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ABSTRACT

In greenhouses, many crops are cultivated at high planting densities because this type of cultivation is highly productive and presents economic advantages. A high planting density causes strong mutual shading effects among adjacent crops, decreasing canopy light interception, photosynthesis, and consequently, crop yield and quality. The objective of this study was to analyze the light interception and photosynthetic rates of the paprika canopy using 3D-scanned plant models and optical simulation according to the growth stage and shading condition. Here, 3D plant models of paprika plants grown in greenhouses were constructed at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting by using a portable 3D scanner. To investigate the shading effects, the 3D-scanned plant models were arranged as isotropic forms of $1 \times 1$, $3 \times 3$, $5 \times 5$, $7 \times 7$, and $9 \times 9$ plants with a distance of 60 cm between plants, and the light interception of the center
plant in the arrangement was obtained with the growth stage by simulation. The canopy photosynthetic rates were calculated using the Farquhar, von Caemmerer, and Berry (FvCB) model. The total canopy light interception and light interception per unit leaf area of the center plant decreased due to the self- and mutual shading effects caused by the growth of each plant and the increase in the number of surrounding plants. The canopy photosynthetic rates showed similar patterns to those of the total light interception, but their decreasing rate was less than that of the total light interception because the leaf photosynthetic rate was saturated at the top of the canopy. In this study, the spatial distributions of the canopy light interception and photosynthetic rates could be analyzed by using 3D-scanned models of paprika and optical simulation. This method can be an effective tool for designing crop cultivation systems as well as estimating canopy light interception and photosynthesis in greenhouses.
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INTRODUCTION

In greenhouses, many crop species were cultivated at high planting densities given economic advantages of high productivity. However, a high planting density causes strong mutual shading effects among adjacent plants, decreasing canopy light interception (Chen et al., 1999). As a result, vegetative growth of crops is restricted, and the fruit quality also decreases (Aminifard et al., 2012). The decreased light interception of lower leaves due to shading causes reduced net photosynthesis in the entire crop canopy (Tanaka and Kawano, 1966). Since crop yield is determined by accumulated products from canopy photosynthesis driven by canopy light interception (Monteith, 1965), planting density has been optimized to maximize canopy light interception and crop yield in greenhouses (Tanaka and Kawano, 1966; Anten et al., 1995; Goudriaan, 1995).

However, optimal planting densities have been provided by indirect parameters, such as leaf area density or number of plants per m². In actual cultivation conditions, canopy light interception and photosynthesis are mainly determined by light environment factors in greenhouses, such as meteorological factors and greenhouse structures. Morphological and structural characteristics of crops affected the canopy photosynthesis (Caldwell et al., 1986; Kim et al., 2016). Therefore, light distribution and leaf photosynthesis of crops must be accurately estimated to analyze the canopy photosynthesis (Chen at al., 2015).
Three-dimensional virtual plant models have been introduced for analyzing canopy light interception with more complicated but accurate computational approaches. Given advancements in 3D graphic technology, plant architecture can be expressed by computer graphic tools (Smith, 1984; Prusinkiewicz, 1986). By constructing 3D-structured plant models and performing an optical simulation, spatial light distributions can be accurately estimated even on irregular plants and canopy structures, and canopy photosynthesis can be more accurately calculated with the obtained light distribution (Buck-Sorlin et al., 2011; Sarlikioti et al., 2011; De Visser et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016; Jung et al., 2018).

The estimation accuracy depends on the accuracy of the reconstructed 3D plant models. However, existing 3D plant models do not reflect exact structures of the actual plant because plant organs, such as leaf, stem, and fruit, are represented as simplified features. Three-dimensional-scanning technology can be an appropriate solution to this problem by precisely scanning the structure and surface of actual plants (Paulus et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). Although several studies on 3D-scanned plant models have been published, they were mainly focused on the precise reconstruction of plant structures (Hosoi et al., 2011; Wahabzada et al., 2015; Behmann et al., 2016; Moriondo et al., 2016). The objective of this study is to analyze the light interception and photosynthetic rate of paprika canopy using 3D-scanned plant models and optical simulation according to growth stage and shading condition.
LITERATURE REVIEW

