# ccreative <br> <br> commons 

 <br> <br> commons}
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { C } & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{D}\end{array}$

저작자표시-비영리-변경금지 2.0 대한민국
이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

- 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.

다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:


저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.

비영리. 귀하는 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 없습니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건 을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 이용허락규약(Legal Code)을 이해하기 숩게 요약한 것입니다.

$$
\text { Disclaimer } \square
$$

## c)Collection

## 공학박사학위논문

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 원 통형 노즐로부터 방사되는 분자간 } \\
\text { 충돌이 존재하는 분자 유동의 } \\
\text { 방사 특성 모델 }
\end{gathered}
$$

The Analytical Model of the Angular Distribution of the Molecular Flux with Intermolecular Collisions Emitted from a Cylindrical Nozzle

## 서울대학교 대학원 기계항공공학부 <br> 김 도 훈

Ph.D. Dissertation of Mechanical Engineering

# The Analytical Model of the Angular Distribution of the Molecular Flux with Intermolecular Collisions Emitted from a Cylindrical Nozzle <br> The Model of the Angular Distribution for <br> - Optimization of the Linear Thermal Evaporation System <br> 원통형 노즐로부터 방사되는 분자간 충돌이 존재하는 분 자 유동의 방사 특성 모델 

February 2019

Graduate School of Engineering
Seoul National University
Mechanical Engineering Major

Dohun Kim


#### Abstract

English)

The linear source of a thermal evaporation system, which consist of a crucible in which to put materials and nozzles as the outlet from which evaporated materials emitted, has been used in manufacture processes of semi-conductors and OLEDs. When the molecules of evaporated materials from the nozzle are deposited onto a substrate, the thickness of the deposited molecules depends on the angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux from the nozzle. The angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux from the nozzle is determined by a design of the nozzle, a type and a density of material. The angular distribution is the one of important elements in optimizing the linear thermal evaporation system for depositing uniform thickness on thin film on a substrate.

Various theoretical methods have been studied in an effort to express the angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux mathematically. According to Knudsen in 1907, the angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux from a cylindrical nozzle, can be expressed in the form of $\cos ^{n}(\theta)$. The actual angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from a nozzle, however, does not precisely match the form of $\cos ^{n}(\theta)$ by Knudsen.

There are other methods to express the angular distribution more precisely. One is the conventional analytical model integrating the molecules emitted directly and the molecules reflected onto the inner wall of the nozzle by means of numerical integration. Another method involves the direct simulation of molecules being emitted from the nozzle using a Monte Carlo method. Using these conventional methods can allow one to determine the accurate angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux. However, the conventional analytical method has proposed an analytical model of the angular distribution by the change of nozzle design, but cannot express the change of the angular distribution by the collision between molecules. Because


the method assume there are no collision between molecules due to free molecular flow.

On the other hand, the Monte Carlo method can simulate the change of the angular distribution of the molecular flux both by the collision between molecules and by the change of the nozzle shape, but the same calculation should be repeated whenever the shape of the nozzle changes, as the angular distribution acquired by these methods is not an "analytical solution" but a "numerical solution" .

In this paper, an analytical model of the accurate angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux determined via the last intermolecular collisions model and the numerical integration is proposed to express the change of a density of molecules as well as the change of a nozzle shape in the case of a cylindrical nozzle, which is the most commonly used type. Moreover, the model can be helpful to make the optimization processes of nozzle array of linear sources faster and more accurate. The model is verified through a comparison involving the direct simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC) method and an experiment.

Keyword : Linear thermal evaporation system, Intermolecular collisions, Angular distribution, Transitional flow, DSMC Student Number : 2010-20653

## Abstract (Korean)

반도체, OLED의 제조 공정에 주로 사용되는 선형 열 증발원 (Linear Thermal Evaporation System) 방식의 공정은 물질을 담는 도가니와 기화한 물질의 출구 역할을 하는 노즐로 이루어진 장치를 가열하여, 물질을 기화 또는 승화시켜 기판에 박막을 형성하는 방식이다. 기화 또는 승화한 물질의 분자가 노즐을 통과하여 기판에 증착될 때, 기판에 증착된 물질의 두께는 노즐을 통과하여 방출되는 분자 유동의 방사특성 (The angular distribution)에 의해 결정된다. 방사특성은 노즐의 형상, 물질 종류 그리고 밀도에 의해 결정되는데, 이러한 노즐로부터 방출된 분자 유동의 방사특성은 기판에 균일한 두께의 박막을 증착하기 위한 선형 열 증발원을 최적화하는데 있어 중요한 요소 중 하나이다.

이러한 분자 유동의 방사특성을 모델링하기 위해 많은 연구가 진행되었으며, 1907년, 누센(Knudsen)은 원통형 노즐에서 방출되는 분자는 코사인의 지수 함수의 형태 $(\operatorname{cosn} \theta)$ 로 나타낼 수 있다는 코사인 법칙(Cosine Law)을 제안하였다. 그러나 누센의 코사인 법칙은 실제 원통형 노즐의 방사특성을 정확하게 표현하기는 한계가 있다.

최근 더 정확하게 분자 유동의 방사 특성을 표현하기 위한 모델로는 수치적분법을 이용하여 노즐에 입사되는 분자의 분포와 노즐의 벽면에서 반사되는 분자의 분포 전체를 적분한 해석적 모델 방법과 몬테카를로 방법을 통해 노즐 출구에서 방출되는 분자의 형태를 시뮬레이션하는 방법이 있다. 이러한 기존 방법들은 노즐의 방사특성을 정확하게 모델링하는데, 큰 기여를 하였다. 하지만 수치적분법을 이용한 방법은 노즐 형상 변화에 의한 방사특성의 해석적 모델을 제안하고 있으나, 분자의 충돌이 없는 경우를 가정하기 때문에 분자의 충돌로 인한 방사 특성의 변화를 나타내지 못한다. 반면, 몬테카를로 방법을 이용한 방법은 분자의 운동 직접적으로 시뮬레이션하기 때문에 분자의 충돌, 그리고 노즐 형태의 변화에 따른 방사 특성의 변화를 나타낼 수는 있으나, 각 변화에 따라 시뮬레이션을 반복해야하는 단점이 있다. 본 연구에서는 일반적으로 많이 사용되는 노즐의 형태인 원통형

노즐에 대해 노즐 내 분자 충돌을 모델을 모델링하고, 수치적분법을 이용, 노즐의 방사특성을 정확하게 나타낼 수 있는 해석적 모델(analytical model)을 제안하고, 모델의 방사특성을 실제 실험과 몬테카를로 시뮬레이션 방법의 방사특성 결과와 비교 검증 하였다.

Keyword : 선형열증발원, 분자간 충돌, 방사특성, 분자 천이 유동, 몬테카를로 시뮬레이션
Student Number : 2010-20653
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## Chapter 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Study Background



Fig. 1-1. The structure of the linear thermal evaporation source.
(a) The structure of the linear evaporation source. (b) The method of deposition of the linear evaporation system.

In the thin film process required for manufacturing semiconductors, solar cells and OLEDs, the thermal evaporation system in a high vacuum environment is mainly used to form a thin film of a pure material. In recent years, the linear thermal evaporation system(LS) have been widely used in OLED manufacturing processes, due to the uniform deposition on a wider substrate, the efficiency of materials, and the precise control of positions between a wider substrate and a more precise patterned mask. LS is a method of forming a thin film on a stationary substrate by linearly reciprocating a source having several nozzles, which are generally linearly arranged as shown in Fig. 1. [1]

The optimization of LS is necessary to uniformly deposit material throughout the substrate. In the case of the optimization of LS, the optimization by simulation is better than the optimization by real experimentation. The reason is that LS is processed in a high vacuum environment in order to form a thin film of pure material, when depositing material on the substrate by using LS It takes a long time to make the pressure in the chamber, in which the LS is operated a high vacuum state. Thus
the time of heating the LS also takes a long time to reach the temperature at which the material can vaporize or sublimate.

The elements that need optimization include the gap from the linear evaporation source to the substrate, the length between nozzles at both ends, the arrangement, size and slope of the nozzles, and the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from the nozzle. In order to optimize each element of the linear evaporation source, the angular distribution of the molecular flux from the nozzle of LS must be known accurately [2] [3].


Fig. 1-2. Time required for LS process.
(a) Time to reach high-vacuum ( $5.0 \mathrm{E}-06 \mathrm{~Pa}$ ) (b) Time to reach target rate

### 1.2. Purpose of Research



Fig. 1-3. The angular distribution of the molecular flux by Knudsen' s Law. (a) A thin orifice. (b) A long cylindrical nozzle.

The elements influencing the angular distribution of the molecular flux through the nozzle include the type of molecule, the density of molecular flux, and the shape of the nozzle. Many methods by which to gain an accurate calculation of the angular distribution have been devised thus far.

A common and well-known theory is Knudsen's Cosine Law, which is expressed as the $n$-squared form of the cosine. According to this theory, the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from a thin orifice can be expressed in the form of $\cos (\theta)$. Additionally, for a relatively long cylindrical nozzle, the angular distribution can be determined in the form of $\cos ^{n}(\theta)$, as shown as Fig. 3. [4] [5]

For a cylindrical nozzle, the radius of the outlet and the length of the nozzle affect the changes of the angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux. There is limit to applying the method by Knudsen to LS that needs to optimize the position and size of several nozzles. To overcome the limitation, a model is needed to describe the angular distribution, including the density of the molecular flux and the shape of the nozzle.

A typical method is the analytical model by numerical integration. The molecules entering the nozzle inlet are largely discharged through two paths to the nozzle outlet. The molecules can be divided into molecules that are directly emitted from the nozzle inlet to the nozzle outlet without colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle and molecules emitted to the nozzle outlet after colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle. At this time, the collision with the inner wall can occur once or more than once. The numerical integration method provides a means of calculating the angular distribution of the molecules emitted to the nozzle outlet by multiplying the probability of moving to each path for every molecule entering the nozzle inlet [4].

The analytical model by numerical integration can be applied only to the free molecular flow regime as shown above assumption. Because it is necessary to calculate the probability of the movement path of the nozzle according to the geometrical shape of the nozzle, it can be calculated with a cylindrical nozzle having a relatively simple shape, but it is difficult to calculate this when the shape of the nozzle becomes complicated.

Another method is to use Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC). DSMC directly tracks the path of a molecule generated by a function having a shape similar to that of the actual angular distribution of the molecular flux entering the nozzle inlet. [6] [7] [8] A part of the molecules is directly emitted toward the nozzle outlet. The other part of the molecules collide with the nozzle wall. Then, the molecules can return to the nozzle inlet, or to the nozzle outlet, or collide with the nozzle wall again. In DSMC, the complicated path of the molecules is not directly calculated, but only change of the direction of the molecules is calculated when there is a collision with the nozzle wall or other molecules. As repeating these calculations, the angular distribution of the molecular flux would be obtained. This is why the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from the nozzle by

DSMC can easily be obtained even if the shape of the nozzle or the density of molecules is changed. This DSMC can be utilized to determine accurately the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from the nozzle. However, because it is expressed in the form of a numerical solution, the same calculation process must be repeated when the parameters such as the shape of the nozzle and the density of molecules change. Therefore, when using various sizes and arrangements of nozzles, such as a linear source, a considerable amount of time is required for optimization.

In this paper, an analytical solution which overcomes these drawbacks is proposed. It solves the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from the nozzle through a modeling of longitudinal density of molecules in transition flow regime. A mathematical model for the angular distribution of the emitted molecular flux from a commonly used cylindrical nozzle was formulated and compared with an existing model. In order to verify the validity of the angular distribution obtained by the model proposed in this paper, the angular distribution obtained through DSMC is used for comparison. In addition, an actual experiment involving the deposition of Alq3 using a nozzle of a linear source is conducted.

### 1.3. Contents of Research

In this study, the analytical model is developed to express exactly the angular distribution of commonly used cylindrical nozzles and compared with existing methods. To achieve this goal, the density of molecules in the regime in which the intermolecular collisions are exist is suggested. The density in the nozzle consists of the existing longitudinal density model of the molecules in free molecular flow by Clausing's equation and a new longitudinal density model of last intermolecular collisions before emitted toward the nozzle outlet. [9] [10]

In the case of the molecules in free molecular flow, some molecules are emitted toward the nozzle outlet without intermolecular collision, the others collide each other in the nozzle before emitted toward the nozzle outlet. In this study, the molecules colliding each other are modeled where in the nozzle last intermolecular collisions are occurred.

Generally, the main issue is to form a pure thin film on a substrate by vaporizing one pure material in linear thermal evaporation system, thus collisions between molecules can be regarded as hard spheres without intermolecular interaction.

When the molecules collide with the inner wall of the nozzle or other molecule, the direction of the reflected molecule follows Knudsen's cosine law $(U(\theta)=\cos (\theta))$. That is, the molecules that collide with the inner wall of the nozzle can be reflected in all directions regardless of the direction of incidence. This assumption makes it possible to take into account only how many molecules are at a position in the nozzle, without the need to consider the motion of the molecules before collision, when determining the direction of the molecules after colliding with an inner wall of the nozzle or other molecules.

In order to verify the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from the nozzle calculated in this way, the real experiment
depositing materials on a substrate and DSMC are used. And the angular distribution by the real experiment and by DSMC are compared with the angular distribution by this study.

Since the new analytical model suggested by this study is a function including the design of the nozzle (the radius and length of the nozzle) and the density of the molecules (mean free pass, MFP) as variables, it is possible to predict how the angular distribution will change as the design of the nozzle and the density of the molecules change.
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## Chapter 2. Background Theory

### 2.1. The Calculation of Thickness of Molecules Deposited on a Substrate

### 2.1.1. The amount of the molecules deposited on unit area of the substrate with a single nozzle



Fig. 2-1. The molecules deposited on unit area of the substrate with a single nozzle

The thickness of the molecules emitted throughout the nozzle on the substrate can be represented by the relationship between the position of the substrate and the nozzle center. The number or thickness of the molecules deposited at height $H$ and a distance $x$ apart from the nozzle is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=U(\theta) \frac{d \omega}{d s} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $U(\theta)$ is the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from the nozzle, $d \omega$ is the solid angle and $d s$ is the unit area of the substrate. The unit area $d s$ can be express as follows, when the angle between the nozzle and the substrate is $\phi$. The thickness of the molecules deposited on the unit area of the substrate can be re-described as Eq. (2.3).

$$
\begin{gather*}
d s=\frac{l^{2} d \omega}{\cos (\phi)}  \tag{2.2}\\
m=U(\theta) \frac{\cos (\phi)}{l^{2}}=U(\theta) \frac{\cos (\phi)}{x^{2}+H^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.1.2. The amount of the molecules deposited on a substrate with LS



Fig. 2-2. The thickness profile from LS with n-nozzles

The thickness of molecules deposited on the substrate for a single nozzle has been mentioned in the previous discussion. When $m(x)$ is the thickness profile of cross-section of the molecules deposited on the substrate from a single nozzle with the angular distribution $U(\theta)$, The thickness profile from LS with n-nozzles can be calculated. The total thickness of the molecules from LS is calculated by adding the thickness profile of all nozzles up, when $d_{n}, c_{n}$ mean the position and the conductance of the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ nozzle, respectively.

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{\text {total }}=\sum c_{n} m\left(x-d_{n}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
c_{1,2 \ldots n}: \text { Conductance of } n^{t / h} \text { nozzle }  \tag{2.5}\\
d_{1,2 \ldots n}: \text { Position of } n^{t / h} \text { nozzle } \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

At this time, the uniformity of the molecules is shown on the substrate in a uniform manner. Uniformity can be expressed as the thickness of the thickest part $m_{\max }$, and the thickness of the thinnest part $m_{\text {min }}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { uniformity }[\%]=\frac{m_{\max }(x)-m_{\min }(x)}{m_{\max }(x)+m_{\min }(x)} \times 100 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\text {max }}: \text { The thickest thickness in the substrate } \\
& m_{\text {min }}: \text { The thinnest thickness in the substrate }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2. The Angular Distribution by Knudsen

### 2.2.1. Knudsen's cosine law

Knudsen introduced the kinetic molecular dynamics through a study of rarefied gases passing through a tube in 1907. When a molecule incident on a wall is reflected after colliding against a wall surface, it has the same probability in all directions regardless of the incident direction of the molecules. When the angle between the direction in which molecules are reflected and the direction perpendicular to the wall is $\theta$, the angular distribution of the reflected molecules could be expressed as $\cos (\theta)$.

In the case of a molecular flow emitted from a very thin orifice, its angular distribution could be defined as a same angular distribution from plane with the same area as the orifice. [11] [12]

In the case of a nozzle with a long length, the angular distribution of the molecular flux from the nozzle is similar to the shape of $\cos ^{n}(\theta)$. Because the density in the vertical direction increase by beaming effect of the molecules. [13]

$$
d u=\frac{d \omega}{\pi} \cos (\theta)
$$



Fig. 2-3. The probability of emission at angle $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ relative to surface normal

### 2.2.2. The problem of Knudsen's cosine law



Fig. 2-4. The comparison of the angular distribution by Knudsen and experiment

The analytical model of the angular distribution of the molecular flux by using the cosine function proposed by Knudsen contributed greatly to the theory of thin film deposition.

However, Knudsen 's theory had a limitations to applied to the optimization of LS with several various nozzles, used to forms a uniform thin film on a wide area substrate. Since various types of angular distribution can be expressed by Knudsen 's theory as $\cos ^{n}(\theta)$, it can express the change of the angular distribution by change of the molecular inflow as well as change of the design of the nozzle. In order to find the optimized $n$ value, However, it was necessary to find the angular distribution through the actual experiment and fit the $n$ value.

Furthermore, there is a limit to accurately fitting all ranges of angular distribution. Fig. 2-4 is a graph showing a comparison between the angular distribution obtained by the results of the actual experiment when the molecular inflow into a single nozzle of LS is changed and the angular distribution fitted by Knudsen's law with the optimized $n$ value.

It is well matched with Knudsen's law when the molecular
inflow was $2.786 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$, but the error increased as the molecular inflow decreased. Using the normalized root mean square error(NRMSE), which can be used to quantify the error between the angular distribution by two methods, the NRMSE is $2.35 \%$, $5.09 \%$, and $8.99 \%$ when $n$ is $2.74,3.70$, and 5.60 for each molecular inflow, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N R M S E[\%]=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{\theta=0}^{n}\left(U_{1}(\theta)-U_{2}(\theta)\right)^{2}}{n}} / \overline{U_{2}}(\theta) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

NRMSE can be obtained by dividing the square root of the average of the error squared of each component value by the average of the comparison values.
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### 2.3. The Angular Distribution by the Numerical Integration

### 2.3.1. The calculation of the numerical integration

There are two paths that the molecules entering the nozzle inlet are mainly discharged to the nozzle outlet. One is directly emitting from the nozzle inlet to the nozzle outlet without colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle and the other is emitting to the nozzle outlet after colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle. The numerical integration method provides a means of calculating the angular distribution of the molecules emitted to the nozzle outlet by multiplying the probability of moving to each path for every molecule entering the nozzle inlet. Because it is necessary to calculate the probability of the movement path of the nozzle according to the geometrical shape of the nozzle, it can be calculated with a cylindrical nozzle having a relatively simple shape, but it is difficult to calculate this when the shape of the nozzle becomes complicated. For the method, several assumptions as follows are necessary. [14]

- The flow is a free molecular flow; i.e. the molecules do not collide with each other.
- The flow is steady; i.e. the inflow of the molecules keep constant.
- At the nozzle inlet, molecules enter the nozzle inlet evenly in position and coincide with the cosine law in terms of the angle.
- At the nozzle outlet, molecules are emitted at the center.
- The inflow of the molecules equals the reflectance rate of the molecules at any point on the inner wall of the nozzle.
- After colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle, molecules still follow the cosine law when reflecting.
- The molecules emitted from the nozzle are not taken into account again; i.e. the backflow from the outlet is neglected.


### 2.3.2. The molecules directly emitted toward the nozzle outlet from the nozzle inlet

The molecules directly emitted from the unit area of the nozzle inlet $d S$ to outlet area element $d A$ are shown in Fig.7. $L$ and $R$ are the nozzle length and radius respectively. $\vec{r}_{l}$ is the radius vector from the center of the nozzle inlet to $d S . \vec{r}_{o}$ is the radius vector from the center of the nozzle outlet to $d A . \theta_{1}$ is the angle between $\overline{O A}$ and $\overline{O B} . \phi$ is the angle between $\overline{A B}$ and $x$ - axis, and it is defined as "azimuth an1gle". $d$ is the diameter of the nozzle, $d=2 R . \rho_{1}$ is the vector from $d S$ to $d A . \beta$ is the angle between vector $\rho_{1}$ and normal line of $d A$, and it is defined as "polar distance angle". $\rho_{1}$ could be obtained as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}^{2}=L^{2}+\overline{A B}^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rho_{1}$ in Eq. (2.12) is calculated by merging Eq. (2.10) with $\overline{A B}^{2}=r_{i}^{2}+r_{o}^{2}-2 r_{i} r_{o} \cos \left(\theta_{1}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}^{2}=L^{2}+r_{i}^{2}+r_{o}^{2}-2 r_{i} r_{o} \cos \left(\theta_{1}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the cosine law, the number of molecules directly emitted from the unit are of the nozzle inlet $d S$ to the unit are of the nozzle outlet $d A$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{d S-d A}\left(r_{o}\right)=\gamma d S \frac{1}{\pi} \cos ^{2}(\beta) \frac{1}{\rho_{1}^{2}} d A \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\gamma$ is the molecular inflow at the nozzle inlet. $d S=$ $r_{i} d r_{i} d \theta_{1}$ could be substituted into Eq. (2.12). Eq. (2.12) could be re-scribed as

$$
d N_{d S-d A}\left(r_{o}\right)=\gamma \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{L^{2}}{\rho_{1}^{4}} r_{i} d r_{i} d \theta_{1} d A
$$



Fig. 2-5. The model for directly emitted molecules from the nozzle inlet to the nozzle outlet.

