



저작자표시-비영리-변경금지 2.0 대한민국

이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

- 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.

다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:



저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.



비영리. 귀하는 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 없습니다.



변경금지. 귀하는 이 저작물을 개작, 변형 또는 가공할 수 없습니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 [이용허락규약\(Legal Code\)](#)을 이해하기 쉽게 요약한 것입니다.

[Disclaimer](#)

수의학석사학위논문

개의 복강 수술 후 유착에 대한
Poloxamer/Sodium Alginate/CaCl₂ 합제의
유착 방지 효능 및 안전성 평가

**An Assessment of the Application of Poloxamer/Sodium
Alginate/CaCl₂ Mixture after Abdominal Surgery in Dogs:
Effects on Postoperative Adhesion and Safety**

2015 년 8 월

서울대학교 대학원
수의학과 임상수의학 전공
김 지 나

개의 복강 수술 후 유착에 대한
Poloxamer/Sodium Alginate/CaCl₂ 합제의
효능 및 안전성 평가

지도교수 김 완 희

이 논문을 수의학석사학위논문으로 제출함
2015 년 4 월

서울대학교 대학원
수의학과 임상수의학 전공
김 지 나

김지나의 석사학위논문을 인준함.
2015 년 6 월

위 원 장 _____ (인)

부위원장 _____ (인)

위 원 _____ (인)

**An Assessment of the Application of
Poloxamer/Sodium Alginate/CaCl₂ Mixture after
Abdominal Surgery in Dogs: Effects on
Postoperative Adhesion and Safety**

Supervised by

Professor Wan Hee Kim

Jina Kim

Major in Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Department of Veterinary
Medicine Graduate School, Seoul National University

ABSTRACT

Poloxamer/sodium alginate/CaCl₂ (PX/SA) mixture (Aniguard[®], Genewel, South Korea) is a temperature-sensitive anti-adhesive agent used to prevent postoperative adhesion by forming a biocompatible barrier on the surface of a wound. This study investigated the safety and the ability of PX/SA mixture to prevent postoperative adhesion in dogs. Twenty

dogs were divided into two experimental models: an intestine model and a uterus-urinary bladder model. Each model was further divided into two groups. Dogs in the intestine model underwent laparotomy, with multiple intestinal wall abrasions, while dogs in the uterus-urinary bladder model underwent urinary bladder wall abrasion. The control groups were treated with normal saline, and the trial groups were treated with PX/SA mixture. After 10 days, all dogs underwent a laparoscopic exploration to evaluate their abdominal status. On postoperative day 21, the abdominal adhesions were evaluated and scored by a previously validated adhesion score system. Furthermore, the extent of fibrosis and inflammation was scored according to a histopathologic examination. The adhesion scores of the trial groups were significantly reduced in both models compared to those of the control groups ($P < 0.05$). Furthermore, more fibrosis reactions were found on the adhesion areas in the control groups in both models. ($P < 0.05$) There were no statistically significant differences in inflammation between the two groups. In addition, no hepatic and renal toxicity or side effects of PX/SA mixture were observed. In conclusion, the use of PX/SA mixture effectively reduced the development of postoperative abdominal adhesions.

Keywords: Aniguard[®], poloxamer, sodium alginate, post-operative adhesion, prevention

Student Number: 2013-21554

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	3
	1. Experimental groups	3
	2. Surgical procedures	4
	3. Assessment of adhesions and complications	7
	4. Evaluation of safety	11
	5. Statistical analysis	12
III.	RESULTS	13
	1. Assessment of adhesions and complications	13
	2. Safety evaluation	21
IV.	DISCUSSION	25
V.	CONCLUSION	29
VI.	REFERENCES	30
VII.	ABSTRACT IN KOREAN	36

I. Introduction

Adhesion is an abnormal pathological bond between tissues or organs that need to be kept separated (Vrijland et al., 2003). As part of the normal healing process after an injury, it is resolved within 5–6 days by absorption of inflammatory and fibrous cells (diZerega, 2001). However, various factors, such as bleeding, infection, ischemic changes, and intraperitoneal foreign bodies (Ozel et al., 2005), can cause a fibrin matrix to remain at the site of injury, thereby causing abnormal adhesion.

