structural characterization of PRC2**

To have a good grasp of the PRC2 complex, it is inevitable to study about the structural biochemistry and the functional domains of the PcG proteins. The SET domain of the Enhancer of zeste [E(z)] protein is responsible for the histone methyltransferase (HMTase) activity. It is interesting that without the association of other members of the PRC2 complex, E(z) does not exhibit HMTase activity *in vivo* (Czermin et al., 2002). The function of Extra Sex Comb (ESC) is to enhance the E(z) enzymatic activity (Nekrasov et al., 2005). Supressor of zeste 12 [Su(z)12] and Nurf55 are important for histone H3 binding (Nekrasov et al., 2005).

4. **Purpose of this study**

*EFC* was first identified in the activation tagging mutagenesis study. The dominant *efc*-D mutant plant flowered prior to wild type plants and showed curled leaf phenotype, therefore this mutant was designated as *early flowering and curly leaf (efc)* (Kim, 2006). The further study revealed the insertion site of the enhancer element and upregulated adjacent gene that caused this phenotype. It was 16 kDa small unknown protein coding *At4g23110* gene (Kim, 2006). Roh (2013)
reconfirmed that the mutant phenotype was indeed caused by over expression of the At4g23110 gene because 35CaMV::EFC plants mimicked the phenotype of efc-D.

In the study of EFC overexpression plants, PRC2 target genes such as FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) and floral homeotic genes AGAMOUS (AG), SEPELLATA1 (SEP1), SEPELLATA3 (SEP3), PISTILLATA (PI) and APETALA (AP3) were highly expressed compared to the WT (Roh, 2013). Roh (2013) reported that EFC as an upstream regulator of FT in the pathway of flowering timing. Furthermore, genes regulated by PcG such as AGAMOUS LIKE17 (AGL17) and MEDEA (MEA) were derepressed in 35S::EFC plants because there were significant decrease of H3K27me3 levels on AG, FLC, FT and MEA loci according to the ChIP assay (Roh, 2013). The phenotype of efc-D and 35S::EFC was due to misregulation of the genes involved in flowering and determination of leaf morphology (Roh, 2013).

EFC knockdown mutant was obtained through amiRNA methodology. Phenotype of the pFWA::amiR_EFC showed about 30% of seed abortion and endosperm proliferation in the absence of embryonic development. Similar phenotype is seen in the plants with mutations in members of FIS2-PRC2. FIS2-PRC2 complex plays fundamental role in endosperm development by controlling the expression of the genes in the female and male gametophyte and also in the endosperm. Strikingly, subcellular localization of EFC in Arabidopsis was in the nuclei of the central cell and endosperm (Roh, 2013).

Additionally, co-Immunoprecipitation and glutathione S-transferase assay showed that EFC interacts directly with MSI1, one of the core members of the PRC2 (Roh, 2013).
In this study I characterized a loss-of-function mutant *early flowering and curly leaf* (*efc-I*). In the *efc-I* mutant, the T-DNA was inserted into the exon of the *EFC* and resulted in null mutant. The *efc-I* mutation exhibited seed abortion phenotype in *Arabidopsis*. *EFC* might have a partial or incomplete penetrance because it showed fluctuation in the seed abortion ratio. The embryonic development was arrested at the globular stage in the *efc-I* mutant seeds. Considering the clues obtained through the previous results, I carried out experiments to check whether EFC plays role in the activity of FIS2-PRC2. The expression of *MEDEA* (*MEA*) and *UPWARD CURLY LEAF1* (*UCL1*), genes that are regulated by FIS2-PRC2, were derepressed in the central cell or endosperm of the *efc-I* mutant. I carried out a complementation experiment of *fis2* with *EMBRYONIC FLOWER2* (*EMF2*), a homolog of *FIS2*, in the seed in absence of *EFC*. Presumably due to the partial penetrance of *EFC*, there was no significant complementation observed in the heterozygous *efc-I*; heterozygous *fis2-11* and hemizygous *FIS2::EMF2* triple mutant plants. Therefore, it needs to be checked in the next generation when I obtain a triple homozygous mutant or double homozygous and *fis2-11* heterozygous mutant. The results so far may suggest that *EFC* might play a role in seed development along with the FIS2-PRC2 complex, however not essential.
I. Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

*Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used throughout this study as a wild type. *Nicotiana benthamiana* was used in the Co-Immunoprecipitation assay. MEA::GUS, UCL1_4.1k::GUS transgenic plants were applied in GUS expression analysis experiments. In complementation test *fis2-11* mutant plants were utilized. The T-DNA line SALK_018803.52.75.x (*efc-1*) was ordered from Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC). Plants were grown in the growth room or growth chamber under long day conditions (16 h of light at 24°C /8 h of darkness at 22°C). Seeds were sown on the surface of soil mixed with vermiculite and moss, then put in 4°C cold chamber and darkness for 2 days before being moved to growth room. For transgenic plant screening, seeds were surface sterilized by treating with 75% ethanol containing 0.08% TritonX-100 (SIGMA) for 15 min twice, followed by washing briefly with 100% ethanol (MERCK). The seeds were dried on Whatman filter paper and plated on solidified MS agar plates containing appropriate antibiotics (25 μg/ml kanamycin). These MS agar plates consisted of 0.5X Murashige and Skoog salts (DUCHEFA), and 1.5% (w/v) plant agar (DUCHEFA), pH between 5.7 and 5.8. MS media were autoclaved in 121°C for 20 min. Before transferring to the growth room, MS plates with seeds were also put in 4°C for cold treatment for 2 days. Per construct, around 20 Columbia-0 (Col-0) wild-type plants and 450 *fis2-11* plants were used for *Agrobacterium*–mediated transformation by the floral dipping method.
**Characterization of the\textit{ efc-1} allele**

To confirm the T-DNA insertion, genotyping PCR was carried out by using genomic DNA as a template. According to the database of SALK institute T-DNA in \textit{efc-1} is inserted 18 nucleotides downstream of the predicted start codon of \textit{EFC}. The left border junction was determined using the T-DNA primer LB1.3 combined with the genomic primer EFC\_RP. For amplification of the \textit{EFC} gene in wild-type, gene specific primers EFC\_LP and EFC\_RP were designed. To test the expression of the \textit{EFC} gene at the transcriptional level, primer sets for RT-PCR were designed. Nucleotide alignment was performed by using the CLUSTAL W.

**Recombinant plasmid construction**

For complementation test, a pFIS2::cEMF2 construct was generated. The pFIS2::cEMF2 construct includes a 2,247-bp sequences upstream of the predicted translational start codon of FIS2 that was fused with 1,896-bp cDNA sequence of EMF2 including both start and stop codons. The regulatory region of FIS2 was obtained by PCR amplification with a primer set of pFIS2\_F and pFIS2\_R using wild-type Col-0 genomic DNA as a template, cDNA of EMF2 was amplified by cEMF2\_F and cEMF2\_R primers using previously cloned pJET-cEMF2 as a template. Fragments were then fused into the pBI101 vector in order by homologous recombination using the infusion technology (TAKARA Clontech). First, the pFIS2 region was cloned into the GUS marker gene containing binary vector pBI101 and later cEMF2 was cloned into the previously generated pBI101-pFIS2 vector.

35S::EFC:GFP, 35S::MSI1:T7 and 35S::FIS2-HA constructs in binary vectors were generated for Co-Immunoprecipitation assay. The 444-bp of EFC coding sequence excluding stop codon fused with 720-bp of the EGFP gene was PCR
amplified with the primer set of EFC_infusion_F and EFC_infusion_R using 35S:EFC-GFP non-binary plasmid as a template. This EFC-GFP amplicon was cloned into 35S promoter involving binary vector pBI111-L using the infusion technology (TAKARA Clontech). Similarly, the MSI1 coding sequence in the same frame of T7 tagging protein gene is amplified with a primer set of MSI1_infusion_F and MSI1_infusion_R using non-binary vector 35S::T7::MSI1. Then the amplified fragment was cloned into the 35S promoter involving binary vector pBI111-L using the infusion technology (TAKARA Clontech).

The attB PCR product of EMF2 was gained by PCR amplification using primer set of cEMF2_attB1_F and cEMF2_attB2R. Previously cloned pJET-cEMF2 was used as a template. In order to generate pENTRY-cEMF2, PCR amplicon was inserted into pDONR221 vector using the BP reaction of Gateway technology (Invitrogen). Then pENTRY-cEMF2 and pGWB14 vectors were used in LR reaction of Gateway technology to generate 35S::cFIS2::HAx3.

**Agrobacterium tumefaciens** transformation and plant transformation using floral dipping

In order to transform Arabidopsis genome, the constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium by electroporation. For transformation, 40 µl Agrobacterium tumefaciens (GV3101) cell stock in a 1.5 ml tube was thawed on ice for 10 min. 1.5 µl of DNA of interest was added to the competent cell, then microcentrifuge tube was tapped gently for mixing. After transferring the mixture into glass cuvette, it was inserted into the Micro-Pulser™ (BIORAD) in a proper orientation and pursed by 1.8 kV for 5.8 mS. After electroporation 400 µl of LB medium was added to the cuvette and mixture was transferred to a 1.5 ml tube and incubated in shaking incubator at 28°C for 2 h before spread on solidified Luria Broth (LB) plate with
proper antibiotic (50 μg/ml kanamycin). The plate was incubated also at 28°C for 2 to 3 days.

