



공학석사학위논문

GeoQA 시스템 성능 향상을 위한 장소 관련 질문 분류

Classification of Place-related Questions for

Enhanced GeoQA system

2023년 8월

서울대학교 대학원

건설환경공학부

이서형

GeoQA 시스템 성능 향상을 위한 장소 관련 질문 분류

Classification of Place-related Questions for Enhanced GeoQA System

지도 교수 유기 윤

이 논문을 공학석사 학위논문으로 제출함 2023년 06월

서울대학교 대학원 건설환경공학부 이 서 형

이서형의 공학 석사 학위논문을 인준함 2023 년 06월

0]	୍ର	スト	((1)	
71	편	Ő		、モノ	ł

- 부위원장 _____ (인)
- 위 원_____(인)

Abstract

Classification of Place-related Questions for Enhanc ed GeoQA system

Seohyung Lee

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering The Graduate School Seoul National University

Question classification (QC) plays a crucial role in delivering accurate and relevant answers to users by identifying the topic of a question. In Question Answering (QA) systems, accurate classification of questions is essential for providing precise responses that effectively address users' inquiries and ensure the retrieval of relevant answers from the information retrieval process.

While significant progress has been made in improving QC across various domains by categorizing questions into coarse and fine clas ses, the field of geography presents unique challenges when it come s to answering questions related to geographic entities or concepts t hat require spatial operations. Place-related questions encompass a diverse range of topics, making their classification particularly chall enging. Although efforts have been made in the geographical domain to determine the geographical nature of questions, analyze structur al patterns in geographical questions for query generation, and class ify geographic questions based on latent topics, accurately identifyin g specific topics within place-related questions remains a gap in cur rent research.

The distinct characteristics of the geography field, such as the m ultitude of possible topics and the interplay between geographic and non-geographic elements in questions, contribute to the complexit y. This study focuses on place-related questions to address these c hallenges and bridge the existing gap in current studies.

Therefore, the objective of this research is to develop an approac h that accurately classifies specific topics within place-related ques tions, aiming to enhance the effectiveness of question classification i n Geographic QA systems (GeoQA). The findings from this study wi ll provide valuable insights for improving question answering system s in the context of geography.

This thesis presents a methodology for classifying place-related questions in the domain of geography using predefined fine-grained topics. The goal is to accurately identify the specific topic of intere st within place-related questions, which is crucial for providing rele vant and informative responses to natural language queries about ge ographic locations. Accurately identifying the specific topic becomes essential in delivering precise information. For instance, consider th e question "Henderson, TN zone." It is important to classify this que stion under the "Locator" topic, as it remains unclear whether the us er is referring to the time zone or the hardiness zone of Henderson, TN.

By utilizing predefined fine-grained topics, this question classific ation model for place-related questions enhances the classification process and enables the system to capture the nuanced aspects of p lace-related questions. The findings from this research will contrib ute to the development of more accurate and effective question ans wering systems in the field of geography, facilitating better informat ion retrieval. To accomplish this objective, a total of 3,025 randomly selected p lace-related questions from the MS MARCO dataset were labeled a ccording to 42 fine-grained topics. Subsequently, a BERT model wa s fine-tuned to classify place-related questions based on the relev ant topics. The proposed QC model achieved an overall training accu racy of 87.9% and a test accuracy of 86.6%, demonstrating its effec tiveness in classifying place-related questions. The proposed multi -class question classification model presented in this thesis provide s an approach to question classification for place-related questions focusing on relevant topics. This work can enhance current GeoQA system by performing better retrieval of information from the exter nal knowledge base.

Keywords: GeoQA, GeoQA Dataset, Closedomain QA system, Question Classification, Multiclass Question Classification

Student Number: 2021-22606

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1	
1.1 Research Background and Purpose	1	
1.2 Related Work	8	
1.2.1 Overview of GeoQA	12	
1.2.2 GeoQA Datasets: Review and Analysis	15	
1.2.3 Query Generation	22	
1.2.4 Question Classification	28	
1.3 Research Scope and Method	35	
2. Methodology	37	
2.1 Dataset	38	
2.1.1 Dataset Selection	38	
2.1.2 Question Labelling	41	
2.2 BERT for Question Classification	49	
2.2.1 Multi-Class Question Classification	49	
2.2.2 Model Selection	51	
2.3 Evaluation Methodology	57	
3. Experiment and Result	60	
3.1 Experiment Environment	60	
3.2 Question Labelling Result	61	
3.3 Evaluation		
4. Conclusion	72	
Appendix	77	

Bibliography	102
Abstract (Korean)	106

List of Table

[Table 1-1] Types of QA systems by Information Retrieval (I R) Source for Geographical Question 4 [Table 1-2] GeoQA Research Scope Overview 9 [Table 1-3] Datasets Typically Selected on GeoQA Research 16 [Table 1–4] Top Five Frequent Place related Semantics Extra cted from the Dataset from Hamzei et al. (2020) 19 [Table 1–5] Alphanumeric Encoding Schema by Semantic Enc oding Method used in Hamzei (2020) 22 [Table 1–6] Examples of question patterns (C for "Concept", I for "Instance", and R for "Geospatial Relation") for each natur al language questions and its corresponding SPARQL/GeoSPA RQL query in Punjani et al. (2018) 24 [Table 2–1] Examples of Place relatedness for "LOC" annot ated Questions from the MS MARCO Dataset 41 [Table 2-2] Taxonomy defined in Li and Roth (2002) with 6coarse categories and a total of 50 finer-categories 42 [Table 2-3] Extended Semantic Encoding used in Xu et al. (2 020) 44 [Table 2–4] Descriptions of Fine grained Topics used to Clas sify Place-related Questions 45 [Table 3–1] Number of Questions Classified into Each Topic 6 1 [Table 3-2] Topics that have been assigned to more than 60 questions in each category 64 [Table 3-3] Accuracy per Topic on Validation and Test Datas 67 et

List of Figure

[Figure 1–1] General Architecture of Question Answering (Q A) Systems (Allam & Haggag, 2012) 2 [Figure 2-1] Overview of the Question Classification (QC) Pro cess by BERT Fine-Tuning 37 [Figure 2-2] Overall pre training and fine tuning procedures f or BERT (Devlin et al., 2019). [CLS] is a special symbol adde d in front of every input example, and [SEP] is a special separ ator token 52[Figure 2–3] BERT input representation figure from Devlin et al. (2019). The input embeddings are the sum of the token em beddings 55 [Figure 3–1] Distribution of the Labelled Dataset 62 [Figure 3-2] Validation Accuracy over 60 epochs 66 [Figure 3-3] Confusion Matrix on Both Validation and Test Da 68 taset

1. Introduction

1.1 Research Background and Purpose

The rapid advancement of computer technology, coupled with th e widespread use of the internet and the significant growth of electr onic information on the web, has raised concerns about efficiently an d accurately retrieving the desired content from a vast amount of inf ormation (Wang & Qu, 2017). One of the most widely used applicati ons for retrieving information is question answering (QA), which is an important task of natural language processing. The primary goal of the QA system is to provide an accurate response, rather than a c ollection of documents, to the user's arbitrary question expressed in natural language.

Question Answering (QA) systems can have different architectu res, but they generally follow a common framework to answer quest ions ([Figure 1-1]). This framework involves several steps: deter mining the expected answer type through question classification, ret rieving candidate answers from an external knowledge, identifying t he correct answer within the retrieved information based on the exp ected answer type(s), and presenting the best answer to the user (Allam & Haggag, 2012).

1



[Figure 1-1] General Architecture of Question Answering (QA) Sy stems (Allam & Haggag, 2012)

Aforementioned QA system aims to provide an accurate respons e to the user' s question, based on prior knowledge of the expected answer type (Mallikarjuna & Sivanesan, 2022). To accomplish this, the question classification (QC) module plays a crucial role in provi ding relevant information, making it an essential component of the Q A system architecture (Mohasseb et al., 2018). The objective of the QC procedure is to recognize the category of the question asked, w hich allows other modules to locate and verify an answer that is pert inent to the user's query. This is significant because determining the type of question can limit what is deemed as relevant information a nd can provide the answer (Allam & Haggag, 2012).

Before initiating this thesis, it is important to provide an overvie w of QA systems. QA systems can be categorized based on the type of information they utilize to answer questions. One such category i s Text-based Question Answering (TextQA) which relies on unstru ctured text data to answer questions. TextQA uses machine learning algorithms to identify and extract relevant information from the tex t, providing an answer to the question asked. Another QA system is Knowledge Base Question Answering (KBQA), which focuses on str uctured knowledge bases, such as databases or ontologies, to answe r questions. The knowledge base contains organized and structured information that can be easily queried by semantic parsing technique s used in KBQA systems. To summarize, TextQA systems are gene rally more flexible and can answer a broader range of questions, wh ile KBQA systems are more specialized and provide more accurate a nd structured answers to specific types of questions. Research on G eoQA are mainly relying on knowledge base with the recent advance s in pre-training language models, substantial improvements are in progress in KBQA models (Yang et al., 2023). Researchers have als o developed a HybridQA approach that combines both structured an d unstructured information (Oguz et al., 2022). This approach unifie s the benefits of TextQA and KBQA to provide an enhanced questio n-answering experience. [Table 1-1] depicts the results of placerelated questions that were searched on Google's open-domain se arch engine in May 2023.

[Table 1-1] Types of QA systems by Information Retriev	val ((IR)	So
urce for Geographical Question			

Question I nput	Informatio n Retrieval (IR) Sourc e	Answers from Open-Domain Search Engine (Google)		
Nearest A irport to S oHo Lond on	TextQA	Cocic Nearest Airport to Solho London X Image: Cocic Image: Cocic <t< td=""></t<>		
Where ca n I buy ad vil in Seat tle	KBQA	Cooper Week can blog shall X Image Cooper 0.4.4 Ø Dopper Image Mage Image Mage Mage 0.4.4 Ø Dopper Image Image Image Image Places Oracle All Mage Oracle All		

Yet, it is still important to note that preliminary research on Geo QA relies on Knowledge base (Yang et al., 2023). QA systems can b e broadly classified into two categories: open-domain QA systems a nd close-domain QA systems. Open-domain QA systems like Chat GPT and Siri are designed to answer a wide range of questions on v arious topics, while close-domain QA systems are intended to answ er questions with high accuracy in a specific field or domain (i.e., bi omedical domain and geographical domain). The quantity of geograp hic information is increasing at an annual growth rate of approximate ly 20%, and such information makes up a significant portion of data on the internet (Lee & Kang, 2015). Despite the significant advance ments in open-domain question answering, QA systems still face ch allenges when it comes to answering questions related to geographi c entities or concepts that require spatial operations (Mai et al., 202 1). To overcome these issues, researchers on GeoQA are building a knowledge-based QA system that can provide accurate answers fr om the structured information.

Over time, extensive research has been conducted by scholars i n the field of Geographical Question Answering (GeoQA) (Hamzei et al., 2020; Hamzei, 2021; Hamzei et al., 2022; Mishra et al., 2010; P unjani et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2020). Previous research on GeoQA ca n be categorized into explaining the challenges of GeoQA system (M ai et al., 2021), constructing GeoQA datasets (Punjani et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2020), analyzing GeoQA datasets (Hamzei et al., 2020), ex plaining the challenges of Geo-analytical QA system (Scheider et a 1, 2021), categorizing geographic questions and answer pairs (Hamz ei et al., 2020; Mai et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2022), proposing models f or transforming concepts (Xu et al., 2022), building overall pipeline for GeoQA system (Punjani et al., 2018), or introducing methods to translate place-related questions into GeoSPARQL queries (Hamze i, 2021; Hamzei et al., 2022)

Several studies mentioned above have classified geographic que stions. For example, Hamzei et al. (2020) used a classification meth od based on a semantic encoding approach which recognizes the fun ctional roles of the concepts and generates queries for a GeoQA eng ine or analyzes question types (e.g., factoid or analytical). However, the main purpose of classification of these questions using semantic encoding was to build a template for query generation, not to prese nt the main topic for each question.

Accurately classifying questions into topics is a crucial element in the design and development of effective GeoQA systems, precedi ng any information retrieval (IR) process [Figure 1–1]. The focus o f this study is to classify questions based on predefined topics, aimi ng to refine the scope of potential answers and enhance the precisio n of information retrieval. By achieving accurate question classificati on, the performance and user experience of GeoQA systems can be significantly improved. Hence, question classification holds significa nt relevance in advancing GeoQA systems.

To achieve this objective, this study focuses on the development of the question classification (QC) module for the GeoQA system. The QC module aims to accurately classify place-related questions by in ferring their topics from the questions asked. The proposed QC mod ule utilizes a machine learning method to identify the topic of the qu estions.

1.2 Related Work

This section aims to emphasize the significance of Question Clas sification (QC) within GeoQA and address research gaps through a c omprehensive review of the field. While specific QC studies in GeoQ A systems are limited, it is important to recognize the importance of QC in the broader domain of QA systems. GeoQA research covers k ey aspects such as dataset construction, query generation using SP ARQL/Geo-SPARQL, and data analysis. Constructing appropriate da tasets is crucial for training and evaluating QC models in GeoQA sys tems. Additionally, SPARQL/Geo-SPARQL query generation techniq ues enable retrieval of relevant information from geospatial databas es or knowledge graphs. Data analysis techniques aid in understanding patterns and characteristics of geographic data, enhancing GeoQ A system performance. Research gaps and motivations for further in vestigations in GeoQA are aimed to be identified through an examina tion of existing literature in these areas ([Table 1-2]).

Area	Paper	Description
Dataset Constr	Punjani et al.	Generated GeoQuestions201,
uction	(2018)	which is created by Junior level student
		s enrolled in AI course
	Xu et al.	Generated GeoAnQu, which is a dataset
	(2020)	mainly for geo-analytic questions
Data Analysis	Hamzei et al.	Investigate the relationships between g
	(2020)	eographic questions and answers by ca
		tegorization by alphanumeric represent
		ation for their semantic encoding
	Xu et al.	After generating GeoAnQu, they compa
	(2020)	re three major QA corpora (MS MARC
		O, Geo-Questions201, GeoAnQu) used
		in GeoQA research
Query (SPARQ	Hamzei (202	Translated geographic ques-tions to G
L/Geo-SPARQ	1)	eoSPARQL with the intermediate logica
L) Generation		l represent-tation form classified by se
		mantic encoding using MS MARCO corp
		us
	Hamzei et al.	Improves existing methods in translatin
	(2022)	g geographic questions to structured qu
		eries by BERT embedding to perform o
		ntology mapping using GeoQuestions-2
		01

[Table 1-2] GeoQA Research Scope Overview

This research focuses on the critical stage of question classifica tion within the overall QA system and its significance in accurately c lassifying place-related questions into topics. However, the field of GeoQA lacks extensive exploration of the question classification (QC) module, creating a research gap. To address this gap and explore the potential of QC in GeoQA, ongoing studies in GeoQA are examin ed, and how the QC module is being studied in other QA domains is explored.