Mutual Shading and Optimal Planting Density

In greenhouses, many crop species are cultivated at high planting densities because of economic advantages of high productivity (Thornley, 1983). At a high planting density, strong mutual shadings occur among adjacent plants, reducing photosynthetic capacities such as nitrogen content and chlorophyll a/b ratio of shaded lower leaves (Pearcy, 1998; Dinç et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). However, the respiration of entire canopy proceeds as upper leaves, leading the loss of net photosynthesis (Tanaka and Kawano, 1966). Carbohydrate accumulation and bud growth are inhibited due to the decreased light transmission (Foster et al., 1993). Competition among crops can occur for nutrients, physical spaces, and moisture at high planting densities (Law-Ogbomo and Egharevba, 2009). Modeling approaches have been conducted to find optimal planting densities for maximizing dry matter productions, and to determine optimal leaf area index (LAI) with different daily irradiation and canopy nitrogen levels (Anten et al., 1995; Goudriaan, 1995).

Development of Process-Based Plant Models

The first-developed plant models were process-based models (PBM), which explain the crop growth with a set of mathematical equations. In PBM,
crops were divided into organs such as leaves, stems, roots and reproductive or storage organs (Marcelis et al., 1998). PBMs calculated the crop growth with a flow of dry matter, and its production started from the light interception by LAI (Van Ittersum et al., 2003). Light interception of crop canopy was calculated with an assumption that the light intensity is exponentially decreasing from the top to the bottom of crop canopy (Monsi and Saeki, 1953). This assumption exhibited a practical simplicity and reliable accuracy with variables of extinction coefficient k and overlying LAI. Gross photosynthesis was calculated by a simple equation with maximum rate of leaf photosynthesis and a rectangular hyperbola curve (Thornley 1976; Goudriaan et al., 1995). Whole canopy photosynthetic rate is calculated by summing individual leaf photosynthetic rate. Later, the Farquhar, von Caemmerer, and Berry (FvCB) model has widely been adapted and expressed the leaf photosynthetic rate with various environmental factors such as activity of Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) and Ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP), temperature, intercellular CO\textsubscript{2} concentration, and absorbed irradiation (Farquhar et al., 1980).

**Development of Functional Structural Plant Models**

The next generation model called ‘architectural model’ is based on the ‘L-systems’ which explain the development of multicellular organism by
describing the shape, position, and properties of the \((n+1)\)-th element as functions of those of the \(n\)-th element (Lindenmayer, 1968a, b). With an advancement of computer graphics, plant architectures can be expressed on 3D space (Smith, 1984; Prusinkiewicz, 1986). In the architectural model, plants were treated as closed cybernetic systems, meaning that there was no interaction between plant development and environment (Vos et al., 2007). With the development of functional-structural plant models (FSPMs) (Sievänen et al., 2000; Godin and Sinoquet, 2005) or virtual plant models (Room et al., 1996; Hanan, 1997), development of 3D plant architectures over time can be reflected. Therefore, FSPMs enabled to model the material flow within the 3D plant model and environment (Vos et al., 2007). Light distributions on the 3D plant canopy can be obtained by ray-tracing simulation (Cieslak et al., 2008; Buck-Sorlin et al., 2009; Sarlikioti et al., 2011; Henke, 2018). By using canopy photosynthetic properties, canopy photosynthetic rates could be more accurately calculated (Buck-Sorlin et al., 2011; De Visser et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016; Jung et al., 2018). 3D scanning technology has been adopted to 3D plant modelling with high accuracy in representing the structure and surface of actual plants (Paulus et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). Precise construction and extraction of plant structures were conducted by using the 3D-scanned plant models (Hosoi et al., 2011; Wahabzada et al., 2015; Jan Behmann et al., 2016; Moriondo et al., 2016).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Cultivation Conditions

This experiment was conducted in Venlo-type greenhouses of the Protected Horticulture Research Institute, National Institute of Horticultural and Herbal Sciences (RDA), Haman, Korea (35.2°N, 128.4°E). Paprika plants (*Capsicum annuum* L. ‘Scirocco’) were sown on a tray on February 8, 2018 and transferred on cubes on March 5, 2018. After raising seedlings, the plants were transplanted on the slabs with a planting density of two plants·m⁻² on April 6, 2018. The four cubes were planted on each slab. During the seedling period, electrical conductivity (EC) of nutrient solutions was 0.8 dS·m⁻¹. The EC was gradually increased by 0.2 dS·m⁻¹ every week and maintained at 2.5 dS·m⁻¹ at the last seedling stage. After the transplanting, the nutrient solutions with EC 2.5 dS·m⁻¹ and pH 6.0 were supplied 14 times a day at 33 mL per plant by drip irrigation.