For *Arabidopsis* transformation, floral dipping method was used. Selected single colonies of transformant *Agrobacterium* were inoculated in 5 ml of LB medium with adequate antibiotics (50 μg/ml kanamycin, 50 μg/ml gentamycin) for 24 h at 28°C shaking incubator. To amplify the bacterium cell, mini-prep cultures were then added into 500 ml of LB medium containing same antibiotics and grown in the same condition for 2 days. Incubated *Agrobacterium* was harvested by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 20 min at 20°C (SORVALL® RC 6 PLUS with SLC-3000 rotor). After discarding supernatant, the cell pellet was resuspended in infiltration media. 500ml infiltration media contains 1.05 g of MS salt, 25 g of sucrose and 250 μl of Silwet (Vac-In-Stuff, Silwet L-77, LEHLE SEEDS). For successful Arabidopsis transformation, Col-0 and *fis2-11* plants were grown healthily until an adequate number of inflorescences are generated in a long day condition. Right before the transformation siliques and open flowers were removed. Young buds were then dipped into the infiltration media containing *agrobacterium* for 10 sec. After floral dipping, plants were laid on a tray and were left in dark condition for 24 h. Next day, plants were put vertically and grown until their seeds were mature enough to harvest.

**Allele-specific expression analysis**

To investigate allele-specific expression of *UCLI*, the Derived Cleaved Amplified Polymorphism (dCAPS) assay was used. The experiment design was adopted from Jeong et al (2015). The database of The 1001 Arabidopsis Genome Project was used to detect SNPs among the Col-0, Ler, RLD ecotypes. Sequences
were aligned using the Clustal W program. In order to analyze allele-specific expression, the PCR products were amplified with a primer set of JCW641/JCW642 from cDNA synthesized with the RNA of the siliques 4 days after crossing. The amplicon was digested with EcoRI to detect expressed alleles. RLD produce 222 bp and 54 bp fragments when digested with EcoRI, however, Col-0 produces 276 bp uncut DNA fragment. The PCR products and the samples that are digested were analyzed on 4% agarose gels.

**Histochemical GUS staining analysis**

The expression of GUS was analyzed in the pFIS2::GUS, MEA::GUS and UCL1_4.1k::GUS plants. For analysis of gene expression in female gametophyte, flowers were emasculated and left for 24 h. Whereas, to investigate gene expression in seeds, flowers were emasculated and pollinated, then grown for 12 or 24 h. The tissues were dissected and sampled in the X-Gluc staining solution containing 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 2 mM each of potassium ferricyanide and ferrocyanide, 2 mM X-Gluc and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 for overnight in the dark condition.

**Microscopy**

The tissues were mounted by the clearing solution (1 ml 70% glycerol, 2.5 g chloral hydrate) on a slide glass. GUS expressing seeds and mutant seeds were observed on a Zeiss Axio Imager A1 light microscope under differential interference contrast optics with 10x, 20x and 40x objectives and photographed by AxioCam HRc camera (Carl Zeiss).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligo name</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LB1.3</td>
<td>ATTTTGCCGATTTTGCAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC_LP</td>
<td>GTATTGTCAGACGGATTTTTTACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC_RP</td>
<td>CTATGAACTGTACATCTGGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC_qLP</td>
<td>TTGCAAGTTCCAAATGGGGAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC_qRP</td>
<td>TTCTGTGTTGTCTTTGTCTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pFIS2_F</td>
<td>CGACTCTAGAGGGATCAAAGCTTGACCTAAATCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATKCTGTGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pFIS2_R</td>
<td>GACCACCCCGGGGGATCCCTCTGCTTGATTAATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATAAGCTGTGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cEMF2_F</td>
<td>TCAAGCAGAGGGATCCATGCGAGGCCATTCCTGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TTGTGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cEMF2_R</td>
<td>GATCGGGGAAATTCGAGCTCTCAAAATTGGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTGTTCGAGGAAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC_infusion_F</td>
<td>CACCGGGGACTCTAGAATGGGGGAAAAATCTAAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFC_infusion_R</td>
<td>GCTCAGTCTGAGTCACTTGTACAGCTCGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI1_infusion_F</td>
<td>CACCGGGGACTCTAGAATGGGCTAGCATGACTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSI1_infusion_R</td>
<td>GCTCAGTCTGAGTCACTTGTACAGCTCGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GTTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cEMF2_attB1_F</td>
<td>GGGGACAGTTTTGTACAAAAAGCAGGCATGCGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAGGCACTTCTCTTTGTTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cEMF2_attB2_R</td>
<td>GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTGAA TTTGGAGCTGTTCGGAAAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCW641</td>
<td>TCGGAATCGGTAGGGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCW642</td>
<td>CTTTGGGGAGGGCGTTTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT2_F</td>
<td>CCGCTCTTTCTTTCCAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT2_R</td>
<td>CCGTACCATTGTACACAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. Results