Existing literature in GeoQA reveals various research areas, inc luding dataset construction, SPARQL/Geo-SPARQL query generatio n, and data analysis. These areas play crucial roles in training and e valuating QC models for GeoQA systems. Dataset construction is vit al for obtaining suitable data to develop and test QC models tailored to the geography domain. SPARQL/Geo-SPARQL query generation techniques enable retrieval of relevant information from geospatial d atabases or knowledge graphs. Data analysis techniques contribute t o understanding geographic data patterns, enhancing GeoQA system performance.

While direct research on the QC module in GeoQA systems is li mited, broader QA studies have explored and developed QC models. Examining these studies provides valuable insights into designing a nd implementing QC modules for GeoQA systems. To bridge the gap between GeoQA and existing QC research, thi s thesis introduces a fine-tuning approach using Bidirectional Encod er Representation from Transformer (BERT) (Devlin et al., 2019). BERT, pretrained on the Masked Language Model Task and Next Se ntence Prediction Task with a diverse corpus from various domains incorporates a unique Masked Language Model approach (Sun et al., 2020). This approach allows BERT to predict randomly masked or substituted words, differentiating it from traditional bidirectional lan guage models. By leveraging BERT's state-of-the-art capabilities through fine-tuning, this study aims to address the challenges of pla ce-related question classification in GeoQA systems.

1.2.1 Overview of GeoQA

GeoQA plays a pivotal role in delivering precise and pertinent an swers to queries specific to the geographic domain, underscoring its crucial significance in the realm of question answering systems. To provide a comprehensive understanding of the GeoQA field, it is im portant to clarify the two types of geographic questions: factoid (ref erred to as Geo-factoid) and analytical (referred to as Geo-analyti c). These question types, although not directly utilized in the questi on classification module in this thesis, are commonly discussed in th e literature, and contribute to the broader understanding of geograp hic question types in the field of GeoQA.

Factoid questions primarily aim to retrieve specific location-rel ated information, as exemplified by questions such as "What is the c apital of France?" or "What is the population of Tokyo?" On the othe r hand, more extensive analysis is required for analytical questions beyond straightforward location-based facts. Complex inquiries suc h as "What is the impact of climate change on crop yields in Southea st Asia?" or "What is the best location for a new retail store based o n demographic data?" are involved in these questions. By discussing these question types, a framework for categorizing and understandi ng different types of questions commonly encountered in the geogra phic domain is provided.

Although the question classification module from this thesis doe s not explicitly focus on factoid or analytical questions, the explanati on of these question types contributes to the broader landscape of G eoQA research. It allows for a better appreciation of the nuances an d complexities involved in classifying and answering geographic que stions. Moreover, the knowledge of these question types can inform future research directions and help researchers explore more targe ted approaches for addressing factoid and analytical questions withi n the GeoQA framework (Xu et al., 2020).

Moreover, it is important to contextualize GeoQA within the bro ader field of question answering (QA) in natural language processin g. QA systems aim to extract precise answers when users pose que stions. While open-domain QA systems like ChatGPT can handle qu estions from various domains, close-domain QA systems specialize in specific areas such as medicine, cooking, or automobiles (Khilji e t al., 2020). GeoQA falls into the category of close-domain QA syst ems, focusing specifically on answering geographic questions. It is d esigned and tailored to handle inquiries related to geography, includi ng topics such as locations, distances, maps, and other spatial inform ation. Understanding the distinction between open-domain and clos e-domain QA systems provides valuable context for appreciating th e unique challenges and opportunities in developing GeoQA systems.

1.2.2 GeoQA Datasets: Review and Analysis

In this section, the review and analysis of the existing datasets commonly employed in GeoQA research are delved into, highlighting the crucial role of dataset analysis in understanding the characteris tics and patterns of the questions encountered in the GeoQA domain.

GeoQA researchers have access to a range of datasets, both ge neral and specific to GeoQA. To qualify as a GeoQA dataset, the que stions must involve geographic entities, concepts, or relations. Thes e datasets serve as valuable resources for training and evaluating G eoQA systems, enabling researchers to improve the performance an d accuracy of their models.

Among the various datasets utilized in the GeoQA field, three pr ominent ones are MS MARCO, GeoQuestions201, and GeoAnQu. Eac h dataset has its unique attributes and focuses, encompassing divers e question types and complexities. [Table 1–3] provides a compreh ensive overview of the differences between these datasets, highligh ting their distinctive characteristics and features.

Dataset	Size	Source	Focus	Category
MS MARCO	1,010,916 an onymized qu estions with human gener ated answer	Bing	General QA	 (1) Descriptio n (2) Numeric (3) Entity (4) Location (5) Person
GeoQuestion s 201	201 question s	Students enr olled in an AI course	GeoQA	Geo-factoid
GeoAnQu	429 question s	 (1) 100 scie ntific articles (2) text-boo ks on GIScie nce and GIS 	GeoQA	Geo-analytica 1

[Table 1–3] Datasets Typically Selected on GeoQA Research

Through the analysis of these datasets, valuable insights are gai ned into the nature of geographic questions and the challenges they pose. This analysis plays a crucial role in the development and refin ement of the QC module, as common patterns, topics, and variations within the GeoQA datasets can be identified. The knowledge acquire d from dataset analysis can be leveraged to enhance the classificatio n accuracy and effectiveness of the QC module, thereby improving t he overall performance of GeoQA systems.

In the subsequent sections, a deeper exploration will be underta ken into the review and analysis of these datasets, with a focus on e xamining their contents, structure, and implications for the developm ent of the QC module. By harnessing the power of dataset analysis, t he aim is to advance the state of GeoQA research and contribute to t he ongoing efforts in building more accurate and efficient question a nswering systems for geographic queries.

MS MARCO (Bajaj et al., 2018) is a large-scale dataset for rea ding comprehension and question answering that contains 1,010,916 passages and their corresponding questions and answers. While not designed specifically for GeoQA, researchers extract "LOC" annotat ed questions and answers to identify geographic questions. Hamzei et al. (2020) found that approximately 65% of the annotated questio ns were geographic in nature.

GeoQuestions201 (Punjani et al., 2018) is a GeoQA-specific da taset of 201 geo-referenced questions on various geographic topic s, collected from junior-level students in an Artificial Intelligence c ourse. The dataset covers cities, countries, landmarks, and other sp atial concepts.

GeoAnQu (Xu et al., 2020) is another GeoQA-specific dataset t hat contains 429 questions related to geographic locations, landmark s, and spatial relations. Unlike GeoQuestions201, the questions in G eoAnQu are formulated based on readings of scientific textbooks on GIScience and GIS. The dataset also includes multiple candidate ans wers for each question, making it more robust and detailed.

These datasets have been analyzed in several papers from diffe rent perspectives. One such paper by Hamzei et al. (2020) aimed to investigate the relationships between geographic questions and ans wers by categorizing them. To analyze the content of place-related questions and answers, the authors extracted patterns of place-rela ted information through semantic encoding. They extended the sema ntic encoding schema by Edwardes & Purves (2007) to relatively sh ort place questions and answers from MS MARCO. The categorizatio n of place-related questions and human-generated answers was an alyzed through semantic encoding and contextual semantic embeddi ng. The authors computed clusters of semantic representations, mo deled the encodings of question-answer pairs as 1024-dimensional vectors, and calculated the values of the vectors based on the Jaro similarity between the semantic encodings and the selected encodin gs. They then applied both k-means clustering and ELMo represent ations to measure whether the semantic representations retained th e contextual similarity of the sentences. Finally, they manually inter preted the most frequent encodings in each cluster to derive the cat egories of place-related questions and answers. In essence, the res earchers successfully identified the top-five most common place-r elated semantics for each of the six primary elements in both the qu estion-and-answer datasets ([Table 1-4]). They also scrutinized the prevalent encoding patterns present in both questions and answe rs, and evaluated the proportion of these patterns that were classifie d as non-spatial, spatial, non-geographical, or ambiguous based on the types of questions, explicit or implicit localization, non-geograp hical and unanswered for the types of answers.

[Table 1-4] Top Five Frequent Place related Semantics	Extracted
from the Dataset from Hamzei et al. (2020)	

Туре	in Questions	in Answers
	California (1393)	United States (4845)
	Texas (1391)	California (1482)
Place name	Florida (1148)	Texas (989)
	New York (895)	Florida (961)
	Illinois (692)	New York (894)
	Buy (340)	Go (64)
	Go (296)	Run (62)
Activity	Play (120)	Leave (55)
	Build (88)	Build (53)
	See (86)	Move (38)

Туре	in Questions	in Answers	
	In (3916)	In (10851)	
	Near (153)	On (379)	
Spatial Relation	At (142)	At (362)	
	On (109)	Near (275)	
	Between (38)	Between (251)	
	County (11702)	City (1714)	
	State (2291)	State (1653)	
Туре	City (1630)	County (1438)	
	Zone (745)	Area (882)	
	Region (653)	Region (758)	
	Find (1412)	Find (695)	
	Live (746)	Have (405)	
Situation	Have (662)	Live (305)	
	Grow (321)	Include (231)	
	Originate (237)	Originate (125)	
	Largest $(2/2)$	Largest (121)	
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Eargest} (242) \\ \text{Biggest} (106) \end{array}$	Census-designated (6	
Quality	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diggest} (100) \\ \text{Highest} (97) \end{array}$	8)	
Quality	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Intgliest} (57) \\ \text{Evaluation} (56) \end{array}$	Metropolitan (54)	
	Deputiful	Small (46)	
	Deautifui	Coastal (36)	

Xu et al. (2020) compared two major QA corpora used in GeoQ A research and suggested a new dataset called GeoAnQu, primarily designed for geo-analytic questions. The authors compared MS MA RCO, GeoQuestions201, and GeoAnQu datasets against each other, u sing semantic encodings and parsing methods to extract semantic in formation from the questions. They then compared the three corpor a at the word, phrase, and sentence level, using word clouds and qua ntifying syntactic patterns. The papers discussed above predominantly employ alphanumeri c encodings to extract semantics from place-related questions and answers, primarily focusing on appearance percentage. While these methods facilitate the classification of frequently asked questions an d types of place-related information, they do not provide a means t o identify the main topic of the questions. Additionally, each dataset possesses unique features and focuses, allowing researchers to sele ct an appropriate dataset based on factors such as size, question sou rce, expertise level, and focus.

Among the available datasets, the MS MARCO dataset stands ou t as the only one obtained from a real-world question answering en gine (Yang et al., 2023). This unique attribute renders it highly adva ntageous for identifying frequently asked topics in the questions. Co nsequently, this thesis leverages the "LOC" annotated questions fro m the MS MARCO dataset to construct the QC module of the GeoQA system. The utilization of this dataset aims to enhance the classific ation and understanding of geographic questions within the GeoQA f ramework.

1.2.3 Query Generation

Query generation is an essential module that follows the questio n classification (QC) process and aims to create queries for informa tion retrieval (IR). In the context of GeoQA, two papers have propos ed methodologies to enhance the accuracy of query generation for g eographic questions.

[Table 1-5] Alphanumeric Encoding Schema by Semantic Encoding Method used in Hamzei (2020)

Semantic	Part-of	Code	Semantic T	Part-of-s	Code		
Type	Type -speech		уре	peech	Coue		
where	WH-word	1	Place name	noun	n		
what	WH-word	2	Place type	noun	t		
which	WH-word	3	Object	noun	0		
when	WH-word	4	Quality	adjective	q		
how	WH-word	5	Activity	verb	а		
whom	WH-word	whom WH-word	whom WH-word	6	Situation, an	verh	9
witoin		0	d event	VCID	c		
whose	WH-word	7	Spatial relati	preposition	r		
whose		1	onship	preposition	1		
why WH-word 8							
Examples of Alphanumeric Encoding Schema					Pattern		
What is the snowiest place in Canada?					2qtrn		
Where is Mount Rainier					1n		

Hamzei et al. (2020) proposed a method that translates place-r elated questions and answers using semantic encodings. They identi fied primary elements such as place names, place types, activities, s ituations, qualitative spatial relationships, and qualities. The questio ns were differentiated based on WH-words and mapped to correspo nding place semantics. The researchers used an alphanumeric encod ing schema to analyze and categorize the GeoQA datasets according to their structural patterns ([Table 1-5]). Consequently, the alpha numeric encoding schema lacked the ability to disambiguate specific semantic types, resulting in potential encoding errors.

Punjani et al. (2018) introduced a QA engine for geospatial ques tions, GeoQA, which translates natural language questions into GeoS PARQL queries. They implemented two reusable QA components, Q anary and Frankenstein, to achieve this. The architecture of their Ge oQA system included a dependency parse tree generator, concept id entifier, instance identifier, geospatial relation identifier, and SPARQ L/GeoSPARQL query generator and executor. [Table 1–6] showcas es examples of question patterns in natural language and their corre sponding SPARQL/GeoSPARQL query templates. [Table 1-6] Examples of question patterns (C for "Concept", I for " Instance", and R for "Geospatial Relation") for each natural language questions and its corresponding SPARQL/GeoSPARQL query in Punjani et al. (2018)

Pattern	Example Questions	SPARQL/GeoSPARQL Templates
CRI	Which rivers cross L	SPARQL:
	imerick?	<pre>select ?x where { ?x rdf:type _Concep</pre>
		t.
		?x _Relation _Instance. }
		GeoSPARQL v1:
		select ?x where {
		?x rdf:type _Concept;
		geo:hasGeometry ?xGeom.
		?xGeom geo:asWKT ?xWKT.
		_Instance geo:hasGeometry ?iGeom.
		?iGeom geo:asWKT ?iWKT.
		<pre>FILTER(_Relation(?xWKT, ?iWKT)) }</pre>
		GeoSPARQL v2:
		select ?x where {
		?x rdf:type _Concept;
		geo:hasGeometry ?xGeom.
		?xGeom geo:asWKT ?xWKT.
		?instance owl:sameAs _Instance;
		geo:hasGeometry ?iGeom.
		?iGeom geo:asWKT ?iWKT.
		<pre>FILTER(_Relation(?xWKT, ?iWKT)) }</pre>

Pattern	Example Questions	SPARQL/GeoSPARQL Templates
CRIRI	Which churches are close to the Shannon in Limerick?	<pre>select ?x where { ?x rdf:type _Concept; geo:hasGeometry ?xGeom. ?xGeom geo:asWKT ?xWKT. _Instance1 geo:hasGeometry ?i1Geom. ?i1Geom geo:asWKT ?i1WKT. _Instance2 geo:hasGeometry ?i2Geom. ?i2Geom geo:asWKT ?i2WKT. FILTER(_Relation1(?xWKT, ?i1WKT) && _Relation2(?i1WKT, ?i2WKT)) }</pre>
CRC	Which restaurants ar e near hotels?	<pre>select ?x where { ?x rdf:type _Concept1; geo:hasGeometry ?xGeom. ?xGeom geo:asWKT ?xWKT. ?y rdf:type _Concept2; geo:hasGeometry ?yGeom. ?yGeom geo:asWKT ?yWKT. FILTER(_Relation(?xWKT, ?yWKT)) }</pre>
IRI	Is Hampshire north o f Berkshire?	ASK where { _Instance1 geo:hasGeometry ?iGeom1. ?iGeom1 geo:asWKT ?iWKT1. _Instance2 geo:hasGeometry ?iGeom2. ?iGeom2 geo:asWKT ?iWKT2. FILTER(_Relation(?iWKT1, ?iWKT2)) }

In addition, Hamzei et al. (2022) proposed an approach to prope rly formulate the intermediate representation of natural language qu estions, capturing their semantics without considering the technical
features of the destination query language. They aimed to leverage domain knowledge by translating place-related questions into GeoS PARQL queries. This involved two steps: encoding natural language place-related questions and object-based conceptualization of plac e for location, place (object), event, properties, and relations. The lo gical representation enabled the translation of place-related questio ns to GeoSPARQL queries through concept identification, ontology mapping, and dynamic query generation. The researchers utilized B ERT embeddings for ontology mapping and evaluated their model's p erformance in mapping place types and properties. Their focus was primarily on classifying place-related questions based on structural patterns to create query templates, rather than classifying them acc ording to the main topic of the question. Thus, it is important to ackn owledge that the primary objectives of these papers differ from the goals of this thesis.