3D Scanning and Reconstruction of 3D Plant Models

Three-dimensional scanning of the plants was performed with a portable 3D scanner (GO!SCAN50™, Creaform, Lévis, Quebec, Canada) at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT). To improve the accuracy of the 3D-scanned results, a circular target with a diameter of 10 mm was attached to the surface of leaves, stems and fruits of the plants. Three-dimensional scanning
was performed with a resolution of 2 mm. The 3D-scanned mesh obtained by 3D scanning was transformed into a parametric model using scanning software (Vxelement, CREAFORM, Lévis, Quebec, Canada) and reverse engineering software (Geomagic Design X, 3D Systems, Rock Hill, SC, USA) and reconstructed in a 3D CAD software (SOLIDWORKS, Dassault Systèmes, Vélizy-Villacoublay, France). To apply the optical properties of the plants to the 3D plant model, the transmittance ($Tr$) and reflectance ($Ref$) of the plant leaves were measured with an integrating sphere combined with a spectroradiometer in the range of 400-700 nm. Absorption ($Abs$) of leaves was calculated with equations as $Abs = 1 - (Tr + Ref)$. The measurement was conducted with three randomly sampled leaves each from the top, middle, and lower portions of the plant canopy.

**Arrangement of Surrounding Plants and Optical Simulation**

For investigating the shading effect of surrounding plants, the 3D-scanned plant models were arranged as isotropic forms of $1 \times 1$, $3 \times 3$, $5 \times 5$, $7 \times 7$, and $9 \times 9$ plants. The spatial light distribution of the 3D plant located in the center was obtained by optical simulation (OPTISWORKS, OPTIS Inc., La Farlède, FRANCE) (Fig. 1). The distance between the plants were decided as 60 cm to avoid the overlapping of leaves. Three-dimensional plant models at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 DAT were used. As optical simulation conditions, the location and date were set to equator (0.0°N, 0.0°E) and April 26, 2018, respectively.
Fig. 1. Isotropic arrangements of $1 \times 1$, $3 \times 3$, $5 \times 5$, $7 \times 7$, and $9 \times 9$ paprika plants with a distance of 60 cm between the plants. A plant located in the center (red-colored) is the target plant to be analyzed.
The time was set to noon. At that time, the solar zenith angle was $76.4^\circ$. The number of rays used in the optical simulation was set to 100 mega-rays. The atmospheric turbidity was set to 3, which means a general clear sky with a visible distance of 10 km. The ratio of direct and scattered light was 6:4. For verification of optical simulation, daily light intensities in a greenhouse (35.2°N, 128.4°E; Haman, Korea on July 4, 2018) measured using a quantum sensor (SQ-110, Apogee Instrument Inc., Logan, Utah, USA) were compared with simulated values and showed good agreements (Fig. A1).

**Calculation of photosynthetic rate**

Photosynthetic rates were calculated by the FvCB model as follows:

$$P = \min(A_c, A_j) - R \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

$$A_c = \left( \frac{V_c(C_l-I^{\ast})}{C_l + K_c(1+\frac{I}{\alpha})} \right) \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

$$V_c = V_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{31+\left(1-e^{-0.009(PAR-500)}\right)}{100} \right) \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}$$

$$A_j = \left( \frac{f(C_l-I^{\ast})}{4C_l+8I^{\ast}} \right) \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

$$J = \left( \frac{\alpha \text{PAR} + f_{\text{max}} - \sqrt{(\alpha \text{PAR} + f_{\text{max}})^2 - 4\theta f_{\text{max}} \alpha \text{PAR}}}{2\theta} \right) \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}$$

