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1. Introduction

Discourse markers have been investigated to be an important component of language which have a variety of pragmatic functions in discourse. Discourse markers, also termed 'discourse particles' or 'discourse connectives,' can be defined as 'linguistic expressions used to signal the relation of an utterance to its immediate context, with the primary function of bringing to the listener's attention a particular kind of linkage of the upcoming utterance with the immediate discourse context' (Redeker 1991).
Discourse markers are pragmatic devices which contribute to discourse coherence by showing the relationship between preceding utterances and following utterances.

Though discourse markers do not affect the conceptual meaning of utterances, they reflect the speaker's intentions. Participants in discourse understand their conversation more easily because of the discourse coherence achieved by such discourse markers.

2. Literature review

In the literature a variety of discourse markers have been explored by many researchers.

Groz and Sidner (1986) provided a procedural description of discourse where discourse markers flag changes in both attentional and intentional state. In Rhetorical Structure Theory, discourse markers mark rhetorical relations between segments (Mann and Thompson 1988). The grammar of Quirk et al. (1985) subsumes most discourse markers within conjunctions.

Schiffrin's work (1987) on discourse markers constitutes a powerful foundation for comprehending the structure and social handling of language without being tied to a particular theory of discourse. His work also showed discourse marker function as aiding coherence and cohesion in text. Blakemore (1987) suggested that it might be possible to analyze certain discourse or pragmatic connectives as carrying procedural information about the inferential phase of comprehension. They indicate to the hearer what type of inferential process he is in, not encoding concepts which are the constituents of conceptual representations. In other words, they constrain the relevance of utterances in which they occur by indicating in what direction its implicatures are to be sought. Jucker (1993) also attempted to propose a unified account of discourse marker based on Wilson's relevance theory. In his accounts the discourse marker was regarded as a signpost which constrains the interpretation process namely indicating the most immediately accessible context is not the most relevant one for the interpretation of the upcoming utterance.

Though many different discourse markers have been explored, there have been some studies of 'say' as a quotative marker but little attention on 'say' as a discourse marker (Cukor-Avila 2002, D'Arcy and Tagliamonte 2002). 'Say' has been only regarded as categorized into classes of verbs with a sense of 'speaking, telling' according to traditional speech categorization.
Lee (2003) categorized the function of the discourse marker (DM) *say* into 5 pragmatic functions: introducing some new relevant information, signaling an optional specification of a previously mentioned information unit, supposing a situation, attracting the hearer's attention, and finally acting as a self-repair device or marker of hesitation. And Lee (2004) classified DMs *say* into three domains proposed by Erman (2001) in the study of pragmatic markers focus on you know: textual domain, social domain, and metalinguistic domain.

In the analyses of Lee (2004)'s study, one of the DM *say*s function, approximation belongs to the metalinguistic domain. Erman explains the function of approximator in the metalinguistic domain. The metalinguistic domain is "that the speaker by using them informs the addressee about her/his commitment to the truth of the proposition or judgment of the importance or value of what is being communicated." (Erman 2001:1338) DM *You know* as an approximator also functions as a face-saving mitigator and "an emphazizer typically underscoring and rounding off an evaluative utterance" (Erman 2001:1341) Those functions can be categorized into the metalinguistic domain. But DM *say* as an approximator only influences the following local information not "indicating expressive attitude of speaker toward the propositional contents of the utterance" Therefore, Lee (2004)'s classification of approximator into metalinguistic domain is not proper. Lee (2004) combines exemplification function and specification function into one category. It might be proper classification considering exemplification is one of ways to specify the prior information. However, many instances of data show much different usage between those two functions. Hence, the present study divided them into two categories.

The overall purpose of this paper is to investigate the pragmatic functions

---

1) Erman (2001) proposed three functional domains as follows:
   - Text-monitors: Discourse markers, Editing markers
   - Social monitors: Interactive markers, Comprehension-securing markers
   - Metalinguistic monitors: Approximators, Hedges, Emphazisers
   These three domains do not have a clear-cut boundaries. See Erman (2001) for more detailed description.

2) In the Lee (2004)'s study, each pragmatic function belongs to one of the following three domains:
   ① textual domain: quotative, exemplification/specification, supposition, hesitation, self-repair, utterance terminator
   ② social domain: subject shifter, attention attractor
   ③ metalinguistic domain: approximation, emphaziser
of the discourse marker 'say' in spoken discourse and also to examine them diachronically while testing the functions of the prior study.