In summary, query generation plays a crucial role in the GeoQA system by creating queries for information retrieval from the underl ying data sources. While existing papers have proposed methodologi es to improve query generation for geographic questions, their prim ary focus differs from the objectives of this thesis. In light of the ex isting research, this thesis aims to contribute to the GeoQA field by developing a question classification (QC) module. Unlike the aforem entioned papers, the purpose of the QC module is to classify questio ns into their main topics, thereby enhancing the performance of Geo QA systems during the information retrieval process. By accurately categorizing questions, the QC module helps the QA system find bet ter answers and deliver more precise and targeted results. The dev elopment of the QC module for GeoQA systems is motivated by the need to enhance the search capabilities of GeoQA systems, enabling users to obtain more relevant and accurate information regarding g eographic queries. By understanding the main topics of the question s, the QC module facilitates more effective retrieval of spatial and g eographical data, thereby enhance the overall GeoQA systems.

1.2.4 Question Classification

The classification of questions based on their main topic plays a fundamental role in natural language processing question-answerin g systems. By identifying the main topic, the system can retrieve pe rtinent information from external resources, enabling it to provide p recise and relevant answers. This is particularly crucial in search en gines or personalized recommendation systems, where understandin g the intent and preferences of the user plays a significant role (Zho u et al., 2012). Accurate classification of questions based on the mai n topic enables the system to filter out irrelevant information and fo cus on delivering tailored answers that address the core purpose of the question. This leads to increased user satisfaction and improved system performance. The objective of this thesis is to classify plac e-related questions into specific topics using question classification methodologies employed in other fields. This section provides an o verview of question classification.

Question classification has been extensively studied in the natur al language processing (NLP) field, particularly in open-domain que stion answering (QA) systems. In the related work of question class ification, the classical approach to addressing supervised NLP tasks will be briefly discussed. In the classical approach, text classificatio n model is done by two steps: feature extraction and classification. Term-Frequency – Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) (Salto n & Buckley, 1988) and word2Vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) were com monly used methods for the feature extraction. In depth, TF-IDF ca lculates the importance of a word in a document within a collection o f documents by weight. Then, it assigns a higher weight to words th at appear frequently in a document while appearing less frequently i n the entire document collection (GonzElez-Carvajal & Garrido-Mer chln, 2023). This way, TF-IDF captures the relative importance of words in a document. Word2Vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) is a well-kn own word embedding technique that maps words into dense vector r epresentations in a continuous vector space. It captures the semanti c meaning of words by considering the context in which they appear. The vectors can be used as features for text classification tasks. Af ter extracting features using TF-IDF or word2Vec, various machine learning algorithms such as Nallve Bayes, Support Vector Machines (SVM), or Random Forest are applied for the classification step.

Previous research on question classification (QC) has mainly fo cused on using supervised machine learning methods to build opendomain question answering systems. Li and Roth (2002), developed a list of semantic features and used multi-class classification to cat egorize questions into six broad and 50 fine-grained classes.

Zhang and Lee (2003) compared the performance of five differe nt machine learning algorithms, including Support Vector Machine (S VM), Nearest Neighbors (NN), Nallve Bayes (NB), Decision Tree (D T), and Sparse Network of Winnows (SNoW), using the TREC10 da taset. They trained each model on different training dataset sizes an d found that SVM outperformed the other models in most of the exp erimental results for both the coarse-grained and fine-grained eval uation.

Zhou et al. (2012) addressed the limitations of QA systems, whi ch struggle to keep up with the rapid increase of posted questions a nd lack effective ways to identify interesting questions. This gap be tween posted questions and potential answers can degrade a QA ser vice's performance and reduce users' loyalty to the system. To addr ess this, Zhou et al. (2012) proposed a question routing approach. T hey defined question routing as a classification task and developed v arious features to capture different aspects of questions, users, and their relations. They crawled datasets from popular Community Que stion Answering (CQA) services, such as Yahoo! Answers, in 2009 and used support vector machines (SVM) (Hearst et al., 1998), one of the widely used classification algorithms at that time. Their exper iments showed that question classification can improve QA systems by providing directions for user knowledge modeling and personaliz ed question routing.

Despite the success of traditional algorithms in question classifi cation, pre-trained algorithms such as BERT, which employs a deep learning architecture and pre-training on a large corpus of text dat a, have outperformed them. Cortes et al. (2020) evaluated and comp ared the performance of several machine learning and deep learning models for question classification (QC) in question answering (QA) systems. They aimed to assert the importance of accurate question classification in improving the overall performance of natural langua ge processing (NLP) systems. The authors evaluated several traditi onal machine learning models, such as Support Vector Machines (SV M), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Convolutional Neural Netw ork (CNN), Bidirectional Encoder Representation from Transformer (BERT), etc. For their experiment, they used the UIUC (Li & Roth, 2002) and DISEQuA (Magnini et al., 2004) datasets. They analyzed

the accuracy of each model by different levels of dependency, distin ct languages, and different dataset sizes of the training set. From th eir analysis of various test performances, they mention the fact that methods relying on pre-trained language models, such as BERT, re ach the best performance among various models. This is true for bot h the performance of the methods in distinct languages in both of th eir datasets and performance in different sizes of the training set. T he advantage of pre-trained models is that they can learn relevant f eatures in each layer of the model, including grammar and entity typ e of each word, without using external tools to represent them.

Finally, research on question classification for place-related qu estions was proposed by Yang et al. (2023). In their study, topic mo deling was utilized to analyze geographic questions by examining the ir semantic similarity, using the MS MARCO dataset. They successf ully clustered questions by their latent topic, but their unsupervised learning method may not accurately classify questions into main topi c by cluster.

The studies mentioned above shed light on the importance of ac curate question classification in enhancing natural language processi ng systems. However, previous works on question classification hav e certain limitations that need to be addressed. These limitations pri marily arise from the use of traditional algorithms, which have been outperformed by pre-trained algorithms like BERT. Pre-trained alg orithms, such as BERT, leverage deep learning architectures and pr e-training on large text corpora to achieve superior performance in question classification.

Cortes et al. (2020) found that methods relying on pre-trained language models, such as BERT, consistently outperformed tradition al machine learning models like Support Vector Machines (SVM), Lo ng Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and Convolutional Neural Networ k (CNN). The advantage of pre-trained models lies in their ability t o learn relevant features at different layers, including grammar and entity types, without the need for external tools.

While these studies have made significant contributions to quest ion classification in general, there is a need to specifically address q uestion classification for place-related questions. One recent study by Yang et al. (2023) utilized topic modeling to analyze geographic q uestions using the MS MARCO dataset. They successfully clustered questions based on their latent topics. However, their unsupervised learning approach may not accurately classify questions into the ma in topic based on the clusters alone.

Considering these limitations, an effort is made in this thesis to bridge the gaps in previous works on question classification by utiliz ing methodologies from broader NLP fields and employing them to c lassify place-related questions into specific topics inferred from ea ch question. By leveraging the advancements in pre-trained algorith ms and addressing the unique challenges posed by place-related qu estions, the aim is to enhance the accuracy and effectiveness of que stion classification in the field of GeoQA.

1.3 Research Scope and Method

The objective of this thesis is to create a question classification (QC) module for the GeoQA system that enables accurate categoriz ation of place-related questions based on their main topics. To achi eve this, a supervised learning approach is utilized, where a BERT fi ne-tuned model is trained on a GeoQA dataset to acquire knowledge of the underlying patterns and connections between the questions a nd predefined topics. The primary goal of the QC module is to impro ve the effectiveness of GeoQA systems, enabling them to deliver mo re precise and pertinent answers.

In this research, the focus is on addressing the multi-class clas sification problem in the GeoQA field. Each place-related question i s assigned to a predefined topic that represents its main topic, base d on a comprehensive analysis of frequently asked and prominent to pics in such questions. The proposed QC module is developed and a pplied to a GeoQA dataset, enabling the accurate categorization of pl ace-related questions according to their main topics.

The performance of the QC module is evaluated using various m etrics, including accuracy, precision, and F1-score. The evaluation provides insights into the effectiveness of the proposed approach in classifying place-related questions. While the direct impact on the a ccuracy and relevance of answers provided by GeoQA systems is no t examined in this research, the accurate categorization of questions based on their topics can lay the foundation for future improvement s in the overall performance of GeoQA systems.

By utilizing a supervised learning approach and training a BERT fine-tuned model on a GeoQA dataset, the QC module aims to enhan ce the classification accuracy and enable a better understanding of t he main topics of place-related questions. The results and insights gained from this research contribute to the ongoing efforts in develo ping intelligent GeoQA applications and advancing the field of questi on classification in the context of geographic queries.

2. Methodology

This chapter provides a detailed explanation of the multi-class question classification task for place-related questions, considering the relevant topics. To facilitate understanding, Figure 2-1 illustrat es the flow of the methodology.



[Figure 2-1]Overview of the Question Classification (QC) Process by BERT Fine-Tuning

2.1 Dataset

2.1.1 Dataset Selection

For this experiment, the MS MARCO dataset (Bajaj et al., 2018) was selected for the question classification task of the GeoQA syst em. Among the three main QA corpora (MS MARCO, GeoQuestions2 01, and GeoAnQU) often used in GeoQA studies, the MS MARCO da taset is the only one that contains actual search questions that users have searched on Bing. Hence, it may be more representative of th e information needs that users would typically ask in QA systems (Y ang et al., 2023).

The MS MARCO (Microsoft MAchine Reading COmprehension) dataset was created by Bajaj et al. in 2018 as part of a large-scale effort by Microsoft to improve machine reading comprehension. The dataset contains a large number of question-and-answer pair from various sources, such as web pages and online forums, along with a ssociated questions that are meant to test the ability of machine lear ning models to answer questions based on the content of the passag es. The passages were selected to cover a wide range of topics and styles of writing, with the goal of creating a diverse dataset that wo uld be representative of real-world reading comprehension challeng es.

This dataset is well-suited for training and evaluating machine l earning models for question-answering tasks. It has been widely us ed in the research community and has become a benchmark for eval uating the performance of various machine learning models on readi ng comprehension tasks.

MS MARCO dataset is selected in this thesis for its size and div ersity, which makes it a good candidate for training and evaluating m achine learning models for QC tasks. The MS MARCO dataset contai ns over 1 million search queries with human-generated answers an d is not specifically constructed for GeoQA purposes, meaning it incl udes all types of questions. This dataset is automatically annotated using machine learned classifier with five labels: (i) Numeric, (ii) En tity, (iii) Location, (iv) Person (v) Description (phrase). To ensure a representative sample for training and evaluation purposes, a care fully selected subset of 3,025 questions was chosen from the MS M ARCO dataset. This subset was specifically derived from the "LOC" annotated questions, which are relevant to the topic of location in th e context of GeoQA. The number of 3,025 questions was determine d to strike a balance between obtaining a sufficiently large dataset f or robust model training and the practical constraints of manually lab eling each question for topic classification. This sample size allows f or comprehensive analysis and evaluation of the proposed question classification (QC) module while considering the available resources and time constraints.

2.1.2 Question Labelling

In this experiment, the topics for question classification were ch osen based on the keywords and the type of information being asked in a sentence. However, it should be noted that the full version of th e 'LOC(Location)' assigned questions in the MS MARCO dataset i ncludes questions that are not necessarily related to geography. Th erefore, Non-Geographic labels were assigned to sentences that as k for information about body parts or a place in a fictional world (e. g., "Where is Kidney located?") ([Table 2–1]). The remaining place -related questions are classified into more specific topics that refle ct the nature of the questions.

[Table 2–1] Examples of Place relatedness for "LOC" annotated Questions from the MS MARCO Dataset

"LOC" annotated Questions from MS MARCO D ataset	Place-relate dness
where is calcium most commonly found in cells	Х
where do i type the apple id verification code	Х
where is scio ohio	0
where was the choice filmed	0

The extraction of the label, which represents the topic of each q

uestion, was based on careful analysis and consideration of the cont ent. The goal was to assign an accurate and informative topic that ca ptures the essence of the question. To achieve this, the keywords a nd contextual information within the sentences were thoroughly exa mined. By identifying the key themes and subject matter of the ques tions, appropriate topics were assigned to provide a clear indication of the topic being addressed. This process ensured that the topics a ccurately reflected the underlying subject of each question, enabling effective classification and subsequent analysis.

After carefully reviewing previous studies in both open-domain QA and geographic-domain QA, a set of fine-grained categories w as established to serve as the topics for accurately classifying place -related questions. The taxonomy used in open-domain QA, propos ed by Li and Roth (2002), provided a foundation for capturing a broa d range of topics related to various domains ([Table 2-2]). This ta xonomy encompassed categories such as abbreviation, entity, anima l, body, color, currency, and many others. Yet, there is no motivatio ns of how specific categories were constructed (Sundblad, 2007).

[Table 2-2] Taxonomy defined in Li and Roth (2002) with 6-coars e categories and a total of 50 finer-categories

Class	Definition	Class	Definition
ABBREVIATIO	abbreviation	HUMAN	human beings

Class	Definition	Class	Definition
N			
abb	abbreviation	group	a group or organizati on of persons
exp	expression abbreviated	ind	an individual
ENTITY	entities	title	title of a person
animal	animals	descriptio n	description of a pers on
body	organs of body	LOCATIO N	locations
color	colors	city	cities
creative	inventions, books and oth er creative pieces	country	countries
currency	currency names	mountain	mountains
dis.med.	diseases and medicine	other	other locations
event	events	state	states
food	food	NUMERIC	numeric values
instrument	musical instrument	code	postcodes or other c odes
lang	languages	country	number of sth.
letter	letters like a-z	date	dates
other	other entities	distance	linear measures
plant	plants	money	prices
product	products	order	ranks
religion	religions	other	other numbers
sport	sports	period	the lasting time of st h.
substance	elements and substances	percent	fractions
symbol	symbols and signs	speed	speed
technique	techniques and methods	temp	temperature
term	equivalent terms	size	size, area and volum e
vehicle	vehicles	weight	weight
word	words with a special property		
DESCRIPTION	description and abstract c on-cepts		
definition	definition of sth.		

