



저작자표시-비영리-변경금지 2.0 대한민국

이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

- 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.

다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:



저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.



비영리. 귀하는 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 없습니다.



변경금지. 귀하는 이 저작물을 개작, 변형 또는 가공할 수 없습니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 [이용허락규약\(Legal Code\)](#)을 이해하기 쉽게 요약한 것입니다.

[Disclaimer](#)

의학박사학위논문

Data analyses using the national sample cohort:

Equivalence test for the frequency of upper
respiratory infection after tonsillectomy

표본 데이터를 이용한 자료의 분석:

편도선 절제술 이후 상기도 감염 빈도에 대한
동등성 분석

2017年 7月

서울대학교 대학원

의학과 이비인후과학전공

최 효 근

표본 데이터를 이용한 자료의 분석:
편도선 절제술 이후 상기도 감염 빈도에 대한
동등성 분석

지도교수 안순현

이 논문을 의학과 박사 학위논문으로 제출함

2017년 3월

서울대학교 대학원

의학과 이비인후과학 전공

최효근

최효근의 박사 학위논문을 인준함

2017년 7월

위원장 이 재 서 (인)

부위원장 안 순 현 (인)

위원 이 근 옥 (인)

위원 황 진 혁 (인)

위원 박 범 정 (인)

Data analyses using the national sample cohort:
Equivalence test for the frequency of upper
respiratory infection after tonsillectomy

By

Hyo Geun Choi

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Otolaryngology in
Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Medicine
at the Seoul National University College of Medicine

July, 2017

Approved by thesis committee

Chairman	Chae-Seo Rhee
Vice chairman	Soon-Hyun Ahn
Member	Keun-Wook Lee
Member	Jin-Hyeok Hwang
Member	Bumjung Park

Abstract

Data analyses using the national sample cohort: Equivalence test for the frequency of upper respiratory infection after tonsillectomy

Hyo Geun Choi

Otorhinolaryngology, College of Medicine

Seoul National University

Introduction: The objective of this study was to compare post-operative visits for upper respiratory infections (URIs) between tonsillectomy and non-tonsillectomy participants (controls).

Material and methods: Using the national cohort study from the Korean Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service, 1:4 matched (age, sex, income, region, and pre-operative URI visit) tonsillectomy participants (5,831) and control participants (23,324) were selected. Post-operative visits for URI were measured from 1 to 9 years post-op. The equivalence test was used. The margin of equivalence of the difference (Tonsillectomy - Control group) was set to -0.5 to 0.5.

Results: There was no difference between the tonsillectomy and control group in 1- to 9-year post-op visits ($-0.5 < 95\% \text{ CI of difference} < 0.5$). URI visits gradually decreased from 5.5/2 years (pre-op) to 2.1/year (at 1 year post-op) and 1.4/year (at 9 years post-op) in both tonsillectomy and control groups. In the subgroup analysis (children Vs adolescent and adults; rare Vs frequent pre-operative URI), there was

no difference in the number of post-op visits for URI between the tonsillectomy and control groups ($-0.5 < 95\% \text{ CI of difference} < 0.5$).

Conclusion: Tonsillectomy does not provide decrease the number of post-operative visits for URI, and URI decreased over time whether or not a tonsillectomy was performed.

Keywords: Tonsillectomy; respiratory infection, upper; pharyngitis; laryngitis; cohort studies

Student number: 2015-30583

목차

영문초록	i
목차	iii
List of tables	iv
List of figures	v
List of abbreviations and symbols	vi
서론	1
연구재료 및 방법	3
연구결과	8
고찰	10
결론	14
참고문헌	15
Tables	19
Figures	25
국문초록	29

List of tables

Table 1. General Characteristics of Participants -----	19
Table 2. Differences in mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups -----	21
Table 3. Subgroup analysis of mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups (children Vs adolescents and adults; and rare Vs frequent pre-operative URIs) -----	22
S1 table. Subgroup analysis of mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups in very frequent pre-operative URI group (≥ 12 times for 2 y) -----	27
S2 Table Subgroup analysis of mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups in young children (<10 years old). -----	28

List of figures

Figure 1. A schematic illustration of the participant selection process that was used in the present study. -----25

Figure 2. A schematic illustration of the measurements used for preoperative and postoperative URI visits. -----26

List of abbreviations and symbols

Upper respiratory infection (URI)

Korean Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service (HIRA)

The Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS)

Confidence interval (CI)

Randomized controlled trial (RCT)

Introduction

Tonsillectomy with/without adenoidectomy is one of the most commonly performed surgeries, especially in children [1-3]. The reported rate of tonsillectomy in children and adolescents is 7.9 per 1,000 in the US [4] and 2.6 per 1,000 in Korea [3]. Tonsillectomy is generally performed for recurrent sore throat or obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) [5, 6]. However, there are no nationally accepted guidelines for when to perform a tonsillectomy [7]. Although Paradise et al reported the "paradise criteria" for tonsillectomy in recurrent tonsillitis [2, 8], the evidence for its clinical efficacy is limited [9]. Some authors have reported that tonsillectomy reduced the incidence of pediatric URI [8, 10], while others have reported that it did not [11]. Even with the current lack of robust clinical evidence, infection (23.2%) remains one of the most common reasons for performing a tonsillectomy [12].