3. Data & Methodology
This study will examine the DM say's pragmatic functions on the basis of data from Switchboard corpus and the Oxford English dictionary. Switchboard corpus is American Spoken data which contains about 2430 conversations averaging 6 minutes in length; about 3 million words of text, spoken by over 500 speakers of both sexes from every major dialect of American English. Among 4103 occurrences of say, excluding the non-discourse marker say and non-representative example, all the remaining 131 occurrences of say were analyzed. In the data in the Oxford English Dictionary, I extracted all 13 occurrences where say is considered to be used as discourse marker and analyzed them. The instances of say were analyzed in terms of pragmatic functions. Based on conversational analysis, I attempted to account for the pragmatic functions of the DM say. I identified 8 functions while explaining how they can be interpreted and found 5 functions which have been diachronically in the data of Oxford English Dictionary.

4. Analysis
4.1 The synchronic analysis
4.1.1 DM say as an approximator device.

According to Jackobson (1960), one of the six functions of language is to serve as a vehicle to transmit the information about the world to one who does not share that information. From the point of view of The Relevance Theory, communication involves two information processing devices. One of them is to cause the other to come to share some information either by the decoding of a linguistic expression or by an inferential process. In the process of exchanging information, when the information in the following utterance is not certain, but approximate, the DM say precedes the utterance. In many instances, the DM say can be considered to be used as a signaling device of approximate information. The DM say used as an approximator device can be replaced with the adverb 'approximately'. When used in this function, the new information prefaced with 'say' often involves numbers
such as those relating to time and day. The reason for this may be that the speaker needs some processing time when they mention about numbers. Besides the numerical information, the DM *say* is also used to signal approximate information in response to a previous question. You can observe some of these instances below. In (1) and in (2) time period are introduced by using *say*. In the example (1) below, in the information on when to buy Nissan, speaker A's car, the numerical information 'nine months ago' is placed after DM *say*.

(1)  
SPEAKER B: so i'll go ahead and get itSPEAKER A: uh-huh you know the only thing i'm looking at right now um i just i just bought you know the Nissan that i've got about uh *say* nine months ago i guess it was SPEAKER_A so the only thing that that would get me to change cars right now would be if see at the moment i'm single

The next example (2) shows DM *say* can be precede the numerical information of an appointment time.

(2)  
SPEAKER_A: being a dental hygienist works by appointments so she if she has a day scheduled where she has to get the kids into the day care to of them take them to school and she has uh *say* she has uh *say* she has to be all the way across town at her office at eight thirty then she needs to have them ride the bus one way and i'll get off in time to hopefully pick both of them up and not have the day care center in the other direction.

One of the interesting findings in this section is that many examples preceding DM *say* functioning as an approximator device show uncertainty of numerical information. Also those examples represent collocation of *say* with expression manifesting uncertainty such as I guess, about, maybe.

4.1.2 DM *say* to signal specification of a previously mentioned information unit

Another use of DM *say* is to signal that information is added to specify the previous information. In the process of information exchange, the
immediately given context is extended and specified by the addition of further information which is prefaced by the DM *say*. Thus, the DM *say* serves as a link between two utterances, closely connecting the former discourse context. Its function can be observed in the data that follows.

(3)
SPEAKER_A: now what did you just replace
SPEAKER_B: the timing chain
well the camshaft timing chain
SPEAKER_A: now i'm not even familiar with what that where that is
SPEAKER_B: okay it's in the front of the engine uh say like directly behind the radiator or the water pump

In the example of (3), DM *say* precedes the additional information 'like directly behind the radiator or the water pump' to specify the prior information on the location of 'camshaft timing chain' which is 'in front of the engine'.

(4)
SPEAKER_B: but that same house here you'd pay almost six thousand dollars a year taxes on it
SPEAKER_A: wow wow that's pretty
SPEAKER_B: so it's uh
SPEAKER_B: so the question of whether to buy here or move to another county *say* drive
SPEAKER_B: twenty more miles north buy a condominium for approximately uh the same price maybe a little lower

The additional information 'drive 20 miles north, buy a condominium' specifies the previous information 'move to another county' by using the preceding DM *say*.

(5)
SPEAKER_B: and they set it up manually so that they have all their spacings and formattings and tabs set manually
SPEAKER_A: um-hum
SPEAKER_B: and then when they have to make a change *say* they want to insert a paragraph they've got to go through the document and reset all the page breaks manually
SPEAKER_A: rewrite the whole thing

In (5), speaker B specifies his/her previous utterance by adding detailed information. The part 'when they have to make a change' is specified by the following utterance 'they want to insert a paragraph', which is prefaced by
4.1.3 DM say as an exemplification device.