Class	Definition	Class	Definition
description	description of sth.		
manner	manner of an action		
reason	reasons		

In the context of geographic QA, Hamzei et al. (2020) introduce d a schema that focused specifically on place-related questions ([T able 1-3]). Their semantic classifications included WH-word, place name, place type, object, quality, activity, situation and event, and s patial relationship. Building upon this foundation, Xu et al. (2020) fu rther extended the semantic encoding by incorporating additional ele ments such as yes/no question verb, toponym, date, entity, place qu ality, entity quality, and others ([Table 2-3]).

Semantic Ty	Part-of-sp	Code	Semantic Type	Part-of-sp	Code
ре	eech			eech	
where	WH-word	1	toponym	noun	n
what	WH-word	2	place type	noun	t
which	WH-word	3	date	noun	d
when	WH-word	4	entity	noun	е
how	WH-word	5	place quality	adjective	q
how+adj	WH-word	6	entity quality	adjective	р
why	WH-word	7	situation and e	verb	S
			vent		

[Table 2-3] Extended Semantic Encoding used in Xu et al. (2020)

yes/no quest	verb	8	activity	verb	а
ions					
			spatial relation	preposition	r
			ship		

In order to capture the diverse range of topics in place-related question classification, a comprehensive set of 42 fine-grained cate gories was identified for this study based on the analysis of each qu estion in the MS MARCO dataset. The selection of these categories was driven by the dataset's content, which predominantly encompas ses both daily life-related words such as "address," "capital," and "t erminal" (Xu et al., 2020), as well as specific geographic elements s uch as GPEs (geographic political entities) and habitats. By includin g these broader categories like GPEs and habitats alongside real-lif e inquiries, the topics used for classifying place-related questions c over a wide spectrum of user queries and ensure relevance to geogr aphic queries. This comprehensive approach enables the effective c ategorization of diverse place-related questions, ultimately enhanci ng the performance and functionality of the question-answering (Q A) system within the geographic domain.

[Table 2-4] Descriptions of Fine grained Topics used to Classify Pl ace-related Questions

Topic(s)	Description
Abbreviation	Questions that ask for abbreviated state name or other abbreviati
	on

Topic(s)	Description
Address	Questions that asks for 'address' of the place
Airlines	Questions that asks for airline destinations (ex. Where Does 'Airl
	ine Name' fly to?)
Airport	Questions that asks for location of the airport (ex. "Where is the
	nearest International Airport to NYC?")
Area Size	Questions that asks for the size of a place
Art/Music	Questions that are art/music related (ex. "Where is Mona Lisa?")
Association	Questions that asks for the location of the association
Building	Questions that asks for the location of certain building. (i.e., Rent,
	Mansion, Estate Complex, Medical Center, Store, Fort, Jail, Art
	Center, House, Coin-Machine, School, Resort/Hotel, Company, H
	ospital, Church, Meeting Location, Casino, Theatre, Notary, Bank,
	Restaurant, Stadium, etc.)
Climate	Questions that asks for weather/climate of a certain place (i.e., S
	unniest City, Coldest Place, Rain the most, Temperate, Cold weat
	her towns, Wettest Spot, etc)
Cost	Questions that are cost related (i.e., Expensive City to live in, Ex
	pensive Aiport, etc)
Crime	Questions that asks for crime rate of a certain place
Economic Geography	Questions that asks for Rust Belt, Fastest Growing Area etc.
Elevation	Questions that asks for elevation/highest point of a certain place
Entertainment	Questions that asks for a place of entertainment (i.e., Zoo, Fun C
	enter, Campgrounds, Cove, Disney, Swimming Pool, Snorkeling, e
	tc.)
Event	Questions that asks for certain event (i.e., Submarine Sink, Olym
	pic Flame Lit, Marriage, Suicide, Super Bowls, Parade, Race, Fest
	ival, Terrorist Attack, Tournament, Fair, Hurriane, Tornadoes, et
	c.)
Fashion	Questions that fashion related (ex. "Which city is called the mecc
	a of fashion?")
Film Location	Questions asking for place where certain movie/tv show was film
	ed

Topic(s)	Description
Geographic Feature	Questions that are related to geographic feature (i.e., Beach, Lak
	e, Inside Passage, Glacier, Falls, River, Sea, Forest, Landscape, V
	allet, Mammoth Cove, Volcano, Desert, Bull arm, Cliff, Desertifica
	tion, Cliffs, Pipeline, Resorvior, etc.)
Geology	Questions that asks for the location of certain geology (i.e., Natur
	al Bridge, Rock, Sinkhole, Minerals, Uplift, LimeStone, etc)
GPE	Questions that are related to Geo Political Entity (i.e., Country, S
	tate, County, District, City, Town, Island) (ex. "Largest City/Cont
	inent in the world")
Habitat	Questions that asks for where certain living things (i.e., animal/pl
	ant/fish) live, including biodiversity
History	Questions related to historical places (ex. Acient Greece live, Co
	ncentration Camp, Extermination Camp, War, Prince Henry Histor
	ical Movement, Colonization, Region Ruled by, Mayan Culture, Col
	ony,)
Infrastructure	Questions that asks for the location of certain infrastructure. (i.
	e., Subway Station, Street, Station, Parking Area, Port, Dock, Da
	m, Road, Canal, Trail(Hiking), Park, Natural Reserve, Memorial G
	rove, etc)
Landmark(Tourist Att	Questions that asks for certain landmark (ex. Golden Gate Bridg
ractions)	e) or asks for recommendation of the most romantic place, popul
	ar city, most photographed places
Language	Questions that asks for where certain language is spoken (ex. "W
	here is Russian Spoken in the world?")
Locators	Questions that asks for locators (i.e., Country Code, Area Code,
	Zip Code, Airport Code, Telephone Area Code, Time Zone, Subdu
	ction Zone, Hardiness Zone, Convergence Zone, Growing Zone, E
	arthquake Zone, Other Zone, Hemisphere, Prime Meridian, Long-
	Latitude, Postcode, Horizon, etc.)
Life Expectancy	Questions that asks for the statistics of the life expectancy of the
	certain location
Military Field	Questions that asks for the location of the military field (i.e., afb,
	corps, fort sully, Camp (military Camp), Air Force/Navy Base et
	c.)

Topic(s)	Description
Non-geographic	Questions that are non-geographic questions (ex. "Where is the
	kidney located?")
Origination/Productio	Questions that asks for where certain product was produced or a
n	sks for the location of origination (i.e., Made, Originate From, Fou
	nd, Made, Quakers Start, etc.)
Place of Birth/Raised	Questions asking for where well-known figure was born or raise
	d.
Place of Death	Questions asking for where well-known figure died
Political/Legal Fact	Questions that asks for political or legal fact (i.e., Democracy, Vo
	te, Marijuana Legalized, Prostitution Legal, States Performing Fa
	milial Searching, First Independent Nation, Repressive Regimes,
	Banned, etc.)
Population	Questions asking for population of a certain place
Residence	Questions asking for the settlement or residence of well-known f
	igure
Retirement	Questions asking for places to retire (ex. "Where is the best plac
	e to retire in?")
Sport Team	Questions asking for where certain sport team is located (ex. Te
	xas A&M aggies located, What state do the kansas city royals pla
	y baseball, san antonio spurs play? etc.)
State Flag	Questions asking for the states that has certain state flag
State Motto	Questions asking for the state motto of a certain place (ex. "Whic
	h state, north to the future?")
Tax	Questions that are tax-related of a place (i.e., Form 1095, State
	Tax, Income Tax, Tax Table, Tax Interest, etc.)
Travel	Questions that are travel related (ex. "Best stay to stay in amster
	dam?")
Virus	Questions asking for certain virus (ex. "Regions where Hepatitis i
	s Common?", "Where was polio endemic")

To maintain focus on the specific geographic aspects, questions that primarily sought the location of geographic entities (GPEs) suc h as countries, counties, cities, and districts were not further catego rized. This decision was made considering the extensive analysis an d classification of topics used to classify place-related questions in previous studies (see [Table 2-2] and [Table 2-3]). By employing this extensive range of detailed categories, the classification of plac e-related questions can be performed with higher levels of precisio n and granularity, leading to improved accuracy and customization of the question-answering (QA) system's responses to user queries within the geographic domain.

2.2 BERT for Question Classification

The QC module is designed to classify place-related questions i nto predefined topics that represent the main topic of the questions. After labeling the place-related questions with the corresponding main topic, the question classification process is performed.

2.2.1 Multi-Class Question Classification

In natural language processing (NLP), there are two types of qu estion classification tasks. Multi-label question classification involv es assigning multiple labels to a single question. In other words, eac h question can have multiple relevant classifications, and the goal is to predict all of them for a given question. For instance, consider the question "Where was Marilyn Monroe born?" The relevant labels co uld be "Celebrity", "Famous Figure", "Place of Birth", and so on. On t he other hand, multi-class question classification involves assigning only one label to each question. The objective is to predict the singl e relevant classification for a given question. For example, given the question "What is the longest river in Africa?" the relevant label wo uld be "river". In summary, the key distinction between multi-label question classification and multi-class question classification is the number of labels assigned to each question. Multi-label classificatio n involves multiple labels per question, whereas multi-class classifi cation involves only one label per question. The primary focus of thi s thesis is on multi-class QC, as the dataset used primarily featured one significant label for each question.

The proposed multi-class QC systems can be modelled with a s et of questions asked on the QA systems and N number of pre-defined labels (l) used to classify each question [Equation (2-1)].

$$Q \in \{l_1, l_2, l_3, \dots, \dots, l_N\}$$
(2-1)

2.2.2 Model Selection

For the question classification task in this study, the primary mo del selected was BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) (Devlin et al., 2019). BERT has demonstrated stron g performance on various natural language processing (NLP) tasks and achieved state-of-the-art results on benchmark datasets. The decision to choose BERT was based on several factors.

First, BERT is a pre-trained model that has learned contextuali zed word representations from a large amount of text data. Fine-tu ning BERT has been shown to be effective for adapting the model to specific tasks, especially when working with smaller datasets like t he randomly selected 3,025 questions from the MS MARCO dataset used in this study. Cortes et al. (2020) have highlighted the superio rity of fine-tuning models on smaller datasets compared to other qu estion classification methods for question answering systems.

Second, BERT is a transformer-based model, which allows it to capture long-range dependencies and contextual information effect ively. This capability is crucial for the question classification task, w here the meaning of a question can depend on its surrounding conte xt. Moreover, unlike the traditional text classification models, BERT is an end-to-end model that does not separate feature extraction a nd classification task for text classification.

The BERT-base model consists of an encoder comprising 12 T ransformer. Each transformer consists of 12 self-attention heads, a nd a hidden size of 768. When provided with a sequence input, BER T operates by producing a representation of the sequence. The sequ ence typically consists of one or two segments, with the first token always being [CLS], which contains a special classification embeddi ng. Additionally, another special token, [SEP], is utilized to separate segments within the sequence.



[Figure 2-2] Overall pre training and fine tuning procedures for BERT (Devlin et al., 2019). [CLS] is a special symbol added in front of every input example, and [SEP] is a special separator token

For text classification tasks, BERT utilizes the final hidden state h of the first token, [CLS], as the representation for the entire sequ 52 ence. A SoftMax classifier is incorporated at the apex of BERT to pr ognosticate the likelihood of a particular label c. The task-specific p arameter matrix W is utilized, and in the process of fine-tuning, all t he parameters from BERT, including W, are jointly optimized to max imize the log-probability of the correct label.

$$p(\hbar) = softmax(W\hbar)$$
(2-2)

To facilitate the fine-tuning of the pre-trained BERT model, th e Hugging Face Transformers library was employed, which provides an accessible interface for fine-tuning pre-trained models on vario us natural language processing (NLP) tasks. This library streamline d the implementation of the fine-tuning process and allowed for flex ibility in customizing the training procedure.

The choice of the "bert-base-uncased" checkpoint as the starti ng point for fine-tuning was based on several key characteristics th at made it highly suitable for the specific task at hand. Firstly, the pr e-trained BERT model, upon which the "bert-base-uncased" check point is based, has undergone extensive training on a large corpus o f text data. This training process enables the model to capture and e ncode rich contextual information from diverse language patterns an d structures. Furthermore, the "bert-base-uncased" checkpoint is c omposed of 12 transformer layers, each consisting of 768 hidden un its, and incorporates 12 attention heads. These architectural specifi cations contribute to the model's ability to effectively learn and repr esent complex relationships and dependencies within the input data. The multiple transformer layers facilitate the extraction of hierarchi cal features and capture long-range dependencies, while the attenti on heads allow the model to focus on different parts of the input seq uence simultaneously. The combination of these characteristics, incl uding the pre-training on a large text corpus, the multi-layered tra nsformer architecture, and the attention mechanism, makes the "ber t-base-uncased" checkpoint well-suited for fine-tuning on the spe cific task of question classification in the GeoQA system. By leverag ing these inherent strengths, the fine-tuned BERT model can effect ively learn and adapt to the nuances and patterns present in the plac e-related questions, ultimately enhancing the accuracy and perform ance of the question classification module.

To fine-tune the BERT model suited for the QC module, the Ad amW optimizer, a variant of the Adam optimizer that incorporates w eight decay, was employed. The hyperparameters of the optimizer w ere set as follows: a learning rate of 2e-5, epsilon of 1e-8, and wei ght decay of 0.01.

The fine-tuning process involved feeding the input data into the pre-trained BERT model and training it to predict the target variabl e, which pertained to question classification in this study. To prepar e the input data for the model, the BERT tokenizer was utilized, whi ch segmented the text into sub-words aligning with the BERT voca bulary. This tokenization process facilitated the model's ability to ha ndle out-of-vocabulary words or those necessitating sub-word rep resentation.

Subsequent to tokenization, the text was transformed into input features, encompassing input IDs, attention masks, and token type I Ds. The input IDs represented the sequence of token indices, attenti on masks denoted the tokens requiring attention, and token type IDs distinguished between different segments within the input sequenc e. These features played a crucial role in ensuring the proper functi oning of the BERT model.



[Figure 2-3] BERT input representation figure from Devlin et al. (2 019). The input embeddings are the sum of the token embeddings

Once the input features were prepared, they were fed into the B ERT model, and the model was trained to predict the target variable utilizing the cross-entropy loss function. During training, a batch si ze of 32 was employed, and the model underwent training for a total of 3 epochs. The selection of the number of epochs aimed to strike a balance between model performance and computational resources, and it was determined through an iterative experimentation proces s.