By integrating $d r_{i}$ and $d \theta_{1}$, the number of molecules directly emitted from whole nozzle inlet cross section to $d A$ could be acquired.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{S-d A}\left(r_{o}\right)=\frac{\gamma L^{2}}{\pi} d A \int_{0}^{R} d r_{i} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{r_{i}}{\rho_{1}^{4}} d \theta_{1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.3. The molecules emitted toward the nozzle outlet after colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle



Fig. 2-6. The model for reflective molecules from nozzle wall to nozzle outlet
The molecules emitted toward the unit are of the nozzle outlet $d A$ after colliding with the unit are of the inner wall $d W$ are shown in Fig. 8. $z$ is the axial distance from the nozzle inlet to $d W . \theta_{2}$ is the angle between $\overline{O A}$ and $\overline{O B} . \beta_{2}$ and $\phi$ are the "polar distance angle" and "azimuth angle" respectively. $\alpha_{2}$ is the angle between $\rho_{2}$ and the normal line of $d W \rho_{2}$ is the vector from $d W$ to $d A . \rho_{2}$ could be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2}^{2}=(L-z)^{2}+R^{2}+r_{o}^{2}-2 R r_{o} \cos \left(\theta_{2}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\beta_{2}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are given by $\cos \left(\beta_{2}\right)=(L-z) / \rho_{2}$ and $\cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right)=$ $\sqrt{R^{2}+r_{o}^{2}-2 R r_{o} \cos \left(\theta_{2}\right)} / \rho_{2}$ respectively. According to the cosine law, the number of molecules emitted from the unit are of the inner wall $d W$ to $d A$ at the nozzle outlet after a collision with the inner wall of the nozzle is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{d W-d A}\left(z, r_{o}\right)=\gamma_{2}(z) d W \frac{1}{\pi} \cos \left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cos \left(\beta_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\rho_{2}^{2}} d A \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\gamma_{2}(z)$ is the molecule reflectivity at $d W$. As $\gamma_{2}(z)=$ $R d \theta_{2} d z$, the total number of molecules emitted from whole inner wall of the nozzle to $d A$ at the nozzle outlet is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{W-d A}\left(r_{o}\right)=\frac{R}{\pi} d A \int_{0}^{L} \gamma_{2}(z) d z \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\sqrt{R^{2}+r_{o}^{2}-2 R r_{o} \cos \theta_{2}}(L-z)}{\rho_{2}^{4}} d \theta_{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.4. The analytical model of the numerical integration



Fig. 2-7. The approximation for the analytical model of numerical integration

In the previous content for numerical integration, the numerical method calculating the number of molecules emitted to the unit area of the nozzle outlet $d A$ was discussed. Numerical integration was not the analytical method but the numerical method because it uses a numerical method to calculate all the paths of the molecules emitted to all the positions of the nozzle inlet and all positions of inner wall of the nozzle toward all positions of the nozzle outlet.

The molecules emitted from the nozzle actually are discharged evenly in position at the nozzle outlet as shown in Fig. 9. It is approximated that all the molecules are emitted from the center of the nozzle outlet to represent the analytical method.

Generally, the gap distance between LS and the substrate is at least several tens to several hundreds longer than the nozzle radius in a typical thermal evaporation system. Therefore, there is little error, even if the approximation assuming the molecules are emitted from the center of the nozzle outlet.

The analytical model of the angular distribution for a cylindrical nozzle with the length $l$, and the radius $r$ is as follows
in Eq. (2.23) and Eq. (2.24). The analytical model of the angular distribution is divided into two models based on $\tan \left(\theta_{c r}\right)=2 r / l$. With this model, $\theta_{c r}$ is referred to as the critical angle. The density of the molecules $\rho(z)$ in the nozzle is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(z)=a z+b=\frac{\left(1-2 \xi_{0}\right)}{l} z+\xi_{0} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of molecules at the nozzle outlet $(z=0)$ is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}=\frac{1+\gamma^{2}-\sqrt{1+\gamma^{2}}}{\gamma \sqrt{1+\gamma^{2}}+\gamma^{2}} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of molecules at the nozzle inlet $(z=l)$ is determined using the equation below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=1-\xi_{0} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\gamma$ refers to the aspect ratio of the diameter to the length of the nozzle $\gamma=2 r / l$. When $\tan (\theta)$ is larger than the aspect ratio of the nozzle, the only reflected molecules emitted after a collision with nozzle wall could be emitted toward the nozzle outlet.

- $\tan (\theta)>2 r / l$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\theta)=4 r^{2} \cos (\theta)\left(\frac{a}{3} \frac{2 r}{\tan (\theta)}+\frac{b \pi}{4}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both molecules directly emitted without collisions and reflected molecules emitted after a collision with the nozzle wall could exist. In this case, the value of $\tan (\theta)$ of the emitted molecules is smaller than the aspect ratio of the nozzle.

- $\tan (\theta)<2 r / l$

$$
\begin{gather*}
U(\theta)=4 r^{2} \cos (\theta)\left[a \frac{2 r}{\tan (\theta)}\left(\frac{1-\sin ^{3}\left(\varphi_{l}\right)}{3}\right)+b\left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{l}+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(\varphi_{l}\right) \cos \left(\varphi_{l}\right)\right)\right] \\
+2 c * \cos (\theta)\left(r^{2} \varphi_{l}-\frac{r l}{2} \tan (\theta) \sin \left(\varphi_{l}\right)\right)  \tag{2.24}\\
\varphi_{l}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l \tan (\theta)}{2 r}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

$c$ of Eq. (2.24), i.e., the density of the molecules on the inner
wall of the nozzle inlet, would be $c=1$, Because $a$ and $b$ of Eq. (2.23) and Eq. (2.24) are normalized values by Clausing's equation. [15]

### 2.3.5. The problem of the numerical integration



Fig. 2-8. The comparison of the angular distribution by the numerical integration and experiment

The numerical integration of the angular distribution of the molecular flux through the nozzle using the information of the design of the nozzle makes it possible to predict the angular distribution unlike Knudsen 's law which requires the preexamination for the fitting. As shown in Fig. 2-8, NRMSE is consistent with $3.10 \%$ when compared with the angular distribution of the molecular flux through the actual nozzle.

In the case of the numerical integration, however, Since the molecular flux through the nozzle is assumed to be a free molecular flow in which collisions between molecules are negligible, the angular distribution can not be predicted when collisions between molecules exist such as the high molecular inflow.

### 2.4. Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC)

### 2.4.1. The Calculation of DSMC



Fig. 2-9. DSMC applications are expanding to multi-scale problems

Monte Carlo simulation is a multi-scale algorithm that allows Molecular Dynamics (MD) on the Quantum scale to be interpreted on a larger hydrodynamic scale such as kinetic scale. In general, when MD is expanded to hydrodynamic scale, the amount of information is so large that the calculation becomes complicated and difficult. DSMC is the function stochastically calculating variables such as the motion or the collision of molecules. A deterministic model that can accurately compute the outcome of a given variable is generally an analytical solutions. However, a probability model that can not accurately predict the outcome is generally impossible to find analytical solutions. In this case, the solution must be found by a numerical method. DSMC is the method [16]

Direct Simulation Monte Carlo(DSMC) is the dominant numerical method at the kinetic scale. MD is inefficient for simulating the kinetic scale because computational time step of MD is limited by time of collision. It is so short that MD is inefficient in the kinetic scale. On the other hand, the relevant
time scale of DSMC is mean free time. Therefore, the time step of DSMC is large because collisions are evaluated stochastically.

Since the development of DSMC by Graeme Bird in the late 1960s, research has been actively conducted and has been applied and developed in the following fields.

- DSMC developed by Graeme Bird (late 60's)
- Popular in aerospace (70's)
- Variants \& improvements (early 80's)
- Applications in physics \& chemistry (late 80 's)
- Used for micro/nano-scale flows (early 90's)
- Extended to dense gases \& liquids (late 90 's)
- Used for granular gas simulations (early 00's)
- Multi-scale modeling of complex fluids (late 00's) [7] [8]


### 2.4.2. The problem of DSMC



Fig. 2-10. The comparison of the angular distribution by DSMC and experiment

DSMC is a typical numerical model that stochastically simulates the molecular dynamics by including both the design of the region, in which the molecule exists, and the type and density of the molecule. Therefore, the angular distribution simulated by DSMC be matched almost accurately with the actual angular distribution. The results show that the NRMSE are $1.28 \%, 2.68 \%$, and $5.25 \%$, respectively, when compared with the experimental results.

Thus, DSMC is a theory that not only predicts the angular distribution by influence of the design of the nozzle, but also by influence of the type of density of the molecules. However, even if the calculation is performed stochastically for the fast simulation on the kinetic scale, the simulation time is still several hours longer than the analytical model, which can predict the angular distribution in a few seconds.

# Chapter 3. Modeling of the Angular Distribution of Molecular Flux from Cylindrical Nozzle 

### 3.1. Assumptions for Modeling

In this paper, the angular distribution of the molecular flow from the nozzle by the density of the molecules in the nozzle as well as the design of the nozzle is calculated. To do this, the paths emitting the molecules are largely divided into the path of the emission directly to the nozzle outlet at the nozzle inlet and the emission to the nozzle outlet after colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle, similarly to the analytical model using the numerical integration described above. However, unlike the conventional analytical model, there are molecular collisions that are not in the free molecular flow. Therefore, the following new assumptions are additionally needed with part of the assumptions of the conventional analytical model. [14]

- The flow is steady; i.e. the molecular inflow keep constant.
- At the nozzle inlet, molecules enter the nozzle evenly in position and coincide with the cosine law.
- At the nozzle outlet, molecules are emitted at the center.
- The rate of molecular inflow equal the rate of reflectance at any point on the inner wall of the nozzle.
- After colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle, molecules still follow the cosine law.
- The molecules emitted from the nozzle are not taken into account again; i.e. the backflow from the outlet is neglected.


Fig. 3-1. Total density consist of density of free molecular flow and density of intermolecular collisions

- The flow includes a transitional flow regime; i.e. the molecular collisions exist.
- The total molecular density $\left(\rho_{t}(z)\right)$ in nozzle consists of the density of free molecular flow $\left(\rho_{f}(z)\right.$ ) by Clausing's equation and the density of intermolecular collisions $\left(\rho_{c}(z)\right)$ as shown in Fig. 11.
- The density of intermolecular collisions $\left(\rho_{c}(z)\right)$ is for the last collision before emitted to the nozzle outlet. Therefore, there is no more collision.
- The chemical reaction can be negligible. The conservation of energy and linear momentum can be satisfied. [17]
- The number of the molecules emitted toward the nozzle outlet in free molecular flow depends on the probability of free travelling distance $\left(p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right)\right)$. [18] [19]

The above assumptions are satisfied, and the molecules emitted from the nozzle in the new model can be divided into four
cases as shown in Fig 12.
The CASE I. and CASE II. are for free molecular flow. In the first case, molecules of free molecular flow are emitted toward the nozzle outlet after collision with the inner wall of the nozzle.

The CASE II. is the case where it is directly emitted from the nozzle inlet toward the nozzle outlet. In both cases, the molecules depend the probability of a molecule travelling to the nozzle outlet without any collision.

In the CASE III. and CASE IV., intermolecular collisions exist. The CASE III. is that the molecules that collide with the other molecules re-collide with an inner wall of the nozzle and then go to the nozzle outlet. The CASE IV. is after a collision between molecules occurs and then the molecules are directly emitted toward the nozzle outlet. In the CASE IV, the density of the last intermolecular collisions is evenly distributed in the cross-section. In these two cases, the density is a function about the last collision between the molecules, so it is emitted to the nozzle outlet at a 100 percent probability without any other collision.


Fig. 3-2. The four cases of the molecules that can be emitted toward the nozzle outlet

### 3.2. Modeling of the Longitudinal Density in a Cylindrical Nozzle

In this paper, the analytical model is mainly two types of the molecules. One is the molecules passing through the nozzle outlet without any intermolecular collision and the other is the molecules passing through the nozzle outlet after colliding with other molecules. Knudsen defined whether there is an intermolecular collision inside the nozzle or not, and the kind of molecular flow was classified according to the frequency of collision. [20] The Mean Free Path (MFP) $\lambda_{m}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \pi d^{2} n_{v}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $d$ is the diameter of the molecules, and $n_{v}$ is the number of the molecules per unit volume. The ratio of the mean free path to the nozzle diameter can be used to describe types of flow. This ratio is referred to as the Knudsen number. The Knudsen number of the molecules with MFP $\lambda_{m}$ in the nozzle with the radius $r$ is as shown as Eq. (3.2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}=\frac{\lambda_{m}}{2 r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The type of flow is characterized by the value of the Knudsen number. Profiles of the various types of flow regimes are shown in Fig. 13. [20] [21]

The regime of the Knudsen flow the Molecular flow can be further subdivided as shown in Fig. 14. For $K_{n}<0.001$, called continuum flow regime, in the continuum flow regime, the flow can be analyzed by using the Navier-Stokes equations with conventional no-slip boundary conditions. For $0.001<K_{n}<0.1$, named slip-flow regime, the rarefaction effects begin to influence in the flow.


Fig. 3-3. Profiles of the various types of flow regimes

For $10.0>K_{n}>0.1$, the flow is characterized as transitional flow, as the density of molecules becomes more rarefied. For this regime, as the continuum assumption of the Navier-Stokes equations is no longer established, alternative simulation techniques such as DSMC can be adopted. For the $K_{n}>10.0$, the regime can be described as a free molecular flow. In this flow, little molecules are collide each other. [22]

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{n}>10.0 \quad \text { Free Molecular flow } \\
0.1<K_{n}<10.0 \quad \text { Transitional flow } \\
0.001<K_{n}<0.1 \quad \text { Slip flow }  \tag{3.3}\\
K_{n}<0.001 \text { Contimuum flow }
\end{gather*}
$$

The conventional analytical model has suggested the angular distribution of the free molecular flow in the nozzle. In this study, the angular distribution of the molecular flow include the transitional flow in which there are intermolecular collision as well as the free molecular flow aim to be modeled.


Fig. 3-4. Type of flow regime based on the Kindsen number

To do this, the longitudinal density of the last intermolecular collision before being emitted to the nozzle outlet is modeled as well as the longitudinal density of the existing free molecular flow.

### 3.2.1. The longitudinal molecular density of free molecular flow

As in conventional analytical model, the longitudinal density of the molecules inside the nozzle follows the Clausing's equation. [9] [19] Assuming the density $\rho_{f}(z)$ in the nozzle at a distance of $z$ away from the nozzle outlet, varies linearly along the nozzle as shown in Fig. 16, an approximation which has been shown to be quite accurate for free molecular flow.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{f}(z)=a z+b \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clausing's equation provided a useful check for the numerical integration, although this is derived for the free molecular flow region and does not appear to have been used previously in other regimes. When $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi_{l}$ are the density of the molecules at the nozzle inlet and outlet, respectively, and $\gamma$ refers to the ratio of the diameter to the length of the nozzle, $\gamma=\frac{2 r}{l}$, the density of molecules at the nozzle outlet $(z=0)$ is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}=\frac{1+\gamma^{2}-\sqrt{1+\gamma^{2}}}{\gamma \sqrt{1+\gamma^{2}}+\gamma^{2}}=\rho_{f}(0) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3-5. The total density in the nozzle consist of the free molecular density, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{f}(\boldsymbol{z})$ and the intermolecular collision density, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\mathbf{z})$

The density of molecules at the nozzle inlet ( $z=l$ ) is determined using the equation below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{l}=1-\xi_{0}=\rho_{f}(l) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2. The longitudinal density of last intermolecular collisions

In the case of the existence of the intermolecular collisions, even if the molecules inside the nozzle is distributed satisfying the Clausing's equation, the some molecules can not be emitted toward the nozzle outlet by the intermolecular collision. The molecules is not considered the molecules emitted from the initial position by Clausing's equation, but the molecules emitted from the position where the collision occur. Therefore, it is necessary to model the longitudinal density inside the nozzle for the last collision between the molecules before being discharged to the nozzle outlet.

In the free molecular flow, the distribution of molecules inside the nozzle is known as a linear model. Therefore, the last intermolecular collision density inside the nozzle $\rho_{c}(z)$ is proportional to the $n$-square of the molecular density, so it can be regarded as linear. Therefore, the collision density model of molecules is as shown as eq. (3.7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{c}(z)=(\alpha z+\beta)^{n} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of the intermolecular collisions $M_{\text {col }}$ can be defined as the expression by MFP and the number of the molecules in the nozzle. Since MFP is also inversely proportional to the number of molecules, the number of the intermolecular collisions inside the nozzle $M_{c o l}$ is proportional to the third power as Eq. (3.6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{c o l}=\frac{1}{2} N(N-1) \frac{\bar{v} \tau}{\lambda_{m}} \propto N^{3} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $N$ is the number of molecules, $\bar{v}$ is the average velocity of the molecules and $\tau$ is the unit time step. The longitudinal density of the last intermolecular density Eq. (3.7) can be re-describe as shown as Eq. (3.9), using Eq. (3.8).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{c}(z)=(\alpha z+\beta)^{3} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the position of the last intermolecular collision before emission toward the nozzle outlet does not depend solely on the density of the molecules. For example, the probability that a collided molecule near the nozzle inlet will be emitted without another collision until it reaches the nozzle outlet, and the probability that a collided molecule near the nozzle outlet will pass through the nozzle outlet is different. Therefore, the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ should be defined by using the last intermolecular collisions at the nozzle inlet $\rho_{c}(l)$ and the nozzle outlet $\rho_{c}(0)$ which are easy to predict.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha=\frac{\left(\rho_{c}(l)\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}-\left(\rho_{c}(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{l}  \tag{3.10}\\
\beta=\left(\rho_{c}(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The number of all intermolecular collisions in the nozzle $c_{t o t}$ can be obtained by using the longitudinal molecular density by Clausing's equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t o t}=\left(\frac{\rho_{f}(l)+\rho_{f}(0)}{2} l\right)^{2} / 2 \lambda_{m} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intermolecular collisions at the nozzle inlet is proportional to the square of the number of molecules at the nozzle inlet, so it can be expressed as Eq. (3.13).

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{l}=\frac{\left(\frac{\rho_{f}(l)+\rho_{f}(0)}{2} l\right)^{2}}{2 \lambda_{m}} \rho_{f}^{2}(l) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The molecules collided with other molecules at the nozzle inlet can reach the nozzle outlet depending the probability of free travelling distance $\left(p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right)\right)$. The density of the last intermolecular collisions at the nozzle inlet $\rho_{c}(l)$ is as follows:

$$
\rho_{c}\left(l, \lambda_{m}\right)=\left(\frac{\rho_{f}(l)+\rho_{f}(0)}{2} l\right)^{2} / 2 \lambda_{m} \rho_{f}^{2}(l) \exp \left(-\frac{\rho_{f}(l)+\rho_{f}(0)}{2} \frac{l}{\lambda_{m}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{l \xi_{l}^{2}}{8 \lambda_{m}} \exp \left(-\frac{l}{2 \lambda_{m}}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of the last intermolecular collision at the nozzle outlet $\rho_{c}(0)$ can also be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{c}\left(0, \lambda_{m}\right)=\left(\frac{\rho_{f}(l)+\rho_{f}(0)}{2} l\right)^{2} / 2 \lambda_{m} \rho_{f}^{2}(0)=\frac{l \xi_{0}^{2}}{8 \lambda_{m}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3. Modeling of the Molecules without the Intermolecular Collisions

### 3.3.1. The molecules emitted toward the nozzle outlet after a collision with the inner wall of the nozzle (CASE I.)



Fig. 3-6. The molecules emitted after colliding with an inner wall of the nozzle in the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}-$ direction.

The molecules emitted in the $\vec{k}$-direction after colliding with an inner wall of the nozzle are depicted in Fig. 16. The vector $\vec{k}$ serves as a unit vector with the angle between $z$-axis and the vector $\vec{k}$ is $\theta$ and the angle between $x$-axis and the vector $\vec{k}$ is $\varphi$ in the spherical coordinate system. When converting into the Cartesian coordinate system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{k}=(1, \theta, \varphi)=(\sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi), \sin (\theta) \sin (\varphi), \cos (\theta)) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector $\vec{n}$ serves as a unit vector with the angle between the $x$-axis and the vector $\vec{n}$ is $\varphi$ and normal to the inner wall of the nozzle. If the vector $\vec{k}$ is on the $x-z$ plane, $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{n}$ are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{k}=(\sin (\phi), 0, \cos (\phi)) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{n}=(\cos (\varphi), \sin (\varphi), 0) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the angle between $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{n}$ is $\alpha$ degrees, the inner product of the two vectors is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{k}^{\circ} \vec{n}=|\vec{k}||\vec{n}| \cos (\alpha)=\sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle $\alpha$ can be expressed as a function of $\theta$ and $\varphi$ by using Eq. (3.19).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\alpha)=\sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of molecules emitted in the $\vec{k}$-direction from a unit area $d U_{I}$ can be expressed as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d U_{I}(\theta)=\rho_{f}(z) \cos (\alpha) r d \varphi d z, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{f}(z)$ is the molecular density of the free molecular flow by Clausing's equation, $\cos (\alpha)$ is the number of molecules emitted toward the $\vec{k}$-direction on the inner wall of the nozzle, and $r d \varphi d z$ refers to the unit area of the nozzle. Not the all molecules emitted in the $\vec{k}$-direction on the inner wall of the nozzle can be discharged through the nozzle outlet. Some molecules collide with other molecules inside nozzle and have different vectors. Therefore, the molecules having no collision can be emitted toward the nozzle outlet. The molecules follow the probability of free travelling distance $p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right) . d U_{I}$ is formulated by merging the probability and Eq. (3.20) to obtain the function of the angular distribution of the molecular flux emitted from the nozzle. The number of molecules reflected in the $\vec{k}$-direction on a unit area $d U_{I}$ consequently can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d U_{I}(\theta)=p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right) \rho_{f}(z) \cos (\alpha) r d \varphi d z \\
& \quad=p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right) \rho_{f}(z) \sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) r d \varphi d z \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

When the total number of molecules reflected in the $\vec{k}$ direction after colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle is defined as $U_{I}(\theta), U_{I}(\theta)$ can be derived as shown below.


Fig. 3-7. The range of the inner wall of nozzle $2 \varphi_{z}$ at position $z$ in which the reflected molecules can be emitted toward the nozzle outlet in the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}$-direction

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{I}(\theta)=\int_{0}^{w} \int_{-\varphi_{z}}^{\varphi_{z}} p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right) \rho_{f}(z) \sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) r d \varphi d z \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $w$ and $\varphi_{z}$ indicate the range of the inner wall of the nozzle from which the reflected molecules can be emitted toward the nozzle outlet in the $\vec{k}$-direction. As shown in Fig. 17, the range of the inner wall of the nozzle is the inner wall of nozzle that could be exposed when the nozzle outlet is viewed from the $\vec{k}$-direction. The molecules reflected in the $\vec{k}$-direction after colliding with the other inner wall without this range would collide with the other inner wall of the nozzle, with a different possibility of being emitted onto the inner wall. The virtual circle identical to the nozzle radius moving in the $\vec{k}$-direction is shown on Fig. 17 to express $\varphi_{z}$ in the range in which the molecules can be emitted in the $\vec{k}$-direction toward the nozzle outlet at position $z$.

The angle of $\varphi_{z}$ is that between the line connecting centers of two circle and the line connecting the center of the crosssection of the nozzle with regard to the $x-y$ plane at a position $z$ and the intersection of two circles.