Tonsillectomies are associated with the possibility of complications. Late postoperative bleeding, which has a rate of 1-5%, is the most common complication [7]. Infrequent complications, such as early postoperative bleeding, taste disorder, nasal speech, vascular injury, emphysema, and dysphagia, have also been reported [13]. We should therefore carefully consider whether tonsillectomy should be performed for recurrent sore throat.

The purpose of this study is to compare post-operative visits for upper respiratory infection (URI) between tonsillectomy and non-tonsillectomy participants

(controls) using a national cohort study. In this study, we matched the tonsillectomy and control group at 1:4 for age, sex, income group, and the number of pre-operative URIs. We followed up the participants for 1 to 9 years.

Materials and Methods

Study Population and Data Collection

The ethics committee of Hallym University (2014-I148) approved the use of these data. Written informed consent was exempted by the Institutional Review Board.

This national cohort study relies on data from the Korean Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service - National Patient Sample (HIRA-NPS). The Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) selects samples directly from the entire population database to prevent non-sampling errors. Approximately 2% of the samples (one million) were selected from the entire Korean population (50 million). This selected data can be classified at 1,476 levels (age [18 categories], sex [2 categories], and income level [41 categories]) using randomized stratified systematic sampling methods via proportional allocation to represent the entire population. After data selection, the appropriateness of the sample was verified by a statistician who compared the data from the entire Korean population to the sample data. The details of the methods used to perform these procedures are provided by the National Health Insurance Sharing Service [14]. This cohort database included (i) personal information, (ii) health insurance claim codes (procedures and prescriptions), (iii) diagnostic codes using the International Classification of Disease-10 (ICD-10), (iv) socio-economic data (residence and

income), and (v) medical examination data for each participant over a period ranging from 2002 to 2013.

Because all Korean citizens are recognized by a 13-digit resident registration number from birth to death, exact population statistics can be determined using this database. It is mandatory for all Koreans to enroll in the NHIS. All Korean hospitals and clinics use the 13-digit resident registration number to register individual patients in the medical insurance system. Therefore, the risk of overlapping medical records is minimal, even if a patient moves from one place to another. Moreover, all medical treatments in Korea can be tracked without exception using the HIRA system.

Participants Selection

Out of 1,025,340 cases with 114,369,638 medical claim codes, we included participants who underwent tonsillectomy (claim code: Q2300) from 2004 through 2012 (n = 6,146). Among these, participants who underwent tonsillectomy for malignancies were excluded (n = 45). Hence, only participants who underwent tonsillectomy for benign causes (e.g., chronic tonsillitis, chronic tonsillar hypertrophy, and obstructive sleep apnea) were included. The tonsillectomy participants were matched 1:4 with the participants (control group) who never underwent tonsillectomy from 2002 through 2013 among this cohort. The matches

were processed for age, group, sex, income group, region of residence, and the number of pre-operative URI histories over 2 years. To prevent selection bias when selecting the matched participants, the control group participants were sorted using a random number order, and they were then selected from top to bottom. The tonsillectomy participants for whom we could not identify enough matching participants were excluded (n = 270). Finally, 1:4 matching resulted in the inclusion of 5,831 of tonsillectomy participants and 23,324 control participants (Fig. 1).

Variables

The age groups were classified using 5-year intervals: 0-4, 5-9, 10-15..., and 80+ years old. A total of 17 age groups were designated. The income groups were initially divided into 41 classes (one health aid class, 20 self-employment health insurance classes, and 20 employment health insurance classes). These groups were re-categorized into 11 classes (class 1 [lowest income]-11 [highest income]). Region of residence was divided into 16 areas according to administrative district. These regions were regrouped into urban (Seoul, Busan, Daegu, Incheon, Gwangju, Daejeon, and Ulsan) and rural (Gyeonggi, Gangwon, Chungcheongbuk, Chungcheongnam, Jeollabuk, Jeollanam, Gyeongsangbuk, Gyeongsangnam, and Jeju) areas.