Intra-abdominal adhesions frequently form in patients after laparotomy or laparoscopic surgery (Levrant et al., 1997). Although adhesion can have positive effects, it can sometimes lead to major clinical problems, causing significant effects on morbidity and mortality (Ellis et al., 1999). For example, it can cause intestinal inflammation, intestinal obstruction, intestinal torsion, peritonitis, chronic pain, and malfunction. It can also increase the duration of surgery and the number of complications due to the need for subsequent reoperations. Problems associated with adhesion are a major issue not only in human medicine but also in veterinary medicine. For this reason, there have been many different attempts to prevent adhesion, with much research focusing on anti-adhesion agents (Attard and MacLean, 2007). An effective anti-adhesion agent should prevent adhesion without delaying wound healing. It should also be quick and easy to apply, and must be biocompatible. Current film-type

anti-adhesion agents have advantages, such as good fixation strength and the ability to be applied to a broad area. However, the areas in which they can be applied are limited, and the film needs to be cut to cover the specific area (Becker et al., 1996). Unlike film-type agents, solution-type agents are easy to use and can be applied quickly. However, their fixation performance is poor (Park et al., 2011).

Poloxamer/sodium alginate/CaCl₂ (PX/SA) mixture (Aniguard[®], Genewel, South Korea) is effective in preventing postoperative adhesion and forms a biocompatible barrier on the surface of a wound. In human medicine, PX/SA mixture has been proven to be safe and effective in a number of clinical experiments in many different fields, and it is commonly used in human medicine practice (Hong et al., 2011; Park et al., 2013; Sohn et al., 2013).

The purpose of this study was to demonstrate the utility of PX/SA mixture in the prevention of intra-abdominal adhesion formation after abdominal surgery in dogs, focusing on the product's effectiveness and safety.

II. Materials and Methods

This study was approved by institutional animal care and use committees of Seoul National University. (SNU-140116-2)

1. Experimental groups

Twenty healthy female beagle dogs, weighing from 8 to 10 kg, were included in this study. Dogs that had previously undergone abdominal surgery were excluded. All dogs were housed in single cages under standard laboratory conditions and received a balanced diet and water. After an initial adaption period, the dogs were divided into two experimental groups, with each group subdivided into two groups of equal number.

2. Surgical procedures

Induction of adhesions:

The same surgical team performed all of the procedures in sterile conditions. The dogs were premedicated with 0.01 mg/kg of acepromazine (Sedaject[®], Samu Medican Co. Ltd, Korea) intravenously and 5 mg/kg of tiletamine/zolazepam (Zoletil[®], Virbac Co., France) intravenously. Anesthesia was maintained by isoflurane (Ifan[®], Hana Pharm Co. Ltd, Korea). All dogs were administered cefadroxil (Cefadroxil[®], Ilyang Pharm Co. Ltd, Korea, 22 mg/kg PO, bid) during the experimental period to prevent possible infection.

Experiment 1: The intestine model

The dogs were placed in a dorsal recumbent position. A ventral midline incision (approximately 15 cm) was made through the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and peritoneum. The entire abdominal cavity was explored, and dogs with existing abdominal adhesions were excluded. The ileocecal junction was identified, and homogenous petechial hemorrhages were created on the intestinal serosa (1.0 × 1.0 cm) by scraping with a No. 15 blade at a distance of 3 cm from the cecum. Five distinct surgical lesions were made at intervals of 3 cm. The adjacent intestinal loop was then sutured with 4-0 polydioxanone (PDS[®] II, Ethicon, United Kingdom) at three equally distant points to induce adhesion.