The distance between the centers of two circles can be calculated by means of $\operatorname{ztan}(\theta)$ and $r \cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right)$ as well. Thus, the
relationship of Eq. (3.24) holds between the two equations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \tan (\theta)=2 r \cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ is the length between the nozzle outlet and the position where two circles come into contact at a point. The value of $w$ can be calculated by the equation $\varphi_{z}=0^{\circ}$,

In the case of $\tan (\theta)>\frac{2 r}{l}$, there is the case where two circles meet at one point, and $w$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \tan (\theta)=2 r \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $\tan (\theta) \leq \frac{2 r}{l}$, however, two circles still have two points at the intersection, even though the virtual circle has reached the end of the nozzle length at the nozzle inlet. In this case, $w$ is the same as in Eq. (3.26), and there is CASE II., where the molecules are directly emitted from the nozzle inlet to the outlet.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=l \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $r$ and $l$ are the nozzle radius and the nozzle length, respectively. Eq. (3.23), in which $U_{I}(\theta)$ represents the number of molecules of CASE I., is derived by using Eq. (3.24) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{I}(\theta)=\int_{0}^{w} \int_{-\varphi_{z}}^{\varphi_{z}} p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right) \rho_{f}(z) \sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) r d \varphi d z \\
& \quad=2 r \sin (\theta) \int_{0}^{w} p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right) \rho_{f}(z) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right) d z \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

When the MFP of the molecules inside the nozzle is $\lambda_{m}$, the probability $p\left(\theta, z, \lambda_{m}\right)$ that the molecules travel as a distance $t$ without a collision satisfies the exponential function. [18] [23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(t, \lambda_{m}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{t}{\lambda_{m}}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The density of the molecules enter the nozzle inlet can be expressed by MFP $\lambda_{m}$. When the molecules with the molar mass $M$, the temperature $T$ enter the nozzle inlet as much as the molecular inflow $\dot{m} g / h$, MFP $\lambda_{m}$ of Eq. (3.1) can be re-described
as shown as Eq. (3.29). [24]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \pi d^{2} n_{v}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \pi d^{2}} \frac{r^{2} \sqrt{8 \pi k_{B} M T}}{\dot{m}}=\frac{2 r^{2}}{\dot{m} d^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{k_{B} M T}{\pi}} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant.
The free travelling distance $t$ of the molecule in the $\vec{k}$ direction at a position $z$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{z}{\cos (\theta)} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

With Eq. (3.24), Eq. (3.26), Eq. (3.28) and Eq. (3.29), The angular distribution of CASE I. $U_{I}(\theta)$ for the molecules reflected on the inner wall of the nozzle can be derived as shown as Eq. (3.32).

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{I}(\theta)=2 r \sin (\theta) \int_{0}^{w} \exp \left(-\frac{z}{\lambda_{m} \cos (\theta)}\right)(a z+b) \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{z \tan (\theta)}{2 r}\right)^{2}} d z \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.2. The molecules directly emitted toward the nozzle outlet from the nozzle inlet (CASE II.)



Fig. 3-8. The area on the nozzle inlet where the free molecules can be emitted toward the nozzle outlet in $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}$ - direction

When $\tan (\theta) \leq \frac{2 r}{l}$, the virtual circle and the cross-section of the nozzle do not meet at one point, until $w$ reaches the nozzle length $l$, and the angle $\varphi_{l}$ which means $\varphi_{z}$ at the position $l$, is not'zero'. In CASE II., the molecules that can reach the nozzle outlet from the nozzle inlet without colliding with the inner wall of the nozzle outlet. The area where the molecules can be emitted in the $\vec{k}$-direction on the nozzle inlet is identical to the overlap of the two circles at $z=l$ in Fig. 18. The area $A$ can be calculated using $\varphi_{l}$ from Eq. (3.24).

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=2\left(r^{2} \varphi_{l}-\frac{r l}{2} \tan (\theta) \sin \left(\varphi_{l}\right)\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of molecules directly emitted toward the nozzle outlet $U_{I I}(\theta)$ can be obtained by applying the equation used for the number of molecules in the $\vec{k}$-direction, as shown in Fig. 18.

$$
U_{I I}(\theta)=A p\left(t_{l}, \lambda_{m}\right) \cos (\theta)
$$

Here, $p\left(t_{l}, \lambda_{m}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{t_{l}}{\lambda_{m}}\right)$ represents to the probability of
the molecules reach the nozzle outlet from the nozzle inlet. The free travelling distance $t_{l}$ of the molecule in the $\vec{k}$-direction at the nozzle inlet $l$ is by putting $z=l$ into Eq. (3.30).

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{l}=\frac{l}{\cos (\theta)} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As substituting Eq. (3.34) for $t_{l}$ of $p\left(t_{l}, \lambda_{m}\right)$, Eq. (3.35) can be derived.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(t_{l}, \lambda_{m}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{l}{\lambda_{m} \cos (\theta)}\right) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once Eq. (3.32) and Eq. (3.35). are put into Eq. (3.33), the angular distribution of CASE II. $U_{I I}(\theta)$ for the molecules directly emitted toward the nozzle outlet can be re-described as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{I I}(\theta)=2 r^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{l}{\lambda_{m} \cos (\theta)}\right) \cos (\theta)\left(\varphi_{l}-\cos \left(\varphi_{l}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{l}\right)\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$
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### 3.4. Modeling of the Molecules with the Intermolecular Collisions

The CASE I. and CASE II. modeled previous section are the model for the molecules in the free molecular flow are emitted through the nozzle outlet. In these cases, the only condition that can be emitted without collision, is dealt with, except the case in which the molecules can not be emitted by the intermolecular collision.

In order to model the case of the molecules that lost their initial directionality by the intermolecular collision, the longitudinal density of the last intermolecular collisions has been modeled and the case in which the molecules with the intermolecular collisions are emitted toward the nozzle outlet is modeled by using the density.

The approach is same with CASE I. and II. The only difference is the longitudinal density of the last intermolecular collisions is applied instead of the longitudinal density of the free molecular flow by Clausing's equation and all of the molecules in the case is emitted to the nozzle outlet without additional collision.
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### 3.4.1. The molecules with the intermolecular collision reflected on the inner wall of the nozzle (CASE III.)



Fig. 3-9. The range of the inner wall of nozzle $2 \varphi_{z}$ at position $z$ in which the reflected molecules with the intermolecular collisions can be emitted in the $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}$-direction

The angular distribution of CASE III. $U_{I I I}(\theta)$ is that the molecules with the intermolecular collisions are emitted after reflection on the inner wall of the nozzle. It is the similar as calculation method in CASE I. The longitudinal density of the last intermolecular collisions $\rho_{c}(z)$, however, is used instead of the longitudinal density of the molecules by Clausing's equation $\rho_{f}(z)$. Because the intermolecular collisions are the last before emission out of the nozzle outlet, the probability of the molecule travelling distance to the nozzle outlet is" 1 ".

The number of molecules with the intermolecular collisions emitted in the $\vec{k}$-direction from a unit area of the inner wall of the nozzle $d U_{I I I}(\theta)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d U_{I I I}(\theta)=\rho_{c}(z) \cos (\alpha) r d \varphi d z \\
& \quad=\rho_{c}(z) \sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) r d \varphi d z \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\rho_{c}(z)$ is the longitudinal density of the last intermolecular collisions mentioned above. The total number of the molecules of CASE III. $U_{\text {III }}(\theta)$ is as follows, when the number
of the molecules of CASE III. from a unit inner wall of the nozzle $d U_{I I I}(\theta)$ is integrated for all the areas where the molecules are emitted toward the nozzle outlet in the $\vec{k}$-direction.

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{I I I}(\theta)=\int_{0}^{w} \int_{-\varphi_{z}}^{\varphi_{z}} \rho_{c}(z) \sin (\theta) \cos (\varphi) r d \varphi d z \\
& \quad=2 r \sin (\theta) \int_{0}^{w} \rho_{c}(z) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right) d z \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, the area $\varphi_{z}$ of the inner wall where the molecules can be emitted in $\vec{k}$-direction at a position $z$ can be obtained by using Eq. (3.24). When putting differentiation of Eq. (3.24) into Eq. (3.38) to integrate Eq. (3.38) in the direction of the nozzle length, Eq. (3.40) can be obtained

$$
\begin{gather*}
d z \tan (\theta)=-2 r \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right) d \varphi_{z}  \tag{3.39}\\
U_{I I I}(\theta)=2 r \sin (\theta) \int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{w}} \rho_{c}\left(\varphi_{z}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right)\left(-\frac{2 r}{\tan (\theta)}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right) d \varphi_{z} \\
=-4 r^{2} \cos (\theta) \int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{w}} \rho_{c}\left(\varphi_{z}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\varphi_{z}\right) d \varphi_{z} \tag{3.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

If substituting $\varphi_{z}$ for $z$ by using Eq. (3.24) and Eq. (3.39), Eq. (3.40) can be derived as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{I I I}(\theta) & =-4 r^{2} \cos (\theta) \int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{w}}\left(\alpha \frac{2 r \cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right)}{\tan (\theta)}+\beta\right)^{3} \sin ^{2}\left(\varphi_{z}\right) d \varphi_{z} \\
& =4 r^{2} \cos (\theta) \int_{\varphi_{w}}^{\varphi_{0}}\left(\alpha \frac{2 r \cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right)}{\tan (\theta)}+\beta\right)^{3} \sin ^{2}\left(\varphi_{z}\right) d \varphi_{z} \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

The following equation consequently be obtained as keeping deriving.

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{I I I}(\theta)=4 r^{2} \cos (\theta) \frac{1}{480}\left(60\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{3} \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right)-10\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{3} \sin \left(3 \varphi_{z}\right)-\right. \\
6\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{3} \sin \left(5 \varphi_{z}\right)+180\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2} \beta \varphi_{z}-45\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2} \beta \sin \left(4 \varphi_{z}\right)+ \\
360\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right) \beta^{2} \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right)-120\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right) \beta^{2} \sin \left(3 \varphi_{z}\right)+240 \beta^{3} \varphi_{z}- \\
\left.120 \beta^{3} \sin \left(2 \varphi_{z}\right)\right)\left.\right|_{\varphi_{w}} ^{\varphi_{0}} \tag{3.42}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $\varphi_{0}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ is the value of $\varphi_{z}$ at the nozzle outlet. As
mentioned in CASE I., in the case of $\tan (\theta)>\frac{2 r}{l}$, the two circles meet at one point within the nozzle length $l, \varphi_{w}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{w}=0 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $\tan (\theta) \leq \frac{2 r}{l}, w=l$ as shown in Eq. (3.26) and $\varphi_{w}=\varphi_{l}$ can be obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{w}=\varphi_{l}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l \tan (\theta)}{2 r}\right) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4.2. The molecules with the intermolecular collision emitted from the cross-section of the nozzle toward the nozzle outlet (CASE IV.)



Fig. 3-10. The area in the nozzle where the molecules colliding each other can be emitted toward the nozzle outlet in $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{k}}$ - direction

The molecules with the intermolecular collisions in the nozzle are not only emitted after reflection on the inner wall of the nozzle such as CASE III., but these are directly emitted toward the nozzle outlet after colliding each other. These molecules are covered in CASE IV.

It is assumed that the molecules with the intermolecular collisions follow the law of the energy conservation and the momentum conservation and have no rotation and vibration as a hard sphere. The molecules still follow the Knudsen's cosine law due to the molecules enter the nozzle inlet with Knudsen's cosine law.

Thus the molecules with the intermolecular collision emitted from the cross-section of the nozzle $d U_{I V}(\theta)$ can be calculated in a similar way to CASE II.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d U_{I V}(\theta)=\rho_{c}(z) A\left(\theta, \varphi_{z}\right) \cos (\theta) d z \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the molecules in CASE IV. exist everywhere inside the
nozzle, the molecules are integrated in the longitudinal direction such as the molecules of CASE I.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{I V}(\theta)=\cos (\theta) \int_{0}^{w} \rho_{c}(z) A\left(\theta, \varphi_{z}\right) d z \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

If substituting $\varphi_{z}$ for $z$ of Eq. (3.46) by using Eq. (3.24) and Eq. (3.39), Eq. (3.47) can be obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{I V}(\theta)=\cos (\theta) \int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{w}} \rho_{c}\left(\varphi_{z}\right) A\left(\theta, \varphi_{z}\right)\left(-\frac{2 r}{\tan (\theta)}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right) d \varphi_{z} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The area of the cross-section of the nozzle at the position $z$ where the molecules can be emitted in the $\vec{k}$-direction $A\left(\theta, \varphi_{z}\right)$ can be calculated in a similar way to Eq. (3.32).

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(\theta, \varphi_{z}\right) & =2\left(r^{2} \varphi_{z}-\frac{r z}{2} \tan (\theta) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right)\right) \\
& =2 r^{2}\left(\varphi_{z}-\cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right)\right) \tag{3.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (3.47) can be summarized as follows by substituting the density of the last intermolecular collisions, Eq. (3.9) and the area, Eq. (3.48),

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{I V}(\theta)=  \tag{3.49}\\
& \left(-\frac{4 r^{3}}{\tan (\theta)}\right) \cos (\theta) \int_{\varphi_{0}}^{\varphi_{w}}\left(\alpha \frac{2 r \cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right)}{\tan (\theta)}+\beta\right)^{3}\left(\varphi_{z}-\cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right)\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right) d \varphi_{z} \\
& =\left(\frac{4 r^{3}}{\tan (\theta)}\right) \cos (\theta) \int_{\varphi_{w}}^{\varphi_{0}}\left(\alpha \frac{2 r \cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right)}{\tan (\theta)}+\beta\right)^{3}\left(\varphi_{z} \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right)-\cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\varphi_{z}\right)\right) d \varphi_{z}
\end{align*}
$$

The equation Eq. (3.50) can finally be obtained as organizing Eq. (3.49).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{I V}(\theta)=\frac{4}{1920} \frac{r^{3} \cos (\theta)}{\tan (\theta)}\left[10\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{3} \sin \left(6 \varphi_{z}\right)-60\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{3} \varphi_{z} \cos \left(4 \varphi_{z}\right)-\right. \\
& 120\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)\left(\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2}+6 \beta^{2}\right) \varphi_{z}+90\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)\left(\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2}+8 \beta^{2}\right) \sin \left(2 \varphi_{z}\right) \\
& +45\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)\left(\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2}+4 \beta^{2}\right) \sin \left(4 \varphi_{z}\right)+720 \beta\left(\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2}+2 \beta^{2}\right) \sin \left(\varphi_{z}\right) \\
& +40 \beta\left(7\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2}+4 \beta^{2}\right) \sin \left(3 \varphi_{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -240\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)\left(\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2}+6 \beta^{2}\right) \varphi_{z} \cos \left(2 \varphi_{z}\right) \\
& -480 \beta\left(3\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2}+4 \beta^{2}\right) \varphi_{z} \cos \left(\varphi_{z}\right)+72\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2} \beta \sin \left(5 \varphi_{z}\right) \\
& \left.-480\left(\frac{2 r \alpha}{\tan (\theta)}\right)^{2} \beta \varphi_{z} \cos \left(3 \varphi_{z}\right)\right]\left|\left.\right|_{\varphi_{w}}\right. \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\varphi_{0}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ is the value of $\varphi_{z}$ at the nozzle outlet. The value of $\varphi_{w}$ is Eq. (3.43) and Eq. (3.44) according to the condition of $\tan (\theta)$.

## Chapter 4. Results \& Analysis

### 4.1. Results of the New Model



Fig. 4-1. The molecules with the mean free path $\lambda_{m}$ in a cylindrical nozzle with the radius $r$ and the length $\boldsymbol{l}$

The new model for the angular distribution of the molecular flux from a cylindrical nozzle in this paper can be expressed as the sum of all cases in Chapter 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\theta)=U_{I}(\theta)+U_{I I}(\theta)+U_{I I I}(\theta)+U_{I V}(\theta) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the new model, it is possible to model not only the design of the nozzle, such as the radius and length of the nozzle, but also the angular distribution according to the change in mean free path for the molecular inflow which represents the molecular density in the nozzle.

In order to compare the new model for the angular distribution with DSMC and experiment, the simulation for two types of the cylindrical nozzles where the nozzle diameter is smaller than the nozzle length and the nozzle diameter is larger than the nozzle length.

### 4.1.1. The cylindrical nozzle ( $d$ : $\boldsymbol{\phi 1 6}$, $l: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ )



Fig. 4-2. The molecular structure of $\mathrm{Alq}_{3}$
(a) meridional; (b) facial (violet, Al; red, $\boldsymbol{O}$; blue, $\boldsymbol{N}$; Cölle \& Brütting 2004)

First, the nozzle diameter is smaller than the nozzle length. When the nozzle diameter ( $d$ ) and the nozzle length ( $l$ ) are $\phi 16$ and 30 mm , respectively, the change of the angular distribution according to the change of the molecular inflow (the molecular density) is modeled. In this study, the angular distribution is gotten by using the nozzle, mean free path, and Clausing's equation normalized by the nozzle radius.
$A l q_{3}$, a commonly used in OLED manufacturing are used as the molecules. [25] [26] The structure of $A l q_{3}$ is shown in Fig. $4-2$, but all the molecules in this study are assumed to be a sphere. The properties of $\mathrm{Alq}_{3}$ are shown in Table. 4-1. [27]

For the cylindrical nozzle with a radius of 8 mm and a length of 30 mm , each molecular inflow is as shown in Table. $4-2$, the normalized values are the values in parentheses.

Table 4-1. The properties of $\boldsymbol{A l q}_{3}$

| $\mathrm{Alq}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |  | $[$ unit $]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mass | $7.6292 \mathrm{e}-22$ | $[\boldsymbol{g}]$ |
| Diameter | 8.4 | $[\AA]$ |
| Density | 1.31 | $\left[\mathbf{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ |
| Temperature | 500 | $[\mathrm{~K}]$ |

Table 4-2. The parameters by the molecular inflow into the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ ) for

| Inflow $[\boldsymbol{g} / \boldsymbol{h r}]$ | 0.167 | 0.831 | 0.96 | 1.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Radius $[\mathbf{m m}]$ | $8(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $8(1)$ |
| Length $[\mathbf{m m}]$ | $30(3.75)$ | $30(3.75)$ | $30(3.75)$ | $30(3.75)$ |
| M.F.P $[m m]$ | $160.1(20.0)$ | $32.2(18.6)$ | $27.8(4.0)$ | $15.9(1.99)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 10.0 | 2.01 | 1.74 | 0.99 |
| $\boldsymbol{a}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.176 | 0.176 |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.0972 | 0.0788 | 0.0732 | 0.0509 |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.0107 | 0.2824 | 0.3201 | 0.4498 |

The angular distribution by the new model in this study is determined by using normalized values in parentheses.

The parameters for each molecular inflow such as the mean free path, Knudsen number, $a$ and $b$ for the free molecular density by Clausing's equation, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for the last intermolecular collision density are shown in Table. 4-2.


Fig. 4-3. The angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ ) by the new model

The angular distribution in Fig. 4-3 can be obtained by putting theses parameters into the new model. The shorter mean free path and the smaller Knudsen number mean that the molecules in the nozzle increase, cause the more intermolecular collisions, and consequently make the angular distribution spread.
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### 4.1.2. The cylindrical nozzle ( $d$ : $\phi \mathbf{3 0}$, $l$ : 16 mm )

Table 4-3. The parameters by the molecular inflow into the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ ) for the new model

| Inflow $[\boldsymbol{g} / \boldsymbol{h r}]$ | 0.08 | 0.313 | 0.94 | 1.566 | 3.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Radius $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $15(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $15(1)$ |
| Length $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $16(1.07)$ | $16(1.07)$ | $16(1.07)$ | $16(1.07)$ | $16(1.07)$ |
| M.F.P $[\mathbf{m m}]$ | $11750(783)$ | $300(20.0)$ | $100(6.67)$ | $60(4.00)$ | $30.0(2.00)$ |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 391.7 | 10.0 | 3.33 | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| $\boldsymbol{a}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.3398 | 0.3398 | 0.3398 | 0.3398 | 0.3398 |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.3188 | 0.3188 | 0.3188 | 0.3188 | 0.3188 |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.0163 | 0.0542 | 0.0747 | 0.0844 | 0.0939 |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\mathbf{z})$ | 0.0264 | 0.0897 | 0.1294 | 0.1534 | 0.1933 |

The following is the case when the diameter of the nozzle is longer than the length of the nozzle. For cylindrical nozzle with a radius of 15 mm and a length of 16 mm , the change of the angular distribution of the molecular flux from the nozzle is modelled.


Fig. 4-4. The angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ ) by the new model

When the parameters for each molecular inflow such as the mean free path, Knudsen number, $a$ and $b$ for the free molecular density by Clausing's equation, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for the last intermolecular collision density are shown in Table. 4-3, the normalized values are in parentheses.

The angular distribution in Fig. 4-3 can be obtained by substituting theses parameters. It can be seen that for the nozzle whose diameter is longer than the length of the nozzle, the angular distribution from the nozzle rarely change.

### 4.2. Comparison with Results by DSMC

### 4.2.1. The cylindrical nozzle ( $d: \phi 16$, $l: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ )



Fig. 4-5. The design of the cylindrical nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} 16, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ ) and the chamber for DSMC
The angular distribution obtained using the new model is compared to the angular distribution obtained through the DSMC to verify the new model. The molecule used for the simulation is $A l q_{3}$ such as that used in the new model. In DSMC, the molecules are assumed the hard sphere same as in the new model, but the simulation is performed after setting it to the various hard sphere. It is assumed that there is no vibration and rotation because the vibration and rotation of the molecules cannot be accurately predicted by LS.

To identify the angular distribution of the molecular flux through the cylindrical nozzle with the radius 8 mm and the length 30 mm , the chamber where the molecules are deposited and the nozzle are designed to simulate the angular distribution of the molecular flux.

Table 4-4. The simulation conditions of DSMC for the cylindrical nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ )

| Nozzle |  | [unit] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell | $404 \times 202 \times 8$ |  |
| Element in cell | 4367040 |  |
| Create particle number | 5 | $[\# / \boldsymbol{s t e p}]$ |
| Time | 20 | $[\boldsymbol{s}]$ |
| Time step | $1.858388 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $[\boldsymbol{s}]$ |
| Simulation time per step | $67 \sim 73$ | $[\boldsymbol{s}]$ |
| Total simulation time | 20.95 | $[\boldsymbol{h r}]$ |

As shown in Fig. 4-5, the chamber with the height 505 mm , the length 1010 mm and the width 20 mm and the nozzle with the radius 8 mm and the length 30 mm are designed to perform DSMC. The plane on which the molecules are deposited is set to three sides except for the one on which the nozzle is located to verify the angular distribution with entire range of $0^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$.

Samadii ${ }^{\text {TM } / s c i v ~ o f ~ M e t a r i v e r ~ T e c h n o l o g y ~ C o ., ~ I t d ~ i s ~ u s e d ~ a s ~}$ DSMC simulation. [28] DSMC is performed to identify the change of the angular distribution with the molecular inflow by designing a space on which the molecules are deposited and the nozzle as shown in Fig. 4-5. The deposition time for the verification of the angular distribution is 20 sec , and it takes 67 sec to 73 sec to simulate, regardless of the change of the molecular inflow.

The total time taken for the simulation is as shown in Table. $4-4$. For the DSMC, it takes approximately 21 hours to perform DSMC enough to get the reasonable results of the angular distribution. It takes dramatically much time compared to the analytical model, which takes several seconds to simulate.