1. Characterization of the *efc-1* allele

*EFC* (At4g23110) encodes a small protein that previously identified during activation tagging experiment in our laboratory (Kim, 2013). When *EFC* was overexpressed, target genes of PRC2 complexes, such as *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) and floral homeotic genes *AGAMOUS* (*AG*), *SEPALATA1* (*SEPI*), *SEPALATA3* (*SEP3*), *PISTILLATA* (*PI*) and *APETALA* (*AP3*), were upregulated (Roh, 2013). To gain insight into the *EFC* function, I obtained a line containing T-DNA insertion in this gene from the SALK Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory collection (Alonso et al., 2003) (Figure 2A). I analyzed a T-DNA homozygous knock out line and named *efc-1* (SALK_018803.52.75.x). To identify both left and right flanking sequences of the T-DNA, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out and sent for sequencing analysis. Molecular evidence showed that the T-DNA was inserted into the exon of *EFC*, 12 nucleotides downstream of the start codon, and is associated with 54-bp deletion (nucleotides -42 to +12) (Figure 3). Additionally, this T-DNA was duplicated to be inserted into the genome, showing two left borders (Figures 2A and 3).

To test the deletion of the *EFC* gene at the transcriptional level, reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) was performed (Figure 2C). *EFC* is known to be specifically expressed in the central cell and endosperm (Roh, 2013). Therefore, RNA was extracted from the siliques 3 DAP. The RT-PCR result showed that *efc-1* is a null allele.
Figure 2

Figure 2. Characterization of the *efc-1* allele

(A) Schematic diagram showing the structure of the *EFC* gene with the T-DNA flanking region of the *efc-1* mutant allele. Black box, translated exon; gray box, untranslated exon. The insertion site of T-DNA is marked by a triangle.

(B) PCR for identification of the T-DNA insertion orientation and structure. The T-DNA contains two LB sequences. #1 and #2 indicates two individual homozygous lines of *efc-1*.

(C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed to check the deletion of the *EFC* gene at the transcriptional level. Total RNA was extracted from siliques (3DAP). *ACT2* was used as an internal control.
Figure 3. Identification of the T-DNA insertion site and orientation

(A) Comparison of the At4g23110 genomic DNA sequence and LB+RP PCR product sequence. T-DNA was inserted 12-bp downstream of the EFC start codon.

(B) Alignment of LB+RP PCR product sequence and T-DNA left border sequence. There is a 6-bp sequence (TCTAAG) that overlaps with the At4g23110 sequence.
(C) Comparison of the \textit{At4g23110} genomic DNA sequence and LP+LB PCR product sequence. The 54 nucleotide deletion occurred due to the T-DNA insertion.

(D) Alignment of the LB+RP PCR product sequence and T-DNA left border sequence. There is a 3-bp sequence (TGT) that overlaps with the \textit{At4g23110} sequence.
2. A mutation in *EFC* Affects Seed Development

Since the previous knockdown allele of *EFC* showed 30% seed abortion phenotype, I determined whether *EFC* is required for female gametophyte and/or seed development. I scored the number of seed sets in the siliques of *efc-1* plants. The homozygous *efc-1* mutant plants showed fluctuation in the seed abortion phenotype. Seed abortion ratios ranged from 2% to 40% depending on the plants. The phenotype of the mutant plants were divided into two populations, firstly, ~50% of the individual plants of the same line had around 30% of seed abortion, and the other 50% of the individual plants of the same line had a weaker phenotype that showed ~5% of defect in seed sets in siliques (Table 2). 30% of abnormal seed development is consistent with the phenotype of the *EFC* knockdown mutant (Roh, 2013).