Experiment 2: The uterus-urinary bladder model

All of the procedures were the same as those used in the intestine model. The urinary bladder and uterus were identified, and homogenous petechial hemorrhages were created on the urinary bladder serosa (1.0 × 1.0 cm) by scraping with a No. 15 blade. One surgical lesion was made. The adjacent uterus body was then sutured with 4-0 PDS[®] II at one point. After adequate hemostasis was achieved, abdominal lavage was performed using normal saline, followed by suctioning. In the control group, each lesion was coated with normal saline. In the trial group, the abraded tissues and adjacent organ were coated with PX/SA mixture. The abdominal muscles were closed by a simple interrupted pattern with 3-0 PDS[®] II, and the skin was closed by a simple interrupted pattern with 3-0 Nylon (Blue Nylon[®], Ailee Co. Ltd, Korea).

Midterm exploratory laparoscopy:

Each dog was placed in a dorsal recumbent position, and exploratory laparoscopy was performed in all dogs using a two-cannula technique. A veress needle was inserted in the right side of the dogs, and a pneumoperitoneum was then established with CO₂ (maximal pressure, 10–15 mmHg) using a pressure-regulating mechanical insufflator. The site for the initial trocar was a few centimeters lateral and cranial to the umbilicus on the right side. A

laparoscope was inserted into the abdomen to explore the abdominal cavity. A second trocar was also inserted on the contralateral side for additional exploration. The abdomen was decompressed by CO₂ release before removing the cannulas, and the subcutaneous tissue and skin were routinely closed.

3. Assessment of adhesions and complications

Macroscopic evaluation:

Ten days after the surgery, laparoscopy was performed to assess the extent of adhesions and possible complications. Twenty-one days after the surgery, relaparotomy was conducted to perform a macroscopic evaluation of the adhesion. The grade and severity of the adhesions were blindly evaluated by two surgeons. A previously validated adhesion score system (The Surgical Membrane Study Group, 1992) was used to evaluate the intra-abdominal adhesions (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Adhesion score system for macroscopic evaluation.

Adhesion characteristics	Score
Extent of site involvement	
None	0
<25%	1
<50%	2
<75%	3
<100%	4
Type	
None	0
Filmy, transparent, avascular	1
Opaque, transparent, avascular	2
Opaque, capillaries present	3
Opaque, large vessels present	4
Tenacity	
None	0
Adhesion falls apart	1
Adhesion lysed with traction	2
Adhesion requiring sharp dissection	3
Possible total	11

Microscopic evaluation:

The adhesive sites including adjacent normal tissue were excised to evaluate histologic findings on postoperative day 21. All of the samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. They were sectioned and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. A histopathologic examination was performed under a light microscope (BX50F4, Olympus Optical CO., Japan). The degree of fibrosis and inflammation was evaluated with the previously validated score system (Hooker et al., 1999) (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Adhesion score system for microscopic evaluation.

Fibrosis grade	Inflammation grade	Score
None	None	0
Minimal, loose	Giant cells, lymphocytes, plasma cells	1
Moderate	Giant cells, eosinophils, neutrophils	2
Florid dense	Many inflammatory cells, microabscess	3

4. Evaluation of safety

To evaluate the hepatic and renal toxicity of PX/SA mixture, a blood cell count test and blood chemistry test were performed on the preoperative day and on postoperative days 1, 7, 14, and 21. Twenty-one days after the surgery, the liver and kidney were harvested. All of the samples collected from the experimental animals underwent histopathologic examination.

5. Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed with regard to statistical significance using nonparametric Mann-Whitney U-test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data are reported as mean values, with the variability expressed as standard deviation.

III. Results

1. Assessment of adhesions and complications

During the entire experiment, the animals were observed for general conditions, such as vitality, appetite, vomiting, nausea, fever, abdominal pain, dehydration, rash, and inflammation. The results revealed nothing of significance. Evaluations after both the midterm laparoscopy and relaparotomy showed no complications, such as peritonitis, inflammatory responses, or delayed wound healing. During the experimental period, none of the groups experienced incisional hernias, intra-abdominal abscesses, or main wound dehiscence.