where $P$ is leaf net assimilation rate ($\mu$mol CO$_2$·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$); $A_c$ and $A_j$ are leaf gross assimilation rates limited by Rubisco activity ($\mu$mol CO$_2$·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$) and RuBP regeneration ($\mu$mol CO$_2$·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$), respectively; $R$ is non-photorespiratory
respiration rate (μmol CO$_2$·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$); $V_c$ is carboxylation capacity at specific light intensity (μmol CO$_2$·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$); $C_i$ is intercellular CO$_2$ concentration (μmol·mol$^{-1}$); $I^*$ is CO$_2$ compensation point (μmol·mol$^{-1}$); $K_c$ and $K_o$ are Michaelis–Menten constants of Rubisco for CO$_2$ and O$_2$ (μmol·mol$^{-1}$), respectively; $O$ is oxygen concentration (μmol·mol$^{-1}$); $PAR$ is photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD, μmol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$); $\alpha$ is efficiency of light energy conversion on an incident light (mol·mol$^{-1}$); $J_{max}$ is maximum electron transport rate (μmol CO$_2$·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$); and $\theta$ is curvature of light response of $J$ (dimensionless) (Qian et al., 2012). Air temperature, relative humidity, and CO$_2$ concentration were assumed as 27°C, 60%, and 400 mol·mol$^{-1}$, respectively. $V_{cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ values of top, middle, and bottom leaf positions in paprika plant were obtained as 81.9, 37.0, and 14.6, and 162.4, 38.4, and 12.3, respectively (Table 1).
Table 1. Maximum electron transport rate ($J_{\text{max}}$), maximum carboxylation capacity ($V_{\text{cmax}}$), and respiration ($R$) of paprika plants in the FvCB model according to leaf position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaf position</th>
<th>$J_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{cmax}}$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>162.4</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Construction of 3D Plant Models with Growth Stage

Paprika plant models at DAT 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 were constructed by 3D scanning (Fig. 2). For each growth stage, the plant heights were 61.4, 89.8, 119.5, and 170.2 cm; the number of leaves were 13, 31, 51, 64, and 79; and the total leaf areas were 0.10, 0.37, 0.66, 0.85, and 1.13 m², respectively (Table A1).

Spatial Canopy Light Interception with Number of Surrounding Plants and Growth Stage

The optical simulation with isotropic arrangements of 3D-scanned paprika models showed that the light interception was highest at the top of the canopy and decreased to the bottom (Fig. 3). As the number of surrounding plants increased, the amount of intercepted lights of the center plant tended to decrease and finally converged to constant values in all growth stages (Fig. 4). In particular, the light interception of the plants at 112 DAT was greatly decreased due to the mutual shading of the surrounding plants (Fig. 4). At 1 × 1 (without surrounding plants), the canopy light interception was increased to 29.1, 86.8, 164.3, 209.2, and 237.9 J·s⁻¹ at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 DAT. At early growth stage (7 DAT), the canopy light interception was 29.1 J·s⁻¹ at 1 × 1,
Fig. 2. 3D plant models of paprika plants grown in greenhouses scanned at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT).
Fig. 3. Spatial distribution of light interceptions of 3D-scanned paprika models at isotropic arrangements of $1 \times 1$, $3 \times 3$, $5 \times 5$, $7 \times 7$, and $9 \times 9$ plants at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT).
Fig. 4. Changes in total canopy light interception of 3D-scanned paprika models with increasing number of surrounding plants at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT). Refer to Fig. 1 for 1 × 1, 3 × 3, 5 × 5, 7 × 7, and 9 × 9 isotropic arrangements of surrounding plants.
and 28.5 J·s⁻¹ at 9 × 9, showing a reduction of 2.0%. As the growth progressed to 35, 63, 91, and 112 DAT, the light interceptions in the plant at 9 × 9 considerably decreased by 9.0, 19.5, 25.2, and 28.6% compared with those in the plant at 1 × 1.