The molecular inflow used for the simulation and the corresponding mean free path, Knudsen number, and the type of flow are shown in Table 4-5.

Table 4-5. The type of flow, Knudsen number, and mean free path by the molecular inflow into the nozzle ( $d: \phi 16, l: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ )

| Inflow $[\boldsymbol{g} / \boldsymbol{h r}]$ | MFP $[\boldsymbol{m m}]$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.167 | 160.11 | 10.01 | Free Molecular |
| 0.831 | 32.17 | 2.01 | Transitional |
| 0.96 | 27.85 | 1.74 | Transitional |
| 1.68 | 15.92 | 0.99 | Transitional |
| 2.786 | 9.60 | 0.60 | Transitional |
| 3.82 | 7.00 | 0.44 | Transitional |
| 5.34 | 5.01 | 0.31 | Transitional |
| 8.9 | 3.00 | 0.18 | Transitional |

The angular distribution by each molecular inflow is as shown in Fig. 4-6. There is no more change of the angular distribution by the molecular inflow greater than $1.68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$, that is, Knudsen number is less than 1.0. Based on this, the new model in this paper shows that only the angular distribution is considerable, when the Knudsen number is 1.0 or higher.


Fig. 4-6. The angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\mathbf{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ ) by DSMC


Fig. 4-7. The comparison of the angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ ) by the new model and DSMC

The validity of the new model was verified by comparing the results of DSMC with the results of the new model. The graph in Fig. 4-7 shows the comparison of the two results, in the case of the higher Knudsen number than 1.0. When the two results are compared, the error between the angular distribution obtained by the new model and DSMC expressed as NRMSE is shown in Table $4-6$. For each molecular inflow, it can be seen that the results by the two models match within $2.0 \%$.

The error squared between the results of the new model and DSMC for each angle is as shown in Fig. 4-8. The main reason for the large error at the low molecular inflow $0.167 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ is that with a constant simulation time, the number of the molecules calculated in the simulation is not enough, even if the number of molecules existing in the simulations is saturation.

Table 4-6. NRMSE of the new model to DSMC

| Inflow [g/hr] | 0.167 | 0.831 | 0.96 | 1.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRMSE [\%] | 1.749 | 1.325 | 1.603 | 1.958 |



Fig. 4-8. The error squared between the angular distribution from the nozzle ( $d: \phi 16, l: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) by the new model and DSMC
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### 4.2.2. The cylindrical nozzle ( $d$ : $\boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}$, $l$ : $\mathbf{1 6 m m}$ )



Fig. 4-9. The design of the cylindrical nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ ) and the chamber for DSMC

DSMC is performed to verify the angular distribution from the nozzle with the longer diameter than the length ( $d: \phi 30, l: 16 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) by the new model. The molecule is $A l q_{3}$ as the same molecule used in the new model. In DSMC, the properties of the molecule is the same as the properties in the simulation in 4.2.1. The nozzle and the space where the molecules are deposited are designed as shown in Fig. 4-9 to obtain the angular distribution from the nozzle with the diameter, $\phi 30$ and the length, 16 mm .
Table 4-7. The simulation conditions of DSMC for the cylindrical nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ )

| Nozzle |  | [unit $]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cell | $404 \times 202 \times 16$ |  |
| Element in cell | 9517931 |  |
| Create particle number | 5 | $[\# / \boldsymbol{s t e p}]$ |
| Time | 20 | $[\boldsymbol{s}]$ |
| Time step | $1.858388 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $[\boldsymbol{s}]$ |
| Simulation time per step | $110 \sim 130$ | $[\boldsymbol{s}]$ |
| Total simulation time | 34.59 | $[\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{r}]$ |

Table 4-8. The type of flow, Knudsen number, and mean free path by the molecular inflow
into the nozzle ( $d: \phi \mathbf{3 0}, l: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ )

| Inflow $[\boldsymbol{g} / \boldsymbol{h r}]$ | MFP $[\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{m}]$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.08 | 1175.04 | 39.17 | Free Molecular |
| 0.313 | 300.33 | 10.01 | Free Molecular |
| 0.94 | 200.01 | 6.67 | Transitional |
| 1.566 | 100.00 | 3.33 | Transitional |
| 3.13 | 60.03 | 2.00 | Transitional |
| 4.70 | 30.03 | 1.00 | Transitional |

The simulation time is set $20 s$ for obtaining the definite angular distribution, and it takes about 110 s to 130 s per a step, regardless of the change in the molecular inflow. The total time taken for the complete of the simulation is as shown in Table. 47. For the DSMC, the simulations take approximately $34.6 h r$ to get the relatively definite angular distribution could be fully understood.


Fig. 4-10. The angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ ) by DSMC


Fig. 4-11. The comparison of the angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \mathbf{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ ) by the new model and DSMC

The molecular inflow used for the simulation and the corresponding mean free path, Knudsen number, and the type of flow are shown in Table 4-8.

The results of the angular distribution for each inflow are shown in Fig. 4-10. When the diameter of the nozzle is larger than the length of the nozzle, as with the results of the new model, it has been found that the variation in the angular distribution by change of the molecular inflow is not significant.

To compare the results of DSMC with the results of the new model, the angular distribution of the two models are as follows. Fig. 4-11 shows a graph of the angular distribution of the molecular flow emitted from the nozzle with a longer diameter of the nozzle than the length of the nozzle for the molecular inflow with 1.0 or higher Knudsen number. When comparing the two results, the errors between the angular distribution by the new model and DSMC, which is expressed in NRMSE are shown in Table 4-6.

Table 4-9. NRMSE of the new model to DSMC

| Inflow [g/hr] | 0.08 | 0.313 | 0.94 | 1.566 | 3.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRMSE [\%] | 4.118 | 2.767 | 1.994 | 2.111 | 2.977 |

The error squared for each degree by the new model and DSMC is as shown in Fig. 4-12. The main reason for the large error at the low molecular inflow $0.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ is that the number of the molecules calculated in the simulation is not enough, even if the simulation is performed for 34.6 hr .


Fig. 4-12. The error squared between the new model and DSMC

### 4.3. Comparison with results by experiment

### 4.3.1. Cylindrical nozzle ( $d: \phi 16$, $l: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ )


(c)

Fig. 4-13. The scheme of experiment
(a) Side view of LS (b) Front view of LS (c) Design of crucible and shield

The experiment is used to validate the new model by comparing with the results of the new model. The experiment is carried out as follows.

First, as using the thickness of the molecules deposited onto a substrate after being emitted from a single nozzle of LS, the angular distribution of the molecules from the nozzle can be valuated. To calculate the angular distribution of the molecule from a single nozzle, the molecules from the other nozzles of LS are blocked up by a shield. The molecular inflow is controlled by a quartz crystal sensor.

Table 4-10. The conditions of the experiment

| Material |  | $\boldsymbol{A l q}_{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nozzle size $[\mathbf{m m}]$ | Diameter | 16 |
|  | Length | 30 |
| Gap between nozzle and substrate $[\mathbf{m m}]$ |  | 505 |
| Molecular Inflow $[\boldsymbol{g} / \boldsymbol{h r}]$ <br> (Deposition time $[\boldsymbol{s}])$ | $0.167(3600)$ |  |
|  | $0.831(720)$ |  |
|  | $2.786(240)$ |  |

LS is moved and placed under the substrate to perform deposition, after the molecular inflow is kept constant. The conditions of the components of LS used in the experiment are as shown in Table 4-10.
$A l q_{3}$ commonly used in OLED manufacture is used in the experiment, and the nozzle with 16 mm in diameter and 16 mm in length is used to obtain the angular distribution of the molecule emitted from the nozzle. Because the gap between the nozzle and the substrate is long enough to ignore the size of the nozzle, it can be suggested that the molecule is emitted from one point of the nozzle outlet in the new model. The gap between the nozzle and the substrate is 505 mm long enough for the size of the nozzle.

The hardware composition of this actual experiment is shown in Figure 4-14. to The gap between the nozzle and the substrate is set at 505 mm far enough; since it is assumed that the gap between the nozzle and the substrate is far from the size of the nozzle and that the molecule is emitted from one outlet of the nozzle.


Fig. 4-14. (a) The LS for the experiment (b) The single nozzle of LS

The hardware of LS for the experiment is shown in Fig. 4-14.


Fig. 4-15. The position on the substrate where the thickness is measured


Fig. 4-16. Ellipsometer (J. A. Woollam Co., Inc. M-2000 series)

The thickness of $A l q_{3}$ deposited on $S i$ wafer is measured and $S i$ wafer attached on the substrate is located in the following position on the substrate as shown in Fig. 4-15.

M-2000 series elipometer of J. A. Woollam is used to measure the thickness of the molecule. The reason why the thickness is measured after depositing on $S i$ wafer is because the thickness can be accurately measured when the model of substrate is set to Si wafer in elipometer.


Fig. 4-17. The thickness profile from LS with a single nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ )
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Fig. 4-18. The angular distribution by converting the thickness profile by experiment

When deposition is performed with different molecular inflows, and the thickness of the molecules deposited on the substrate is measured by using elisometer, the thickness profile for each position is as shown in Fig. 4-17. The angular distribution can be converted by Eq. (4.2) as shown in Fig. 4-18.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\theta)=m \frac{x^{2}+H^{2}}{\cos (\phi)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mean free path(Knudsen number) for each molecular inflow is $160 \mathrm{~mm}(10.1)$ at $0.167 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}, 32.17 \mathrm{~mm}(2.01)$ at $0.831 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$, and $9.6 \mathrm{~mm}(0.60)$ at $2.786 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$. The lower molecular inflow can cause the more sharp angular distribution.

In order to compare the angular distribution by the experiment and the angular distribution by the new model, the angular distribution by the new model is evaluated as follows. The angular distribution by the new model is derived using the values of man free path 15.92 mm , which Knudsen number is 1.00 . Because the angular distribution below 1.00 of Knudsen number such as $2.786 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ of the molecular inflow keep constant.


Fig. 4-19. The comparison of the angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ ) by the new model and the experiment

The graph in Fig. 4-19 shows the comparison of two types of the angular distributions evaluated by the experiment and the new model. The error squared between the results of the experiment and the new model for each position is shown in Fig. 4-20.

Compared to the results of DSMC, the difference in the angular distribution at lower angles is larger.

The error of each angular distribution expressed in NRMSE is shown in Table. 4-11. It can be seen that there are large errors in the low molecular inflow and that the higher the inflows, the smaller error becomes. This is because the error in the low angle is greater when it is the low molecular inflow than when it is the high molecular inflow.

To figure out why the error is large in this low angle, the results of the DSMC are compared with the results of the experiment.

Table 4-11. NRMSE of the new model to the experiment

| Inflow [g/hr] | 0.167 | 0.831 | 2.786 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRMSE [\%] | 4.306 | 3.372 | 2.004 |

80


Fig. 4-20. The error squared between the new model and the experiment

Fig. 4-21 shows a comparison of the angular distribution by DSMC and the experiment for a nozzle with 16 mm in diameter and 16 mm in length, and the error squared between the two angular distribution is shown in Fig. 4-20.


Fig. 4-21. The comparison of the angular distribution from the nozzle ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ ) by DSMC and the experiment


Fig. 4-22. The error squared between DSMC and the experiment

There is large error in the low angle in the comparison between the two angular distributions as well.

NRMSE calculated as 5.21, 3.676, and 1.281 also show a large error with the low molecular inflow. This is determined by the error in the measurement that could occur when measuring the film thickness in the experiment. Because the thickness of the thin film being measured is thinner, the error is relatively larger, the larger error is shown in the measurement of the thinner thickness at the high angle and the low molecular inflow.

Table 4-12. NRMSE of DSMC to the experiment

| Inflow [g/hr] | 0.167 | 0.831 | 2.786 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRMSE [\%] | 5.251 | 3.676 | 1.281 |

## Chapter 5. Conclusions

In this paper, the analytical model of the angular distribution of the molecular flux with the intermolecular collisions from a cylindrical nozzle is studied. The results are verified by DSMC and experiment. The results are as follows.

- The molecular density in the nozzle is modelled by the longitudinal density of the free molecular flow by Clausing's equation and the longitudinal density of the last intermolecular collisions.
- The angular distribution by the change of the molecular density in nozzle can be evaluated by using the design of the nozzle such as the radius of the nozzle, the length of the nozzle, and mean free path.
- In this study, when the results of the new model and DSMC for the cylindrical nozzle with 16 mm in the diameter and 30 mm in the length are compared, NRMSE of the angular distribution by the change of the molecular density is evaluated as $1.75 \%$, $1.32 \%, 1.60 \%$, and $1.96 \%$, respectively.
- In this study, when the results of the new model and DSMC for the cylindrical nozzle with 30 mm in the diameter and 16 mm in the length are compared, NRMSE of the angular distribution by the change of the molecular density is evaluated as $4.12 \%$, $2.77 \%, 1.99 \%$, and $2.98 \%$, respectively.
- In this study, when the results of the new model and the experiment for the cylindrical nozzle with 16 mm in the diameter and 30 mm in the length are compared, NRMSE of the angular distribution by the change of the molecular density
is evaluated as $4.31 \%, 3.37 \%$, and $2.00 \%$, respectively.

In this study, the analytical model has been suggested how the angular distribution from a cylindrical nozzle, which change with the change of the molecular density, can be predicted without performing any DSMC or experiments. This not only predicts the angular distribution by the change of the design of the nozzle, but also the change of the molecular inflow, i.e. the new model for the angular distribution of the molecular flux from a cylindrical nozzle, thereby, can be expected reducing the simulation time of LS and the optimization of uniformity in a substrate.
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## APPENDIX

## A. The results of new model for the cylindrical nozzle with $d$ : $\phi 16, l: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$

Table A. The angular distribution by new model ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}$ : $\mathbf{3 0 m m}$ )

| Angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | $0.167 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.831 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $1.68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1418225 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0.7090997 | 98.917869 | 99.315923 | 99.378873 | 99.648831 |
| 1.2762379 | 97.825003 | 98.617217 | 98.742847 | 99.280328 |
| 1.8431263 | 96.722048 | 97.904421 | 98.092424 | 98.894858 |
| 2.4096539 | 95.60966 | 97.178087 | 97.428117 | 98.492806 |
| 2.9757094 | 94.488504 | 96.438781 | 96.750455 | 98.074572 |
| 3.5411852 | 93.359246 | 95.687074 | 96.059973 | 97.640571 |
| 4.1059711 | 92.222567 | 94.923552 | 95.357219 | 97.191232 |
| 4.6699589 | 91.079147 | 94.148808 | 94.642749 | 96.726999 |
| 5.2330412 | 89.929674 | 93.363439 | 93.917128 | 96.248324 |
| 5.7951106 | 88.774843 | 92.568055 | 93.180926 | 95.755671 |
| 6.3560641 | 87.615343 | 91.763261 | 92.434717 | 95.24951 |
| 6.9157968 | 86.451873 | 90.949673 | 91.679082 | 94.73032 |
| 7.4742062 | 85.285132 | 90.127908 | 90.914603 | 94.198585 |
| 8.0311912 | 84.115821 | 89.298583 | 90.141864 | 93.654794 |
| 8.5866515 | 82.944642 | 88.462319 | 89.361453 | 93.09944 |
| 9.1404914 | 81.77229 | 87.619729 | 88.573949 | 92.533013 |
| 9.6926139 | 80.599466 | 86.771431 | 87.779938 | 91.956008 |
| 10.242925 | 79.426868 | 85.918036 | 86.98 | 91.368915 |
| 10.791333 | 78.25519 | 85.060152 | 86.174709 | 90.772224 |
| 11.337749 | 77.08512 | 84.19838 | 85.364635 | 90.166417 |
| 11.882075 | 75.917368 | 83.33333 | 84.550356 | 89.551986 |
| 12.424246 | 74.75258 | 82.465564 | 83.732402 | 88.929382 |
| 12.964154 | 73.591483 | 81.595697 | 82.911356 | 88.299095 |
| 13.501741 | 72.434708 | 80.724267 | 82.087726 | 87.661556 |
| 14.036906 | 71.282973 | 79.85187 | 81.262075 | 87.017236 |
| 14.569593 | 70.1369 | 78.979025 | 80.43489 | 86.366542 |
| 15.099708 | 68.997198 | 78.106305 | 79.606712 | 85.709922 |
| 15.627201 | 67.864477 | 77.234204 | 78.778003 | 85.047754 |
| 16.151979 | 66.739438 | 76.363271 | 77.949279 | 84.380457 |
| 16.673999 | 65.622682 | 75.493972 | 77.120973 | 83.708376 |
| 17.193175 | 64.514901 | 74.626828 | 76.293571 | 83.031895 |
| 17.709456 | 63.416711 | 73.762293 | 75.467492 | 82.351334 |
| 18.222794 | 62.328729 | 72.900806 | 74.643141 | 81.666995 |
| 18.73311 | 61.251636 | 72.042839 | 73.820951 | 80.979195 |
| 19.240373 | 60.186022 | 71.18878 | 73.001274 | 80.288174 |
| 19.74451 | 59.132568 | 70.339068 | 72.184507 | 79.594198 |
| 20.245492 | 58.091869 | 69.494054 | 71.370959 | 78.897449 |
| 20.743255 | 57.06461 | 68.654138 | 70.560986 | 78.198131 |
| 21.237773 | 56.0514 | 67.819634 | 69.754854 | 77.496359 |
| 21.728985 | 55.052942 | 66.9909 | 68.95287 | 76.79226 |
| 22.216874 | 54.069873 | 66.168205 | 68.155249 | 76.085861 |
| 22.701383 | 53.10293 | 65.351863 | 67.36224 | 75.377193 |
| 23.182498 | 52.152799 | 64.542094 | 66.573999 | 74.666167 |
| 23.660168 | 51.220283 | 63.739153 | 65.790703 | 73.952677 |
| 24.134383 | 50.306157 | 62.943202 | 65.012427 | 73.236468 |
| 24.605097 | 49.41134 | 62.154425 | 64.239253 | 72.517224 |
| 25.072306 | 48.53677 | 61.372896 | 63.471135 | 71.79442 |
| 25.535968 | 47.683587 | 60.598693 | 62.708 | 71.067376 |
| 25.996081 | 46.853055 | 59.831744 | 61.949592 | 70.335051 |
| 26.45261 | 46.046796 | 59.071917 | 61.195525 | 69.595986 |
| 26.905556 | 45.266862 | 58.3188 | 60.445036 | 68.84785 |
| 27.354888 | 44.51632 | 57.571624 | 59.696793 | 68.086743 |
| 27.800613 | 43.800631 | 56.828427 | 58.947723 | 67.304338 |
| 28.242702 | 43.137092 | 56.080657 | 58.185321 | 66.468428 |
| 28.681166 | 42.504921 | 55.341673 | 57.428412 | 65.627313 |
| 29.11598 | 41.890832 | 54.622773 | 56.69212 | 64.816133 |
| 29.547149 | 41.294685 | 53.923337 | 55.975721 | 64.032856 |
| 29.97468 | 40.714802 | 53.241869 | 55.277731 | 63.275058 |
| 30.398553 | 40.150893 | 52.577774 | 54.597486 | 62.541091 |
| 30.818788 | 39.602046 | 51.930093 | 53.934 | 61.829178 |
| 31.235368 | 39.067825 | 51.298219 | 53.286624 | 61.137931 |
| 31.648316 | 38.547306 | 50.681247 | 52.654443 | 60.46584 |
| 32.057618 | 38.039784 | 50.078452 | 52.036717 | 59.811633 |
| 32.463299 | 37.545097 | 49.489464 | 51.433027 | 59.174352 |
| 32.86535 | 37.062658 | 48.913679 | 50.842758 | 58.552973 |
| 33.263796 | 36.591865 | 48.350484 | 50.265286 | 57.946505 |
| 33.658632 | 36.132264 | 47.799396 | 49.700114 | 57.354127 |
| 34.049885 | 35.683204 | 47.259793 | 49.146621 | 56.774948 |
| 34.437553 | 35.244726 | 46.731552 | 48.604645 | 56.208508 |