Macroscopic evaluation:

Midterm exploratory laparoscopy

Although it is difficult to make a full objective evaluation from a laparoscopy, on a subjective level, the number of adhesions were reduced in the group that received PX/SA mixture. The abdominal cavity of the control group could not be fully evaluated because of the attachment of the intestines to the peritoneum and the intertwining of intestines. The appearances of the adhesions for the control groups of both models are shown in **Fig. 1**.




Fig. 1. Mid-term exploratory laparoscopy in the control groups of both models. (A), (B): Attachment (*) of the intestines to the peritoneum and intertwining of the intestines were observed. (C), (D): The urinary bladder attached (*) to the uterine horn and broad ligament of the uterus. (I: Intestine, P: Peritoneum, U: Urinary bladder, H: Uterine horn, L: Broad ligament)

Relaparotomy evaluation

Experiment 1: The intestine model

In the control group, the adhesion score was 7.6 ± 0.89 . In the trial group, it was 2.6 ± 2.40 .

Statistical analysis indicated that the macroscopic adhesion score of the trial group was significantly lower than that of the control group ($P < 0.05$).

Experiment 2: The uterus-urinary bladder model

In the control group, the adhesion score was 5.2 ± 0.83 . In the trial group, it was 1.8 ± 2.48 .

Statistical analysis indicated that the macroscopic adhesion score of the trial group was significantly lower than that of the control group ($P < 0.05$).

The macroscopic adhesion scores and appearances of the adhesions for all groups are shown in **Table 3** and **Fig. 2**, respectively.

Table 3. Macroscopic adhesion scores in all the groups of experiment.

	Extent of site involvement	Type	Tenacity	Total Score
Experiment 1: The intestine model				
Control group	3±0.70	2.6±0.54	2±0	7.6±0.89
Trial group	0.8±0.83	0.6±0.54	1.2±1.09	2.6±2.40
Experiment 2: The uterus-urinary bladder model				
Control group	1.6±0.89	1.6±0.5	2±0	5.2±0.83
Trial group	0.4±0.54	0.8±1.3	0.8±1.09	1.8±2.48




Fig. 2. Macroscopic adhesion. (A): In the control group of the intestine model, the most frequent adhesion site was between the intestinal serosa. (C): In the control group of the uterus-urinary bladder model, adhesion was confirmed between the urinary bladder, broad ligament of the uterus, and uterine horn. (B), (D): In the trial groups of both models, no adhesion was confirmed except at sutured sites.

Microscopic evaluation:

Experiment 1: The intestine model

In the control group, the fibrosis score was 2.4 ± 0.54 . In trial group, it was 0.8 ± 0.83 . Statistical analysis indicated that the fibrosis score of the trial group was significantly lower than that of the control group ($P < 0.05$).

In the control group, the inflammation score was 0.4 ± 0.89 . In trial group, it was 0.2 ± 0.44 . Statistical analysis indicated that there is no statistical difference between the two groups ($P < 0.05$).

Experiment 2: The uterus-urinary bladder model

In the control group, the fibrosis score was 2.2 ± 0.44 . In the trial group, it was 0.8 ± 1.09 . Statistical analysis indicated that the fibrosis score of the trial group was significantly lower than that of the control group ($P < 0.05$).

In the control group, the inflammation score was 0 ± 0 . In the trial group, it was 0 ± 0 . Statistical analysis indicated that there is no statistical difference between the two groups ($P < 0.05$).

Histopathologic examinations of fibrosis and inflammation for all groups are shown in **Fig.**

3.




Fig. 3. Microscopic adhesion. Histopathological examinations of fibrosis and inflammation in all groups. (A), (C): In the control groups of both models, moderate to dense fibrosis was confirmed at adhesion sites. (B), (D): Relative to the control groups, loose fibrosis was confirmed in the trial groups of both models. (H & E stain, scale bars = 200 μ m)

Adhesion frequency:

In the intestine model, the total number of the adhesion sites was twenty-four in the control group and seven in the trial group. Although adhesion was also confirmed at various sites, the most frequent adhesion site in both groups was between the intestinal serosa. In the uterus-urinary bladder model, the total number of the adhesion sites was eight adhesion sites in the control group and two in the trial group. The most frequent adhesion site in both groups was the urinary bladder to broad ligament of the uterus. The second most frequent adhesion site in both groups was the urinary bladder to the uterine horn.