Throughout the training process, the performance of the model was monitored on a validation set, which constituted a randomly sele cted 10% portion of the training data. Early stopping based on the va lidation loss was implemented to prevent overfitting of the model. T his approach facilitated the identification of the optimal model check point exhibiting the most favorable performance on the validation se In summary, the methodology encompassed the fine-tuning of a pre-trained BERT model employing established transformer fine-t uning procedures. The Hugging Face Transformers library and the P yTorch framework were utilized to facilitate the fine-tuning proces s. By adapting the model to the specific task through training on task -specific data, the aim was to leverage the contextual understandin g of the pre-trained BERT model and achieve question classification task. The evaluation of the model's performance was conducted on a held-out test set, yielding insights into its effectiveness.

2.3 Evaluation Methodology

The evaluation of the fine-tuned BERT model's performance in question classification is a critical step to determine its capability in accurately categorizing questions. In this study, accuracy was select ed as the primary evaluation metric for the model. Accuracy measur es the proportion of questions that are correctly classified out of the total number of questions in the test set. It considers the True Posi tives (TP), which represent the number of correctly predicted positi ve instances (i.e., instances that are actually positive and are predic ted as positive), as well as the True Negatives (TN), which denote t he number of correctly predicted negative instances (i.e., instances that are actually negative and are predicted as negative). On the oth er hand, False Positives (FP) represent the number of negative inst ances incorrectly predicted as positive (i.e., instances that are actual lly negative but are predicted as positive), and False Negatives (FN) represent the number of positive instances incorrectly predicted as negative (i.e., instances that are actually positive but are predicted as negative).

$$Accuracy (ACC) = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$
(2-
3)

The suitability of accuracy as a metric for the question classific ation task is demonstrated by Equation (2-3), as the objective is to maximize the correct classification of questions across all categorie s. The model's overall performance in terms of correctly classifying questions, considering both positive and negative instances, can be assessed by calculating the accuracy.

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{(TP + FP)} \tag{2-4}$$

Precision measures the accuracy of positive predictions made b y the model. It quantifies the proportion of correctly predicted positi ve instances out of all instances predicted as positive.

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{(TP + FN)}$$
(2-5)

Recall (also known as Sensitivity or True Positive Rate) measur es the ability of the model to identify positive instances correctly. It represents the proportion of true positive instances identified by th e model out of all actual positive instances.

The F1 Score, as shown in Equation (2-6), is a metric that com bines precision and recall into a single value. It is the harmonic mea n of precision and recall, providing a balanced evaluation of the mod el's performance. The F1 Score considers both precision and recall, making it a suitable metric for tasks where false positives and false negatives have different implications. It balances the trade-off betw een precision and recall, offering a comprehensive evaluation of the model's performance.

$$F - 1 Score = 2 \cdot \frac{Precision \cdot Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$
(2-
6)

The dataset was split into training, validation, and test sets usin g a stratified approach to ensure the maintenance of the distribution of categories in the original dataset across the splits. Eighty percent of the dataset was used for training, 10% for validation, and 10% fo r testing. The test set was kept separate and used only once to asse ss the final performance of the model. During training, the training lo ss, validation loss, f-1 score, and accuracy on the validation set wer e monitored to determine the stopping point of the training and to av oid overfitting. The model with the highest accuracy on the validatio n set was selected as the final model.

3. Experiment and Result

3.1 Experiment Environment

The experiment described in this thesis was conducted on a sys tem with an Intell CoreTM i9-7900X CPU @ 3.30GHz and 64.0 GB m emory, running the Windows 10 Education 64 Bit operating system. The experiment was performed in a controlled environment to ensur e the consistency and accuracy of the results. To ensure the integrit y of the results, the computer was optimized for performance by dis abling unnecessary background processes and services. The system was also kept up to date with the latest updates and patches. The e xperiment was conducted using Python 3.8.16, Torch 1.9.0, and Tra nsformers 4.28.1 to implement the full process of question classifica tion for place-related questions.
3.2 Question Labelling Result

Upon manually labeling randomly extracted place-related questi ons, it was evident that an unbalanced distribution existed among th e topic labels. This observation can be attributed to the dominance o f inquiries focused on the location of geopolitical entities (GPEs), in cluding countries, states, counties, districts, cities, towns, and island s. In order to gain comprehensive insights into the various topics en compassing place-related questions, a meticulous labeling procedur e was conducted to assign each question to one of the 42 fine-grain ed categories. This categorization allowed for a more nuanced analy sis and understanding of the different topics. The distribution of the number of questions classified under each topic is presented in [Tab le 3-1] and [Figure 3-1].

Topic	Count	Торіс	Count
GPE	1,275	Sport Team	11
non-geographic	418	Climate	11
Building	258	Tax	7
Geographic feature	141	Virus	6
Origination/Production	129	Abbreviation	6
Habitat	86	Association	5
Locators	86	Economic Geography	4
Film Location	65	Cost	4
Place of Birth/Raised	61	Crime	4

[Table 3–1] Number of Questions Classified into Each Topic

Topic	Count	Торіс	Count
Airport	61	Energy	2
History	60	Elevation	2
Infrastructure	40	Retirement	2
Landmark (tourist attraction	39	Population	2
S)			
Political/Legal fact	39	Airlines	2
Entertainment	34	Art/Music	2
Residence	31	Language	1
Geology	26	Life Expectancy	1
Event	26	Fashion	1
Address	23	Area Size	1
Travel	20	State Motto	1
Military Field	16	State Flag	1
Place of Death	15		



[Figure 3–1] Distribution of the Labelled Dataset

The distribution of question labels throughout 42 different topic s was examined, revealing that questions frequently inquire about th e location of certain GPEs (geo-political entities), buildings, and ge ographic features. Additionally, queries in the MS MARCO dataset o ften pertain to the origin or production location of specific living thin gs or products, as well as the habitats of various organisms. Further more, locators (such as country codes, area codes, airport codes, te lephone area codes, time zones, etc.), film locations (where certain movies or shows were filmed), birthplaces of well-known figures (e. g., historical figures, celebrities), locations of airports, and sites of h istorical events are frequently sought by users.

To ensure an adequate number of training examples for each cla ssification topic, a filtering process was implemented. Topics with le ss than 60 assigned questions were excluded from the analysis to e nsure a reasonable distribution of data and to avoid potential biases caused by limited instances for certain topics. Although the specific threshold of 60 was chosen based on practical considerations, such as balancing dataset size and maintaining enough instances for reliab le classification, it is worth noting that no statistically significant rati onale or predefined criterion exists for this specific threshold select ion. Furthermore, it was decided to proceed with the question classi fication, taking into account the practical considerations of dataset si ze and maintaining enough instances for reliable classification despit e the presence of unbalanced data. By applying this filtering proces s, each remaining topic was assigned a unique numeric value, enabli ng further analysis and classification ([Table 3-2]).

Topic	Topic No.	Question Count
GPE	0	1275
Non-geographic	2	418
Building	7	257
Geographic Feature	3	141
Origination/Production	6	129
Habitat	4	86
Locators	9	86
Film Location	5	65
Place of Birth/Raised	8	61
Airport	1	60

[Table 3-2] Topics that have been assigned to more than 60 questi ons in each category

3.3 Evaluation

The proposed multi-class question classification model was eva luated on the MS MARCO dataset, which includes 10 topics (Airport, Building, Film Location, GPE, Geographic Feature, Habitat, Locator s, Non-geographic, Origination/Production, Place of Birth/Raised) r elated to keywords of place-related questions that represent the m ain topic focus.

It is important to highlight that a recent study conducted by Yan g et al. (2023) examined question classification for the MS MARCO place-related question dataset using an unsupervised learning appr oach with a T-5 model. In contrast, this study adopts a supervised l earning approach by fine-tuning the BERT model.

This research makes a significant contribution to the field of nat ural language processing and showcases the ability of machine learn ing models to accurately classify questions according to their main t opic, based on their content.

The learning curve was monitored during the validation step, sp anning 60 epochs, and employing a learning rate of 1e-5. A validati on accuracy of 87.9% was achieved by the proposed model on epoch 29, indicating the model's capacity to accurately classify questions based on their content and structure. The validation accuracy per ep och is illustrated in [Figure 3-2], revealing the model's commendab le performance.



[Figure 3-2] Validation Accuracy over 60 epochs

To assess the model's performance in greater detail, accuracy p er class was checked for both the validation and test dataset ([Tabl e 3-4]). Furthermore, confusion matrix was generated for both vali dation and test result ([Figure 3-3]). The confusion matrix provide s insights into the model's ability to correctly classify each topic and identifies instances of confusion between similar topics. Overall, th e confusion matrix showcases the model's competence in accurately categorizing questions based on their content.

Topic No.	Topic Label	Validation Datas	Test Dataset
		et	
0	GPE	193/204	244/255 (95.
		(94.61%.)	69%)
1	Airport	9/9	12/12
		(100%)	(100%)
2	Non-geographic	52/67	64/84
		(77.61%)	(76.19%)
3	Geographic Feature	19/23	17/28
		(82.61%)	(60.71%)
4	Habitat	11/14	13/17
		(78.57%)	(76.47%)
5	Film Location	9/10	13/13
		(90%)	(100%)
6	Origination/Production	15/21	20/26
		(71.43%)	(76.92%)
7	Building	32/41	37/52
		(78.05%)	(71.15%)
8	Place of Birth/Raised	10/10	12/12
		(100%)	(100%)
9	Locators	11/14	15/17
		(78.57%)	(88.24%)

[Table 3-3] Accuracy per Topic on Validation and Test Dataset



(a) Confusion Matrix on Validation Dataset



(b) Confusion Matrix on Test Dataset

[Figure 3-3] Confusion Matrix on Both Validation and Test Dataset

Based on the observation of the confusion matrix, there were a significant number of topics that were misclassified as Topic No. 0 (GPE), Topic No. 2 (Non-Geographic), and Topic No. 7 (Building). This can be attributed to the limitations of the question classification dataset used in this experiment, where the quantities of examples f or each topic were unevenly distributed. Uneven distribution of exa mples across topics can pose challenges for the model in accurately classifying questions. When certain topics have a relatively smaller number of examples compared to others, the model may struggle to

learn and generalize patterns specific to those topics. Addressing th e issue of uneven data distribution requires collecting or augmenting more examples for underrepresented topics. By ensuring a more ba lanced dataset, the model can be trained to better handle the challen ges associated with misclassifications caused by imbalanced data dis tribution.

Overall, the question classification task for place-related questi ons achieved a high accuracy rate, with 87.9% on the validation set and 86.6% on the test set. However, the evaluation of the question c lassification results revealed instances of mislabeling, leading to ina ccuracies in the predicted labels. These instances provide valuable i nsights into the factors contributing to mislabeling.

One prominent factor is the presence of multi-label situations, where a question encompasses multiple distinct topics. For example, in the text "what county is California doesn't run jails," the focus on searching for jails initially led to its label as "Building." However, th e inclusion of the word "California" caused the model to misclassify i t as "GPE." This example demonstrates the challenge of assigning a single label when multiple topics are involved, as the model struggle s to differentiate between the emphasis on jails (Building) and the r eference to California (GPE).

Another factor is the difficulty in distinguishing between topics. In the text "what countries does the Mediterranean consist of," the o riginal label assigned was "Geographic Feature," but it was misclassi fied as "GPE." This case highlights the model's struggle in accuratel y differentiating between the primary topic of interest, the "Mediterr anean" as a geographic feature, and the mention of "countries" as ge opolitical entities. The model's inability to discern between these co ncepts results in incorrect predictions.

Additionally, challenges arise in discerning geographic referenc es from non-geographic ones. For instance, the text "greenwich jap an limited" was initially labeled as "Non-geographic" but misclassifi ed as "GPE." This example showcases the model's difficulty in distin guishing between geographic and non-geographic references, likely due to the presence of the location-related term "Japan."

Furthermore, the model's reliance on specific keywords present s another challenge to accurate classification. In the text "where can I get SAT past papers," the initial label assigned was "Non-geograp hic," but it was inaccurately predicted as "Building." This misclassifi cation can be attributed to the model's association of the phrase "wh ere can I get papers" with physical structures, leading to an incorrec t classification.

Examining these specific examples reveals that factors such as multi-label situations, difficulties in distinguishing between topics, c hallenges in discerning geographic references, and reliance on speci fic keywords have contributed to instances of mislabeling. Improvin g the model's sensitivity to context, enhancing differentiation betwe en topics, developing a better understanding of geographic referenc es, and reducing over-reliance on specific keywords are essential s teps towards enhancing the accuracy and reliability of the question c lassification model for place-related questions.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, this thesis has undertaken comprehensive researc h on the task of question classification for Geographic Question Ans wering (GeoQA) systems, with the primary objective of enhancing t heir performance. Previous research in the field of GeoQA mainly pe rformed QC for the intention to analyze the place-related question d atasets or to construct a query template for the IR process. Yet, this thesis introduces a novel approach by performing QC to classify pla ce-related questions based on their main topics, aiming to further e nhance the GeoQA system by enabling accurate navigation for answ ers from external resources. Thus, a QC module specifically designe d for place-related questions was constructed to bridge the existing gap in question classification for GeoQA systems.

To achieve this goal, a dataset consisting of 3,025 randomly sel ected place-related questions from the MS MARCO dataset with "L OC" annotations was manually labeled among 42 predefined topics. S ubsequently, a BERT-based model was fine-tuned using this labele d dataset to develop a multi-class question classification module. T he decision to employ multi-class classification instead of multi-lab el classification was based on the observation during the manual lab eling procedure. It was found that the majority of the place-related questions had a single dominant topic, rather than multiple topics. In other words, most questions could be assigned to a primary categor y that represented their main focus. Consequently, it was determine d that conducting multi-class classification would be appropriate for the question classification task. Multi-class classification involves assigning a single, exclusive label from a predefined set of categorie s to each instance. Given that most questions had a clear primary to

pic, this approach was well-suited to capture the main focus of each question and provide a single, specific label.

By adopting multi-class classification, the model could effective ly categorize each question into one of the predefined topics. This a pproach simplified the classification process and provided a straightf orward categorization for each instance, reflecting the dominant topi c of the respective questions. The utilization of BERT, a state-of-t he-art language model, allowed for a more nuanced understanding o f the context and semantics of place-related questions. The effectiv eness of the model in accurately classifying place-related questions into relevant topic was demonstrated. The evaluation results reveal ed performance by achieving accuracy rates of 87.9% on the validati on set and 86.6% on the test set. These outcomes highlight the mod el's capability to accurately classify place-related questions within t he specific context of GeoQA systems.

The proposed multi-class question classification model makes a notable contribution to the field of natural language processing by o ffering a tailored approach specifically designed for the place-relate d questions acquired from the MS MARCO dataset. This model serv es as a foundational component for future improvements in the over all GeoQA system. By accurately classifying place-related question s into relevant topics, the question classification (QC) module enabl es more precise and targeted retrieval of information. This advance ment in question classification has the potential to enhance the over all performance and effectiveness of GeoQA systems, thereby impro ving the quality of answers provided to users in their place-related inquiries. By leveraging the predefined topic classification assigned to each question during the information retrieval (IR) process, the s ystem can effectively navigate and retrieve relevant information, en suring more accurate and meaningful responses.