| 34.821664 | 34.815928 | 46.213905 | 48.07344 | 55.653891 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35.202219 | 34.396838 | 45.706743 | 47.552864 | 55.110724 |
| 35.579248 | 33.987176 | 45.209742 | 47.042582 | 54.578494 |
| 35.952752 | 33.586519 | 44.722503 | 46.542195 | 54.056669 |
| 36.322766 | 33.194194 | 44.244447 | 46.051138 | 53.544582 |
| 36.689292 | 32.810308 | 43.775553 | 45.569363 | 53.042043 |
| 37.052364 | 32.434276 | 43.315312 | 45.096372 | 52.548481 |
| 37.411988 | 32.066292 | 42.863782 | 44.632194 | 52.063805 |
| 37.768198 | 31.705427 | 42.420217 | 44.17612 | 51.587286 |
| 38.121003 | 31.352333 | 41.985013 | 43.728489 | 51.119139 |
| 38.470438 | 31.005777 | 41.557214 | 43.2884 | 50.65848 |
| 38.816512 | 30.666572 | 41.13734 | 42.856314 | 50.205657 |
| 39.159255 | 30.333702 | 40.724598 | 42.431479 | 49.759937 |
| 39.498698 | 30.007211 | 40.318954 | 42.013848 | 49.321223 |
| 39.834851 | 29.687044 | 39.920321 | 41.603324 | 48.889389 |
| 40.167751 | 29.372783 | 39.528334 | 41.199553 | 48.464073 |
| 40.497412 | 29.064551 | 39.143041 | 40.802565 | 48.045276 |
| 40.823871 | 28.761928 | 38.764079 | 40.412012 | 47.632649 |
| 41.147141 | 28.464582 | 38.391163 | 40.027616 | 47.225916 |
| 41.467261 | 28.172967 | 38.024582 | 39.64963 | 46.825296 |
| 41.784245 | 27.886628 | 37.663965 | 39.277702 | 46.43045 |
| 42.098131 | 27.605214 | 37.309004 | 38.911534 | 46.041085 |
| 42.408935 | 27.328824 | 36.959741 | 38.551153 | 45.657225 |
| 42.716695 | 27.057319 | 36.616032 | 38.196416 | 45.278728 |
| 43.021426 | 26.79039 | 36.277614 | 37.847071 | 44.905353 |
| 43.323167 | 26.528114 | 35.944509 | 37.503125 | 44.537107 |
| 43.621935 | 26.270372 | 35.616598 | 37.164463 | 44.173883 |
| 43.917769 | 26.016906 | 35.293653 | 36.830861 | 43.815466 |
| 44.210684 | 25.767756 | 34.975678 | 36.502316 | 43.461863 |
| 44.50072 | 25.522568 | 34.662368 | 36.178536 | 43.112792 |
| 44.787894 | 25.281745 | 34.354014 | 35.859784 | 42.768519 |
| 45.073723 | 25.043126 | 34.048285 | 35.54372 | 42.426592 |
| 45.376607 | 24.792275 | 33.726244 | 35.210696 | 42.065643 |
| 45.682679 | 24.540523 | 33.402551 | 34.87589 | 41.702082 |
| 45.991983 | 24.287861 | 33.077189 | 34.539283 | 41.335867 |
| 46.304542 | 24.034296 | 32.750162 | 34.200879 | 40.966985 |
| 46.620399 | 23.779819 | 32.421454 | 33.860659 | 40.595397 |
| 46.939575 | 23.524437 | 32.091074 | 33.518632 | 40.221092 |
| 47.262116 | 23.268142 | 31.759005 | 33.17478 | 39.844033 |
| 47.588047 | 23.011083 | 31.425365 | 32.829211 | 39.464311 |
| 47.917395 | 22.75305 | 31.089987 | 32.481771 | 39.081752 |
| 48.250204 | 22.494089 | 30.752902 | 32.132484 | 38.696362 |
| 48.586494 | 22.234223 | 30.41413 | 31.78137 | 38.308145 |
| 48.926308 | 21.973449 | 30.073662 | 31.428418 | 37.917072 |
| 49.269666 | 21.711781 | 29.731515 | 31.073646 | 37.523143 |
| 49.616611 | 21.449214 | 29.387678 | 30.717041 | 37.12633 |
| 49.96716 | 21.185765 | 29.042172 | 30.358623 | 36.726636 |
| 50.321356 | 20.92143 | 28.694988 | 29.998382 | 36.324038 |
| 50.679217 | 20.656226 | 28.346147 | 29.636341 | 35.918541 |
| 51.040783 | 20.390151 | 27.995644 | 29.272492 | 35.510124 |
| 51.406078 | 20.123218 | 27.643497 | 28.906853 | 35.098791 |
| 51.775121 | 19.855443 | 27.289723 | 28.539441 | 34.684548 |
| 52.147955 | 19.586825 | 26.934321 | 28.170256 | 34.26738 |
| 52.52459 | 19.317386 | 26.57732 | 27.799325 | 33.847304 |
| 52.905067 | 19.047128 | 26.218721 | 27.426651 | 33.424311 |
| 53.289397 | 18.776076 | 25.858556 | 27.052265 | 32.998423 |
| 53.677617 | 18.504232 | 25.496831 | 26.676173 | 32.569635 |
| 54.069737 | 18.231624 | 25.13358 | 26.298411 | 32.137977 |
| 54.465792 | 17.958258 | 24.768814 | 25.918987 | 31.703446 |
| 54.86579 | 17.684162 | 24.402569 | 25.537942 | 31.26608 |
| 55.269764 | 17.409345 | 24.034861 | 25.155289 | 30.825883 |
| 55.677718 | 17.133838 | 23.66573 | 24.771073 | 30.382897 |
| 56.089685 | 16.857653 | 23.295195 | 24.38531 | 29.937133 |
| 56.505674 | 16.580817 | 22.923296 | 23.998042 | 29.488632 |
| 56.92569 | 16.303362 | 22.550074 | 23.609314 | 29.037437 |
| 57.349763 | 16.025303 | 22.175554 | 23.219151 | 28.58357 |
| 57.77789 | 15.746678 | 21.799791 | 22.827607 | 28.12709 |
| 58.210095 | 15.467505 | 21.422811 | 22.434714 | 27.668027 |
| 58.646371 | 15.187826 | 21.044675 | 22.040532 | 27.206447 |
| 59.086742 | 14.907663 | 20.665415 | 21.645097 | 26.742388 |
| 59.531195 | 14.62706 | 20.285095 | 21.248475 | 26.275925 |
| 59.979751 | 14.346042 | 19.903755 | 20.850708 | 25.807103 |
| 60.432393 | 14.064657 | 19.521464 | 20.451866 | 25.336006 |
| 60.889138 | 13.782934 | 19.138265 | 20.051998 | 24.862686 |
| 61.349966 | 13.500923 | 18.754233 | 19.651181 | 24.387238 |
| 61.814888 | 13.218658 | 18.369419 | 19.249467 | 23.909722 |
| 62.283889 | 12.936187 | 17.983894 | 18.846934 | 23.430232 |
| 62.756949 | 12.653563 | 17.597736 | 18.443662 | 22.948866 |
| 63.234075 | 12.370822 | 17.211003 | 18.039711 | 22.465699 |
| 63.715234 | 12.088025 | 16.823784 | 17.635177 | 21.980848 |
| 64.200427 | 11.805214 | 16.436142 | 17.230126 | 21.494396 |
| 64.689615 | 11.522452 | 16.048174 | 16.824659 | 21.00647 |
| 65.182795 | 11.239785 | 15.659948 | 16.418849 | 20.517165 |
| 65.679924 | 10.957281 | 15.271566 | 16.012803 | 20.026615 |
| 66.180991 | 10.674989 | 14.883103 | 15.606601 | 19.534924 |


| 66.685948 | 10.392979 | 14.494666 | 15.200356 | 19.042237 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67.194779 | 10.111306 | 14.106337 | 14.794154 | 18.548668 |
| 67.70743 | 9.8300448 | 13.718229 | 14.388113 | 18.05437 |
| 68.223878 | 9.5492511 | 13.330428 | 13.982327 | 17.559467 |
| 68.744073 | 9.268999 | 12.943046 | 13.576913 | 17.064113 |
| 69.267959 | 8.9893642 | 12.556197 | 13.171992 | 16.568468 |
| 69.795505 | 8.7104086 | 12.169977 | 12.767665 | 16.072667 |
| 70.326642 | 8.4322162 | 11.784511 | 12.364065 | 15.576888 |
| 70.861332 | 8.1548523 | 11.399901 | 11.9613 | 15.081275 |
| 71.399498 | 7.8784042 | 11.016278 | 11.559508 | 14.586015 |
| 71.941098 | 7.6029405 | 10.633748 | 11.158801 | 14.091259 |
| 72.486048 | 7.3285512 | 10.252445 | 10.759324 | 13.597201 |
| 73.034298 | 7.055308 | 9.8724817 | 10.361192 | 13.104003 |
| 73.585757 | 6.7833035 | 9.4939964 | 9.9645553 | 12.611862 |
| 74.140372 | 6.5126122 | 9.1171035 | 9.5695335 | 12.120948 |
| 74.698054 | 6.243324 | 8.7419396 | 9.176272 | 11.631458 |
| 75.258712 | 5.9755301 | 8.3686428 | 8.7849169 | 11.143591 |
| 75.822282 | 5.7093082 | 7.9973322 | 8.3955947 | 10.657524 |
| 76.388656 | 5.4447557 | 7.628155 | 8.0084617 | 10.17347 |
| 76.957765 | 5.1819522 | 7.261233 | 7.623647 | 9.6916089 |
| 77.529494 | 4.9209965 | 6.8967152 | 7.2413088 | 9.2121592 |
| 78.103769 | 4.6619691 | 6.5347251 | 6.8615782 | 8.7353041 |
| 78.680468 | 4.4049695 | 6.1754127 | 6.4846143 | 8.2612632 |
| 79.259509 | 4.1500802 | 5.8189037 | 6.1105507 | 7.7902241 |
| 79.840776 | 3.8973962 | 5.465342 | 5.7395401 | 7.3223989 |
| 80.424163 | 3.6470076 | 5.114864 | 5.3717274 | 6.8579904 |
| 81.009561 | 3.3990044 | 4.7676061 | 5.0072572 | 6.3972011 |
| 81.596859 | 3.1534761 | 4.4237037 | 4.6462736 | 5.940233 |
| 82.18594 | 2.9105129 | 4.0832935 | 4.2889216 | 5.4872895 |
| 82.776689 | 2.6702029 | 3.7465085 | 3.9353424 | 5.0385694 |
| 83.368984 | 2.4327688 | 3.4136047 | 3.585796 | 4.5944097 |
| 83.962707 | 2.1981988 | 3.0846235 | 3.2403352 | 4.1549064 |
| 84.557735 | 1.9664897 | 2.7596128 | 2.8990189 | 3.7201623 |
| 85.153942 | 1.737767 | 2.4387378 | 2.5620191 | 3.2904113 |
| 85.751203 | 1.5121226 | 2.1221311 | 2.2294759 | 2.8658499 |
| 86.34939 | 1.2896441 | 1.8099215 | 1.9015255 | 2.4466702 |
| 86.948375 | 1.0704149 | 1.502232 | 1.5782985 | 2.0330567 |
| 87.548029 | 0.8545167 | 1.1991831 | 1.2599226 | 1.6251911 |
| 88.14822 | 0.6420324 | 0.9008963 | 0.9465264 | 1.2232569 |
| 88.748819 | 0.4330475 | 0.6074947 | 0.6382401 | 0.8274433 |
| 89.349692 | 0.2276768 | 0.3191369 | 0.3352309 | 0.4380096 |

## B. The results of new model the cylindrical nozzle with d: $\phi \mathbf{3 0}, \mathrm{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$

Table B. The angular distribution by new model ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0 , l} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ )


| Angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | $0.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.313 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.94 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $1.566 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $3.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1419618 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 0.7097958 | 99.656032 | 99.664534 | 99.682962 | 99.702701 | 99.758365 |
| 1.2774904 | 99.302361 | 99.318861 | 99.35469 | 99.393151 | 99.501993 |
| 1.8449345 | 98.939108 | 98.963109 | 99.015321 | 99.071497 | 99.231052 |
| 2.4120168 | 98.566403 | 98.597414 | 98.665003 | 98.737897 | 98.945721 |
| 2.9786256 | 98.184387 | 98.221922 | 98.303893 | 98.39252 | 98.646194 |
| 3.5446529 | 97.793204 | 97.836783 | 97.932156 | 98.035543 | 98.332675 |
| 4.1099884 | 97.393008 | 97.44216 | 97.549968 | 97.667155 | 98.005383 |
| 4.6745234 | 96.983962 | 97.038221 | 97.157512 | 97.287553 | 97.664548 |
| 5.2381502 | 96.566232 | 96.625142 | 96.754979 | 96.896946 | 97.310411 |
| 5.8007612 | 96.139996 | 96.203108 | 96.342572 | 96.495548 | 96.943225 |
| 6.362253 | 95.705432 | 95.772307 | 95.920495 | 96.083583 | 96.563252 |
| 6.9225206 | 95.262731 | 95.332937 | 95.488963 | 95.661283 | 96.170764 |
| 7.4814609 | 94.812084 | 94.885199 | 95.048198 | 95.228887 | 95.766045 |
| 8.0389729 | 94.353692 | 94.429303 | 94.598427 | 94.786643 | 95.349385 |
| 8.5949556 | 93.887759 | 93.965463 | 94.139885 | 94.334803 | 94.921085 |
| 9.1493134 | 93.414493 | 93.493897 | 93.672809 | 93.873626 | 94.48145 |
| 9.7019489 | 92.934107 | 93.014828 | 93.197445 | 93.403376 | 94.030796 |
| 10.252768 | 92.446818 | 92.528485 | 92.714042 | 92.924325 | 93.569444 |
| 10.801678 | 91.952849 | 92.035098 | 92.222853 | 92.436747 | 93.097722 |
| 11.348591 | 91.45242 | 91.534902 | 91.724132 | 91.940919 | 92.615959 |
| 11.893409 | 90.945769 | 91.028142 | 91.21815 | 91.437133 | 92.124501 |
| 12.436064 | 90.433106 | 90.515043 | 90.705153 | 90.925658 | 91.623675 |
| 12.976451 | 89.914686 | 89.995868 | 90.185427 | 90.406804 | 91.113844 |
| 13.51451 | 89.390719 | 89.470841 | 89.65922 | 89.88084 | 90.595336 |
| 14.050141 | 88.861463 | 88.940228 | 89.126822 | 89.348081 | 90.068523 |
| 14.583287 | 88.327132 | 88.404258 | 88.588483 | 88.8088 | 89.533736 |
| 15.113855 | 87.787983 | 87.863197 | 88.044497 | 88.263315 | 88.99135 |
| 15.641792 | 87.244232 | 87.317275 | 87.495113 | 87.711898 | 88.441697 |
| 16.167009 | 86.696138 | 86.76676 | 86.940626 | 87.154868 | 87.885155 |
| 16.689459 | 86.143915 | 86.211879 | 86.381285 | 86.592499 | 87.322054 |
| 17.209058 | 85.587821 | 85.652901 | 85.817385 | 86.025107 | 86.75277 |
| 17.725755 | 85.02808 | 85.090062 | 85.249183 | 85.452975 | 86.177644 |
| 18.2395 | 84.464915 | 84.523595 | 84.676936 | 84.876383 | 85.597014 |
| 18.750217 | 83.898579 | 83.953766 | 84.100935 | 84.295644 | 85.01125 |
| 19.257872 | 83.32928 | 83.380793 | 83.52142 | 83.711022 | 84.420674 |
| 19.762393 | 82.757269 | 82.80494 | 82.938677 | 83.122826 | 83.82565 |
| 20.263753 | 82.182748 | 82.226418 | 82.352941 | 82.531314 | 83.226494 |
| 20.761884 | 81.605964 | 81.645485 | 81.764493 | 81.936788 | 82.623561 |
| 21.256762 | 81.027114 | 81.06235 | 81.173562 | 81.339499 | 82.017158 |
| 21.748328 | 80.446438 | 80.477262 | 80.580421 | 80.739744 | 81.407634 |
| 22.236561 | 79.864126 | 79.890424 | 79.985292 | 80.137764 | 80.795283 |
| 22.721407 | 79.280413 | 79.302078 | 79.388441 | 79.533846 | 80.180444 |
| 23.20285 | 78.695481 | 78.712419 | 78.790081 | 78.928226 | 79.563401 |
| 23.680841 | 78.109557 | 78.121683 | 78.19047 | 78.321179 | 78.944482 |
| 24.155369 | 77.522818 | 77.530055 | 77.589812 | 77.712931 | 78.323959 |
| 24.626388 | 76.935481 | 76.937763 | 76.988356 | 77.103748 | 77.702146 |
| 25.093893 | 76.347715 | 76.344984 | 76.386296 | 76.493846 | 77.079304 |
| 25.557843 | 75.759729 | 75.751938 | 75.783871 | 75.88348 | 76.455733 |
| 26.018237 | 75.171682 | 75.158793 | 75.181266 | 75.272853 | 75.83168 |
| 26.475039 | 74.583776 | 74.565757 | 74.57871 | 74.662212 | 75.207432 |
| 26.928251 | 73.996161 | 73.972991 | 73.976377 | 74.051747 | 74.583222 |
| 27.37784 | 73.40903 | 73.380693 | 73.374485 | 73.441693 | 73.959324 |
| 27.823815 | 72.822522 | 72.789014 | 72.773198 | 72.832231 | 73.335954 |
| 28.266146 | 72.236822 | 72.198144 | 72.172724 | 72.223581 | 72.713373 |
| 28.704844 | 71.652062 | 71.608222 | 71.573216 | 71.615913 | 72.091783 |
| 29.139886 | 71.068414 | 71.019429 | 70.97487 | 71.009437 | 71.47143 |
| 29.571275 | 70.486013 | 70.431906 | 70.37784 | 70.404321 | 70.852514 |
| 29.999018 | 69.904988 | 69.845789 | 69.782277 | 69.800728 | 70.235229 |
| 30.423098 | 69.3255 | 69.261245 | 69.18836 | 69.19885 | 69.619797 |
| 30.84353 | 68.747657 | 68.678388 | 68.596215 | 68.598827 | 69.006385 |
| 31.260302 | 68.171609 | 68.097374 | 68.006013 | 68.000838 | 68.395201 |
| 31.673434 | 67.597455 | 67.518309 | 67.417867 | 67.405011 | 67.786398 |
| 32.082915 | 67.025337 | 66.94134 | 66.831938 | 66.811514 | 67.180169 |
| 32.488767 | 66.455346 | 66.366562 | 66.248331 | 66.220464 | 66.576654 |
| 32.890982 | 65.887613 | 65.794112 | 65.667193 | 65.632018 | 65.976031 |
| 33.289586 | 65.322221 | 65.224078 | 65.08862 | 65.04628 | 65.378426 |
| 33.684574 | 64.759292 | 64.656586 | 64.51275 | 64.463397 | 64.784005 |
| 34.075973 | 64.198901 | 64.091715 | 63.939668 | 63.883462 | 64.192878 |
| 34.46378 | 63.641161 | 63.529584 | 63.369503 | 63.306611 | 63.6052 |
| 34.848024 | 63.086139 | 62.970262 | 62.802329 | 62.732927 | 63.021069 |
| 35.228706 | 62.53394 | 62.413858 | 62.238266 | 62.162535 | 62.440624 |
| 35.605857 | 61.984622 | 61.860435 | 61.677381 | 61.595508 | 61.863952 |
| 35.979478 | 61.438284 | 61.310093 | 61.119781 | 61.031961 | 61.29118 |
| 36.349602 | 60.894975 | 60.762886 | 60.565526 | 60.471957 | 60.722385 |


| 36.716232 | 60.354787 | 60.218907 | 60.014715 | 59.915602 | 60.15768 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.079404 | 59.817761 | 59.678202 | 59.467399 | 59.36295 | 59.597129 |
| 37.439122 | 59.283982 | 59.140857 | 58.923668 | 58.814097 | 59.040838 |
| 37.795422 | 58.753486 | 58.60691 | 58.383565 | 58.269088 | 58.488859 |
| 38.148311 | 58.226348 | 58.076439 | 57.847173 | 57.72801 | 57.941286 |
| 38.497826 | 57.702599 | 57.549477 | 57.314526 | 57.190902 | 57.398161 |
| 38.843974 | 57.182308 | 57.026095 | 56.785701 | 56.65784 | 56.859568 |
| 39.186787 | 56.66551 | 56.506329 | 56.260735 | 56.128867 | 56.325552 |
| 39.526295 | 56.152236 | 55.990212 | 55.739665 | 55.60402 | 55.796154 |
| 39.862509 | 55.642547 | 55.477805 | 55.222554 | 55.083365 | 55.271442 |
| 40.195466 | 55.136458 | 54.969126 | 54.709422 | 54.566921 | 54.751438 |
| 40.525179 | 54.634023 | 54.464229 | 54.200324 | 54.054748 | 54.236201 |
| 40.851686 | 54.135253 | 53.963127 | 53.695275 | 53.546859 | 53.725745 |
| 41.175001 | 53.640197 | 53.465868 | 53.194324 | 53.043305 | 53.220122 |
| 41.495161 | 53.14886 | 52.972459 | 52.697479 | 52.544094 | 52.719337 |
| 41.812181 | 52.661287 | 52.482945 | 52.204786 | 52.049271 | 52.223433 |
| 42.1261 | 52.177479 | 51.997327 | 51.716245 | 51.558838 | 51.732412 |
| 42.436933 | 51.697475 | 51.515645 | 51.231897 | 51.072833 | 51.246309 |
| 42.744718 | 51.221272 | 51.037896 | 50.751739 | 50.591255 | 50.765117 |
| 43.049471 | 50.748905 | 50.564114 | 50.275805 | 50.114135 | 50.288867 |
| 43.351231 | 50.280368 | 50.094294 | 49.804088 | 49.641466 | 49.817545 |
| 43.650015 | 49.81569 | 49.628465 | 49.336618 | 49.173276 | 49.351175 |
| 43.945861 | 49.354863 | 49.166618 | 48.873383 | 48.709553 | 48.889741 |
| 44.238785 | 48.897913 | 48.708778 | 48.414408 | 48.250319 | 48.433258 |
| 44.528828 | 48.444827 | 48.254933 | 47.95968 | 47.795559 | 47.981706 |
| 44.816004 | 47.995627 | 47.805105 | 47.509218 | 47.345292 | 47.535096 |
| 45.015857 | 47.682689 | 47.491802 | 47.195619 | 47.031963 | 47.2246 |
| 45.310754 | 47.220453 | 47.029141 | 46.732742 | 46.569689 | 46.766942 |
| 45.608686 | 46.752921 | 46.561318 | 46.264974 | 46.102785 | 46.305235 |
| 45.909687 | 46.280054 | 46.088304 | 45.792301 | 45.631248 | 45.839502 |
| 46.213778 | 45.801846 | 45.610099 | 45.314737 | 45.155105 | 45.369795 |
| 46.520995 | 45.318262 | 45.126678 | 44.832274 | 44.674364 | 44.896146 |
| 46.831374 | 44.829275 | 44.638021 | 44.344909 | 44.189033 | 44.418592 |
| 47.144933 | 44.334887 | 44.14414 | 43.85267 | 43.699158 | 43.937202 |
| 47.461709 | 43.835077 | 43.645024 | 43.355563 | 43.204757 | 43.452023 |
| 47.781733 | 43.329837 | 43.140674 | 42.853609 | 42.705869 | 42.96312 |
| 48.105039 | 42.819156 | 42.63109 | 42.346823 | 42.202524 | 42.470551 |
| 48.431646 | 42.303055 | 42.116303 | 41.83526 | 41.694791 | 41.974412 |
| 48.761588 | 41.781534 | 41.596324 | 41.318948 | 41.182718 | 41.474775 |
| 49.094896 | 41.254609 | 41.071179 | 40.797935 | 40.666366 | 40.971733 |
| 49.431604 | 40.72229 | 40.540892 | 40.272264 | 40.145798 | 40.465372 |
| 49.771728 | 40.18463 | 40.005526 | 39.74202 | 39.621113 | 39.955818 |
| 50.115302 | 39.641655 | 39.465121 | 39.207265 | 39.092393 | 39.443177 |
| 50.462355 | 39.093414 | 38.919737 | 38.66808 | 38.559735 | 38.927571 |
| 50.81292 | 38.539955 | 38.369434 | 38.124548 | 38.02324 | 38.409123 |
| 51.167011 | 37.981366 | 37.814315 | 37.576795 | 37.483049 | 37.887996 |
| 51.52466 | 37.417721 | 37.254465 | 37.024927 | 36.939286 | 37.364331 |
| 51.885892 | 36.849112 | 36.689992 | 36.469074 | 36.392097 | 36.838292 |
| 52.250741 | 36.275639 | 36.121007 | 35.909371 | 35.841631 | 36.310042 |
| 52.619217 | 35.697452 | 35.547673 | 35.345999 | 35.288085 | 35.779788 |
| 52.991349 | 35.114683 | 34.970136 | 34.779128 | 34.73164 | 35.247715 |
| 53.367161 | 34.527499 | 34.388574 | 34.208953 | 34.172505 | 34.714034 |
| 53.746682 | 33.936077 | 33.803177 | 33.635682 | 33.610897 | 34.178957 |
| 54.129918 | 33.340653 | 33.214192 | 33.059578 | 33.047087 | 33.642742 |
| 54.516898 | 32.741459 | 32.62186 | 32.480897 | 32.481334 | 33.105629 |
| 54.907641 | 32.138772 | 32.026468 | 31.899933 | 31.913934 | 32.567883 |
| 55.302172 | 31.532892 | 31.428322 | 31.317001 | 31.345199 | 32.029777 |
| 55.700493 | 30.9242 | 30.827807 | 30.732485 | 30.775502 | 31.491629 |
| 56.102628 | 30.313089 | 30.225318 | 30.146774 | 30.20522 | 30.953746 |
| 56.5086 | 29.700009 | 29.621302 | 29.560303 | 29.634764 | 30.416452 |
| 56.918407 | 29.085513 | 29.016305 | 28.973595 | 29.064624 | 29.880126 |
| 57.332068 | 28.4702 | 28.410911 | 28.387198 | 28.495304 | 29.345136 |
| 57.749595 | 27.854774 | 27.805805 | 27.801746 | 27.92738 | 28.81189 |
| 58.171005 | 27.240048 | 27.201766 | 27.217947 | 27.361484 | 28.280812 |
| 58.596291 | 26.627014 | 26.599744 | 26.636654 | 26.798367 | 27.752399 |
| 59.025465 | 26.016821 | 26.000824 | 26.058823 | 26.238858 | 27.227158 |
| 59.458534 | 25.410877 | 25.406328 | 25.485604 | 25.683936 | 26.705671 |
| 59.895509 | 24.810924 | 24.817882 | 24.918389 | 25.134771 | 26.188597 |
| 60.336374 | 24.219252 | 24.237609 | 24.358976 | 24.592856 | 25.676759 |
| 60.781138 | 23.638938 | 23.668346 | 23.809737 | 24.060142 | 25.171187 |
| 61.229797 | 23.074613 | 23.114351 | 23.274218 | 23.539524 | 24.673396 |
| 61.682356 | 22.534859 | 22.583511 | 22.758983 | 23.03638 | 24.186253 |
| 62.138792 | 22.045846 | 22.099959 | 22.284299 | 22.567482 | 23.719074 |
| 62.599105 | 21.574276 | 21.63238 | 21.822751 | 22.109106 | 23.25664 |
| 63.063284 | 21.102719 | 21.164539 | 21.360415 | 21.649475 | 22.791969 |
| 63.531325 | 20.631244 | 20.696505 | 20.897369 | 21.188667 | 22.325143 |
| 64.003195 | 20.159947 | 20.228378 | 20.433717 | 20.726794 | 21.85628 |
| 64.478886 | 19.688901 | 19.760236 | 19.969543 | 20.263942 | 21.385473 |
| 64.958379 | 19.21819 | 19.292165 | 19.50494 | 19.80021 | 20.912828 |
| 65.44166 | 18.747894 | 18.82425 | 19.039998 | 19.335692 | 20.43845 |
| 65.928685 | 18.278152 | 18.356631 | 18.574861 | 18.870537 | 19.962488 |
| 66.419438 | 17.809029 | 17.889379 | 18.109611 | 18.404832 | 19.485046 |
| 66.913897 | 17.340581 | 17.422557 | 17.644319 | 17.938659 | 19.006222 |
| 67.412011 | 16.872939 | 16.956297 | 17.179126 | 17.472163 | 18.526174 |
| 67.913754 | 16.406196 | 16.490698 | 16.714138 | 17.005459 | 18.045026 |