2. Safety evaluation

Blood tests:

The blood cell count test and blood chemistry test of the inflammatory response and major organ toxicity revealed no significant differences between the control and trial groups. The results of the blood analysis are shown in **Fig. 4**.




Fig. 4. Blood analysis. There is no statistical difference between the two groups ($P < 0.05$).

(A): WBC (B): ALT (C): AST (D): ALP (E): GGT (F): BUN (G): Creatinine.

Histology of the liver and kidney:

Abnormal histopathological changes were not detected in any of the animals. The appearance of the liver and kidney samples of both groups is shown in **Fig. 5**.




Fig. 5. Histology of the liver (A, B) and the kidney (C, D). Abnormal histopathological changes were not detected in any of the animals. (A), (C): In the control groups (B), (D): In the trial groups. (H & E stain, scale bars = 200 μm)

IV. Discussion

The incidence rate of adhesive intestinal obstruction after abdominal surgery has been increasing steadily over the years (Bevan, 1984), and surgical intervention is needed in most cases (Menzies and Ellis, 1990). Postoperative adhesion is also a frequent problem in the veterinary field. Therefore, active use of anti-adhesion agents, such as Poloxamer/sodium alginate/CaCl₂ (PX/SA) mixture, is urgently required in veterinary medicine. However, there have been a few studies (Jeong et al., 2009) of its use in dogs and cats. Thus, there is a lack of information on appropriate dosages and its applicability in various types of surgery. Given the lack of clinical application of PX/SA mixture in the field, further studies are needed. Therefore, we investigated the utility of PX/SA mixture in the prevention of postoperative adhesion in dogs, focusing on the effects and safety of the product.

The results of the macroscopic evaluation of adhesion in both models showed that the adhesion incidence was significantly reduced when PX/SA mixture was applied ($P < 0.05$). Furthermore, the most frequently observed adhesion site was between intestinal serosa in the intestine model. The adhesion formation rate increased in the order of intestinal serosa to parietal peritoneum, intestinal serosa to intestinal mesentery, intestinal serosa to falciform fat, and intestinal serosa to omentum. More adhesions were found in other areas, including the intestinal mesentery to parietal peritoneum. Keeping in mind that one of the main

reasons for adhesion-induced intestinal obstruction is the adhesion between intestines, the results reveal the importance of preventing adhesion when performing abdominal surgery.

According to the microscopic evaluation of fibrosis and inflammation, fibrosis was significantly lower in the trial group compared to the control group ($P < 0.05$). However, there were no statistically significant differences in inflammation between the two groups in both models. In the intestine model, some inflammatory cells were found in localized areas in both groups. These included lymphocytes, plasma cells, macrophages, and neutrophils. However, the amount was very low, suggesting that the cells were not a pathological inflammatory reaction. Therefore, the application of PX/SA mixture does not cause inflammation.

The blood test, which was conducted to evaluate the safety of PX/SA mixture, revealed no significant differences in the level of WBC, ALT, AST, ALP, GGT, BUN, and creatinine between the two groups. Although temporary increases in the values of WBC, AST, ALP, GGT, and Creatinine were identified after surgery, the values were identified to be within the normal range and later returned to preoperative values. Consequently, there was no statistically significant difference between the control group and the trial group. Furthermore, histopathologic examination of the liver and kidney revealed no toxic change. Thus, the application of PX/SA mixture is harmless to the body and does not affect vital

organs.