To check whether this phenotypic trait is preserved in the next generation, I chose 5 plants from each population and scored the number of seed sets in the siliques. The seed abortion rate was decreased approximately 6% in progeny of those lines that showed a higher seed abortion rate (in average~27%). On the other hand the abortion rate was increased by around 9% in progeny of the lines that showed 3.2% seed abortion on average (Table 2). Partial penetrance of the gene might be a cause of the inconsistent phenotype. Although *efc-1* doesn’t show a high seed abortion rate, presence of abnormal seed suggests that *EFC* might help the normal seed formation.
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lines with high seed abortion ratio (19~41%)</th>
<th>Lines with low seed abortion ratio (3~7%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parental</td>
<td>27% (n=1168)</td>
<td>3.2% (n=1278)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progeny</td>
<td>21.6% (n=9103)</td>
<td>12.6% (n=8640)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The abortion rate of *efc-1*

Fluctuation in the seed abortion phenotype of the *efc-1*. 
3. Phenotypic analysis of seeds in the *efc-I* mutant

To clarify the phenotype, seeds of the *efc-I* mutants and WT at different developmental stages (1 DAP to 8 DAP) were observed under a upright microscope. In *efc-I* mutant plants, white seeds were observed (Figure 4A). Then I checked the embryonic development of these mutant seeds. In *efc-I* mutant seeds embryonic development was delayed and arrested at the globular stage (Figure 4B). I could observe arrested embryos at as early as heart stage. At 8 DAP, the white seeds were significantly distinguishable from the normal seeds. White seeds were almost the same size as the normal maturing seeds, however embryonic development was arrested. The phenotype of each seeds in the same silique might vary. Consistent with the previous result, penetration of *EFC* mutation seems to be incomplete.
Figure 4. Seed abortion in the *efc-1* knockout line

(A) Seed development in the siliques of WT and *efc-1* mutant plants. Arrowheads indicate aborted seeds.

(B) Normal embryogenesis of *EFC/EFC* plants (upper panel) compared with arrested or delayed embryogenesis of *efc/efc* seeds. (Scale bar: 100μm).
4. The role of *EFC* in the FIS2-PRC2 complex

To identify the role of EFC in the endosperm development I designed experiments using *MEA::GUS* (Jullien et al., 2006) and *UCL1_4.1k::GUS* (Jeong et al., 2015) lines. *MEA* is a maternally expressed imprinted gene. *MEA* is not expressed in the pollen as well as not in the endosperm paternally. By contrast, *UCL1* is a paternally expressed imprinted gene, so *UCL1* expression is absent in ovules before fertilization. I crossed the *efc-1* plant with the *MEA::GUS* plant to see how the *efc-1* mutation affect the imprinting pattern of *MEA* compared to wild type. In the seed, the paternal *MEA* expression was not detected both in WT and *efc-1+/-* plants pollinated with *MEA::GUS* at 24 h after pollination (HAP). In order to detect the full effect of *efc-1*, I created the *efc-1/efc-1; MEA::GUS/MEA::GUS* double homozygous plant. Expression of maternal *MEA-GUS* was very strong in the just fertilized seeds and decreased gradually with time. Paternal *MEA-GUS* expression was absent at 12 HAP, 24 HAP in WT seed; however at 24 HAP expression of the gene was derepressed in *efc-1/+* mutant seed pollinated by *MEA::GUS/MEA::GUS; *efc-1/efc-1* (Figure 5A). Compared to WT, GUS stained seeds in *efc-1* was increased by 12 to 13% (Figure 5B). This result suggests that *EFC* might play an important role in identity of the FIS2-PRC2 complex.

In order to carry out the second experiment, the *efc-1/+* plant was crossed with *UCL1_4.1K::GUS+/-* and heterozygous seeds were harvested and grown on soil. I then emasculated the plants to check whether maternal *UCL1* is derepressed in the *efc* heterozygous background. I could observe an abnormal expression of *UCL1_4.1k::GUS* in *efc-1+/-* ovule before fertilization (Figure 6). However, the frequency of the expression was very low. Since *efc-1* has partial penetrance, the
phenotype of this mutant might be even weaker in heterozygous plants. *UCLI::GUS* expression was detected in the ovule before fertilization in *efc*+/−, whereas there was no expression detected in the *UCLI_4.1K::GUS* ovules.
Figure 5

Figure 5. Derepression of paternally derived MEA in the *efc-1* mutant

(A) The expression of paternal MEA was detected in *efc-1/efc-1* background 24 HAP.