We defined URI using the following ICD-10 codes: J00 (acute nasopharyngitis) and J02 (acute pharyngitis) through J069 (acute upper respiratory infection). The number of visits to clinics or hospital for URI was counted every year. Pre-operative URI visits were counted for 2 years. The number of visits included in the URI history during the follow up period was counted for each year (e.g., post-op year 1, year 2, year 3.... year 9). Therefore, the participants who underwent tonsillectomy in 2004 were followed up for 9 years, while the participants who underwent tonsillectomy in 2012 were followed up for 1 year (Fig. 2).

Statistical Analyses

An equivalence test was used to compare the number of visits for URI (pre-operative and in post-op year 1, year 2, year 3 year 9) between the tonsillectomy group and the control group. The null hypothesis was that visits for URI during the follow up period would not be the same between the tonsillectomy and control groups. In a previous meta-analysis, the pooled risk difference in URIs between tonsillectomy and control groups was -0.5 episodes per year.[9] Therefore, the margin of equivalence of difference (tonsillectomy - control group group) was set to -0.5 to 0.5 in this study.

For the subgroup analyses, the participants were divided into 2 groups: children (\leq 14 years old) and adolescent and adults (\geq 15 years old); and rare pre-operative

URI (< 5 times over 2 years) and frequent pre-operative URI (≥ 5 times over 2 years).

For the equivalence test, a 95% confidence interval (CI) for a difference < 0.5 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The results were statistically analyzed using SPSS v. 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Because the patients were matched, the general characteristics (e.g., age group, sex, and income level) were the same in both groups (Table 1). The number of visits for pre-operative URI was also exactly the same in both groups (Table 2).

We compared the visits for URI during the follow up period. There was no difference between the tonsillectomy and control groups from post-op year 1 year through year 9 ($-0.5 < 95\% \text{ CI of difference} < 0.5$). We found that URI visits gradually decreased from 5.5/2 year (pre-op) to 2.1/year (in post-op year 1), 2.1/year (post-op year 2), 2.0/year (post-op year 3), 1.9/year (post-op year 4), 1.8/year (post-op year 5), 1.7/year (post-op year 6), 1.5/year (post-op year 7), 1.4/year (post-op year 8), and 1.4/year (post-op year 9) in the tonsillectomy group. The same changes were also observed in the control group (Table 2).

In the subgroup analysis (children Vs adolescent and adults; and rare Vs frequent pre-operative URI), there was no difference in the number of visits for URI between the tonsillectomy and control groups ($-0.5 < 95\% \text{ CI of difference} < 0.5$) during the follow up periods from 1 to 9 years post-op. The ‘children’ and ‘frequent pre-operative URI’ groups showed a stronger

decrease in the number of visits for URI during the follow up periods than the 'adolescent and adults' and 'rare pre-operative URI' groups (Table 3). We added the difference of URIs between tonsillectomy and control groups in the very frequent pre-operative URI group (≥ 6 times a year, S1).

Discussion

We found no difference in the number of URI visits between the tonsillectomy and control groups. After tonsillectomy, URI visits gradually decreased during the follow up period. However, this trend was also observed in control group, which showed the same scale.

According to the most recent Cochrane library review [15], which reviewed 7 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that included children and 2 RCTs that included adults, the number of post-op 1 year episodes of sore throat was lower in the tonsillectomy group than in the control group, and this difference was not observed after post-op year 2 year in children. They also reported that the size of the effect (e.g., tonsillectomy for chronic/acute tonsillitis in children) was very modest because some of the children improved without surgery [16]. Another review study concluded that the frequencies of sore throat episodes and upper respiratory infections became lower over time whether or not a tonsillectomy was performed [9]. Our study supports these previous results. However, we found no difference between the tonsillectomy and control groups even during post-op year 1. We think that this might be because of the relatively low incidence of pre-operative URI visits in this study population (5.5 visits over 2 years). In previous studies, the effects of tonsillectomy were not evident in mild symptoms groups, whereas effects were evident in moderate to severe symptoms groups (3-6 throat infections) [11].

Historically, the tonsils have been regarded as sources of bacteria [17]. Therefore, tonsillectomy was performed to remove an apparent infection focus in recurrent sore throat patients [17]. However, bacterial pathogens have since been discovered not only children and adults with recurrent tonsillitis but also in healthy children and adults [18]. Moreover, asymptomatic carriers (10% of all healthy children) of staphylococci and streptococci do not require treatment [7]. Bacterial pathogens that reside in the tonsils do not always provoke problems in healthy individuals. Therefore, we believe that removing the tonsils is not an effective way to treat patients who present with mild recurrent sore throat. As children grow up, their immune systems come into maturity. This might be responsible for decreases that have been observed in the number of URIs reported during follow up periods between individuals who did or did not undergo tonsillectomy [7, 9]. In this study, URI was not decreased or increased after tonsillectomy compared to control. It means that tonsillectomy would not increase the possibility of infection by affecting immune system.