The vertical light distribution of the plant at 112 DAT was calculated using the spatial light distribution by optical simulation (Fig. 5A). At 1 × 1, the average light interception of the top canopy was 1,831.5 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, while it decreased to 1,176.5 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at the bottom. At 9 × 9, the average light interception of upper canopy was similar to that at 1 × 1 with 1,747.6 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, but it sharply deceased at approximately 110 cm height and showed 681.4 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at the bottom. The difference in average light interception between the two arrangements was approximately 100 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at a plant height greater than 110 cm (Fig. 5B), while it became larger at a plant height less than 110 cm. The highest value was noted at 110 cm with 719.5 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (Fig. 5B).

**Spatial Canopy Photosynthetic Rate Based on Number of Surrounding Plants and Growth Stage**

Photosynthetic rate was highest at the top of the canopy and decreased to the bottom at all growth stages (Fig. 6). As the number of surrounding plants increased, the canopy photosynthetic rate of the plant in the center tended to
Fig. 5. Vertical analyses of average light interception (A) and decreased amount of the light interception (B) of 3D-scanned paprika models at isotropic arrangements of $1 \times 1$ and $9 \times 9$ plants at 112 days after transplanting.
Fig. 6. Spatial distribution of photosynthetic rates of 3D-scanned paprika models at isotropic arrangements of $1 \times 1$, $3 \times 3$, $5 \times 5$, $7 \times 7$, and $9 \times 9$ plants at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT).
decrease and finally converged (Fig. 7). In particular, the plants at 112 DAT showed great decrease in canopy photosynthetic rate due to the shading of the surrounding plants. At 1 × 1, the canopy photosynthetic rate increased to 2.60, 7.23, 13.61, 16.38, and 23.93 g·h⁻¹ at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 DAT, respectively. At early growth stage (7 DAT), the canopy photosynthetic rate was 2.60 g·h⁻¹ at 1 × 1, and 2.57 g·h⁻¹ at 9 × 9, showing a reduction of 1.20%. However, as the growth progressed to 35, 63, 91, and 112 DAT, the canopy photosynthetic rate in the plant at 9 × 9 considerably decreased by 5.4, 8.2, 11.6, and 15.6% compared with those in the plant at 1 × 1.

Effects of Self- and Mutual Shadings on Canopy Light Interception and Photosynthetic Rate

While the canopy light interception and canopy photosynthetic rate of the plants increased with growth stage, those per unit leaf area showed opposite results (Tables 2, 3). The light interception per unit leaf area was highest at 1 × 1 at DAT 7 with 324.4 J·s⁻¹·m⁻² and tended to decrease as the plants grew. As the number of surrounding plants increased, the intercepted light per unit leaf area also decreased. The light interception per unit leaf area according to growth stage and number of surrounding plants decreased from 82.8% at 35 DAT to 56.0% at 112 DAT compared to the plant at 7 DAT at 1 × 1 (Table 2). At 9 × 9, the light interception per unit leaf area decreased to 98.0% at 7 DAT to 40.0% at 112 DAT compared with those of 7 DAT at 1 × 1.
Fig. 7. Changes in total canopy photosynthetic rate of 3D-scanned paprika models with increasing number of surrounding plants at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT). Refer to Fig. 1 for 1 × 1, 3 × 3, 5 × 5, 7 × 7, and 9 × 9 isotropic arrangements of surrounding plants.
Table 2. Decreasing rate of light interception per unit leaf area of 3D paprika models with increasing number of surrounding plants at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT). Refer to Fig. 1 for $1 \times 1$, $3 \times 3$, $5 \times 5$, $7 \times 7$, and $9 \times 9$ isotropic arrangements of surrounding plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth stage (DAT)</th>
<th>Rate of light interception per unit leaf area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1 \times 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.000$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^c$Canopy light interception per leaf area = 324.4 J·s$^{-1}$·m$^{-2}$
Table 3. Decreasing rate of photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area of 3D paprika models with increasing number of surrounding plants at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT). Refer to Fig. 1 for 1 × 1, 3 × 3, 5 × 5, 7 × 7, and 9 × 9 isotropic arrangements of surrounding plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth stage (DAT)</th>
<th>Rate of photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 × 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Canopy photosynthetic rate per leaf area = 28.98 g·m⁻²·h⁻¹