| 68.419089 | 15.940458 | 16.02587 | 16.249474 | 16.538671 | 17.562919 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68.927984 | 15.475823 | 15.561917 | 15.785244 | 16.071918 | 17.079988 |
| 69.440376 | 15.012418 | 15.09897 | 15.321593 | 15.605352 | 16.5964 |
| 69.956228 | 14.550348 | 14.637138 | 14.858635 | 15.139097 | 16.112299 |
| 70.475492 | 14.089725 | 14.17654 | 14.396503 | 14.673292 | 15.62784 |
| 70.998125 | 13.63066 | 13.717291 | 13.935317 | 14.20807 | 15.143176 |
| 71.524051 | 13.173288 | 13.259533 | 13.475233 | 13.743592 | 14.658491 |
| 72.053224 | 12.717723 | 12.803383 | 13.016378 | 13.279997 | 14.173943 |
| 72.585581 | 12.264089 | 12.348973 | 12.558893 | 12.817436 | 13.689706 |
| 73.12107 | 11.812502 | 11.896423 | 12.102911 | 12.356052 | 13.205945 |
| 73.659603 | 11.363107 | 11.445886 | 11.648596 | 11.896019 | 12.72286 |
| 74.20112 | 10.916027 | 10.997488 | 11.196084 | 11.437485 | 12.240623 |
| 74.745549 | 10.471391 | 10.551366 | 10.745527 | 10.98061 | 11.759419 |
| 75.292825 | 10.029325 | 10.107652 | 10.297064 | 10.525548 | 11.279429 |
| 75.842848 | 9.5899791 | 9.6665017 | 9.8508674 | 10.072481 | 10.80086 |
| 76.395547 | 9.1534811 | 9.2280493 | 9.4070812 | 9.6215649 | 10.323898 |
| 76.950839 | 8.7199674 | 8.7924374 | 8.965861 | 9.172967 | 9.8487374 |
| 77.508648 | 8.2895674 | 8.3598017 | 8.5273548 | 8.7268471 | 9.3755657 |
| 78.068863 | 7.8624359 | 7.9303034 | 8.0917366 | 8.2833918 | 8.9045999 |
| 78.631402 | 7.4387035 | 7.5040794 | 7.6591559 | 7.8427625 | 8.4360305 |
| 79.196176 | 7.0185055 | 7.0812714 | 7.229767 | 7.4051257 | 7.9700536 |
| 79.76308 | 6.6019869 | 6.6620309 | 6.8037342 | 6.9706584 | 7.5068768 |
| 80.332017 | 6.1892866 | 6.2465028 | 6.3812154 | 6.5395307 | 7.0467005 |
| 80.902886 | 5.780542 | 5.8348313 | 5.9623672 | 6.1119117 | 6.5897245 |
| 81.475581 | 5.3758935 | 5.4271629 | 5.5473492 | 5.6879734 | 6.1361516 |
| 82.049998 | 4.9754778 | 5.0236405 | 5.1363167 | 5.2678838 | 5.6861804 |
| 82.626029 | 4.5794329 | 4.6244086 | 4.7294269 | 4.8518121 | 5.2400111 |
| 83.203561 | 4.1878957 | 4.2296101 | 4.3268353 | 4.4399265 | 4.7978427 |
| 83.782485 | 3.8009998 | 3.8393847 | 3.9286938 | 4.0323909 | 4.3598695 |
| 84.362685 | 3.4188799 | 3.4538732 | 3.5351557 | 3.6293706 | 3.9262874 |
| 84.944048 | 3.0416663 | 3.0732118 | 3.1463689 | 3.2310258 | 3.4972865 |
| 85.526458 | 2.6694892 | 2.6975365 | 2.7624818 | 2.8375163 | 3.0730567 |
| 86.109793 | 2.3024768 | 2.3269814 | 2.3836397 | 2.4489994 | 2.6537844 |
| 86.693939 | 1.9407524 | 1.9616752 | 2.0099831 | 2.0656268 | 2.2396505 |
| 87.278773 | 1.5844394 | 1.601747 | 1.6416521 | 1.6875498 | 1.8308349 |
| 87.864175 | 1.2336546 | 1.2473189 | 1.2787801 | 1.3149128 | 1.42751 |
| 88.450024 | 0.8885123 | 0.8985105 | 0.9214975 | 0.9478573 | 1.0298453 |
| 89.036196 | 0.5491164 | 0.5554314 | 0.5699254 | 0.5865159 | 0.6380029 |
| 89.622571 | 0.2155217 | 0.2181447 | 0.2241438 | 0.2309854 | 0.2521236 |

## C. The results of DSMC for the cylindrical nozzle with $d: \phi 16, l: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$

Table C. The angular distribution by DSMC ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ )

| Angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | $0.167 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.831 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $1.68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $2.786 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $3.82 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $5.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $8.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.142 | 95.8 | 96.9 | 97.9 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.2 |
| 0.709 | 98.415458 | 96.856047 | 97.308983 | 100.51613 | 100.82182 | 100.74603 | 100.74603 | 100.67582 |
| 1.276 | 98.53279 | 97.427813 | 98.439932 | 99.255978 | 100.76369 | 100.44047 | 100.44047 | 99.159278 |
| 1.843 | 95.997534 | 96.413076 | 96.629224 | 99.794702 | 99.146485 | 99.25028 | 99.25028 | 99.532365 |
| 2.410 | 93.787548 | 95.351897 | 94.899069 | 100.01741 | 98.779781 | 99.095013 | 99.095013 | 99.346649 |
| 2.976 | 92.931427 | 95.000126 | 96.078423 | 99.2872 | 98.327119 | 99.176866 | 99.176866 | 99.337911 |
| 3.541 | 92.180836 | 95.210827 | 94.911099 | 98.605637 | 98.326401 | 97.244608 | 97.244608 | 98.599526 |
| 4.106 | 90.083308 | 94.126619 | 93.668711 | 97.972131 | 98.51167 | 97.550154 | 97.550154 | 98.279706 |
| 4.670 | 88.814565 | 93.649364 | 93.463875 | 96.645471 | 97.070706 | 97.959167 | 97.959167 | 97.470404 |
| 5.233 | 87.532645 | 92.941782 | 92.234002 | 98.38943 | 97.696684 | 97.045941 | 97.045941 | 97.583871 |
| 5.795 | 88.189761 | 91.638486 | 92.733911 | 96.860024 | 96.202385 | 96.828682 | 96.828682 | 96.791465 |
| 6.356 | 87.422336 | 91.071499 | 92.682794 | 95.517729 | 96.657602 | 96.24587 | 96.24587 | 96.629468 |
| 6.916 | 82.529154 | 89.791462 | 92.05689 | 95.499986 | 94.894159 | 95.044775 | 95.044775 | 96.612808 |
| 7.474 | 84.528124 | 88.321149 | 91.856299 | 95.11169 | 95.543884 | 95.197494 | 95.197494 | 95.617604 |
| 8.031 | 81.374644 | 87.782689 | 90.309441 | 94.422147 | 95.840388 | 95.529475 | 95.529475 | 95.122836 |
| 8.587 | 82.193536 | 87.380294 | 88.50904 | 94.31642 | 93.412267 | 94.221036 | 94.221036 | 94.934588 |
| 9.140 | 80.776472 | 86.480893 | 88.492245 | 93.502825 | 93.712445 | 93.903941 | 93.903941 | 93.158091 |
| 9.693 | 78.545376 | 86.947221 | 88.189759 | 93.178382 | 92.539626 | 93.209368 | 93.209368 | 93.590419 |
| 10.243 | 77.68736 | 85.345716 | 88.965013 | 92.658551 | 92.664391 | 92.042372 | 92.042372 | 93.484694 |
| 10.791 | 76.332729 | 84.658118 | 86.570241 | 92.665035 | 92.661313 | 93.176117 | 93.176117 | 92.292738 |
| 11.338 | 74.714632 | 84.776984 | 86.24706 | 91.72822 | 91.559354 | 91.42117 | 91.42117 | 90.623246 |
| 11.882 | 71.875996 | 83.308617 | 85.394772 | 90.742057 | 90.729836 | 91.152007 | 91.152007 | 90.764413 |
| 12.424 | 71.696867 | 81.677003 | 84.079187 | 89.938068 | 90.936123 | 90.890126 | 90.890126 | 89.665589 |
| 12.964 | 71.276044 | 82.143395 | 83.989735 | 89.764462 | 89.505128 | 88.763553 | 88.763553 | 88.896034 |
| 13.502 | 72.879113 | 81.448999 | 82.15444 | 88.94222 | 88.892386 | 88.934354 | 88.934354 | 88.658128 |
| 14.037 | 70.082587 | 80.355353 | 82.774044 | 89.609594 | 88.837137 | 88.51971 | 88.51971 | 88.449927 |
| 14.570 | 70.700364 | 79.143609 | 80.7774 | 87.204884 | 87.440356 | 86.900723 | 86.900723 | 86.83761 |
| 15.100 | 69.154145 | 77.981453 | 81.162475 | 87.225946 | 85.693484 | 86.492933 | 86.492933 | 86.312969 |
| 15.627 | 69.893814 | 77.207616 | 79.102082 | 86.685463 | 84.76086 | 86.359458 | 86.359458 | 87.276931 |
| 16.152 | 65.097768 | 76.257164 | 78.688502 | 85.518449 | 84.978746 | 85.447891 | 85.447891 | 85.541939 |
| 16.674 | 64.834484 | 75.513104 | 78.448641 | 85.053731 | 84.26587 | 85.953204 | 85.953204 | 84.961912 |
| 17.193 | 67.062552 | 74.439628 | 77.149629 | 83.717518 | 82.890768 | 84.067846 | 84.067846 | 84.537211 |
| 17.709 | 64.067464 | 73.834823 | 76.703765 | 82.639312 | 83.640937 | 83.564465 | 83.564465 | 83.742362 |
| 18.223 | 62.364972 | 73.535626 | 74.160685 | 83.42624 | 81.326316 | 82.324146 | 82.324146 | 82.665664 |
| 18.733 | 60.918494 | 71.79097 | 73.755772 | 81.807696 | 83.216486 | 81.664661 | 81.664661 | 82.182205 |
| 19.240 | 61.336359 | 71.663243 | 73.701112 | 80.781085 | 81.214442 | 81.077042 | 81.077042 | 81.448174 |
| 19.745 | 59.189812 | 70.57247 | 72.750108 | 79.96382 | 83.027168 | 80.728602 | 80.728602 | 79.981274 |
| 20.245 | 57.925809 | 69.412254 | 72.946011 | 80.065655 | 80.145513 | 79.653378 | 79.653378 | 79.788826 |
| 20.743 | 56.02103 | 68.89644 | 72.039181 | 78.865856 | 78.950557 | 78.865691 | 78.865691 | 78.636104 |
| 21.238 | 57.200818 | 68.587566 | 72.259151 | 77.289796 | 78.970295 | 78.395749 | 78.395749 | 78.900925 |
| 21.729 | 55.44208 | 67.748029 | 70.432244 | 76.693985 | 78.717714 | 77.061387 | 77.061387 | 78.611621 |
| 22.217 | 55.37514 | 67.500361 | 69.610185 | 76.652631 | 76.898963 | 76.972511 | 76.972511 | 76.910699 |
| 22.701 | 52.77108 | 66.485285 | 69.647636 | 76.70357 | 76.920826 | 76.205282 | 76.205282 | 76.212745 |
| 23.182 | 53.103708 | 64.507042 | 67.456046 | 74.527766 | 75.769133 | 75.632004 | 75.632004 | 76.03555 |
| 23.660 | 52.585907 | 64.684546 | 65.139063 | 74.840505 | 74.523086 | 75.297566 | 75.297566 | 74.541232 |
| 24.134 | 51.530933 | 62.876274 | 64.053923 | 73.365919 | 73.722293 | 73.996693 | 73.996693 | 72.908388 |
| 24.605 | 51.314376 | 63.530084 | 63.642658 | 72.582491 | 72.127134 | 72.799819 | 72.799819 | 72.856362 |
| 25.072 | 48.719792 | 62.241821 | 62.15261 | 72.068086 | 72.14395 | 73.403582 | 73.403582 | 72.055744 |
| 25.536 | 47.740471 | 60.887685 | 60.748561 | 70.814005 | 73.423128 | 71.436305 | 71.436305 | 70.922921 |
| 25.996 | 48.282255 | 59.763108 | 62.487279 | 69.959773 | 71.988809 | 71.485481 | 71.485481 | 70.17334 |
| 26.453 | 47.333162 | 58.990511 | 62.779946 | 69.269895 | 70.07811 | 70.534026 | 70.534026 | 69.105632 |
| 26.906 | 47.089672 | 58.38189 | 60.079133 | 68.368385 | 70.816324 | 68.660553 | 68.660553 | 69.000842 |
| 27.355 | 45.23154 | 58.255405 | 59.879976 | 67.84409 | 69.858397 | 69.575799 | 69.575799 | 68.579764 |
| 27.801 | 43.992474 | 57.534291 | 58.808163 | 67.360839 | 68.326035 | 67.81107 | 67.81107 | 67.522595 |
| 28.243 | 42.598649 | 55.966259 | 58.999223 | 65.979859 | 66.917067 | 67.297739 | 67.297739 | 66.147816 |
| 28.681 | 42.648326 | 55.873746 | 58.793116 | 65.519802 | 66.319278 | 66.757631 | 66.757631 | 66.683455 |
| 29.116 | 42.543776 | 54.227005 | 57.21653 | 66.024612 | 64.183035 | 65.842362 | 65.842362 | 65.168518 |
| 29.547 | 41.343108 | 53.898557 | 55.890807 | 64.824585 | 64.87897 | 63.031781 | 63.031781 | 65.00139 |
| 29.975 | 41.366056 | 53.628673 | 54.120976 | 63.573415 | 65.614318 | 64.370381 | 64.370381 | 63.169649 |
| 30.399 | 41.471451 | 52.117433 | 53.286381 | 62.892593 | 63.025099 | 63.103938 | 63.103938 | 63.663013 |
| 30.819 | 39.315847 | 51.479124 | 52.980296 | 62.503012 | 62.323404 | 61.991048 | 61.991048 | 63.053144 |
| 31.235 | 39.436575 | 51.157152 | 53.076779 | 62.267626 | 61.515716 | 62.251945 | 62.251945 | 62.678305 |
| 31.648 | 39.444173 | 51.338867 | 52.172391 | 61.520361 | 61.237027 | 60.813527 | 60.813527 | 61.882036 |
| 32.058 | 38.672596 | 50.961988 | 52.105295 | 61.149827 | 61.524014 | 60.725224 | 60.725224 | 60.676583 |
| 32.463 | 38.594661 | 50.186693 | 53.11944 | 59.081539 | 58.931027 | 60.560859 | 60.560859 | 59.600418 |
| 32.865 | 36.399221 | 49.401059 | 51.792225 | 58.188655 | 59.219146 | 60.000076 | 60.000076 | 57.941942 |
| 33.264 | 36.343909 | 49.006834 | 51.154926 | 57.374001 | 57.949833 | 59.209796 | 59.209796 | 57.247834 |
| 33.659 | 38.874161 | 49.113097 | 49.676433 | 57.079049 | 59.426932 | 57.791291 | 57.791291 | 57.386492 |
| 34.050 | 35.74363 | 47.958988 | 50.131157 | 56.165487 | 58.805217 | 55.942393 | 55.942393 | 56.272071 |
| 34.438 | 35.417043 | 47.918238 | 48.242325 | 56.125411 | 58.470086 | 56.528095 | 56.528095 | 56.257595 |
| 34.822 | 35.761798 | 46.994989 | 47.507141 | 55.909612 | 56.421742 | 56.593388 | 56.593388 | 55.064139 |
| 35.202 | 33.711771 | 45.937965 | 47.157429 | 55.152172 | 53.750274 | 55.919089 | 55.919089 | 54.308105 |
| 35.579 | 33.536871 | 45.249333 | 45.188999 | 54.758317 | 55.073091 | 55.516266 | 55.516266 | 53.899994 |
| 35.953 | 33.608725 | 45.677402 | 47.133626 | 54.208647 | 54.164758 | 52.886583 | 52.886583 | 53.870165 |