One advantage of this study is the large number of study participants (n = 29,154). We followed up the tonsillectomy group for a maximum of 9 years, whereas other studies have usually used a 2-year follow up [2, 8, 11, 19-22]. To our knowledge, this is the largest study to evaluate the efficacy of tonsillectomy for URI. Another advantage is the availability of comprehensive medical records for each participant. Previous studies needed to ask the participants for their histories of recurrent sore throat [2, 8, 11, 19-22], and this could result in recall bias. In this

study, we used patient medical records and HIRA data to count URI visits. These recorded data are not distorted by patient memory. The HIRA data include all citizens of the nation, without exception. We therefore were not missing any participants during the follow up periods, whereas a significant loss to follow up has been a problem in other studies [2, 8]. In our control group, none of the participants changed during observation to the tonsillectomy group. However, we did not use RCT methods, but instead exactly matched our participants to individuals in the control group according to age, sex, income, region of residence, and previous operative URI visits. Income and region matching were important because these are determinant factors of medical procedures. Income levels can be determined very accurately using the Korean NHIS because a patient's premium is determined based on their income. Our study results are therefore representative of the entire Korean population because the data were selected from a database that covers the entire population, and the representativeness of the data was verified by a statistician.

Our study has several limitations. We used health insurance claims data and counted the number of visits for URI. These do not exactly reflect the number of infections. However, we believe that the number of visits for URI can be used as a surrogate index for the number of infections when dealing with this type of big data. We could not measure the severity of each URI in each participant. The medical procedures used for each participant could be variable even when treating the same disease. We included participants who underwent tonsillectomy for

chronic tonsillar hypertrophy or OSA because we could not determine the purpose of tonsillectomy in each participant. However, if tonsillectomy reduces URI, the number of URIs in the participants who underwent tonsillectomy for chronic tonsillar hypertrophy or OSA should also be decreased.

Conclusion

Tonsillectomy does not provide a benefit against URIs. It does appear that tonsillectomy decreases URIs, but URIs also decreased over time whether or not a tonsillectomy was performed.

References

1. National Center for Health Statistics CFDC. National Center for Health Statistics. <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs> Published 1994.
2. Paradise JL, Bluestone CD, Colborn DK, Bernard BS, Rockette HE, Kurs-Lasky M. Tonsillectomy and adenotonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection in moderately affected children. *Pediatrics* 2002; 110:7-15. PMID: 12093941
3. Choi HG, Hah JH, Jung YH, Kim DW, Sung MW. Influences of demographic changes and medical insurance status on tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy rates in Korea. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2014; 271:2293-2298. doi: 10.1007/s00405-013-2759-7 PMID: 24136477
4. Boss EF, Marsteller JA, Simon AE. Outpatient tonsillectomy in children: demographic and geographic variation in the United States, 2006. *J Pediatr* 2012; 160:814-819. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.11.041 PMID: 22183449
5. Barraclough J, Anari S. Tonsillectomy for recurrent sore throats in children: indications, outcomes, and efficacy. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2014; 150:722-729. doi: 10.1177/0194599814522593 PMID: 24519269
6. Patel HH, Straight CE, Lehman EB, Tanner M, Carr MM. Indications for tonsillectomy: a 10 year retrospective review. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2014; 78:2151-2155. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2014.09.030 PMID: 25447951

7. Stelter K. Tonsillitis and sore throat in children. *GMS Curr Top Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2014; 13:Doc07. doi: 10.3205/cto000110 PMID: 25587367
8. Paradise JL, Bluestone CD, Bachman RZ, Colborn DK, Bernard BS, Taylor FH, et al. Efficacy of tonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection in severely affected children. Results of parallel randomized and nonrandomized clinical trials. *N Engl J Med* 1984; 310:674-683. PMID: 6700642
9. van Staaïj BK, van den Akker EH, van der Heijden GJ, Schilder AG, Hoes AW. Adenotonsillectomy for upper respiratory infections: evidence based? *Arch Dis Child* 2005; 90:19-25. PMID: 15613505
10. Tsou YA, Lin CC, Lai CH, Wang CY, Lin CD, Chen PC, et al. Does Adenotonsillectomy really reduced clinic visits for pediatric upper respiratory tract infections? A national database study in Taiwan. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2013; 77:677-681. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2013.01.011 PMID: 23394793
11. van Staaïj BK, van den Akker EH, Rovers MM, Hordijk GJ, Hoes AW, Schilder AG. Effectiveness of adenotonsillectomy in children with mild symptoms of throat infections or adenotonsillar hypertrophy: open, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2004; 329:651. PMID: 15361407
12. Erickson BK, Larson DR, St Sauver JL, Meverden RA, Orvidas LJ. Changes in incidence and indications of tonsillectomy and