While the developed model has demonstrated promising results, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of this research. First ly, the generalizability of the model to other datasets or real-world applications may be limited, as it was primarily evaluated on the MS MARCO dataset. Future research should explore the performance of the model on diverse datasets and real-world scenarios to assess i ts generalizability and robustness.

Additionally, the predefined topic categories used for place-rela ted questions in this study may not cover all possible topics and nua nces, potentially limiting the model's ability to classify questions acc urately in certain situations. Future studies should consider expandi ng the set of topic categories or exploring alternative approaches, s uch as incorporating multi-label classification, to capture the compl exity and variety of topics associated with place-related questions.

To further enhance the accuracy and reliability of question class ification models for place-related questions and improve the perfor mance of GeoQA systems, it is recommended that future research s hould focus on the accumulation of more datasets containing multi-1 abel place-related questions. This would facilitate the exploration o f multi-label classification approaches, enabling a more comprehens ive analysis of the multiple topics associated with each question. By addressing these limitations and advancing the research in question classification for place-related questions, contributions can be made towards the development of more accurate and efficient GeoQA sys tems, wherein users are provided with precise and relevant answers to their place-related inquiries.

2. Appendix

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
what county is jefferson city mo located in	GPE	GPE	1
where does sugar bear live	Habitat	Habitat	1
where was sinner filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1
what county is monahans tx in	GPE	GPE	1
where is are the hamptons locate d	GPE	GPE	1
what county city of jacksonville, fl	GPE	GPE	1
where is osage county	GPE	GPE	1
where is great falls located	GPE	GPE	1
what county is whittier ca in?	GPE	GPE	1
where is the information about th e Valkyrie plot coming from	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is california doesn't run jails	GPE	Building	0
where is charleston sc historic d istrict	GPE	GPE	1
where is darnu horn of oryx loca ted	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is brownville maine in	GPE	GPE	1
what country is jerusalem	GPE	GPE	1
where is noxie, ok	GPE	GPE	1
what countries does the mediterr anean consist of	GPE	Geographic Feature	0
where is the nucleus	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
giant panda is situated in which c ountries	Habitat	Habitat	1
greenwich japan limited	GPE	Non-geographic	0
where can i get sat past papers	Building	Non-geographic	0
what building do the canadians pl ay in	Building	Building	1
what county dansville ny	GPE	GPE	1
what places can you send an ema il gift card from	Building	Non-geographic	0

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
where is bunker hill in relation to railroad hq.fallout	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is mcdou	GPE	GPE	1
whats the country code for Ghan a	Locators	Locators	1
what zone is iowa	Locators	Locators	1
what does it cost to fax at a fede x location	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what airport do you use for banf f, canada	Airport	Airport	1
where is weaver iowa	GPE	GPE	1
where is ashford university	Building	Building	1
where is the best place to stay if you want to see the amalfi Geog raphic Feature	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
where is cutaneous tissue	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
havasu falls, arizona, usa	GPE	Geographic Feature	0
rice where does it come from	Non-geographic	Origination/Product ion	0
what county is gladwin mi	GPE	GPE	1
where are the aurora teagarden movies filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1
where is el foster city	GPE	GPE	1
where is silsbee, tx	GPE	GPE	1
where is lexington square lowes foods	Building	Building	1
where is al pacino from	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
what county is kecksburg pa in	GPE	GPE	1
where is the pork loin end roast l ocated on the hog	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where are warn winches made	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
thorp wisconsin is in what count y	GPE	GPE	1
where is dill found	Non-geographic	Habitat	0
where is vicarstown cork	GPE	GPE	1
where on the earth is the most a mount of biodiversity	Non-geographic	Habitat	0

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
where are equilibrium receptors located quizlet	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
which dialing area is 0170	Locators	Locators	1
where do stones come from	Non-geographic	Origination/Product ion	0
where is williamston county sc?	GPE	GPE	1
in what county is chanhassen, m n?	GPE	GPE	1
what time zone is michigan in.	Locators	Locators	1
where does fertilization usually o ccur quizlet	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is alpine ny in	GPE	GPE	1
where is ione washington	GPE	GPE	1
where is SALMONELLA TYPHI usually found	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where are gogo squeeze apple m ade	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
what county is litchfield arizona?	GPE	GPE	1
where does honky come from?	Origination/Product ion	Non-geographic	0
what county is gordonville, texas in?	GPE	GPE	1
where is hartford wisconsin	GPE	GPE	1
what is the biggest state in the w orld?	GPE	GPE	1
where is curry alabama	GPE	GPE	1
where do peacocks live	Habitat	Habitat	1
what area code is 02 6393	Locators	Locators	1
what county is the city of dallas i n	GPE	GPE	1
what county is mt. holly springs a	GPE	GPE	1
palace Resort/Hotels jamaica	Building	Building	1
where is clinton ms.	GPE	GPE	1
where can i finance a single wide mobile home	Building	Non-geographic	0
vacation rentals in maui	GPE	Building	0
where is helen ga	GPE	GPE	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
where is cameroon located	GPE	GPE	1
what county is ness city in	GPE	GPE	1
where is the pound key	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
town of miller place	GPE	GPE	1
what county is wilmington nc	GPE	GPE	1
what county is savannah,ga in	GPE	GPE	1
where is hartford city indiana loc ated	GPE	GPE	1
what county is falls church va in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is murfreesboro ar i n	GPE	GPE	1
what county is hemet ca	GPE	GPE	1
what county is elizabeth iowa in	GPE	GPE	1
where is carlisle ar?	GPE	GPE	1
what county is dundee michigan i n	GPE	GPE	1
where was gilbert gil raised	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
what county is mount vernon mo	GPE	Geographic Feature	0
what state is the granite state	GPE	GPE	1
where is folded mountain brewer y	Building	Building	1
what county is richton, ms	GPE	GPE	1
what is the airport code for corn wall	Locators	Locators	1
what township is la grange il	GPE	GPE	1
what is the closest airport to he met ca	Airport	Airport	1
hotels in tybee island beach	Geographic Feature	Building	0
where is creede colorado located	GPE	GPE	1
what county is sandy hook ky in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is franklin massachu setts	GPE	GPE	1
what county am i in addison, tx	GPE	GPE	1
where does the drain tube attach to a dishwasher	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
where does most of the weather occur	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
ct600 where do I put business lo ans	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what country is barbados in	GPE	GPE	1
where are the kenyon heights	GPE	Building	0
where are cinnamon buns from	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where is erie pennsylvania	GPE	GPE	1
where is kiehl's products in new york?	Building	Building	1
where are stihl products manufa ctured	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
what's the largest city in the wor ld?	GPE	GPE	1
where is mt elbert in colorado	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
what county is amsterdam ny in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is aspermont, tx	GPE	GPE	1
colorado theater massacre how d id it happen	Non-geographic	Building	0
where is fenway park in boston	Geographic Feature	Building	0
where is ravenna nebraska	GPE	GPE	1
where does cork come from	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is royal oak, mi	GPE	GPE	1
what city is callahan county in	GPE	GPE	1
where was chuck noland from	Place of Birth/Raise d	Non-geographic	0
where is fermaca	Building	Building	1
where would be best place to fis h for muskellunge	Habitat	Habitat	1
where was the abyss filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1
where is bernie sanders from	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
where do the detroit pistons play	Non-geographic	Building	0
which is small the smallest hous	Building	Building	1
what county is kalispell mt in?	GPE	GPE	1

l est Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
where was i am malala born	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
where is leadville mt	GPE	GPE	1
where are rapid log data files loc ated	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what sass serves cgh hospital	Building	Non-geographic	0
where does the name tallant orig inate	Origination/Product ion	Non-geographic	0
where is dursley	GPE	GPE	1
where is the medallion in archon s forge?	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is conway arkansas	GPE	GPE	1
where in the Bible does it talk ab out things done in secret	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is dayton nevada	GPE	GPE	1
where should a tie fall	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is castle rock mn	GPE	GPE	1
where is the sabaru made	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where is willard bay located	GPE	Geographic Feature	0
what time zone is coralville, ia	Locators	Locators	1
where to catch sf ferry	Building	Building	1
where is bull arm located in nl	Non-geographic	Geographic Feature	0
digital antenna location	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is bardstown	GPE	GPE	1
where is boonton nj	GPE	GPE	1
hotel rentals in maine	Building	Building	1
what county is palatine il in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is brightwood orego n	GPE	GPE	1
where is tecumseh ne	GPE	GPE	1
where is mittagong rsl	Non-geographic	Building	0
what county is crawfordville fl	GPE	GPE	1
where is culiacan mexico located	GPE	GPE	1
where is peru located	GPE	GPE	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
what county is pelham nh	GPE	GPE	1
where is omsk?	GPE	GPE	1
where is aiken	GPE	GPE	1
where is washington located in t he america	GPE	GPE	1
location of the phalanges bones	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is scunthorpe on the map	GPE	GPE	1
where is the chez republic	GPE	GPE	1
where was the stemware marked with a z in a square made	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
most holy trinity parish, detroit	GPE	Building	0
where does the surname hatton c ome from	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is greencastle pa	GPE	GPE	1
where is newport nc google eart h	GPE	GPE	1
where is glucose stored	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is fruitvale in	GPE	GPE	1
where are the deadmines in wow	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is the benton county dete ntion center	Building	Building	1
what county is morrisonville wi i	GPE	GPE	1
where are great white found	Habitat	Habitat	1
where did grits originate from	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where to fly into anaheim	Airport	Airport	1
where is chesterfield michigan	GPE	GPE	1
where is altuna in the united stat es	GPE	GPE	1
where does ozark leave off	Geographic Feature	Non-geographic	0
where is buhl?	GPE	GPE	1
which city is closer the thar dese rt- lahore	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
where is sullivan, wi	GPE	GPE	1
where can syphilis sores be loca ted	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
where is atchison ks located	GPE	GPE	1
what is dfw airport	Airport	Airport	1
what region is us	GPE	GPE	1
what county is kilrush located	GPE	GPE	1
where are rainforests location	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
what is the nearest airport to pas adena	Airport	Airport	1
where was barcodes invented at	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
state of oregon hours required to maintain rn license	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where do you see haploid and di ploid cells	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is ocala	GPE	GPE	1
where is the scottish borders	GPE	GPE	1
where is longhorn steakhouse	Origination/Product ion	Building	0
where are traxxas products mad e	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
washingtonville what is the count	GPE	GPE	1
where in the body does protein d igestion begin	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
columbia ms in what county	GPE	GPE	1
where is telephone area code 71 6	Locators	Locators	1
what district is mt vernon locate d	GPE	GPE	1
what county is orange park, fl in?	GPE	GPE	1
what region is sanford nc consid ered in nc	GPE	GPE	1
where is the forgotten river	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
where to find saguaro cactus tru nks	Habitat	Habitat	1
what city is the himalayas in	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
what county is rice, va	GPE	GPE	1
where is ooltewah tennessee	GPE	GPE	1
where can petroleum be found	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
where are the schedules saved i n easy worship 6	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is bashur air field	Airport	Airport	1
where is the oxford institute for energy studies based	Building	Building	1
where does red eyed tree frog li ve	Habitat	Habitat	1
what county in aztec nm in	GPE	GPE	1
which miami beach pharmacist in vented the first suntan cream	Building	Non-geographic	0
what county is delton mi	GPE	GPE	1
where is greek peak ny	GPE	Geographic Feature	0
where is columbus montana locat ed	GPE	GPE	1
where is rolling hills estates ca v s san jose	GPE	GPE	1
where is rock river in iowa	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
what county is bladensburg oh	GPE	GPE	1
where is nickelback from	Origination/Product ion	GPE	0
where is gibtown	GPE	GPE	1
where is charles hill city map	GPE	GPE	1
where is 01268 postcode	Locators	Locators	1
where did denmark get education	Building	Building	1
where to find dividends rate on financial statements	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is tobago	GPE	GPE	1
where does angina radiate	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where does the last name sabo c ome from	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what district is the superdome in	Building	Building	1
where does sugar grow in austra lia	Origination/Product ion	Habitat	0
where is the grand canyon locate d latitude and longitude	Locators	Locators	1
where were bananas first domes ticated	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
what county is caseyville il in	GPE	GPE	1

Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
where is watershed in mississipp i	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
where is albion prison	Building	Building	1
where is cayman islands	GPE	GPE	1
what airport is kul	Airport	Airport	1
where was kartini born	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
where is los angeles	GPE	GPE	1
where is centennial center	Building	Building	1
where can i go to get my body fa t precentage checked in oceansid e ca	Building	Building	1
where is morgantown	GPE	GPE	1
where can the goblin shark be fo und	Habitat	Habitat	1
what county is thomson ga locate d	GPE	GPE	1
where are salicylates absorbed	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
penn state pattee library hours	Building	Building	1
what is flr airport	Airport	Airport	1
where is the xfinity theater?	Building	Building	1
where do you mail form 941 qua rterly report	Non-geographic	Building	0
what county is paulden az in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is idaho falls, idaho	GPE	GPE	1
wow where is the timewalking v endor	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is the control center for r espiration located	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where do they film broadchurch	Film Location	Film Location	1
which ocean zone is the biggest	Locators	Locators	1
where is strange oklahoma	GPE	GPE	1
what county is medford, mass	GPE	GPE	1
what maryland county is andrew s afb located	GPE	GPE	1
where is the acl?	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1

lest Result				
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy	
what part of florida is newport b each	GPE	Geographic Feature	0	
where is paulsboro new jersey	GPE	GPE	1	
where is trotwood ohio	GPE	GPE	1	
what county is san pablo ca	GPE	GPE	1	
what county is doral, fl	GPE	GPE	1	
what county is johns island sc	GPE	GPE	1	
where is fume on the map	GPE	Non-geographic	0	
what county is wolfville ns	GPE	GPE	1	
where did american bandstand or	Origination/Product	Origination/Product	1	
iginate	ion	ion		
where is the fuel tank pressure s	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1	
what time zone is memphis tenne	Locators	Locators	1	
ssee				
where is independence kentucky on the kentucky map?	GPE	GPE	1	
what county is castlewood va loc	GPE	GPE	1	
ated in				
where is the deepest ocean	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1	
what province of brazil is brasilia in?	GPE	GPE	1	
where is chianti found	Non-geographic	Origination/Product	0	
where is coopers creek track tho mson river at	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1	
where was the caton ave pit beef stand	Building	Building	1	
where is alexandria alabama loca ted	GPE	GPE	1	
where is lacewood drive, halifax	GPE	GPE	1	
where can i buy crown magnolia paint	Building	Building	1	
what county is riverside ca in	GPE	GPE	1	
where is the us alamo	GPE	GPE	1	
what county is kennard tx in	GPE	GPE	1	
where is bard college located	Building	Building	1	
what county is johnson city tx	GPE	GPE	1	

Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
where is nasa's main engineering location?	Building	Building	1
enumclaw is in what county wa	GPE	GPE	1
where is baumholder germany lo cated	GPE	GPE	1
county where wild horse ranch is	GPE	GPE	1
where is internet explorer favori tes bar stored	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
which county is daresbury warri ngton in	GPE	GPE	1
where to find tapestries	Origination/Product ion	Building	0
what town is near windham main e	GPE	GPE	1
what bases with adenine in dna	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where was goonies filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1
where is dr. nowzaradan from	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
what county is ottumwa iowa in?	GPE	GPE	1
what county is anthon, iowa in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is spring valley il in	GPE	GPE	1
what continent is madagascar in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is tunica ms located in	GPE	GPE	1
where do minks live	Habitat	Habitat	1
des moines what co	GPE	GPE	1
where do the goths come from	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where is tonga	GPE	GPE	1
in what county is pontiac, mi	GPE	GPE	1
what county is springfield garden s	GPE	GPE	1
where is leonard cohen from nati onality	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
what county is winslow nj in	GPE	GPE	1
where is cricklewood	GPE	GPE	1
where is texas a&m university	Building	Building	1

l est Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
seafood buffet in colonial william sburg	Building	Building	1
where, - is nome texas, - locat ed ? -	GPE	GPE	1
where was robert m green born	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
where is solvang ca	GPE	GPE	1
where is los santos	GPE	GPE	1
where is the pku gene located	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
is samoa a country	GPE	GPE	1
which airport code is ewr	Airport	Locators	0
county is iowa is unionville	GPE	GPE	1
where is bullnose tile used	Origination/Product ion	Non-geographic	0
jackson is what county in tn	GPE	GPE	1
where was gossip girl filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1
what county is harrison township indiana in	GPE	GPE	1
where is claptrap in salt flats	Geographic Feature	Non-geographic	0
where is felandaris in dragon age inquisition	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is loomis california	GPE	GPE	1
what county is cedar point in	GPE	GPE	1
biggest treasure ever found	Geographic Feature	Non-geographic	0
where is willits ca	GPE	GPE	1
where else can you use a victori a secret credit card at	Building	Building	1
where is columbia city indiana	GPE	GPE	1
where are chiggers found	Habitat	Habitat	1
where is your right flank located	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what is the deepest place in the ocean called	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
what county is bristol indiana in	GPE	GPE	1
where is santa ana	GPE	GPE	1
where is the cochlear duct	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is happy camp in	GPE	GPE	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
where is thunder hole in maine	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
where does fort name originated from	Non-geographic	Origination/Product ion	0
where is bgf airport	Airport	Airport	1
what beaches are near san jose c alifornia airport	Airport	Geographic Feature	0
where is brain sand found	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is bayfield co in	GPE	GPE	1
where is soil moisture found?	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county hartwell, ga in	GPE	GPE	1
where is mandarin spoken outsid e of china	Origination/Product ion	Non-geographic	0
where is the sun above the earth during solstice	Non-geographic	Locators	0
what area do jaguars live	Habitat	Habitat	1
where is danscomp located	GPE	Building	0
what county is herndon va	GPE	GPE	1
where is acuna mexico	GPE	GPE	1
where is beirut lebanon	GPE	GPE	1
what county is vega tx in	GPE	GPE	1
where is east asian	GPE	GPE	1
what county is snow hill md	GPE	GPE	1
where are particles with virtually no mass found in the atom	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what town is meteora in greece	GPE	GPE	1
which countries gems come from	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where is my colon located in my body	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is state center, iowa in	GPE	GPE	1
in what county is leland, nc locat ed?	GPE	GPE	1
where is the bacterial cell's dna f ound	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
which ocean is dendy street beac h	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
which belongs in the domain euk arya	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is gironde in france	GPE	GPE	1
where is the snaefellsnes penins ula	GPE	Geographic Feature	0
where do the ukranian people co me from	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where did b boying originate fro m	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where is preproinsulin cleaved	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is girona airport in spain	Airport	Airport	1
where is casuarina	GPE	GPE	1
what county is raleigh, nc	GPE	GPE	1
where is cesare borgia family fro m	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where does a thesis statement g o in mla format	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where do most metamorphic roc ks form	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
what casino is in elgin illinois	Building	Building	1
where is dunstable, ma located	GPE	GPE	1
what county includes edgerton, k	GPE	GPE	1
where is asheboro, nc	GPE	GPE	1
what county is carlisle ky in	GPE	GPE	1
where is the gist settlement	GPE	GPE	1
what county is garden city li in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is flushing new york located	GPE	GPE	1
where is wtae broadcast station	Building	Building	1
where is the pacific ocean locate d on the ap	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
where is the picketwire	Building	Geographic Feature	0
what is the flag 4 honduras	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what town is near banff canada	GPE	GPE	1
where was basf founded	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
where is cathedral quarter belfas t	GPE	Building	0
what county is germany valley w v in	GPE	GPE	1
where is the robot used	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
which states in the u. a produce watermelons	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where is prattsville, ny	GPE	GPE	1
what county is arden nc	GPE	GPE	1
where to find the dinka	Building	Non-geographic	0
where is asl originate from	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where is coca cola located	Building	Building	1
where can australians go to find i nfos about alcohol and staying sa fe	Building	Building	1
where was fences filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1
where are vitamins found	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is cranbourne	GPE	GPE	1
is canaan columbia county	GPE	GPE	1
what county is iowa falls iowa in?	GPE	GPE	1
where is los alamos	GPE	GPE	1
what us state is farthest east	GPE	GPE	1
where is itasca city	GPE	GPE	1
where is the valle dei templi	Geographic Feature	GPE	0
where does witch hazel grow	Habitat	Habitat	1
what region is boracay island?	GPE	GPE	1
which city does the iditarod take place in	Locators	GPE	0
what state of germany is ulm in	GPE	GPE	1
where is josh gates from	Place of Birth/Raise d	GPE	0
what county is hartsfield ga in	GPE	GPE	1
where is new castle pa	GPE	GPE	1
where are c	GPE	Non-geographic	0

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy
where is roscommon michigan	GPE	GPE	1
where does the african elephant live	Habitat	Habitat	1
where is gladys va	GPE	GPE	1
what county is clewiston in?	GPE	GPE	1
where and what is tabor academ y	Building	Building	1
what county in iowa is leclaire in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is apple springs tx i n	GPE	GPE	1
where is young jeezy from	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
what county is allentown, nj	GPE	GPE	1
what county is dunnsville va in	GPE	GPE	1
augusta nj is in what county	GPE	GPE	1
where is australia located geogra phically	GPE	GPE	1
where is residence heracles in b ordeaux france	Building	Building	1
what region is kenya in	GPE	GPE	1
where is chewy pet supplies loca ted	Building	Building	1
where is greenworks located	Building	Building	1
where is zip code what county is 19083	Locators	GPE	0
what is the abbreviation for anno ny	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is galilee located	GPE	GPE	1
where did the phenomenon of de vil's footprints occur?	Non-geographic	GPE	0
where is olathe	GPE	GPE	1
what town is university of north carolina main campus located at	Building	GPE	0
where is journey lead singer fro	Place of Birth/Raise	Place of Birth/Raise	1
where is mexico city located at	GPE	a GPE	1
what county is lyndon wa is	GPF	GPE	1
what county is lynden waits	UL L	ULE	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
The Hawaiian Islands were form ed from: mountains deltas volcan oes	Geographic Feature	Non-geographic	0
canada club hunt club in walpole island	GPE	GPE	1
where is nat pagle	GPE	Non-geographic	0
where is preston idaho	GPE	GPE	1
where is new zealand new zealan d	GPE	GPE	1
where is pontypandy	GPE	GPE	1
what airport is near lee universit y	Airport	Airport	1
where is lawrenceville georgia	GPE	GPE	1
what county is downingtown pa i n	GPE	GPE	1
what county is wyncote, pa	GPE	GPE	1
what county is wando river	Geographic Feature	GPE	0
where is yokosuka	GPE	GPE	1
where was st patrick born in sco tland	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
where do new oceans form, quizl et	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what county is sevierville, sc in	GPE	GPE	1
what county is ridgeway wi in	GPE	GPE	1
where is arkport ny	GPE	GPE	1
where is westford	GPE	GPE	1
what county is framingham mass in?	GPE	GPE	1
where is sultan washington	GPE	GPE	1
what time zone is henderson, nv	Locators	Locators	1
where is douglas, az	GPE	GPE	1
what places are near residence i nn marriott danvers ma	Building	Building	1
where is sardinia?	GPE	GPE	1
where is the north valley	Geographic Feature	GPE	0
what continent is poland in	GPE	GPE	1

Test Result			
Text	Predicted	Topic	Accuracy
where was robert fulton from	Place of Birth/Raise d	Place of Birth/Raise d	1
what is canada country code	Locators	Locators	1
where is bluffton ohio located	GPE	GPE	1
where is ningbo	GPE	GPE	1
what county is minooka illinois in	GPE	GPE	1
where is columns in word 2013	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where is mount saint helens loca ted	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1
where is bevier mo	GPE	GPE	1
where is piedmont	GPE	GPE	1
what county is alma mi in	GPE	GPE	1
what territory is ontario in	GPE	GPE	1
where is angers france	GPE	GPE	1
where is grove hill alabama	GPE	GPE	1
where is ford taurus made	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1
where does water come in to the sump pit	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where does bismuth oxychloride come from	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
what airport serves niagara falls	Airport	Airport	1
where is orangeville ny	GPE	GPE	1
what county is spring valley mn	GPE	GPE	1
what county is california city, ca in?	GPE	GPE	1
where is the navigator of the sea s	Non-geographic	Geographic Feature	0
what is the name of the town out side london where the swans inn is located	Building	GPE	0
where did emily carr study art	Building	Building	1
where is maxim's fiscal calendar	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1
where did the saying cross your fingers come from	Non-geographic	Origination/Product ion	0
where is hm cut in pokemon bric kbronze	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1

Test Result											
Text	Predicted	Торіс	Accuracy								
where is langley field located	Origination/Product ion	GPE	0								
where is rice grown	Origination/Product ion	Habitat	0								
where was the choice filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1								
where is cave of the winds	Geographic Feature	Geographic Feature	1								
what state is tasmania in	GPE	GPE	1								
where is mary rice incarcerated	Place of Birth/Raise d	Building	0								
where are polar bears from	Habitat	Origination/Product ion	0								
where is portage michigan	GPE	GPE	1								
what county is north port fl in	GPE	GPE	1								
which zone would phytoplankton be found	Habitat	Non-geographic	0								
where does the speaker keep the sabbath	Non-geographic	Non-geographic	1								
where do luau leaves come from	Origination/Product ion	Origination/Product ion	1								
where is sam houston university	Building	Building	1								
where is the 326 area code	Locators	Locators	1								
where was the movie silverado fi lmed	Film Location	Film Location	1								
where was the movie, the safe h aven filmed ?	Film Location	Film Location	1								
where was horse whisperer film ed	Film Location	Film Location	1								
where was superman filmed in m ichigan	Film Location	Film Location	1								
where was lars van triers movie antichrist filmed	Film Location	Film Location	1								
Epoch 01		Epoch 11		Epoch 21		Epoch 31		Epoch 41		Epoch 51	
---------------	--------	---------------	--------	---------------	--------	---------------	--------	---------------	--------	---------------	--------
Training loss	1.2846	Training loss	0.0326	Training loss	5.57E	Training loss	0.0189	Training loss	0.0037	Training loss	1.51E
	5427		224		-05		3636		7009		-06
Validation lo	0.9529	Validation lo	1.1618	Validation lo	1.3818	Validation lo	1.3225	Validation lo	1.6432	Validation lo	1.6586
SS	5945	55	198	55	998	55	457	55	0305	55	3517
F1-Score	0.7169	F1-Score	0.8424	F1-Score	0.8549	F1-Score	0.8736	F1-Score	0.8593	F1-Score	0.8597
	2939		4684		5523		8531		1994		6598
Epoch 02		Epoch 12		Epoch 22		Epoch 32		Epoch 42		Epoch 52	
Training loss	0.6242	Training loss	0.0003	Training loss	5.02E	Training loss	0.0065	Training loss	0.0099	Training loss	1.44E
	0018		9765		-05		7085		5968		-06
Validation lo	0.6551	Validation lo	1.1036	Validation lo	1.5349	Validation lo	1.4317	Validation lo	1.5805	Validation lo	1.6677
SS	4147	55	8578	55	0739	55	836	55	4497	55	5941
F1-Score	0.8464	F1-Score	0.8651	F1-Score	0.8441	F1-Score	0.8711	F1-Score	0.8648	F1-Score	0.8597
	5885		704		2457		1875		5034		6598
Epoch 03		Epoch 13		Epoch 23		Epoch 33		Epoch 43		Epoch 53	
Training loss	0.3402	Training loss	0.0108	Training loss	0.0012	Training loss	0.0080	Training loss	2.97E	Training loss	1.31E
	3603		4797		7058		7903		-06		-06

Train Validation Metrics

Train Validation Metrics												
Validation lo	0.7440	Validation lo	1.1654	Validation lo	1.4722	Validation lo	1.4282	Validation lo	1.5888	Validation lo	1.6745	
SS	0103	SS	6328	SS	3321	SS	1367	SS	6298	SS	7449	
F1-Score	0.8483	F1-Score	0.8630	F1-Score	0.8527	F1-Score	0.8600	F1-Score	0.8648	F1-Score	0.8597	
	8846		8076		9541		2023		5034		6598	
Epoch 04		Epoch 14		Epoch 24		Epoch 34		Epoch 44		Epoch 54		
Training loss	0.2200	Training loss	0.0200	Training loss	0.0170	Training loss	1.31E	Training loss	0.0010	Training loss	0.0011	
	2558		6465		9253		-05		0492		2493	
Validation lo	0.8601	Validation lo	1.2065	Validation lo	1.4886	Validation lo	1.4365	Validation lo	1.7312	Validation lo	1.7243	
SS	6928	SS	2516	SS	5138	SS	0846	SS	295	SS	6293	
F1-Score	0.8521	F1-Score	0.8555	F1-Score	0.8566	F1-Score	0.8658	F1-Score	0.8603	F1-Score	0.8551	
	9446		7159		1183		2712		7614		2992	
Epoch 05		Epoch 15	boch 15		Epoch 25		Epoch 35		Epoch 45		Epoch 55	
Training loss	0.1276	Training loss	0.0042	Training loss	0.0062	Training loss	6.79E	Training loss	0.0081	Training loss	1.14E	
	1631		5748		8658		-06		1626		-06	
Validation lo	0.9312	Validation lo	1.4494	Validation lo	1.3789	Validation lo	1.4039	Validation lo	1.6829	Validation lo	1.7292	
SS	6456	55	3219	55	298	55	3497	55	4666	55	9652	
F1-Score	0.8490	F1-Score	0.8354	F1-Score	0.8603	F1-Score	0.8713	F1-Score	0.8603	F1-Score	0.8551	
	2319		8911		707		8875		6675		2992	