Canopy photosynthetic rate per leaf area = 28.98 g·m⁻²·h⁻¹
Similarly, the photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area was highest at 1 × 1 at 7 DAT with 324.4 J·s⁻¹·m⁻² and showed a similar trend to the light interception per unit leaf area (Table 3). As the number of surrounding plants increased, the photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area also decreased. The photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area according to growth stage and number of surrounding plants decreased from 77.1 at 35 DAT to 63.1% at 112 DAT compared with the plant at 7 DAT at 1 × 1 (Table 3). At 9 × 9, the photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area decreased to 98.8% at 7 DAT to 53.8% at 112 DAT compared with those of 7 DAT at 1×1.
DISCUSSION

The light interception per unit leaf area of the center plant in the arrangement of surrounding plants showed different change patterns with growth stage from the canopy light interception. However, both the canopy light interception and light interception per unit leaf area tended to decrease with increasing number of surrounding plants (Figs. 3, 4, Table 2). This finding is due to the self- and mutual shading effects caused by the growth of each plant and the increase in the number of surrounding plants (Tanaka and Kawano, 1966). Shadings occur not only between adjacent plants as mutual shading but also can occur within an individual plant canopy as self-shading (Hilker et al., 2008; Marchiori et al., 2014). Through the optical simulation, both shading effects could be analyzed (Figs. 3, 5A, 5B). At a solar altitude in the simulation condition, the shading distance, which is the minimum planting distance through which the plants can completely receive the direct sunlight, was calculated as 41.2 cm at 112 DAT (Table A2). Because the distance between plant models was set to 60 cm in this study, the reduced light interception with increasing number of surrounding plants occurred by the shading of diffused lights. Thus, the light interception of the entire crop canopy is reduced due to the interception of the diffused light by surrounding plants, particularly, causing larger decrease in light intensity at the middle and bottom parts of the canopy.
(Utsugi, 1999; Suzaki et al., 2003; Fig. 5B). In Korea, the solar altitude in the summer season is approximately 70° which is considerably reduced to approximately 30° in the winter season (Kim and Park, 2010; Kim and Lee, 2011). Therefore, in the winter season with low solar altitude, the shading distance is longer than summer season, indicating that the mutual shading caused by surrounding plants increases.

The photosynthetic distribution and photosynthetic rate calculated by the FvCB model showed similar trends to the change in the total canopy light interception. On the other hand, the decreasing rate of canopy photosynthetic rate was smaller than those of the total light interception affected by the growth stage and the number of surrounding plants (Fig. 7). The photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area also showed a smaller decrease compared with the light interception per unit leaf area (Table 3). This finding is probably due to the environmental conditions of the simulation. In this study, the atmospheric CO₂ concentration was assumed to be 400 μmol·mol⁻¹, which is the average atmospheric CO₂ concentration. At 400 μmol·mol⁻¹ CO₂, the photosynthetic rate of the top leaves of the canopy was saturated with 36.7 μmol CO₂·m⁻²·s⁻¹ when PPFD was 2,500 μmol CO₂·m⁻²·s⁻¹. In Korea, daily solar radiation is highly variable. Particularly in summer, PPFD in greenhouses increases to greater than 2,000 μmol CO₂·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (Shin et al., 2014). Therefore, CO₂ is enriched to increase the photosynthetic capacity of the crops in greenhouses. Many results showed that the photosynthetic rates increased by enriched CO₂
in various crops, such as tomato, cucumber, and sweet pepper (Wittwer and Robb, 1964; Nederhoff and Vegter, 1994; Körner et al., 2007). Thus, analysis of canopy photosynthetic rate using 3D plant model and optical simulation could be helpful in establishing CO$_2$ fertilization strategies in greenhouses (Son et al., 1999; Hu et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2016).