PX/SA mixture is commercialized under the name of Guardix-SG[®] (Genewel, South Korea) in human medicine. Guardix-SG[®] has already been shown to be effective and safe in preclinical and clinical experiments (Hong et al., 2011; Park et al., 2013; Sohn et al., 2013). Aniguard[®], which is made of same component as Guardix-SG[®], is commercialized for use in veterinary clinical medicine. It is a safe, temperature sensitive anti-adhesion agent (Li et al., 1996) that is made by poloxamer cross-linked sodium alginate and CaCl₂ to the biocompatible polymer. Poloxamer is widely used in the pharmaceutical field (Patel et al., 2009) because it relatively well dissolves hydrophobic drugs and the component polyethylene glycol is hydrophilic (Singh-Joy and McLain, 2007) and known to prevent tissue adhesion by preventing cell attachment (Leach and Henry, 1990). However, the adhesion prevention efficacy is not easily maintain because polyethylene glycol is easily diluted and absorbed by body fluid. Therefore, cross-linked sodium alginate was added to increase the safety of poloxamer. Sodium alginate is easily cross-linked by divalent cations such as CaCl₂ to form a gel. Therefore, PX/SA mixture has flowability under 25°C in the form of a solution and form a gel at room temperature greater than 25°C (Kwon et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2014). Therefore, not only can it be easily injected using syringes, but it also prevents adhesion by acting as a physiological barrier. It can be applied to many types of

surgeries frequently performed in veterinary clinical medicine, such as gastrointestinal surgery, urolith removal, and other intra-abdominal surgeries where postoperative adhesion of the surrounding tissues can cause major clinical problems (Adin, 2011). Intra-abdominal surgery, especially gastrointestinal and urinary surgery, may be repeated because of the high recurrence risk of intestinal foreign bodies and urinary tract stones. Adhesions that occur after disk surgery make it difficult to expose a surgical window during reoperation. Furthermore, excessive traction while separating the adhesion and opening the surgical window may cause nerve damage (Songer et al., 1990). In these situations, the application of PX/SA mixture reduces the risk of tissue damage and bleeding during separation of the adhesion, increases safety by shortening the reoperation time, and saves reoperation costs by preventing possible additional surgery and adhesion-induced complications (Ray et al., 1998). It is anticipated that PX/SA mixture can be applied not only in abdominal and thoracic surgery, but also in orthopedic surgery (Yu et al., 2012) where adhesion frequently occurs between tendons and ligaments (Oh et al., 2011). Moreover, it can be used in other procedures, such as endoscopy, laparoscopy, and thoracoscopy. As a result, PX/SA mixture significantly reduced the development of postoperative abdominal adhesions, and had no hepatic and renal toxicity or side effects.

V. Conclusion

PX/SA mixture is an easy to use temperature-sensitive anti-adhesive agent, with “reverse thermal gelation” activity. Its application after various types of veterinary surgery is a very effective method for reducing adhesion formation. Moreover, it is harmless to vital organs and does not cause inflammation. We suggest that PX/SA mixture is an effective, convenient, and safe agent to prevent postoperative abdominal adhesion.

VI. References

Adin CA, 2011. Complications of ovariohysterectomy and orchiectomy in companion animals. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*, 41: 1023-1039.

Attard J-AP and MacLean AR, 2007. Adhesive small bowel obstruction: Epidemiology, biology and prevention. *Can J Surg*, 50: 291.

Becker JM, Dayton MT, Fazio VW, Beck DE, Stryker SJ, Wexner SD, Wolff BG, Roberts PL, Smith LE, Sweeney SA, and Moore M, 1996. Prevention of postoperative abdominal adhesions by a sodium hyaluronate-based bioresorbable membrane: A prospective, randomized, double-blind multicenter study. *J Am Coll Surg*, 183: 297-306.

Bevan PG, 1984. Adhesive obstruction. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*, 66: 164.

diZerega GS and Campeau JD, 2001. Peritoneal repair and post-surgical adhesion formation. *Hum Reprod Update*, 7: 547-555.

Ellis H, Moran BJ, Thompson JN, Parker MC, Wilson MS, Menzies D, McGuire A, Lower

AM, Hawthorn RJ, O'Brien F, Buchan S, and Crowe AM, 1999. Adhesion-related hospital readmissions after abdominal and pelvic surgery: A retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*, 353: 1476-1480.