(B) Graphs showing the percentage of the MEA::GUS expression.
Figure 6. Derepression of *UCL1* in the central cell of the *efc-1* mutant ovule

*efc-1* was crossed with *UCL1_4.1K::GUS*/*+. *UCL1::GUS* expression was detected in the ovule before fertilization in *efc-1+.* There was no expression detected in the *UCL1_4.1K::GUS* ovules before fertilization.
5. Allele specific expression of \textit{UCL1} in \textit{efc-1}

\textit{UCL1} is silenced in the female gametophyte and expressed in the male gametophyte. It was confirmed that FIS2-PRC2 is responsible for its imprinting, because maternal \textit{UCL1} is derepressed in the \textit{mea-3} homozygous or \textit{fie-1} heterozygous background (Jeong et al., 2015). Thus, to check if \textit{EFC} is crucial for the FIS2-PRC2 complex, I carried out an experiment using RT-PCR and cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) markers of different ecotypes (Jeong et al., 2015) to identify allele specific expression by using different ecotype plants as parents. The database of The 1001 Arabidopsis Genome Project was used to detect SNPs among the Col-0, Ler, RLD, C24, En2 ecotypes. Sequences were aligned using the Clustal W program (Figure 7). Consistent with the finding of Jeong et al (2015), when the \textit{fis2} heterozygous plant was pollinated with the pollen of RLD wild type plants, maternal \textit{UCL1} expression was detected (Figure 8). However, I could not detect maternal expression of \textit{UCL1} in \textit{efc-1} homozygous plant. This result suggests that \textit{EFC} may not be essential for the imprinting of \textit{UCL1}. Thus it needs to be checked whether other target genes of the FIS2-PRC2 complex is regulated by \textit{EFC}. 
Figure 7

Table: Single Nucleotide Polymorphism of *UCLI* in different ecotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecotype</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
<th>Digestion</th>
<th>Fragments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RLD</td>
<td>AG ... AT</td>
<td>CT ... TC</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
<td>222-bp, 54-bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A 276-bp RT-PCR product of RLD ecotype contains an *EcoRI* site. When digested with *EcoRI*, the RLD PCR product was cut into 222-bp and 54-bp fragments.
Figure 8

Figure 8. Allele specific expression of *UCLI* using a CAPS marker

RT-PCR analysis was carried out with RNA isolated from siliques (4 DAP) of RLD females crossed with Col-0 males, Col-0 females were crossed with RLD males, and *fis2* or *efc-1* females (Col-0 background) were crossed with RLD males.
6. Complementation of *fis2* with *EMF2* in the *efc-1* mutant

FIS2 and EMF2 are Su(Z) 12 repressor homologs of FIS2-PRC2 and EMF2-PRC2, respectively. It has been previously reported that EMF2 cannot substitute FIS2 (Roszak and Kohler, 2011). However, *EMF2-PRC2* and *FIS2-PRC2* share some target genes (Makarevich et al., 2006) and Su(Z) family members might have roles in identification of target genes, although the mechanism behind is yet to be discovered. To confirm whether EMF2 indeed does not complement FIS2 in the FIS2-PRC2 complex, I constructed a *FIS2::EMF2* transgenic plant to ectopically express EMF2 in endosperm. Firstly, I cloned the promoter region of FIS2 (*pFIS2*) into GUS marker gene containing pBI101 vector and later I cloned cDNA of EMF2 (*cEMF2*) into the pBI101-*pFIS2* vector (Figure 9A). The *cEMF2* region contains the stop codon, so the GUS is not detectable by histochemical GUS staining after successful transformation. Thus in order to check the accuracy of the promoter region of FIS2, the *pBI101-pFIS2-GUS* construct was also used for transformation and later tissues were GUS stained. I confirmed that the pFIS2 fragment was enough for gene expression in the central cell and endosperm (Figure 9B).

To check whether EFC is responsible for the activity of the FIS2-PRC2 complex by interrupting EMF2, around 450 *fis2-11* homozygous plants (due to low germination ratio) transformed by pFIS2::EMF2. *fis2-11* has a deletion at 103G, causing a nonsense mutation. *FIS2::EMF2* could not complement seed abortion caused by *fis2-11* mutation (Figure 10). Among 25 transformants, T2 seeds of *fis2-11; pFIS2::cEMF2*, #16 and #17 had germinated and produced more viable seedlings than the other lines. Thus #16 and #17 were used for the further experiment. *efc-1* homozygous plants were crossed with #16 and #17 lines of *fis2-11* containing
FIS2::EMF2. I obtained fis2-11 heterozygous; efc-1 heterozygous; FIS2::EMF2 hemizygous plants and these triple mutants were confirmed by genotyping PCR. I could not observe significant complementation in this generation. So it is necessary to obtain homozygous plants and check for the complementation ratio in the next generation.