DM say can be used to signal an exemplification which makes the prior utterance more clear and explicit. Any information can be exemplified as you can observe in the following instances.

Here, the DM say can be substituted with 'for example', or 'for instance'.

(6) SPEAKER B: and If you had a people that have personal computers it would be much easier. SPEAKER B: But um and then at the end of the year I+i totaled up what we were spending say for groceries or or entertainment or things like that and then this year i tried to keep it within

At the end of year, speaker B totaled up what they spend money on. DM say exemplified the subcategory of items money was sent on such as 'grocery' or 'entertainment'.

(7) SPEAKER A: yeah i know they must have a lot of tourism too but i don't see as much advertising there as you do in the bahamas SPEAKER B: yeah i don't know uh i if]-SPEAKER A: with uh say uh uh saint thomas uh virgin islands uh places like that

In (7), speaker A offers an information that Puerto Rico does not have as much advertisement as the Bahamas. After speaker B's responding, DM say precedes the exemplification of other places which have lots of advertisement.

(8) SPEAKER A: that's true i didn't think about that like for instance uh if someone's working on heavy machinery or uh say driving trucks or buses city buses or something like that

In (8), speaker A added exemplification of working heavy machinery such as driving trucks or buses city buses. say marks to signal exemplification.
SPEAKER B: how much pork do you buy for two people?
SPEAKER A: well for two people um American- uh if it’s American style I’d buy a half a pound if you know we if you’re just serving that but if you’re serving another dish with it say the rice dish.
SPEAKER B: right.

This example in (9) shows a slight different exemplified instance which does not include A or B the construction but DM say still performs the function of exemplification. ‘Another dish’ is exemplified by ‘the rice dish’ by the preceding DM say.

4.1.4 DM say to suppose a hypothetical situation

The DM say is also used as a hypothesizing tactic where say prefaces an assumed situation which might happen. In this situation, the DM say can be replaced with ‘if’

(10)
SPEAKER A: and so if your number is below four hundred you’re not going to have to do it.
SPEAKER B: I see.
SPEAKER A: say if it’s between four hundred and six hundred you might have to do it depending how many people are in your class and you know like you they right.
SPEAKER B: your age group or whatever- yeah.
SPEAKER A: and if it’s above six hundred you’re going to have to do it and i got the one thirty one.

In the example of (10) above, speaker A supposes a hypothetical situation where the number is between 400 and 600. Speaker A provides him with an explanation of a situation where he has to do it. The similar form of two sentences which precedes and follows the sentence including ‘say’ confirm the use of say as a hypothesizing tactic.

(11)
SPEAKER A: no i understand that what if uh what if you think someone’s trying to hurt them and you make a mistake.
SPEAKER B: this- this is where the question of gun control comes in what i consider gun control is being able to hit my target with the first shot.
SPEAKER A: yeah well uh that was the topic.
SPEAKER A: um-hum.
SPEAKER B: say a person uh sits up- uh uh get all of a sudden my combat antenna goes up and say i’ve got the
vibes this guy's fixing to do something i go ahead and pull my piece

In (11), the interlocutors are talking about gun control. Speaker B supposes a situation where some person intends to hurt him, and in that situation he can pull his gun. Here, *say* is used as DM *say* signaling a supposition, which can be substituted with 'if' Here, *say* is used to assume a hypothetical situation. The part which immediately follows the DM *say* is a hypothetical situation and often a question follows the situation as Lee (2003) mentioned, though my data did not show any of those instances.

4.1.5 DM *say* to serve as a self-repair

DM *say* can serve as a device of self-repair. The portion of the utterance which precedes the DM *say* is replaced with the part which follows. So here the DM *say* is a jointing device between two parts of an utterance and also functions as a time-filler to give the speaker time to think of a new word to use as a repair. The following is a clear example of self-correction.

(12)
SPEAKER_B: um what did you do when you exercised- when you did exercise regularly SPEAKER_A: well i had a a little routine that i i did for uh warm ups and then i did some uh very SPEAKER_B: uh-huh SPEAKER_A: mjildl- mild say light weight lifting

In the instance (12) the speaker B makes a self-repair on 'mild' by replacing it with 'light' DM *say* serves as a device signaling a self-repair.

(13)
SPEAKER_B: oh my gosh SPEAKER_B: yeah SPEAKER_A: um and it was say you know we did a couple of different ones and it was the least expensive and

DM *say* in the example (13) occurs with self-repair. The sentence which started with 'it was' replaced with 'we did .'prefacing by DM *say*.