| 36.323 | 33.341403 | 43.991779 | 47.396179 | 53.262172 | 53.763599 | 53.335592 | 53.335592 | 53.071095 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36.689 | 33.677221 | 43.693769 | 45.263419 | 52.187888 | 53.658767 | 53.156132 | 53.156132 | 52.05867 |
| 37.052 | 32.88222 | 43.885863 | 43.510048 | 52.303678 | 53.015822 | 52.922898 | 52.922898 | 50.986355 |
| 37.412 | 31.93483 | 42.487066 | 43.130373 | 51.435048 | 52.934481 | 53.085685 | 53.085685 | 51.039909 |
| 37.768 | 31.37244 | 42.15657 | 43.407799 | 50.598507 | 51.829529 | 52.943294 | 52.943294 | 51.902852 |
| 38.121 | 31.767742 | 42.523843 | 42.112796 | 50.434413 | 51.120852 | 51.217072 | 51.217072 | 50.550144 |
| 38.470 | 30.971357 | 41.708801 | 43.030194 | 48.606343 | 49.896848 | 50.720637 | 50.720637 | 50.254414 |
| 38.817 | 30.268977 | 41.530753 | 42.69723 | 49.077042 | 50.117855 | 50.431211 | 50.431211 | 49.444836 |
| 39.159 | 29.684584 | 42.475213 | 42.399095 | 48.345537 | 49.716512 | 48.922968 | 48.922968 | 48.702833 |
| 39.499 | 31.469102 | 40.874032 | 42.530471 | 48.382187 | 49.386722 | 49.741938 | 49.741938 | 47.579319 |
| 39.835 | 30.076798 | 39.841897 | 41.362204 | 48.257632 | 48.646671 | 49.017221 | 49.017221 | 48.520596 |
| 40.168 | 29.794947 | 39.593661 | 40.096747 | 47.24849 | 49.347453 | 48.248108 | 48.248108 | 47.367763 |
| 40.497 | 29.511484 | 38.846947 | 40.333481 | 46.881311 | 48.510082 | 47.857016 | 47.857016 | 46.241049 |
| 40.824 | 29.769044 | 37.656474 | 40.353968 | 45.691945 | 47.200153 | 47.613397 | 47.613397 | 46.571915 |
| 41.147 | 28.019345 | 38.297223 | 39.492306 | 46.468614 | 48.394327 | 46.857725 | 46.857725 | 45.73226 |
| 41.467 | 27.488746 | 37.844028 | 39.209038 | 46.144103 | 47.039549 | 45.641046 | 45.641046 | 45.32235 |
| 41.784 | 27.277609 | 37.437301 | 38.034497 | 46.15295 | 46.13356 | 45.871099 | 45.871099 | 45.401426 |
| 42.098 | 27.023362 | 37.855202 | 38.929806 | 44.51159 | 44.780113 | 45.284854 | 45.284854 | 44.688635 |
| 42.409 | 26.28441 | 36.132527 | 38.844784 | 44.185894 | 44.398124 | 44.438217 | 44.438217 | 43.591612 |
| 42.717 | 27.11358 | 35.415948 | 38.257817 | 43.95506 | 43.565029 | 43.827946 | 43.827946 | 43.30746 |
| 43.021 | 26.052495 | 35.684245 | 37.014808 | 43.752894 | 44.811346 | 44.005572 | 44.005572 | 43.437636 |
| 43.323 | 26.922912 | 35.277231 | 37.765647 | 43.481252 | 43.152514 | 42.976108 | 42.976108 | 42.766851 |
| 43.622 | 27.098725 | 34.990972 | 36.968653 | 42.894205 | 42.576953 | 42.65469 | 42.65469 | 42.742303 |
| 43.918 | 26.444254 | 34.721403 | 35.736164 | 42.680119 | 42.134548 | 42.180307 | 42.180307 | 41.506289 |
| 44.211 | 25.708421 | 34.801566 | 34.747901 | 41.642994 | 42.070699 | 42.34083 | 42.34083 | 41.134588 |
| 44.501 | 26.128593 | 33.73101 | 34.506989 | 42.560066 | 42.040186 | 42.351373 | 42.351373 | 41.126383 |
| 44.788 | 24.934068 | 32.813422 | 34.53179 | 41.282472 | 42.345036 | 41.502521 | 41.502521 | 40.155955 |
| 45.074 | 24.903977 | 32.469889 | 33.803926 | 40.01735 | 41.24869 | 42.071516 | 40.893292 | 40.12448 |
| 45.377 | 24.704038 | 33.4799 | 34.087948 | 40.121226 | 40.038013 | 41.629015 | 41.446442 | 40.201692 |
| 45.683 | 23.869828 | 33.590832 | 32.359111 | 39.612467 | 40.811758 | 40.622572 | 40.969299 | 39.668075 |
| 45.992 | 24.961578 | 32.281408 | 32.506366 | 40.795148 | 39.30269 | 39.200272 | 39.377255 | 38.701165 |
| 46.305 | 23.085429 | 32.32621 | 32.173745 | 39.764988 | 40.179073 | 40.347699 | 40.007191 | 38.490189 |
| 46.620 | 22.705056 | 32.013765 | 32.233653 | 39.07488 | 38.836904 | 40.027955 | 39.363636 | 38.540216 |
| 46.940 | 22.8301 | 32.209559 | 33.292508 | 38.724423 | 38.765273 | 38.980393 | 39.14318 | 38.442081 |
| 47.262 | 21.733566 | 31.760138 | 32.485804 | 37.680563 | 38.90064 | 37.585274 | 39.20558 | 38.724138 |
| 47.588 | 21.777897 | 30.609415 | 31.922581 | 38.071974 | 38.113804 | 37.991503 | 38.043584 | 38.45102 |
| 47.917 | 22.178926 | 29.980534 | 32.318553 | 37.232081 | 37.416687 | 37.903617 | 37.070547 | 37.076034 |
| 48.250 | 23.034246 | 30.544912 | 30.698333 | 37.078247 | 37.569095 | 36.707829 | 37.107732 | 36.130091 |
| 48.586 | 20.782024 | 29.150805 | 30.150258 | 37.106299 | 37.332705 | 36.737242 | 36.218008 | 36.678538 |
| 48.926 | 21.532822 | 28.99479 | 30.954221 | 36.145971 | 36.669902 | 36.60461 | 36.019072 | 36.118637 |
| 49.270 | 22.246268 | 28.715427 | 30.959333 | 35.58036 | 35.314279 | 36.381305 | 35.948446 | 35.132991 |
| 49.617 | 21.633429 | 28.939361 | 29.408224 | 34.704699 | 35.109142 | 35.827697 | 35.144531 | 35.012658 |
| 49.967 | 21.991839 | 27.768391 | 28.156128 | 34.24101 | 34.359647 | 34.87089 | 34.772436 | 34.128272 |
| 50.321 | 21.377038 | 27.11844 | 29.229327 | 33.87077 | 33.791042 | 33.982312 | 34.69203 | 33.532869 |
| 50.679 | 20.941982 | 27.519 | 27.990969 | 34.097884 | 33.943813 | 33.765629 | 34.472036 | 32.353627 |
| 51.041 | 20.199074 | 26.910723 | 29.119875 | 32.826105 | 32.898452 | 33.769731 | 33.034977 | 32.805735 |
| 51.406 | 19.592572 | 27.395744 | 28.03243 | 32.425933 | 32.075996 | 33.761598 | 33.099369 | 32.950197 |
| 51.775 | 19.546457 | 26.454316 | 26.835089 | 32.769077 | 33.097971 | 32.538499 | 32.675719 | 32.643923 |
| 52.148 | 19.439613 | 25.174739 | 27.81532 | 31.6862 | 32.439982 | 32.099109 | 32.340149 | 31.671903 |
| 52.525 | 19.08916 | 26.006486 | 27.090405 | 32.096006 | 31.417831 | 32.045129 | 31.972769 | 31.499083 |
| 52.905 | 19.722316 | 25.452935 | 25.793621 | 31.887142 | 32.079347 | 31.606965 | 31.806021 | 30.546783 |
| 53.289 | 19.061642 | 24.691753 | 26.100431 | 30.817492 | 31.781528 | 30.62811 | 30.26348 | 30.00839 |
| 53.678 | 18.642544 | 24.015233 | 25.454568 | 29.839702 | 31.136987 | 30.747588 | 30.379369 | 29.695314 |
| 54.070 | 18.282624 | 23.137824 | 25.5493 | 29.867822 | 30.143895 | 30.492183 | 29.225478 | 29.345968 |
| 54.466 | 17.464409 | 23.949044 | 24.69078 | 29.723761 | 29.336229 | 29.091805 | 30.169201 | 28.578522 |
| 54.866 | 17.625075 | 23.216449 | 24.350118 | 28.813114 | 28.811853 | 28.790424 | 28.950724 | 28.175761 |
| 55.270 | 17.605635 | 23.649243 | 23.905712 | 27.909816 | 28.705109 | 28.412004 | 28.342937 | 27.943222 |
| 55.678 | 17.117852 | 22.706197 | 24.621925 | 27.786608 | 28.552183 | 28.039938 | 28.442555 | 26.831049 |
| 56.090 | 16.677345 | 22.078341 | 22.553426 | 27.331944 | 27.952614 | 27.733935 | 27.867987 | 26.699735 |
| 56.506 | 16.900344 | 21.993355 | 23.30916 | 27.819961 | 27.425573 | 26.922166 | 27.600816 | 26.30885 |
| 56.926 | 16.490523 | 20.639629 | 22.944454 | 26.84087 | 26.994147 | 26.948602 | 27.219112 | 26.112456 |
| 57.350 | 15.299963 | 20.719391 | 21.793804 | 26.884713 | 26.351112 | 26.061857 | 26.81966 | 25.266261 |
| 57.778 | 15.17664 | 20.396236 | 22.298262 | 26.746713 | 26.238992 | 25.887513 | 25.545669 | 24.7238 |
| 58.210 | 15.185669 | 20.452517 | 22.003427 | 25.560327 | 25.637577 | 24.982051 | 25.00638 | 25.0243 |
| 58.646 | 14.958444 | 20.093495 | 21.328058 | 25.233464 | 24.401495 | 24.43927 | 24.759743 | 24.587699 |
| 59.087 | 14.286933 | 19.766393 | 21.423187 | 24.317209 | 24.562425 | 24.559444 | 24.478032 | 23.937439 |
| 59.531 | 14.623197 | 18.989074 | 20.16173 | 24.059019 | 24.571412 | 23.602365 | 23.504947 | 23.424263 |
| 59.980 | 14.356017 | 18.475098 | 19.468281 | 23.977356 | 23.749131 | 24.164455 | 23.235363 | 23.122384 |
| 60.432 | 13.788508 | 18.071755 | 19.728815 | 23.427329 | 22.546428 | 23.205692 | 22.757731 | 22.514581 |
| 60.889 | 13.684073 | 18.467945 | 18.72949 | 22.616729 | 23.186284 | 22.43891 | 22.229775 | 21.912977 |
| 61.350 | 13.091371 | 17.850533 | 18.1085 | 22.228746 | 22.565756 | 21.903042 | 21.550238 | 21.342165 |
| 61.815 | 12.758759 | 17.216888 | 17.450682 | 21.631964 | 21.874553 | 21.371655 | 21.4059 | 21.438868 |
| 62.284 | 12.829979 | 17.206641 | 17.522118 | 21.288521 | 21.350061 | 21.268875 | 21.046172 | 20.722033 |
| 62.757 | 12.449005 | 16.309212 | 16.710088 | 20.391113 | 20.366974 | 20.591872 | 20.725703 | 20.179735 |
| 63.234 | 12.327274 | 16.085388 | 16.583892 | 20.270783 | 19.56174 | 20.041228 | 19.934711 | 19.803271 |
| 63.715 | 12.247131 | 15.607441 | 16.27776 | 19.876122 | 19.714045 | 19.490488 | 19.704163 | 19.345448 |
| 64.200 | 11.693703 | 15.050134 | 15.746291 | 19.371296 | 18.668955 | 19.298076 | 18.81325 | 18.791772 |
| 64.690 | 11.443736 | 15.276498 | 15.447014 | 18.708146 | 18.665675 | 18.888064 | 18.15107 | 18.356106 |
| 65.183 | 10.792961 | 14.773741 | 15.552711 | 18.41531 | 18.832134 | 18.628155 | 17.985371 | 17.925839 |
| 65.680 | 10.176688 | 14.049742 | 15.11908 | 17.755695 | 17.249733 | 17.958423 | 17.48913 | 17.173354 |
| 66.181 | 10.388324 | 14.124738 | 14.462058 | 17.849963 | 16.973454 | 17.548282 | 17.217151 | 17.148901 |
| 66.686 | 9.9161345 | 13.220582 | 13.689609 | 16.777205 | 16.580091 | 16.88295 | 16.762903 | 16.391425 |
| 67.195 | 9.8666306 | 13.018712 | 13.171424 | 16.651204 | 16.343577 | 16.355076 | 16.263316 | 15.923662 |
| 67.707 | 9.4400642 | 12.673098 | 13.269552 | 15.688958 | 16.027097 | 15.983894 | 15.825307 | 15.630391 |
| 68.224 | 9.4451763 | 12.335686 | 12.950156 | 15.416698 | 15.415502 | 15.167754 | 15.16133 | 15.349461 |


| 68.744 | 8.9523971 | 12.42591 | 12.205646 | 14.902042 | 14.529419 | 14.997646 | 14.811958 | 14.609218 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69.268 | 8.5019928 | 11.582537 | 11.988273 | 14.567193 | 14.525601 | 14.453051 | 14.83186 | 14.217263 |
| 69.796 | 8.3228584 | 11.098202 | 11.465909 | 13.758362 | 14.059222 | 14.066092 | 13.491676 | 13.325771 |
| 70.327 | 8.3823862 | 10.921567 | 11.84648 | 13.813395 | 13.607583 | 13.790369 | 13.478146 | 13.480364 |
| 70.861 | 7.9984135 | 10.435144 | 11.53882 | 13.051893 | 12.968321 | 13.158647 | 13.138527 | 12.966127 |
| 71.399 | 7.9502368 | 9.8148558 | 10.408157 | 12.632068 | 12.660308 | 12.816455 | 12.979073 | 12.422607 |
| 71.941 | 7.530964 | 9.8142728 | 9.8568033 | 12.556266 | 12.13192 | 12.4108 | 12.1031 | 12.184725 |
| 72.486 | 6.945649 | 9.4867193 | 9.9660848 | 12.198025 | 11.583005 | 11.52016 | 11.904014 | 11.671197 |
| 73.034 | 7.0975476 | 8.9136858 | 9.8138035 | 11.667437 | 11.41661 | 11.360367 | 11.381346 | 11.392511 |
| 73.586 | 6.7785561 | 8.5769878 | 8.4668938 | 11.100292 | 11.084358 | 11.245881 | 10.889637 | 10.822868 |
| 74.140 | 6.2994665 | 8.4491959 | 8.1046638 | 10.420294 | 10.404991 | 10.402389 | 10.679329 | 10.439951 |
| 74.698 | 5.91438 | 8.0293508 | 8.7768489 | 10.126479 | 9.9274515 | 10.116651 | 9.756114 | 10.244492 |
| 75.259 | 6.442108 | 7.7883486 | 7.6716125 | 10.054773 | 9.7846677 | 9.795577 | 9.1415832 | 9.4335079 |
| 75.822 | 5.6630633 | 7.2064422 | 7.2280642 | 9.5384777 | 9.1488608 | 9.0503674 | 9.1723985 | 8.9979812 |
| 76.389 | 5.1055091 | 6.8944701 | 7.070174 | 8.809292 | 9.0329604 | 8.5729906 | 8.4485032 | 8.7980389 |
| 76.958 | 5.154566 | 6.5587276 | 6.3850635 | 8.5353379 | 8.3325846 | 8.4590591 | 8.2114483 | 8.3844984 |
| 77.529 | 4.8097867 | 6.3893964 | 6.538844 | 8.1195152 | 7.9894463 | 7.9284047 | 7.9633346 | 7.8460289 |
| 78.104 | 4.5181866 | 6.0672319 | 5.9024423 | 7.3192626 | 7.6166906 | 7.6265273 | 7.577193 | 7.7641029 |
| 78.680 | 4.2141917 | 5.7401851 | 6.4805283 | 7.2831942 | 7.2171882 | 7.3779848 | 7.1870456 | 7.0629675 |
| 79.260 | 4.0124752 | 5.3298436 | 5.9499517 | 6.6431797 | 7.098246 | 7.0124873 | 6.8974508 | 6.7253353 |
| 79.841 | 3.8466999 | 4.9607917 | 5.5862126 | 6.5167203 | 6.5862963 | 6.3358382 | 6.5839422 | 6.301323 |
| 80.424 | 3.8162941 | 4.5203411 | 4.8910119 | 5.9508602 | 5.9099163 | 6.2554386 | 6.2497214 | 6.1113073 |
| 81.010 | 3.3112846 | 4.2193602 | 4.6762323 | 5.6787515 | 5.7722105 | 5.6858728 | 5.8079215 | 5.5791868 |
| 81.597 | 3.0118743 | 3.9378867 | 4.335314 | 5.2525091 | 5.4600378 | 5.3718749 | 5.193121 | 5.379217 |
| 82.186 | 2.7614161 | 3.829829 | 4.0836096 | 4.7883213 | 4.8461343 | 4.8796656 | 4.97228 | 4.8885628 |
| 82.777 | 2.3996694 | 3.7406103 | 3.5986242 | 4.6434929 | 4.3629909 | 4.5358796 | 4.6960572 | 4.439097 |
| 83.369 | 2.2563514 | 3.2220133 | 3.1559383 | 4.4281096 | 4.2120738 | 4.2937862 | 4.252088 | 4.450499 |
| 83.963 | 2.1228753 | 2.8499487 | 2.9037316 | 3.7282299 | 3.6450954 | 4.0079575 | 4.0198755 | 3.7325643 |
| 84.558 | 1.8542797 | 2.7521382 | 2.6204329 | 3.332227 | 3.0794601 | 3.60853 | 3.5804213 | 3.5236614 |
| 85.154 | 1.5594985 | 2.2168691 | 2.4261324 | 2.9981883 | 3.4073686 | 2.9993312 | 3.2583243 | 3.1663863 |
| 85.751 | 1.5495262 | 2.0672173 | 2.0317124 | 2.7488436 | 2.8081249 | 2.6143125 | 2.7544097 | 2.9725936 |
| 86.349 | 1.322213 | 1.949423 | 1.9496282 | 2.3065551 | 2.4577378 | 2.553046 | 2.5176873 | 2.7389643 |
| 86.948 | 1.0536752 | 1.6777203 | 1.5938663 | 1.9345124 | 2.0688834 | 2.1515811 | 2.1901516 | 2.4184813 |
| 87.548 | 0.809545 | 1.4028691 | 1.4767072 | 1.5983837 | 2.0020925 | 1.9473232 | 2.0288347 | 1.9103117 |
| 88.148 | 0.6300706 | 0.9076814 | 1.0583115 | 1.4515196 | 1.5973441 | 1.8357688 | 1.4937153 | 1.4851815 |
| 88.749 | 0.502978 | 0.775919 | 0.9146821 | 1.1571714 | 1.3955933 | 1.4473778 | 1.3545084 | 1.3276769 |
| 89.350 | 0.2481222 | 0.5388285 | 0.6853072 | 0.7930511 | 0.8296092 | 0.9499613 | 0.990384 | 1.2257753 |
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## D. The results of DSMC for the cylindrical nozzle with d: $\phi \mathbf{3 0}, \mathrm{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$

Table D. The angular distribution by DSMC ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{3 0}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{1 6 m m}$ )

| Angle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | $0.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.313 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $0.94 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $1.566 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $3.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ | $4.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.142 | 100 | 101.3 | 100.6 | 101.8 | 101.8 | 101.8 |
| 0.710 | 100.83588 | 99.476129 | 99.823024 | 101.02292 | 100.62119 | 101.71003 |
| 1.277 | 100.5191 | 99.5485909 | 98.436796 | 99.273862 | 101.18019 | 101.65233 |
| 1.845 | 98.546363 | 99.7318285 | 98.625648 | 99.995894 | 99.206019 | 101.59533 |
| 2.412 | 96.426443 | 98.2408889 | 99.028963 | 99.095994 | 100.63707 | 100.78112 |
| 2.979 | 94.695436 | 98.104887 | 97.870286 | 99.775989 | 99.985349 | 100.35657 |
| 3.545 | 96.306467 | 99.4423114 | 98.35977 | 99.57244 | 100.60204 | 99.975662 |
| 4.110 | 97.540798 | 100.064201 | 100.63816 | 98.127856 | 100.42007 | 100.41107 |
| 4.675 | 97.439743 | 97.8698515 | 99.147831 | 97.409863 | 99.979404 | 99.652453 |
| 5.238 | 95.828582 | 97.4583577 | 95.95764 | 98.377111 | 98.481032 | 98.902513 |
| 5.801 | 94.336428 | 95.4826846 | 95.604229 | 98.197211 | 99.34866 | 98.748652 |
| 6.362 | 92.078939 | 93.0303284 | 96.155845 | 97.829821 | 98.330643 | 98.470216 |
| 6.923 | 96.458292 | 91.5993228 | 96.811873 | 97.843588 | 97.663752 | 97.910592 |
| 7.481 | 90.572519 | 95.5954231 | 96.860585 | 96.924233 | 97.252851 | 98.046924 |
| 8.039 | 90.94004 | 97.1193151 | 95.542525 | 95.871725 | 97.526539 | 96.653626 |
| 8.595 | 93.877986 | 93.0951188 | 95.054177 | 95.004019 | 97.515302 | 96.661952 |
| 9.149 | 96.397091 | 93.6782367 | 93.316459 | 94.361226 | 95.900827 | 96.119277 |
| 9.702 | 92.318243 | 94.4639623 | 94.56104 | 93.965987 | 95.812368 | 95.795971 |
| 10.253 | 91.604993 | 91.1640586 | 93.775805 | 94.202008 | 94.618848 | 95.425135 |
| 10.802 | 93.632178 | 95.3090885 | 93.363987 | 93.424411 | 94.089059 | 94.771194 |
| 11.349 | 88.441308 | 94.2400459 | 93.112765 | 92.989719 | 93.875485 | 94.923041 |
| 11.893 | 92.491713 | 88.6477828 | 92.16105 | 91.921713 | 94.227978 | 94.253579 |
| 12.436 | 90.213196 | 90.7529428 | 92.202371 | 92.617152 | 92.601587 | 92.931685 |
| 12.976 | 85.493345 | 93.9800297 | 91.170114 | 90.889206 | 92.403291 | 92.578284 |
| 13.515 | 93.743736 | 90.8798233 | 89.90038 | 90.957059 | 92.16316 | 92.571281 |
| 14.050 | 93.476133 | 90.1328128 | 90.040077 | 90.050258 | 92.533557 | 91.689241 |
| 14.583 | 88.390198 | 90.804165 | 88.446738 | 89.629684 | 91.129585 | 91.241157 |
| 15.114 | 87.368249 | 90.6233672 | 89.313836 | 89.036728 | 89.824717 | 90.072764 |
| 15.642 | 86.515042 | 86.0832448 | 88.953044 | 87.848169 | 89.421128 | 89.797603 |
| 16.167 | 87.873115 | 90.0907119 | 87.615012 | 87.776849 | 88.22977 | 89.454632 |
| 16.689 | 84.004019 | 86.0875076 | 87.049594 | 86.982247 | 88.577513 | 88.040858 |
| 17.209 | 87.018254 | 91.0196573 | 87.20458 | 87.81876 | 87.809346 | 87.881727 |
| 17.726 | 87.221232 | 88.9048052 | 87.335704 | 86.831558 | 88.092948 | 87.462826 |
| 18.240 | 84.76724 | 83.6219299 | 84.901894 | 86.899544 | 87.124651 | 86.306316 |
| 18.750 | 84.919335 | 84.0696661 | 84.327372 | 86.022105 | 86.107627 | 85.569484 |
| 19.258 | 81.420491 | 80.3338755 | 84.877171 | 84.814069 | 85.537391 | 85.042553 |
| 19.762 | 85.413155 | 83.1704552 | 83.240647 | 83.714541 | 84.900833 | 84.692985 |
| 20.264 | 82.011802 | 84.2978833 | 83.02546 | 82.90184 | 83.877746 | 83.802565 |
| 20.762 | 87.772587 | 81.3366537 | 83.009501 | 82.497885 | 83.57538 | 83.522506 |
| 21.257 | 83.025726 | 80.7846193 | 81.395346 | 81.792793 | 83.059237 | 83.58984 |
| 21.748 | 85.041861 | 80.2612685 | 82.427308 | 80.818551 | 82.520342 | 82.194075 |
| 22.237 | 81.112907 | 79.9470984 | 79.798209 | 80.734808 | 81.426681 | 81.116734 |
| 22.721 | 81.453056 | 80.9142098 | 78.744542 | 80.259343 | 81.524113 | 80.424065 |
| 23.203 | 80.11803 | 78.269142 | 78.526234 | 78.982858 | 80.942455 | 79.96237 |
| 23.681 | 83.801935 | 77.3725275 | 79.24753 | 78.304484 | 79.317851 | 79.856283 |
| 24.155 | 81.646538 | 76.547106 | 76.751377 | 77.642835 | 79.183203 | 79.209731 |
| 24.626 | 78.98815 | 75.6723124 | 77.496125 | 76.804196 | 78.589497 | 77.815647 |
| 25.094 | 73.091819 | 74.1275363 | 78.65999 | 75.251076 | 76.959887 | 77.253578 |
| 25.558 | 70.798184 | 74.2753013 | 77.878942 | 76.321209 | 76.004596 | 76.261555 |
| 26.018 | 69.314987 | 76.334728 | 77.112755 | 75.037123 | 76.474324 | 75.036041 |
| 26.475 | 70.445522 | 75.2542308 | 74.367602 | 74.687434 | 76.190799 | 74.88237 |
| 26.928 | 71.955749 | 73.2920077 | 75.322007 | 74.15377 | 74.700617 | 74.199656 |
| 27.378 | 71.726289 | 73.6703455 | 74.412579 | 74.088481 | 74.851334 | 73.296959 |
| 27.824 | 66.829713 | 72.9997159 | 73.071317 | 72.632734 | 74.482492 | 72.629101 |
| 28.266 | 68.463492 | 71.0261882 | 72.003528 | 72.006527 | 72.866234 | 72.409671 |
| 28.705 | 68.233106 | 69.1978035 | 70.765879 | 71.824886 | 72.278194 | 71.303986 |
| 29.140 | 69.201107 | 70.8921323 | 72.155414 | 70.319434 | 70.96698 | 70.656013 |
| 29.571 | 75.995298 | 71.0453711 | 70.050902 | 69.710418 | 70.967071 | 70.714847 |
| 29.999 | 69.769365 | 68.4557917 | 69.257367 | 69.688386 | 70.355401 | 69.574819 |
| 30.423 | 70.434853 | 69.2745914 | 66.912632 | 68.738229 | 69.944927 | 68.702769 |
| 30.844 | 69.702047 | 66.0247459 | 66.944971 | 68.543994 | 68.847907 | 67.868846 |
| 31.260 | 72.051022 | 66.0801173 | 66.614805 | 67.363899 | 68.335691 | 67.327377 |
| 31.673 | 67.994814 | 66.5130517 | 67.4493 | 67.02081 | 66.959484 | 67.214245 |
| 32.083 | 67.22691 | 65.7371626 | 66.732331 | 66.236633 | 66.643007 | 66.372906 |
| 32.489 | 67.224081 | 65.3695134 | 66.745768 | 65.243234 | 66.313998 | 65.412408 |
| 32.891 | 68.852866 | 66.1120794 | 65.888775 | 66.250136 | 65.12051 | 64.508116 |
| 33.290 | 64.934557 | 66.2047864 | 65.639872 | 65.814668 | 64.550232 | 63.835831 |
| 33.685 | 63.788596 | 69.2633221 | 64.121555 | 64.734541 | 64.245359 | 63.283039 |
| 34.076 | 62.875262 | 65.2304615 | 63.37339 | 63.740012 | 63.330592 | 62.268484 |
| 34.464 | 65.639282 | 63.8558784 | 62.853732 | 61.918126 | 63.254055 | 62.683967 |
| 34.848 | 61.218553 | 61.3451893 | 61.445919 | 62.408043 | 63.017667 | 61.478397 |
| 35.229 | 65.567126 | 60.3289655 | 61.805215 | 61.951126 | 61.437697 | 60.783939 |
| 35.606 | 65.162085 | 60.8076899 | 61.427586 | 61.542272 | 60.889936 | 59.922508 |
| 35.979 | 61.385068 | 59.3123844 | 60.558422 | 60.214949 | 60.379442 | 59.662352 |
| 36.350 | 59.425416 | 59.2624129 | 60.276262 | 59.880486 | 59.932417 | 59.204727 |