- adenotonsillectomy, 1970-2005. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2009; 140:894-901. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns.2009.01.044 PMID: 19467411
13. Windfuhr JP. Serious complications following tonsillectomy: how frequent are they really? *ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec* 2013; 75:166-173. doi: 10.1159/000342317 PMID: 23978803
 14. The National Health Insurance Sharing Service of Korea
<http://nhiss.nhis.or.kr/>.
 15. Burton MJ, Glasziou PP, Chong LY, Venekamp RP. Tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy versus non-surgical treatment for chronic/recurrent acute tonsillitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 11:CD001802. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001802.pub3 PMID: 25407135
 16. Burton MJ, Glasziou PP. Tonsillectomy or adeno-tonsillectomy versus non-surgical treatment for chronic/recurrent acute tonsillitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009:CD001802. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001802.pub2 PMID: 19160201
 17. Hulcrantz E, Ericsson E. Factors influencing the indication for tonsillectomy: a historical overview and current concepts. *ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec* 2013; 75:184-191. doi: 10.1159/000342322 PMID: 23978807
 18. Jensen A, Fago-Olsen H, Sorensen CH, Kilian M. Molecular mapping to species level of the tonsillar crypt microbiota associated with health and recurrent tonsillitis. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e56418. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056418 PMID: 23437130

19. Stafford N, von Haacke N, Sene A, Croft C. The treatment of recurrent tonsillitis in adults. *J Laryngol Otol* 1986; 100:175-177. PMID: 3950480
20. Alho OP, Koivunen P, Penna T, Teppo H, Koskela M, Luotonen J. Tonsillectomy versus watchful waiting in recurrent streptococcal pharyngitis in adults: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2007; 334:939. PMID: 17347187
21. Koskenkorva T, Koivunen P, Koskela M, Niemela O, Kristo A, Alho OP. Short-term outcomes of tonsillectomy in adult patients with recurrent pharyngitis: a randomized controlled trial. *CMAJ* 2013; 185:E331-336. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.121852 PMID: 23549975
22. Lock C, Wilson J, Steen N, Eccles M, Mason H, Carrie S, et al. North of England and Scotland Study of Tonsillectomy and Adeno-tonsillectomy in Children(NESSTAC): a pragmatic randomised controlled trial with a parallel non-randomised preference study. *Health Technol Assess* 2010; 14:1-164, iii-iv. doi: 10.3310/hta14130 PMID: 20302811

Table 1. General Characteristics of Participants

Characteristics	The Number of participants (matched 1:4)		
	Tonsillectomy group	Control group	Total participants
Age (years old)			
0-4	1,661	6,644	8,305
5-9	1,089	4,356	5,445
10-14	692	2,768	3,460
15-19	521	2,084	2,605
20-24	460	1,840	2,300
25-29	412	1,648	2,060
30-34	331	1,324	1,655
35-39	225	900	1,125
40-44	184	736	920
45-49	124	496	620
50-54	56	224	280
55-59	44	176	220
60-64	27	108	135
65-69	4	16	20
70-74	0	0	0
75-79	1	4	5
Sex			

Male	3,432	13,728	17,160
Female	2,399	9,596	11,995
Income			
1 (lowest)	50	200	250
2	215	860	1,075
3	270	1,080	1,350
4	365	1,460	1,825
5	495	1,980	2,475
6	569	2,276	2,845
7	669	2,676	3,345
8	790	3,160	3,950
9	835	3,340	4,175
10	838	3,352	4,190
11 (highest)	735	2,940	3,675
Region of residence			
Urban	2,634	10,536	13,170
Rural	3,197	12,788	15,985

Table 2 Differences in mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups

Study year	Tonsillectomy (mean, SD)	Control (mean, SD)	95% CI of the difference	P-value
Pre-op URI for 2 y (n = 29,154)	5.5 ± 5.3	5.5 ± 5.3	-0.2 to 0.2	1.000
Post-op 1 y URI (n = 29,154)	2.1 ± 3.0	2.0 ± 3.0	0.0 to 0.2	0.022
Post-op 2 y URI (n = 26,915)	2.1 ± 3.1	1.9 ± 3.0	0.0 to 0.2	0.027
Post-op 3 y URI (n = 24,180)	2.0 ± 3.0	1.9 ± 3.0	0.0 to 0.2	0.252
Post-op 4 y URI (n = 21,840)	1.9 ± 3.0	1.8 ± 3.1	-0.1 to 0.1	0.618
Post-op 5 y URI (n = 18,825)	1.8 ± 2.9	1.7 ± 2.8	0.0 to 0.2	0.087
Post-op 6 y URI (n = 15,340)	1.7 ± 2.7	1.6 ± 2.7	-0.1 to 0.2	0.350
Post-op 7 y URI (n = 10,605)	1.5 ± 2.4	1.5 ± 2.5	-0.1 to 0.1	0.651
Post-op 8 y URI (n = 7,505)	1.4 ± 2.4	1.4 ± 2.5	-0.1 to 0.2	0.667
Post-op 9 y URI (n = 3,850)	1.4 ± 2.3	1.3 ± 2.3	-0.1 to 0.3	0.365