I also transformed Col-0 plants with FIS2::EMF2. Strikingly, when EMF2 was overexpressed in WT, it caused ~12.5% seed abortion (24 out of 49). This result suggests that EMF2 might compete with FIS2 in the process of the seed development (Figure 11).
Figure 9. Schematic diagram of FIS2::GUS and FIS2::EMF2 constructs and the expression of FIS2::GUS

(A) The 2.2 kb fragment containing the upstream sequence of FIS2 fused with GUS and cDNA of EMF2 which contains the stop codon.

(B) Expression of FIS2::GUS expression before and after fertilization.
Figure 10

Figure 10. Seeds of Col-0, fis2-11 mutant and transgenic FIS2::EMF2 in the fis2-11 background
Figure 11. Phenotype of $FIS2::EMF2$ transgenic lines
Figure 12
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Figure 12. Complementation of *fis2-11* with cEMF2 in the absence of EFC

(A) Seed phenotypes of WT, *fis2+/*, *fis2-/*, *FIS2::EMF2* hemizygous; *efc-1+/*; *fis2+/*.

(B) Graph shows ratios of seed abortion in each lines. #1 and #2 indicates individual lines of *FIS2::EMF2* hemizygous; *efc-1+/*; *fis2+/*.
IV. Discussion

The previous study on the *EFC* gene suggested that *EFC* might play a positive role on the FIS2-PRC2 complex in the seed development, because the knockdown allele showed a seed abortion phenotype. And when *EFC* was ectopically expressed, target genes of the EMF2-PRC2 complex were misregulated, suggesting that it may have a negative regulator role on the other PRC2 complexes in the vegetative tissue. Since it was confirmed that *EFC* was specifically expressed in the central cell and endosperm, and directly interacted with the main member of the PRC2 complex, MSI1, I assumed that the function of this protein might be related to that of the FIS2-PRC complex.

In this study, I characterized *efc-1*, a loss-of-function mutant. The T-DNA was inserted into the exon of *EFC*. It associated with a 54 bp deletion in the gene and resulted in a null allele. *EFC* may play a role in the normal seed development, because *efc-1* showed the seed abortion phenotype. The embryonic development of the aborted seeds of *efc-1* plants were arrested at the globular stage. However, there was a fluctuation in the seed abortion ratio which suggests that *efc-1* has a partial or incomplete penetrance. Partial penetrance is a phenomenon that development of isogenic organisms being affected by mutations differently (Coote, 1972; Horvitz and Sulston, 1980; Queitsch et al., 2002; Sangster et al., 2008). A well-known example of this phenomenon is polydactyly, when individual is affected by the genetic alteration, he or she will have an extra digit in their hand. In *Arabidopsis*, turnip (*tnp*), sulfurtransferase1 (*str1*), receptor-like protein kinase1 (*rpk1*) and resurrection1 (*rst1*) mutant plants were reported to have an incomplete penetrance (Chen et al., 2005; Casson and Lindsey, 2006; Mao et al., 2010; Luichtl et al., 2013).
The *str1-1* mutant exhibited 87.5% seed abortion phenotype while 70% of the *rst1* seeds were highly shrunken and wrinkled (Chen et al., 2005; Mao et al., 2010). According to Luitchl et al (2013) due to functional redundancy only 8.38% of the *rpk1* mutant plants develop monocot seedlings. The reasons behind the incomplete or reduced penetrance of gene are not fully understood. They can be environmental influences, gene interactions that can cover the phenotype or other molecular mechanisms.

According to the experiments that used *MEA::GUS*, I observed the derepression of paternal *MEA* in the seed, in the *efc-1* mutant background. In this experiment, the *MEA::GUS; efc-1* homozygous plant was crossed with pollens of the *efc-1* homozygous plant to check the full influence of *efc-1*. The resulting plant was hemizygous in *MEA::GUS* and homozygous in *efc-1*. There was a ~15% increase in paternal *GUS* expression in the *efc-1* mutant background, compared to no expression in the WT background. This suggests that EFC does play some role in the FIS2-PRC2 complex.

I also observed misregulation of maternal *UCL1* in the *efc-1* background. In order to confirm the derepression of *UCL1* in the natural condition, allele specific gene expression analysis using dCAPS was carried out. However, there was no significant misregulation of *UCL1*. Partially penetrated genes sometimes do not exhibit a phenotype in the heterozygous background. Since *efc-1* and *UCL1* were heterozygous in these experiments, they may have affected the phenotype. Or, this result may suggest that *EFC* does not regulate all the genes that are repressed by FIS2-PRC2.