| 36.716 | 58.999104 | 56.7214206 | 59.344834 | 59.537448 | 59.122399 | 58.460779 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.079 | 59.981629 | 57.6669305 | 59.064118 | 58.436646 | 59.287265 | 57.917966 |
| 37.439 | 64.53179 | 58.0139452 | 59.677799 | 56.860492 | 57.488115 | 57.218089 |
| 37.795 | 60.236062 | 57.9152962 | 57.332424 | 57.23444 | 57.436862 | 56.372801 |
| 38.148 | 56.000838 | 57.7705893 | 56.140894 | 56.471407 | 56.768249 | 56.051886 |
| 38.498 | 54.234119 | 57.4207537 | 56.427341 | 56.567831 | 55.362828 | 55.090104 |
| 38.844 | 56.783427 | 59.8540493 | 56.548339 | 55.454327 | 54.81462 | 54.777733 |
| 39.187 | 59.108956 | 58.4929376 | 54.341799 | 55.991971 | 55.233085 | 53.609371 |
| 39.526 | 59.612226 | 55.1845481 | 55.144963 | 54.510513 | 54.653815 | 53.845695 |
| 39.863 | 58.417415 | 55.8560533 | 55.359277 | 55.169304 | 54.904306 | 53.43201 |
| 40.195 | 56.737257 | 53.8007089 | 56.274755 | 54.347493 | 53.923838 | 52.692749 |
| 40.525 | 52.322982 | 51.6660184 | 53.953945 | 54.016049 | 54.297831 | 52.320201 |
| 40.852 | 53.17633 | 53.4195411 | 52.628542 | 52.635915 | 52.797476 | 51.732984 |
| 41.175 | 50.432552 | 53.033496 | 52.068787 | 52.493965 | 51.126655 | 50.779009 |
| 41.495 | 51.684455 | 51.4119816 | 51.855689 | 51.590456 | 51.406957 | 50.029785 |
| 41.812 | 53.85988 | 51.0279275 | 51.556844 | 51.318089 | 51.521001 | 49.798237 |
| 42.126 | 57.071417 | 49.3465213 | 50.901285 | 51.70364 | 51.368952 | 49.039407 |
| 42.437 | 56.534864 | 50.385024 | 50.444802 | 49.778258 | 49.624925 | 48.786002 |
| 42.745 | 49.725347 | 52.3607416 | 49.642943 | 48.558673 | 48.623781 | 48.777017 |
| 43.049 | 48.86809 | 49.6272877 | 48.624932 | 48.996037 | 48.243108 | 48.066695 |
| 43.351 | 46.778529 | 50.8670174 | 48.390985 | 48.793229 | 48.075326 | 47.736438 |
| 43.650 | 48.49215 | 47.9531208 | 48.397533 | 47.848889 | 47.205898 | 46.83189 |
| 43.946 | 49.844216 | 46.826997 | 47.087805 | 47.607088 | 46.521654 | 46.674496 |
| 44.239 | 45.86254 | 49.0763645 | 45.820735 | 46.787882 | 46.409667 | 46.651162 |
| 44.529 | 49.490051 | 48.3679868 | 45.382518 | 45.718407 | 45.601539 | 45.90076 |
| 44.816 | 46.505921 | 47.0750995 | 46.183651 | 46.015392 | 45.179058 | 45.155431 |
| 45.016 | 47.26799 | 45.7602133 | 46.498094 | 46.38666 | 44.670057 | 44.451957 |
| 45.311 | 44.657603 | 46.5053929 | 45.380001 | 44.849169 | 44.837683 | 44.326467 |
| 45.609 | 43.327005 | 45.0626211 | 45.512484 | 44.437468 | 44.315943 | 44.196357 |
| 45.910 | 45.624117 | 45.8286935 | 44.135096 | 44.587112 | 43.475885 | 43.882406 |
| 46.214 | 42.925213 | 43.9242058 | 43.809076 | 44.009284 | 43.361795 | 43.198587 |
| 46.521 | 45.547648 | 47.6465297 | 44.17354 | 43.604479 | 43.98909 | 42.742974 |
| 46.831 | 45.393538 | 43.9910833 | 43.69193 | 42.212998 | 42.773637 | 41.910782 |
| 47.145 | 42.049819 | 45.1613074 | 43.0306 | 42.014323 | 41.688799 | 41.80518 |
| 47.462 | 42.334119 | 43.5991637 | 42.369079 | 40.989635 | 40.828656 | 41.555192 |
| 47.782 | 40.456328 | 43.3760354 | 41.950737 | 41.375739 | 40.980481 | 40.754851 |
| 48.105 | 40.453175 | 43.3119935 | 41.303763 | 40.916848 | 40.573587 | 40.059578 |
| 48.432 | 41.027411 | 41.9757 | 40.534076 | 40.321841 | 40.73871 | 39.988935 |
| 48.762 | 40.128215 | 41.0108186 | 40.087977 | 39.569055 | 40.674199 | 38.986284 |
| 49.095 | 41.541451 | 40.0912591 | 40.01729 | 38.982577 | 39.896781 | 38.219986 |
| 49.432 | 40.009529 | 40.1889993 | 39.562217 | 38.508531 | 38.897369 | 37.782552 |
| 49.772 | 41.150688 | 39.7206964 | 39.113191 | 38.290342 | 38.561115 | 37.825611 |
| 50.115 | 39.163945 | 38.8339771 | 38.609012 | 39.218257 | 37.445337 | 36.84711 |
| 50.462 | 40.374845 | 39.7099933 | 37.635088 | 37.618343 | 37.414474 | 36.559109 |
| 50.813 | 38.812581 | 37.0110693 | 37.272059 | 36.943942 | 36.912487 | 35.887234 |
| 51.167 | 36.645437 | 36.5100337 | 36.933523 | 36.639366 | 35.761613 | 35.07095 |
| 51.525 | 36.739514 | 35.6896497 | 36.000525 | 36.041131 | 35.588448 | 35.102873 |
| 51.886 | 35.979323 | 35.6811405 | 36.001802 | 34.691332 | 35.145326 | 34.655807 |
| 52.251 | 36.245412 | 34.8638228 | 34.974807 | 35.014991 | 34.132325 | 34.431851 |
| 52.619 | 35.944426 | 34.7936886 | 35.246101 | 34.079871 | 33.840217 | 33.328239 |
| 52.991 | 36.000776 | 35.044738 | 35.194279 | 33.420784 | 32.88637 | 32.505963 |
| 53.367 | 33.952972 | 34.7561453 | 33.81119 | 33.317381 | 32.773618 | 32.858042 |
| 53.747 | 32.538961 | 34.4109343 | 32.734402 | 32.505854 | 32.982511 | 32.292486 |
| 54.130 | 32.689944 | 33.8985979 | 32.184448 | 31.823511 | 32.176986 | 31.242371 |
| 54.517 | 31.452156 | 33.6913645 | 31.240043 | 31.357054 | 31.206601 | 31.055432 |
| 54.908 | 31.961916 | 31.7232745 | 30.461102 | 31.039144 | 30.666431 | 30.478817 |
| 55.302 | 32.744186 | 31.4281734 | 30.666302 | 30.170567 | 30.396136 | 29.927638 |
| 55.700 | 30.671209 | 30.4621493 | 30.391783 | 29.643492 | 29.748373 | 28.979528 |
| 56.103 | 29.518373 | 30.851526 | 29.511871 | 29.270663 | 29.29142 | 28.374896 |
| 56.509 | 29.35309 | 28.7598132 | 29.358304 | 28.81963 | 28.508537 | 27.662484 |
| 56.918 | 28.836268 | 28.1905591 | 28.383458 | 28.466558 | 27.846553 | 27.193498 |
| 57.332 | 28.490256 | 27.709952 | 27.426565 | 27.091689 | 27.640565 | 27.218397 |
| 57.750 | 26.61205 | 27.0213873 | 26.920497 | 26.53547 | 26.668161 | 26.641835 |
| 58.171 | 26.262673 | 26.8640509 | 26.318122 | 25.956912 | 27.039054 | 25.811714 |
| 58.596 | 26.060473 | 27.8210087 | 26.078255 | 25.715996 | 26.241895 | 25.674424 |
| 59.025 | 25.153594 | 25.4845134 | 25.081816 | 25.478831 | 25.205333 | 25.00176 |
| 59.459 | 24.781176 | 24.9821632 | 24.562764 | 24.252076 | 24.69505 | 24.44339 |
| 59.896 | 24.334142 | 24.2160574 | 24.735879 | 24.154318 | 24.37595 | 23.950801 |
| 60.336 | 23.891613 | 23.8290245 | 23.676219 | 23.663809 | 23.686497 | 23.248439 |
| 60.781 | 23.340827 | 23.2046609 | 22.891414 | 23.115764 | 23.454921 | 22.74223 |
| 61.230 | 23.124201 | 22.4948502 | 22.576289 | 22.377972 | 22.898751 | 22.505961 |
| 61.682 | 22.299249 | 22.2145517 | 22.10616 | 22.075852 | 22.49278 | 21.864349 |
| 62.139 | 21.770351 | 21.9667924 | 21.332108 | 20.981892 | 22.203601 | 21.662497 |
| 62.599 | 21.373354 | 21.2007689 | 21.02695 | 20.531646 | 21.617435 | 21.114887 |
| 63.063 | 20.928283 | 20.9004803 | 20.910642 | 20.017543 | 20.775293 | 20.470714 |
| 63.531 | 20.557206 | 20.3290925 | 20.492162 | 20.047572 | 20.167365 | 19.834595 |
| 64.003 | 19.93875 | 19.7082999 | 20.372975 | 19.582841 | 19.809042 | 19.323693 |
| 64.479 | 19.532463 | 19.1456673 | 19.176229 | 19.038426 | 19.426654 | 18.976379 |
| 64.958 | 18.945471 | 18.742131 | 18.349377 | 18.662651 | 18.975129 | 18.591037 |
| 65.442 | 18.501948 | 18.3262893 | 18.222406 | 18.45382 | 18.180473 | 18.278669 |
| 65.929 | 17.974526 | 17.8535817 | 17.867378 | 17.734847 | 18.361827 | 17.701081 |
| 66.419 | 17.515855 | 17.2266752 | 17.304278 | 17.527645 | 17.706066 | 17.283649 |
| 66.914 | 16.880392 | 16.8239819 | 16.392175 | 16.612932 | 17.503593 | 16.972189 |
| 67.412 | 16.597771 | 16.2360394 | 16.365174 | 16.324762 | 16.829094 | 16.3194 |
| 67.914 | 15.971628 | 15.9622243 | 15.687786 | 15.52685 | 15.849382 | 15.769703 |


| 68.419 | 15.522742 | 15.7069939 | 15.662222 | 15.436596 | 15.325328 | 15.639029 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68.928 | 15.394326 | 14.8951486 | 15.164031 | 14.884591 | 15.128863 | 14.951378 |
| 69.440 | 14.660354 | 14.8057284 | 14.55823 | 14.731234 | 14.853523 | 14.687738 |
| 69.956 | 14.291277 | 14.2695581 | 14.05389 | 13.981525 | 14.288396 | 14.484897 |
| 70.475 | 13.716206 | 13.6502995 | 13.946741 | 13.670994 | 13.806581 | 13.622079 |
| 70.998 | 13.382044 | 13.271954 | 12.953614 | 12.767293 | 13.7389 | 12.991947 |
| 71.524 | 12.909306 | 12.8075613 | 12.493204 | 12.392306 | 13.137008 | 13.005428 |
| 72.053 | 12.705751 | 12.4548604 | 12.414255 | 11.938984 | 12.711262 | 12.573692 |
| 72.586 | 12.032393 | 12.1325047 | 11.92917 | 11.616887 | 12.053595 | 11.799827 |
| 73.121 | 11.473402 | 11.6019058 | 11.223751 | 11.271091 | 11.729336 | 11.471985 |
| 73.660 | 11.036374 | 11.0255763 | 10.710711 | 10.691614 | 11.497569 | 11.218976 |
| 74.201 | 10.713386 | 10.7217422 | 10.466499 | 10.543171 | 10.896018 | 10.870311 |
| 74.746 | 10.317427 | 10.1283808 | 10.204987 | 10.278551 | 10.422498 | 10.256235 |
| 75.293 | 10.028055 | 9.67438473 | 9.5623345 | 9.7282887 | 9.8837814 | 9.8736829 |
| 75.843 | 9.5219211 | 9.10187118 | 9.1023285 | 9.5566592 | 9.332589 | 9.4586134 |
| 76.396 | 9.0038861 | 8.83497666 | 8.4457772 | 8.9024466 | 9.2003572 | 9.047927 |
| 76.951 | 8.4930702 | 8.44378662 | 8.2952309 | 8.3821938 | 8.7104418 | 8.7642254 |
| 77.509 | 8.0914309 | 7.96915107 | 7.7995145 | 8.1477879 | 8.496202 | 8.1020137 |
| 78.069 | 7.7555318 | 7.54419311 | 7.6412718 | 7.7667468 | 8.0463948 | 7.8697308 |
| 78.631 | 7.3873513 | 7.16939542 | 7.0112376 | 7.3488713 | 7.3486496 | 7.4238453 |
| 79.196 | 7.0759872 | 6.83324133 | 6.8185156 | 6.8349632 | 7.1652749 | 7.0799044 |
| 79.763 | 6.4020071 | 6.42212058 | 6.4396061 | 6.3849104 | 6.7311264 | 6.6665357 |
| 80.332 | 5.9920358 | 5.99958821 | 6.1840389 | 6.0117645 | 6.545256 | 6.3269959 |
| 80.903 | 5.7273435 | 5.6277097 | 5.2935356 | 5.515804 | 6.0831131 | 5.8491163 |
| 81.476 | 5.189252 | 5.19210928 | 5.1315058 | 5.1417096 | 5.5828524 | 5.5779964 |
| 82.050 | 4.8484189 | 4.8297676 | 4.6740941 | 4.8653382 | 5.1376071 | 5.0416632 |
| 82.626 | 4.3939646 | 4.54771093 | 4.3690632 | 4.7468986 | 4.7719169 | 4.7496169 |
| 83.204 | 4.1627526 | 4.1182957 | 4.0691479 | 4.2570095 | 4.3257833 | 4.4948606 |
| 83.782 | 3.7548718 | 3.74245805 | 3.5513378 | 3.7639855 | 4.0413397 | 4.0885046 |
| 84.363 | 3.3504887 | 3.42164693 | 3.3344795 | 3.5045182 | 3.7071294 | 3.7315852 |
| 84.944 | 2.9614553 | 2.94115104 | 3.0451654 | 3.2576086 | 3.2301904 | 3.4556179 |
| 85.526 | 2.591309 | 2.63431404 | 2.621258 | 2.7690046 | 3.0758008 | 2.9346828 |
| 86.110 | 2.2468833 | 2.29141917 | 2.1973767 | 2.4080285 | 2.6539281 | 2.7392002 |
| 86.694 | 1.9447035 | 1.96090063 | 2.0920049 | 2.2379792 | 2.3831287 | 2.3862069 |
| 87.279 | 1.5702701 | 1.65935326 | 1.9769419 | 2.0121321 | 2.0176488 | 1.9770756 |
| 87.864 | 1.2070342 | 1.28857151 | 1.5442125 | 1.6985501 | 1.9603344 | 1.6771496 |
| 88.450 | 0.88423 | 0.9465269 | 1.0983811 | 1.2516139 | 1.321998 | 1.4774164 |
| 89.036 | 0.5664304 | 0.67859564 | 0.7850969 | 1.1840754 | 0.9538398 | 1.1186159 |
| 89.623 | 0.2239117 | 0.34800632 | 0.6130476 | 0.7871264 | 0.6891351 | 0.7943434 |

seoul nano nal linverat

## E. The results of Experiment for the cylindrical nozzle with $d: \phi 16, l: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$

Table E. The results of the thickness by Experiment ( $\boldsymbol{d}: \boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{1 6}, \boldsymbol{l}: \mathbf{3 0 m m}$ )
Thickness $[\AA]$

| Position [mm] | 0.167g/h, 3600s | 0.831g/h, 720 s | $2.786 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}, 240 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -430 | 224.839 | 249.776 | 310.01 |
| -420 | 227.539 | 268.839 | 325.84 |
| -410 | 236.632 | 275.881 | 340.1 |
| -400 | 263.177 | 290.436 | 361.28 |
| -390 | 275.212 | 310.205 | 374.29 |
| -380 | 292.18 | 319.411 | 395.18 |
| -370 | 310.937 | 341.44 | 412.39 |
| -360 | 321.679 | 357.527 | 426.32 |
| -350 | 339.066 | 373.481 | 448.77 |
| -340 | 360.032 | 396.784 | 469.12 |
| -330 | 379.228 | 420.294 | 492.91 |
| -320 | 407.19 | 435.29 | 507.98 |
| -310 | 436.052 | 460.804 | 525.18 |
| -300 | 457.712 | 477.974 | 558.67 |
| -290 | 481.927 | 502.252 | 578.12 |
| -280 | 515.314 | 525.113 | 593.55 |
| -270 | 544.508 | 552.38 | 616.73 |
| -260 | 577.702 | 565.454 | 637.51 |
| -250 | 604.829 | 592.275 | 653.28 |
| -240 | 633.004 | 615.924 | 669.68 |
| -230 | 659.447 | 637.34 | 686.23 |
| -220 | 689.579 | 660.911 | 705.96 |
| -210 | 720.056 | 676.846 | 722.23 |
| -200 | 752.367 | 695.256 | 734.87 |
| -190 | 775.642 | 718.376 | 745.85 |
| -180 | 802.191 | 729.543 | 760.59 |
| -170 | 832.855 | 744.503 | 771.81 |
| -160 | 856.093 | 766.104 | 779.76 |
| -150 | 875.937 | 776.495 | 785 |
| -140 | 896.552 | 783.092 | 799.47 |
| -130 | 918.233 | 794.35 | 805.64 |
| -120 | 930.764 | 798.176 | 810.3 |
| -110 | 910.57 | 791.131 | 802.92 |
| -100 | 894.914 | 784.28 | 792.96 |
| -90 | 874.358 | 771.819 | 782.93 |
| -80 | 853.876 | 757.596 | 776.98 |
| -70 | 827.931 | 742.285 | 769.84 |
| -60 | 800.912 | 720.822 | 760.89 |
| -50 | 769.836 | 707.097 | 745.73 |
| -40 | 747.787 | 688.294 | 728.19 |
| -30 | 715.863 | 670.574 | 712.78 |
| -20 | 686.424 | 645.812 | 695.63 |
| -10 | 657.637 | 623.195 | 679.91 |
| 0 | 625.502 | 599.392 | 663.59 |
| 10 | 590.015 | 573.048 | 646.73 |
| 20 | 558.278 | 559.508 | 628.61 |
| 30 | 523.391 | 539.555 | 606.51 |
| 40 | 499.677 | 513.867 | 579.17 |
| 50 | 475.677 | 491.368 | 561.48 |
| 60 | 451.085 | 470.111 | 538.75 |
| 70 | 420.595 | 448.274 | 516.77 |
| 80 | 396.28 | 425.069 | 498.41 |
| 90 | 376.198 | 409.087 | 486.73 |
| 100 | 353.275 | 384.123 | 458.27 |
| 110 | 329.303 | 363.259 | 436.36 |
| 120 | 315.024 | 346.161 | 415.3 |
| 130 | 297.74 | 328.465 | 402.96 |
| 140 | 275.976 | 314.575 | 381.34 |
| 150 | 264.581 | 305.892 | 362.17 |
| 160 | 254.239 | 285.059 | 348.15 |
| 170 | 232.301 | 273.998 | 332.36 |
| 180 | 217.802 | 255.965 | 314.35 |
| 190 | 213.508 | 245.108 | 298.01 |
| 200 | 193.886 | 229.008 | 284.03 |
| 210 | 184.567 | 215.208 | 275.51 |
| 220 | 177.713 | 202.91 | 257.04 |
| 230 | 175.596 | 200.454 | 247.38 |
| 240 | 158.011 | 187.705 | 238.68 |
| 250 | 144.534 | 174.571 | 225.53 |
| 260 | 143.823 | 165.842 | 211.59 |
| 270 | 134.704 | 160.237 | 192.46 |
| 280 | 128.44 | 152.646 | 185.53 |
| 290 | 113.154 | 141.971 | 182.58 |
| 300 | 113.064 | 135.16 | 173.27 |


| 310 | 100.7542 | 127.617 | 160.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 320 | 99.647 | 117.77 | 156.83 |
| 330 | 92.3676 | 108.193 | 147.51 |
| 340 | 90.491 | 103.997 | 140 |
| 350 | 84.4746 | 98.8718 | 132.96 |
| 360 | 78.9328 | 94.429 | 128.02 |
| 370 | 77.0232 | 90.608 | 120.87 |
| 380 | 62.2082 | 85.7191 | 119.13 |
| 390 | 57.5974 | 79.6177 | 110.68 |
| 400 | 57.6324 | 76.6699 | 105.11 |
| 410 | 55.4272 | 70.3851 | 93.06 |
| 420 | 54.7475 | 66.471 | 95.19 |
| 430 | 52.0238 | 63.9898 | 90.19 |
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