URI: Upper respiratory infection

SD: Standard deviation

Difference: Tonsillectomy group - Control group

CI: Confidence interval

Table 3 Subgroup analysis of mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups (children Vs adolescents and adults; and rare Vs frequent pre-operative URIs)

Study year	Tonsillectomy (mean, SD)	Control (mean, SD)	95% CI of difference	P-value
Children (≤ 14 years old)				
Pre-op URI for 2 y (n = 17,210)	7.0 \pm 5.7	7.0 \pm 5.7	-0.2 to 0.2	1.000
Post-op 1 y URI (n = 17,210)	2.5 \pm 3.2	2.5 \pm 3.3	-0.1 to 0.2	0.320
Post-op 2 y URI (n = 16,050)	2.4 \pm 3.4	2.3 \pm 3.4	-0.1 to 0.2	0.437
Post-op 3 y URI (n = 14,615)	2.2 \pm 3.2	2.2 \pm 3.3	-0.2 to 0.1	0.723
Post-op 4 y URI (n = 13,440)	2.0 \pm 3.0	2.1 \pm 3.2	-0.2 to 0.1	0.657
Post-op 5 y URI (n = 11,755)	1.9 \pm 2.9	1.9 \pm 3.0	-0.1 to 0.2	0.299
Post-op 6 y URI (n = 9,705)	1.7 \pm 2.6	1.7 \pm 2.8	-0.1 to 0.1	0.909
Post-op 7 y URI (n = 7,350)	1.5 \pm 2.4	1.5 \pm 2.5	-0.1 to 0.2	0.871
Post-op 8 y URI (n = 4,680)	1.4 \pm 2.3	1.4 \pm 2.3	-0.1 to 0.2	0.691
Post-op 9 y URI (n = 2,450)	1.3 \pm 2.1	1.2 \pm 2.1	-0.1 to 0.3	0.465
Adolescents and adults (≥ 15 years old)				
Pre-op URI for 2 y (n = 11,945)	3.3 \pm 3.5	3.3 \pm 3.5	-0.2 to 0.2	1.000
Post-op 1 y URI (n = 11,945)	1.6 \pm 2.5	1.4 \pm 2.4	0.0 to 0.3	0.005
Post-op 2 y URI (n = 10,865)	1.6 \pm 2.7	1.4 \pm 2.4	0.1 to 0.3	0.004
Post-op 3 y URI (n = 9,565)	1.6 \pm 2.6	1.5 \pm 2.6	0.0 to 0.4	0.008

Post-op 4 y URI (n = 8,400)	1.6 ± 3.1	1.5 ± 2.8	-0.0 to 0.3	0.131
Post-op 5 y URI (n = 7,070)	1.5 ± 2.8	1.4 ± 2.6	-0.0 to 0.3	0.123
Post-op 6 y URI (n = 5,635)	1.6 ± 2.9	1.5 ± 2.7	-0.1 to 0.3	0.185
Post-op 7 y URI (n = 4,255)	1.5 ± 2.5	1.4 ± 2.7	-0.1 to 0.3	0.585
Post-op 8 y URI (n = 2,825)	1.4 ± 2.5	1.4 ± 2.8	-0.2 to 0.3	0.842
Post-op 9 y URI (n = 1,400)	1.5 ± 2.7	1.4 ± 2.6	-0.2 to 0.4	0.590
Rare pre-operative URI				
(< 5 times for 2 y)				
Pre-op URI for 2 y (n = 15,725)	1.7 ± 1.4	1.7 ± 1.4	-0.1 to 0.1	1.000
Post-op 1 y URI (n = 15,725)	1.3 ± 2.1	1.0 ± 1.8	0.2 to 0.3	< 0.001
Post-op 2 y URI (n = 14,280)	1.3 ± 2.2	1.0 ± 1.9	0.2 to 0.3	< 0.001
Post-op 3 y URI (n = 12,700)	1.3 ± 2.2	1.1 ± 2.0	0.1 to 0.3	< 0.001
Post-op 4 y URI (n = 11,255)	1.2 ± 2.4	1.1 ± 2.1	0.0 to 0.2	0.040
Post-op 5 y URI (n = 9,520)	1.2 ± 2.1	1.0 ± 2.0	-0.0 to 0.2	0.099
Post-op 6 y URI (n = 7,535)	1.1 ± 2.1	1.0 ± 2.0	-0.0 to 0.2	0.219
Post-op 7 y URI (n = 5,560)	1.1 ± 1.9	1.0 ± 1.8	-0.0 to 0.2	0.068
Post-op 8 y URI (n = 3,595)	1.0 ± 1.9	1.0 ± 2.0	-0.1 to 0.2	0.575
Post-op 9 y URI (n = 1,690)	1.0 ± 1.7	0.9 ± 1.9	-0.2 to 0.2	0.979
Frequent pre-operative URI				
(≥ 5 times for 2 y)				
Pre-op URI for 2 y (n = 13,430)	9.9 ± 4.7	9.9 ± 4.7	-0.2 to 0.2	1.000
Post-op 1 y URI (n = 13,430)	3.1 ± 3.5	3.2 ± 3.7	-0.2 to 0.1	0.362