The current results of the complementation experiment suggests that EMF2 might compete with FIS2 in the endosperm development, because when EMF2 is
ectopically expressed in the WT seed, it caused seed abortion. Because I could not observe no significant complementation in the FIS2::EMF2; fis2-11; efc-1 heterozygous plants, the complementation experiment of EMF2 with fis2-11 in the absence of EFC should be done in the efc-1; fis2-11; FIS2::EMF2 triple homozygous plant or at least, efc-1 homozygous; fis2-11 heterozygous; FIS2::EMF2 homozygous plants. As mentioned above, it maybe because heterozygous efc-1 might not have any affect in the seed.

EFC encodes a ~16 kDa small protein that has no known domain. So far, by using the online database of genomic sequencing of different genus of plants, I could find only 4 orthologues of EFC (At4g23110) in Arabydopsis lyrata (ARALYDRAFT_914290), Capsella rubella (Carubv10007086m), Boechera stricta (Bostr.7867s0100) and Capsella grandiflora (Cagra.3145s0009.1) (Figure 13 and 14). It is difficult to point out the exact function of EFC due to its incomplete or partial penetrance, however, these results may suggest that EFC has evolved recently in order to cooperate with the FIS2-PRC2 complex and help the complex to function more sophisticatedly.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sequence Starts with ATG</th>
<th>Homology Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capsella grandiflora</td>
<td>ATGAACTGACACTGAGCTGCAAGCT</td>
<td>homology comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boechera stricata</td>
<td>ATGAACTGACACTGAGCTGCAAGCT</td>
<td>homology comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>ATGAACTGACACTGAGCTGCAAGCT</td>
<td>homology comparison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13. Comparison of genomic sequence homology
Figure 14. Comparison of amino-acid sequence homology
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국문초록

애기장대의 FIS2-PRC2 복합체에서 EARLY FLOWERING AND CURLY LEAVES (EFC) 유전자의 역할에 관한 연구

Polycomb Repressive Complex2 (PRC2)는 식물과 동물에서 모두 알려져 있는 주요한 후성 조절인자이다. 이 복합체는 특정한 발달 단계에서 필요하지 않은 유전자의 히스톤 H3 단백질 라이신 27에서 trimethylation (H3K27me3)이 일어나도록 하고, 그 결과로 유전자의 전사 활성이 억제된다.

선행 연구에서는 활성 표지 돌연변이 선별을 통해 기능적 돌연변이인 early flowering and curly leaves-D (efc-D)가 발견되었다. EFC가 과발현 되었을 시 PRC2의 표적 유전자인 FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)와 FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) 그리고 AGAMOUS (AG)의 발현 정도가 증가하였다. 애기장대의 세 가지 PRC2 복합체 중 하나인 FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT SEED2-PRC2 (FIS2-PRC2) 복합체는 중심세포와 배유에서의 유전자 조절을 통해 배유 발달에 필수적인 역할을 수행한다. 홍미롭게도 EFC는 중심세포와 배유의 핵에서 특이적으로 발현되며, PRC2의 핵심 구성요소인 MSI1과 상호작용하는 것이 관찰되었다.
본 연구에서는 기능손실 돌연변이인 *efc-1*을 획득하였다. 이를 통하여 *efc-1* 돌연변이가 애기장대에서의 종자 낙태를 일으킴을 확인하였으며, 부분적 또는 불완전한 표현율(penetrance)을 보였다. *efc-1* 돌연변이체의 중심세포와 배유를 관찰한 결과 FIS-PRC2에 의해 조절되는 유전자인 *MEDEA* (*MEA*)와 *UPWARD CURLY LEAF1* (*UCL1*)의 발현이 감소됨을 확인할 수 있었다. 또한 *EFC*가 결핍된 종자에서 *fis2*와 *FIS2*의 상동체(homolog)인 *EMBRYONIC FLOWER2* (*EMF2*)의 상보성 실험을 진행하였다. 그 결과 heterozygous *efc-1*; heterozygous *fis2-11* 그리고 hemizygous *FIS2::EMF2* 를 지닌 삼중 돌연변이 식물체에서 유의미한 상보성은 관찰되지 않았으며, 이는 *EFC*의 부분적 표현율(partial penetrance) 때문일 것이라 짐작된다. 따라서 다음 세대에서 삼중 homozygous 돌연변이체 또는 이중 homozygous 돌연변이체와 heterozygous *fis2-11* 지닌 돌연변이체를 획득하여 추가적인 실험을 진행할 예정이다. 현재까지의 진행 결과는 *EFC*가 FIS2-PRC2 복합체와 함께 종자 발달에 역할을 수행하지만 필수적이지는 않다는 것을 암시한다.

주요어: *EMBROYIC FLOWER AND CURLY LEAF* (*EFC*), polycomb repressive complex, 종자 발달, 배유, 기능 손실, 애기장대
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