Epoch 06		Epoch 16		Epoch 26		Epoch 36		Epoch 46		Epoch 56	
Training loss	0.1032	Training loss	0.0061	Training loss	0.0079	Training loss	5.67E	Training loss	4.11E	Training loss	1.59E
	4723		1134		0245		-06		-06		-05
Validation lo	0.9458	Validation lo	1.2642	Validation lo	1.3604	Validation lo	1.4231	Validation lo	1.7012	Validation lo	1.7219
SS	6648	SS	9237	SS	804	SS	2703	SS	6212	SS	2361
F1-Score	0.8450	F1-Score	0.8535	F1-Score	0.8671	F1-Score	0.8713	F1-Score	0.8508	F1-Score	0.8598
	6394		8069		9527		8875		9026		7208
Epoch 07		Epoch 17		Epoch 27		Epoch 37		Epoch 47		Epoch 57	
Training loss	0.0796	Training loss	0.0342	Training loss	0.0071	Training loss	5.57E	Training loss	2.13E	Training loss	1.04E
	6039		5391		2719		-06		-06		-06
Validation lo	0.9264	Validation lo	1.2478	Validation lo	1.4106	Validation lo	1.4213	Validation lo	1.7086	Validation lo	1.7388
55	0453	SS	6831	55	<i>5752</i>	SS	8984	55	8573	SS	5995
F1-Score	0.8569	F1-Score	0.8561	F1-Score	0.8684	F1-Score	0.8757	F1-Score	0.8508	F1-Score	0.8573
	2216		391		1934		496		9026		5138
Epoch 08		Epoch 18		Epoch 28	L	Epoch 38		Epoch 48		Epoch 58	L
Training loss	0.0598	Training loss	0.0033	Training loss	0.0148	Training loss	1.61E	Training loss	1.93E	Training loss	9.70E
	1024		9888		7084		-05		-06		-07

Train Validation Metrics

Train Validation Metrics											
Validation lo	1.0314	Validation lo	1.5342	Validation lo	1.4772	Validation lo	1.4537	Validation lo	1.7148	Validation lo	1.7423
SS	4806	SS	7871	SS	2173	SS	6526	SS	6276	SS	7238
F1-Score	0.8487	F1-Score	0.8476	F1-Score	0.8685	F1-Score	0.8644	F1-Score	0.8508	F1-Score	0.8573
	7681		9226		5615		8037		9026		5138
Epoch 09		Epoch 19		Epoch 29		Epoch 39		Epoch 49		Epoch 59	
Training loss	0.0459	Training loss	0.0138	Training loss	0.0058	Training loss	9.84E	Training loss	0.0012	Training loss	9.38E
	5679		7798		7361		-06		7797		-07
Validation lo	1.0459	Validation lo	1.4166	Validation lo	1.3527	Validation lo	1.5223	Validation lo	1.6434	Validation lo	1.7449
SS	5129	55	894	55	9275	55	8503	55	6275	55	2875
F1-Score	0.8515	F1-Score	0.8540	F1-Score	0.8779	F1-Score	0.8648	F1-Score	0.8598	F1-Score	0.8573
	6951		3659		7493		7874		0539		5138
Epoch 10		Epoch 20	L	Epoch 30	L	Epoch 40	1	Epoch 50		Epoch 60	1
Training loss	0.0237	Training loss	0.0326	Training loss	0.0016	Training loss	0.0090	Training loss	1.62E	Training loss	9.48E
	4367		3084		0188		539		-06		-07
Validation lo	1.1224	Validation lo	1.4614	Validation lo	1.6040	Validation lo	1.5239	Validation lo	1.6506	Validation lo	1.7459
SS	3125	55	2914	55	7966	55	3331	55	6686	55	6875
F1-Score	0.8491	F1-Score	0.8387	F1-Score	0.8541	F1-Score	0.8560	F1-Score	0.8598	F1-Score	0.8573
	981		1921		8501		4454		0539		5138

3. Bibliography

Allam, A. M. N., & Haggag, M. H. (2012). The Question Answ ering Systems: A Survey. *International Journal of Research an d Reviews in Information Sciences*, 2(3).

Bajaj, P., Campos, D., Craswell, N., Deng, L., Gao, J., Liu, X., M ajumder, R., McNamara, A., Mitra, B., Nguyen, T., Rosenberg, M., Song, X., Stoica, A., Tiwary, S., & Wang, T. (2018). *MS M ARCO: A Human Generated MAchine Reading COmprehension Dataset* (arXiv:1611.09268). arXiv. http://arxiv.org/abs/1611. 09268

Cortes, E. G., Woloszyn, V., Binder, A., Himmelsbach, T., Baro ne, D., & Moller, S. (2020). An Empirical Comparison of Quest ion Classification Methods for Question Answering Systems. *P roceedings of the 12th Conference on Language Resources an d Evaluation*, 5408–5416.

Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019). *B ERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Lan guage Understanding* (arXiv:1810.04805). arXiv. http://arxiv.o rg/abs/1810.04805

Edwardes, A. J., & Purves, R. S. (2007). Eliciting concepts of *place* for text-based image retrieval. *Proceedings of the 4th ACM Workshop on Geographical Information Retrieval*, 15–18. https://doi.org/10.1145/1316948.1316953

González-Carvajal, S., & Garrido-Merchán, E. C. (2023). Com paring BERT against traditional machine learning text classific ation. *Journal of Computational and Cognitive Engineering*. htt ps://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewJCCE3202838

Hamzei, E. (2021). *Place-related Question Answering:From Questions to Relevant Answers*. Department of Infrastructure

Engineering The University of Melbourne.

Hamzei, E., Li, H., Vasardani, M., Baldwin, T., Winter, S., & To mko, M. (2020). Place Questions and Human-Generated Ans wers: A Data Analysis Approach. In P. Kyriakidis, D. Hadjimit sis, D. Skarlatos, & A. Mansourian (Eds.), *Geospatial Technol ogies for Local and Regional Development* (pp. 3–19). Springe r International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030 -14745-7_1

Hamzei, E., Tomko, M., & Winter, S. (2022). Translating Plac e-Related Questions to GeoSPARQL Queries. *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, 902–911. https://doi.org/10.1 145/3485447.3511933

Hearst, M. A., Dumais, S. T., Osuna, E., Platt, J., & Scholkopf,
B. (1998). Support vector machines. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* and Their Applications, 13(4), 18–28. https://doi.org/10.1109 /5254.708428

Khilji, A. F. U. R., Manna, R., Laskar, S. R., Pakray, P., Das, D., Bandyopadhyay, S., & Gelbukh, A. (2020). Question Classific ation and Answer Extraction for Developing a Cooking QA Sys tem. *Computación y Sistemas*, *24*(2). https://doi.org/10.13053 /cys-24-2-3445

Lee, J.-G., & Kang, M. (2015). Geospatial Big Data: Challenge s and Opportunities. *Big Data Research*, *2*(2), 74–81. https://d oi.org/10.1016/j.bdr.2015.01.003

Li, X., & Roth, D. (2002). Learning question classifiers. *Proce* edings of the 19th International Conference on Computational Linguistics -, 1, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.3115/1072228.10723 78

Magnini, B., Romagnoli, S., Vallin, A., Herrera, J., Peñas, A., Pe inado, V., Verdejo, F., & De Rijke, M. (2004). Creating the DIS EQuA Corpus: A Test Set for Multilingual Question Answerin g. In C. Peters, J. Gonzalo, M. Braschler, & M. Kluck (Eds.), *C omparative Evaluation of Multilingual Information Access Syst ems* (Vol. 3237, pp. 487–500). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. htt ps://doi.org/10.1007/978–3–540–30222–3_47

Mai, G., Janowicz, K., Zhu, R., Cai, L., & Lao, N. (2021). Geogr aphic Question Answering: Challenges, Uniqueness, Classificat ion, and Future Directions. *AGILE: GIScience Series*, *2*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.5194/agile-giss-2-8-2021

Mallikarjuna, C., & Sivanesan, S. (2022). Question classificatio n using limited labelled data. *Information Processing & Manag ement*, *59*(6), 103094. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.103 094

Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G., & Dean, J. (2013). *Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and t heir Compositionality* (arXiv:1310.4546). arXiv. http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4546

Mishra, A., Mishra, N., & Agrawal, A. (2010). Context-Aware Restricted Geographical Domain Question Answering System. 2010 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks, 548–553. https://doi.org/10.11 09/CICN.2010.108

Mohasseb, A., Bader-El-Den, M., & Cocea, M. (2018). Questi on categorization and classification using grammar based appr oach. *Information Processing & Management*, *54*(6), 1228–12 43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2018.05.001

Oguz, B., Chen, X., Karpukhin, V., Peshterliev, S., Okhonko, D., Schlichtkrull, M., Gupta, S., Mehdad, Y., & Yih, S. (2022). Uni K-QA: Unified Representations of Structured and Unstructure d Knowledge for Open-Domain Question Answering. *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 202* *2*, 1535–1546. https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2022.findings-naa cl.115

Punjani, D., Singh, K., Both, A., Koubarakis, M., Angelidis, I., B ereta, K., Beris, T., Bilidas, D., Ioannidis, T., Karalis, N., Lang e, C., Pantazi, D., Papaloukas, C., & Stamoulis, G. (2018). Tem plate-Based Question Answering over Linked Geospatial Dat a. *Proceedings of the 12th Workshop on Geographic Informati* on Retrieval, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1145/3281354.3281362

Salton, G., & Buckley, C. (1988). Term-Weighting Approache s in Automatic Text Retrieval. *Information Processing & Mana gement*, 24(5), 513–523. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4573 (88)90021-0

Scheider, S., Nyamsuren, E., Kruiger, H., & Xu, H. (2021). Ge o-analytical question-answering with GIS. *International Journ al of Digital Earth*, *14*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538 947.2020.1738568

Sun, C., Qiu, X., Xu, Y., & Huang, X. (2020). *How to Fine-Tun e BERT for Text Classification?* (arXiv:1905.05583). arXiv. ht tp://arxiv.org/abs/1905.05583

Sundblad, H. (2007). *Question Classification in Question Answ ering Systems*. Department of Computer and Information Scie nce Linköpings universitet.

Wang, Z., & Qu, Z. (2017). Research on Web text classification n algorithm based on improved CNN and SVM. 2017 IEEE 17t h International Conference on Communication Technology (IC CT), 1958–1961. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCT.2017.8359971

Xu, H., Hamzei, E., Nyamsuren, E., Kruiger, H., Winter, S., To mko, M., & Scheider, S. (2020). Extracting interrogative inten ts and concepts from geo-analytic questions. *AGILE: GIScien ce Series*, *1*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.5194/agile-giss-1-23-

2020

Xu, H., Nyamsuren, E., Scheider, S., & Top, E. (2022). A gra mmar for interpreting geo-analytical questions as concept tra nsformations. *International Journal of Geographical Informatio n Science*, *37*(2), 276–306. https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816. 2022.2077947

Yang, J., Jang, H., & Yu, K. (2023). Analyzing Geographic Que stions Using Embedding-based Topic Modeling. *ISPRS Intern ational Journal of Geo-Information*, *12*(2), 52. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi12020052

Zhang, D., & Lee, W. S. (2003). Question Classification using Support Vector Machines. *SIGIR*, 26–32.

Zhou, T. C., Lyu, M. R., & King, I. (2012). A classification-ba sed approach to question routing in community question answ ering. *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Wo rld Wide Web*, 783–790. https://doi.org/10.1145/2187980.218 8201

4. Abstract (Korean)

GeoQA 시스템 성능 향상을 위한 장소

관련 질문 분류

서울대학교 공과대학

건설환경공학부 대학원

이서형

질문 분류 (Question Classification, QC)는 질문의 주제를 식별함으로 써 사용자에게 정확하고 관련성 높은 답변을 제공하는 데 중요한 역할을 한 다. 질문 응답(QA) 시스템에서 정확한 질문 분류는 사용자의 문의 사항에 정확히 대응하고 정보 검색 (Information Retrieval, IR) 과정에서 관련성 높은 답변을 가져오는 데 필수적이다. 이와 같이 질문을 세분화하여 분류함 으로써 IR 과정에서 더 정확한 정보 검색이 이루어질 수 있도록 다양한 도메 인에서 질문 분류를 개선하는 데 상당한 연구가 진행되고 있다. 그러나 Geo QA(지리 질의 응답) 시스템에서는 다양한 주제를 포괄하는 장소 관련 질문 은 분류하는데 어려움이 있다.

GeoQA 관련 분야에서는 질문의 지리적 속성을 확인하고 질문 생성을

위해 지리적 질문의 구조적 패턴을 분석하며, 잠재적 주제에 기반한 지리적 질문을 분류하는 노력이 이루어졌지만, 장소 관련 질문 내에서 특정 주제를 식별하는 것에 대한 연구는 아직 공백이 남아있다. 본 연구는 이러한 공백 을 메우기 위해 장소 관련 질문에서 특정 주제를 정확하게 분류하는 방법론 을 개발함으로써 GeoQA 시스템에서의 질문 분류 성능 향상에 기여하고자 한다.

본 논문은 미리 세분화하여 정의한 주제를 활용하여 지리 분야에서 장 소 관련 질문을 분류하기 위한 방법론을 제시한다. 목표는 장소 관련 질문 내에서 주제를 정확하게 식별하는 것으로, 이는 지리적 위치에 대한 자연어 질의에 관련성 높은 정보를 제공하는 데 중요하다. 예를 들어, "Henderso n, zone"과 같은 질문이 GeoQA 시스템에 들어오게 되면 사용자가 Hend erson, TN의 시간대에 대한 것인지 혹은 한계구역(hardiness zone)에 대 한 것인지 알 수 없으므로 이 질문을 "Locator" 주제로 분류하는 것이 중 요하다. 따라서, 본 연구에서는 세분화된 주제를 활용하여 GeoQA의 성능 을 향상시킬 수 있는 장소 관련 질문 분류를 수행하고자 한다.

연구를 진행하기 위해, MS MARCO 데이터셋에서 임의로 선택된 3,02 5개의 장소 관련 질문을 42개의 세분화된 주제로 라벨링 작업을 진행했다. 그리고 BERT 모델을 활용하여 사용자 관심사 기반의 장소 관련 질문을 분 류하기 위해 Fine-Tuning 작업을 수행했다. 본 연구에서 수행한 질문 분류 모델은 학습 정확도 87.9%와 테스트 정확도 86.6%를 달성하여 장소 관련 질문 분류에 효과를 입증하였다.

본 연구에서 제안한 다중 클래스 질문 분류 모델은 GeoQA 시스템에 중 요한 기여를 하는데, MS MARCO 데이터셋에서 장소 관련 질문의 관련 주 제에 대한 질문 분류 방법론을 제시한다. 본 연구는 장소 관련 질문에 관련 한 공간 관련 질의 모델 (GeoQA) 시스템에서 정보 탐색이 이루어지기 전에 질문에 대한 분류가 이루어짐으로써 GeoQA의 성능 향상 대한 새로운 접근 방식을 제공하여 지리 공간 관련 자연어 처리 분야에 중요한 기여를 한다.

Keywords: GeoQA, GeoQA dataset, Close-domain QA System, Qu estion Classification, Multi-Class Question Classificati on

Student Number: 2021-22601