The Surgical Membrane Study Group, 1992. Prophylaxis of pelvic sidewall adhesions with gore-tex surgical membrane: A multicenter clinical investigation. *Fertil Steril*, 57: 921-923.

Hong JH, Choe JW, Kwon GY, Cho DY, Sohn DS, Kim SW, Woo YC, Lee CJ, and Kang H, 2011. The effects of barrier materials on reduction of pericardial adhesion formation in rabbits: A comparative study of a hyaluronan-based solution and a temperature sensitive poloxamer solution/gel material. *J Surg Res*, 166: 206-213.

Hooker GD, Taylor BM, and Driman DK, 1999. Prevention of adhesion formation with use of sodium hyaluronate-based bioresorbable membrane in a rat model of ventral hernia repair with polypropylene mesh—a randomized, controlled study. *Surgery*, 125: 211-216.

Jeong W-S, Seong Y-S, Kwon Y-S, and Jang K-H, 2009. Dose related effects of poloxamer/sodium alginate mixture in prevention of postoperative adhesion formation in

dogs. J Vet Clin, 26: 547-555.

Kwon SW, Lim SH, Lee YW, Lee YG, Chu BY, Lee JH, and Lee YM, 2006. Anti-adhesive effect of poloxamer/alginate/cac1₂ mixture in the rat model. J Korean Surg Soc, 71: 280-287.

Leach RE and Henry RL, 1990. Reduction of postoperative adhesions in the rat uterine hornmodel with poloxamer 407. Am J Obstet Gynecol, 162: 1317-1319.

Levrant SG, Bieber EJ, and Barnes RB, 1997. Anterior abdominal wall adhesions after laparotomy or laparoscopy. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc, 4: 353-356.

Li C, Palmer WK, and Johnston TP, 1996. Disposition of poloxamer 407 in rats following a single intraperitoneal injection assessed using a simplified colorimetric assay. J Pharm Biomed Anal, 14: 659-665.

Menzies D and Ellis H, 1990. Intestinal obstruction from adhesions--how big is the problem? Ann R Coll Surg Engl, 72: 60.

Oh CH, Oh JH, Kim SH, Cho JH, Yoon JP, and Kim JY, 2011. Effectiveness of subacromial anti-adhesive agent injection after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: Prospective randomized comparison study. *Clin Orthop Surg*, 3: 55-61.

Ozel H, Avsar FM, Topaloglu S, and Sahin M, 2005. Induction and assessment methods used in experimental adhesion studies. *Wound Repair Regen*, 13: 358-364.

Park JS, Cha SJ, Kim BG, Choi YS, Kwon GY, Kang H, and An SS, 2011. An assessment of the effects of a hyaluronan-based solution on reduction of postsurgical adhesion formation in rats: A comparative study of hyaluronan-based solution and two film barriers. *J Surg Res*, 168: 49-55.

Park KS, Lee KE, Ku DH, Kim S-J, Park WS, Kim KY, Kwon MR, and Youn Y-K, 2013. Antiadhesive effect and safety of oxidized regenerated cellulose after thyroidectomy: A prospective, randomized controlled study. *J Korean Surg Soc*, 84: 321-329.

Park SO, Han J, Minn KW, and Jin US, 2013. Prevention of capsular contracture with Guardix-SG[®] after silicone implant insertion. *Aesthetic Plast Surg*, 37: 543-548.

Patel HR, Patel RP, and Patel M, 2009. Poloxamers: A pharmaceutical excipients with therapeutic behaviors. *Int J Pharmtech Res*, 1: 299-303.

Ray NF, Denton WG, Thamer M, Henderson SC, and Perry S, 1998. Abdominal adhesiolysis: Inpatient care and expenditures in the united states in 1994. *J Am Coll Surg*, 186: 1-9.

Singh-Joy SD and McLain VC, 2007. Safety assessment of poloxamers 101, 105, 108, 122, 123, 124, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 188, 212, 215, 217, 231, 234, 235, 237, 238, 282, 284, 288, 331, 333, 334, 335, 338, 401, 402, 403, and 407, poloxamer 105 benzoate, and poloxamer 182 dibenzoate as used in cosmetics. *International journal of toxicology*, 27: 93-128.