Post-op 2 y URI (n = 12,635)	2.9 ± 3.7	3.0 ± 3.7	-0.2 to 0.1	0.442
Post-op 3 y URI (n = 11,480)	2.7 ± 3.4	2.8 ± 3.7	-0.3 to 0.1	0.206
Post-op 4 y URI (n = 10,585)	2.6 ± 3.4	2.6 ± 3.7	-0.2 to 0.1	0.439
Post-op 5 y URI (n = 9,305)	2.5 ± 3.3	2.4 ± 3.4	- 0.1 to 0.3	0.321
Post-op 6 y URI (n = 7,805)	2.2 ± 3.2	2.2 ± 3.2	-0.1 to 0.2	0.719
Post-op 7 y URI (n = 6,045)	1.9 ± 2.8	2.0 ± 3.0	- 0.2 to 0.1	0.569
Post-op 8 y URI (n = 3,910)	1.8 ± 2.8	1.8 ± 2.8	- 0.2 to 0.2	0.883
Post-op 9 y URI (n = 2,160)	1.7 ± 2.7	1.5 ± 2.5	- 0.1 to 0.4	0.281

URI: Upper respiratory infection

SD: Standard deviation

Difference: Tonsillectomy group - Control group

CI: Confidence interval

Fig. 1 A schematic illustration of the participant selection process that was used in the present study. Out of a total of 1,025,340 participants, 6,146 tonsillectomy participants were selected. Tonsillectomy for malignancy was excluded (n = 45). The tonsillectomy participants were matched 1:4 with a control group that did not undergo tonsillectomy. Un-matched tonsillectomy participants were excluded (n = 270). Finally, 5,831 tonsillectomy participants and 23,324 control participants were included.




Fig. 2 A schematic illustration of the measurements used for preoperative and postoperative URI visits. The number of visits for URI was measured during the 2 years before tonsillectomy. Post-operative visits for URI were counted for 1 to 9 years, depending on the time of the surgery.

2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Pre-operative URI	OP	Post-operative URI									
Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	3y	4y	5y	6y	7y	8y	9y	
	Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	3y	4y	5y	6y	7y	8y	
		Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	3y	4y	5y	6y	7y	
			Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	3y	4y	5y	6y	
				Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	3y	4y	5y	
					Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	3y	4y	
						Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	3y	
							Pre-op	OP	1y	2y	
								Pre-op	OP	1y	
									Pre-op	OP	1y

S1 Table Subgroup analysis of mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups in very frequent pre-operative URI group (≥ 12 times for 2 y).

Study year	Tonsillectomy (mean, SD)	Control (mean, SD)	95% CI of difference	P-value
Frequent pre-operative URI				
(≥ 12 times for 2 y)				
Pre-op URI for 2 y (n = 3,905)	15.9 \pm 3.8	15.9 \pm 3.8	-0.3 to 0.3	1.000
Post-op 1 y URI (n = 3,905)	4.5 \pm 4.1	4.7 \pm 4.5	-0.5 to 0.1	0.245
Post-op 2 y URI (n = 3,740)	4.0 \pm 4.6	4.2 \pm 4.6	-0.5 to 0.2	0.329
Post-op 3 y URI (n = 3,465)	3.6 \pm 4.1	3.9 \pm 4.4	-0.7 to 0.0	0.060
Post-op 4 y URI (n = 3,205)	3.4 \pm 4.1	3.6 \pm 4.5	-0.6 to 0.1	0.227
Post-op 5 y URI (n = 2,785)	3.1 \pm 3.9	3.3 \pm 4.3	-0.6 to 0.2	0.391
Post-op 6 y URI (n = 2,480)	2.8 \pm 3.5	2.8 \pm 3.8	-0.4 to 0.3	0.684
Post-op 7 y URI (n = 2,050)	2.2 \pm 3.0	2.4 \pm 3.4	-0.6 to 0.1	0.239
Post-op 8 y URI (n = 1,386)	2.3 \pm 3.2	2.0 \pm 3.0	-0.1 to 0.7	0.200
Post-op 9 y URI (n = 825)	2.1 \pm 2.8	1.7 \pm 2.5	-0.1 to 0.8	0.141