Given the shading effect, the photosynthetic activity of the shaded lower leaves is limited by lower light intensity, but respiration proceeds as the upper leaves, decreasing nitrogen content and chlorophyll a/b ratio (Pearcy 1998; Dinç et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014), reducing photosynthetic function and subsequent loss in net canopy photosynthesis (Tanaka and Kawano, 1966). Crops cultivated at lower planting densities are reported to be more vigorous than those at higher planting densities, and the fruit qualities, such as fruit weight, volume and vitamin C content were also improved (Aminifard et al., 2012). However, the yield per plant is higher at lower planting densities, while the yield per unit area increased at higher planting densities due to the increase in the number of plants per unit area (Thornley, 1983; Aminifard et al., 2010). Thus, most greenhouse crops are cultivated at high planting densities inhibiting photosyntheate accumulation and bud growth due to the decreased light transmission (Foster et al., 1993). Moreover, at higher planting densities, competition for nutrients, physical spaces, and moisture among crops can occur (Law-Ogbomo and Egharevba, 2009). This study suggested the possibility of presenting optimal cultivation conditions by analyzing shading effects.
according to growth stage and planting density by using 3D plant model and optical simulation.
CONCLUSION

The light interception and photosynthetic rate of paprika canopy was analyzed by using 3D-scanned paprika models and optical simulation at different growth stage and shading conditions. The canopy light interception and light interception per unit leaf area decreased due to the self- and mutual shading effects caused by the growth of each plant and the increased number of surrounding plants. The canopy photosynthetic rate showed a similar decreasing pattern to the light interception but the decrease considerably smaller due to the saturation of leaf photosynthetic rate of the plants. This method could be effective for designing cultivation systems as well as predicting canopy light interception and photosynthetic rate in greenhouses.
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APPENDICES

Fig. A1. Comparison of measured and simulated daily light intensity in a greenhouse (35.2°N, 128.4°E; Haman, Korea) on July 4, 2018.
Table A1. Plant height, number of leaves, and leaf area of 3D-scanned paprika plant at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth stage (DAT)</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>No. of leaves</th>
<th>Leaf area (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>170.2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2. Shading distance at the bottom of 3D paprika model at 7, 35, 63, 91, and 112 days after transplanting (DAT) at a solar altitude of 76.4° (12:00).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth stage (DAT)</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Shading distance (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>170.2</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
온실에서는 높은 단위 생산성을 위해 높은 재식 밀도로 작물을 재배한다. 그러나 높은 재식 밀도 조건에서는 인접한 개체에 의한 상호 차광이 발생하여 캐노피 수광과 광합성을 감소시키고, 결과적으로 작물 수광과 품질의 저하를 초래한다. 이에 따라 최적 재식 밀도를 찾기 위한 연구가 진행되었으나, 이를 간접적인 단위로 표현하여 작물 간의 상호 작용에 대한 분석이 어렵다. 따라서 본 연구의 목적은 3차원 스캔 모델과 광학 시뮬레이션을 이용하여 파프리카의 생육 단계와 차광 조건에 따른 수광과 광합성 속도를 분석하는 것이다. 3차원 스캔모델을 통해 정식 후 7, 35, 63, 91, 112일의 작물 모델을 구축하였다. 작물의 차광 효과를 분석하기 위해 작물 모델을 60cm 간격으로 1×1, 3×3, 5×5, 7×7, 9×9로 정방형 배치하여 생육 단계별 시뮬레이션을 진행하였고, 중심에 위치한 개체의 수광량을 계산하였다. 캐노피 광합성 속도는 FvCB 모델을 이용하여 계산하였다. 시뮬레이션 결과 모든 생육 단계에서 주변 개체 수가 증가함수록 작물 수광량은 감소하였다. 특히, 단위 엽면적당 수량은 작물의 생육이 진행됨에 따라 감소하였다. 이는 각 작물의 생육에 따른 자기 광 차단과 주변 작물의 증가에 따른
상호 광 차단 효과 때문으로 생각된다. 이산화탄소 소모량은 총 수광량과 비슷한 변화 양상을 보였으나, 작물 상단부에서 광합성 포화가 나타났기 때문에 수광량 변화에 감소율은 작았다. 본 실험에서는 파프리카의 3차원 스캔 모델과 광학 시뮬레이션을 이용하여 생육 단계와 주변 개체의 증감에 따른 작물의 수광과 광합성 변화를 분석할 수 있었다. 이는 추후 온실 내에서 작물 재배 시스템을 디자인하고, 수광과 광합성을 예측하는 데에 효과적인 방법이 될 수 있을 것이다.

주요어: 광 분포, 광 추적, 상호 광 차단, 자기 광 차단, 캐노피
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