Sohn EJ, Ahn HB, Roh MS, Ryu WY, and Kwon YH, 2013. Efficacy of temperature-sensitive guardix-SG for adhesiolysis in experimentally induced eyelid adhesion in rabbits. *Ophthal Plast Reconstr Surg*, 29: 458-463.

Songer MN, Ghosh L, and Spencer DL, 1990. Effects of sodium hyaluronate on peridural

fibrosis after lumbar laminotomy and discectomy. *Spine*, 15: 550-554.

Vrijland WW, Jeekel J, Van Geldorp HJ, Swank DJ, and Bonjer HJ, 2003. Abdominal adhesions: Intestinal obstruction, pain, and infertility. *Surg Endosc*, 17: 1017-1022.

Yu CH, Lee JH, Baek HR, and Nam H, 2012. The effectiveness of poloxamer 407-based new anti-adhesive material in a laminectomy model in rats. *Eur Spine J*, 21: 971-979.

Yu L, Hu H, Chen L, Bao X, Li Y, Chen L, Xu G, Ye X and Ding J, 2014. Comparative studies of thermogels in preventing post-operative adhesions and corresponding mechanisms. *Biomater Sci*, 2: 1100-1109.

VII. 국문초록

개의 복강 수술 후 유착에 대한 Poloxamer/Sodium Alginate/CaCl₂ 합제의 효능 및 안전성 평가

지도교수 김 완 희

김 지 나

서울대학교 대학원

수의학과 임상수의학 전공

Poloxamer/sodium alginate/CaCl₂ (PX/SA) 혼합제는 온도 감응성 유착방지제로 창상 표면에 생체 적합성 장벽을 형성하여 술 후 유착을 방지하는데 이용된다. 본 연구에서는 개의 복강 수술 후 발생 가능한 유착에 대해 PX/SA 혼합제의 유착 방지 효능 및 안전성에 대해 평가하고자 하였다. 총 20마리의 개가

본 연구에 포함되었으며, 소화기계 모델과 비뇨생식기계 모델로 나누어 실험을 진행하였다. 인위적으로 유착을 유발하기 위해, 소화기계 모델의 경우, 소장의 장막층에 다발성 찰과상을 내었으며, 비뇨생식기계 모델의 경우, 방광의 장막층에 찰과상을 내었다. 이 후 대조군에는 창상부에 생리식염수를 도포하고, 실험군에는 PX/SA 혼합제를 도포하였다. 유착 유발 수술 10일 후, 모든 개체는 복강경을 통한 탐색을 통해 복강 상태를 평가하였으며, 21일 후에는 이전 연구로 타당성을 입증받은 유착 점수 체계를 이용하여 복강 내 유착 상태를 평가하였다. 또한, 유착 부위의 조직병리검사를 통해 섬유화 및 염증 정도를 비교 평가하였다. 그 결과, 두 실험 모델 모두에서 실험군의 육안적 유착 점수는 대조군과 비교하여 통계적으로 유의미하게 낮게 확인되었으며 더불어, 조직병리학적 검사상 대조군에서 더 많은 섬유화가 확인되었다. ($P < 0.05$) 또한 두 그룹간의 염증 정도는 유의적 차이성이 없어, PX/SA 혼합제의 적용이 염증을 유발하지 않는 것으로 확인되었다. 안전성 평가를 위한 혈액 검사 및 조직병리검사 상, PX/SA 혼합제의 간 및 신장에 대한 독성 반응은 확인되지 않았다. 결론적으로, PX/SA 혼합제는 개의 복강 수술 후 유착의 발생을 상당히 낮춰주었으며, 수술 후 유착 방지를 위해 편리하고 효과적이며, 안전하게 사용 될 수 있을 것으로 생각된다.

주요어: 애니가드®, poloxamer, sodium alginate, 수술 후 유착, 유착 방지

학 번: 2013 - 21554