URI: Upper respiratory infection

SD: Standard deviation

Difference: Tonsillectomy group - Control group

CI: Confidence interval

S2 Table Subgroup analysis of mean values for pre-operative and post-operative URIs between the tonsillectomy and control groups in young children (<10 years old).

Study year	Tonsillectomy (mean, SD)	Control (mean, SD)	95% CI of difference	P-value
Young Children (< 10 years old)				
Pre-op URI for 2 y (n = 13,750)	7.8 ± 5.9	7.8 ± 5.9	-0.2 to 0.2	1.000
Post-op 1 y URI (n = 13,750)	2.8 ± 3.4	2.8 ± 3.5	-0.1 to 0.2	0.406
Post-op 2 y URI (n = 12,920)	2.6 ± 3.6	2.6 ± 3.6	-0.1 to 0.2	0.694
Post-op 3 y URI (n = 11,880)	2.4 ± 3.4	2.5 ± 3.5	-0.2 to 0.1	0.466
Post-op 4 y URI (n = 11,000)	2.2 ± 3.1	2.3 ± 3.4	-0.2 to 0.1	0.667
Post-op 5 y URI (n = 9,640)	2.1 ± 3.1	2.1 ± 3.1	-0.1 to 0.2	0.373
Post-op 6 y URI (n = 8,055)	1.8 ± 2.8	1.8 ± 2.9	-0.2 to 0.2	0.960
Post-op 7 y URI (n = 6,080)	1.7 ± 2.5	1.6 ± 2.7	-0.2 to 0.2	0.874
Post-op 8 y URI (n = 3,800)	1.5 ± 2.4	1.5 ± 2.4	-0.2 to 0.2	0.702
Post-op 9 y URI (n = 2,015)	1.4 ± 2.2	1.3 ± 2.2	-0.2 to 0.3	0.545

URI: Upper respiratory infection

SD: Standard deviation

Difference: Tonsillectomy group - Control group

CI: Confidence interval

국문 초록

서론: 본 연구의 목적은 편도선 절제술을 받은 환자와, 편도선 수술을 받지 않은 환자에서 시간 경과에 따라 상기도 감염으로 인하여 외래를 방문하는 횟수에 차이가 있는지를 비교하는 것이다.

방법: 심평원 자료를 활용한 국가 표본 코호트 자료를 사용하였다.

5,831 명의 편도선 수술을 시행 받은 환자를 23,324 명의 편도선 수술을 받지 않은 환자(대조군)와 1:4 로 매칭하여 비교하였다. 매칭은 나이, 성별, 수입, 지역, 수술 전 기간의 상기도 감염으로 인한 외래 방문에 대해서 시행하였다. 수술 후 외래 추적 관찰 기간은 1년에서 9년까지 시행하였다. 수술 후 상기도 감염으로 인한 외래 방문 횟수에 대해서 동등성 검정을 시행하였다. 편도선 수술군과 대조군의 동등성 비교의 경계는 -0.5 회 에서 0.5 회 (1년당)로 정하였다.

결과: 편도선 수술군과 대조군에서 수술 후 1년에서 9년까지 상기도 감염으로 인한 외래 방문 횟수의 차이는 없었다 ($-0.5 < 95\%$ 신뢰 구간 < 0.5). 상기도 감염 횟수는 수술 전에는 2년에 5.5 회였으나, 수술 후 1년에는 1년에 2.1 회, 수술 후 9년째에는 1년에 1.4 회로 편도선 수술

군, 대조군 모두에서 감소하는 양상을 보였다. 추가 분석 (소아 대 청소년 및 성인; 수술 전 드문 상기도 감염 군 대 수술 전 흔한 상기도 감염 군)에서 보면, 수술 후 상기도 감염으로 인한 외래 방문은 차이가 없었다. ($-0.5 < 95\%$ 신뢰 구간 < 0.5).

결론: 편도선 수술은 수술 후 상기도 감염을 낮추는 역할을 하지 못하는 것으로 판단된다. 상기도 감염은 편도선 수술을 하던 안하던, 시간 경과에 따라 낮아지는 것으로 판단된다.