(14)
SPEAKER_A: so uh and it starts uh anywhere from from five o'clock on SPEAKER_B: well what about fast food what do you grab you know say
what's your favorite hamburger Wendy's?

SPEAKER_A: as far as burgers uh yeah i like Wendy's

The question is replaced with the corrected question 'what's your favorite hamburger Wendy's' which is preceded by the DM say.

4.1.6 DM say to signal topic shift

When there is a shift to a new topic or to a different aspect of a topic, the DM say is often used to indicate such transitions. DM say can be considered a signpost to inform a topic change.

(15) SPEAKER_A: why they don't talk about it i mean they don't bring it up at all why you would think they'd be real having a real campaign with one party or the other SPEAKER_B: right yeah SPEAKER_A: and they never talk about it they just keep doing it you know SPEAKER_B: yeah that there's some kind of justification there and i don't know what it is SPEAKER_B: and i don't know if i agree with it or yeah SPEAKER_A: i just like to hear them talk about it you know i like to hear some of the congressmen or senators at least SPEAKER_B: right SPEAKER_A: say well let's let's bring this before let's examine every one of our foreign aids SPEAKER_B: right SPEAKER_A: and look like like they did with the defense with the military bases they they investigated all those rightfully wrongly wrongfully whether which ones should be closed i don't know SPEAKER_B: right SPEAKER_A: at least they looked at it i think they should look at foreign aid and say hey which ones do we not need to give you know.

In the example of (15), say is used to indicate a shift of topic. First they were complaining about congressmen and senators. The suggestion to change the topic to examine their foreign aids followed the DM say. Using the DM say yields a cohesive relation between utterances which have different topics by signalling the topic change before the new topic is abruptly introduced.

4.1.7 DM say as utterance terminator device.
DM say functions to mark the utterance's closing by following a DM say. Speakers end a sentence with say to indicate or emphasize that they have made a point.

(16)
SPEAKER_A: and started being bought out by families and things and she started having fewer and fewer friends SPEAKER_B: uh-huh SPEAKER_A: and people just sh[e]- sh[e]- sh[e]- she used to su[me whe]en- at when she was ninety two or something at the time say SPEAKER_B: oh my SPEAKER_A: um you know i just i- all my friends have died off i have nothing to do i'm bored y[ou] know i walk over to the store i walk back someone comes and picks me up and brings me to the community and so when she went to a nursing home

(17)
SPEAKER_A: um yeah some stuff that you could call new age let's see i like uh cherry riley a lot SPEAKER_B: um-hum SPEAKER_B: um-hum SPEAKER_B: i don't know who that is SPEAKER_A: and okay uh let's see well who do you like from that category yeah say SPEAKER_B: from new age well i was talking about like new wave or uh-huh um-hum

In both examples of (16) and (17), each end of speaker A's utterances, DM say is put to signal the termination of utterance.

4.1.8 DM say to attract the hearer's attention

It can be observed that the DM say is used to attract the attention of the hearer whereby the speaker suggests that the information which he is going to offer is worth the hearer's attention. The DM say is usually in the initial part of the statement and draws the hearers' attention to the questions which follow. Some instances may have more specified functions such as an emphaser or a signal to express a personal feeling or attitude.

(18)
SPEAKER_B: and i went to a vineyard or two in germany SPEAKER_A: um that's neat SPEAKER_B: yeah that was nice SPEAKER_B: um SPEAKER_B: hum SPEAKER_B: but so um SPEAKER_A: say what about have you ever
been to hawaii

SPEAKER_B: uh no i haven't have you
SPEAKER_A: no

SPEAKER_A: no

In (18), by using DM say the hearer's attention is attracted to the speaker A's question "what about have you ever been to i Hawaii"

(19)
SPEAKER_B: don't uh the lactose that kind of milk it doesn't our we we tend to uh what's it called we don't synthesize the the calcium the same way
SPEAKER_A: uh-huh
SPEAKER_A: we we throw more of it off our bodily- out other words
SPEAKER_B: yeah we we slough some of it we we send we send some of it out because it our body doesn't accept some of it
SPEAKER_A: um-hum
SPEAKER_B: so we're not utilizing we're when it when it gets rid of some of that um that we can't use i get rids it gets rid of some of the calcium
SPEAKER_A: um-hum
SPEAKER_B: whereas we eat say brocoli you utilize all of it you utiliz[ze] your your body accepts all of the brocoli

The attention attracted by DM say emphasize the word 'brocoli' compared with milk.

(20)
SPEAKER_A: you all have some pretty good cheese up there don't you
SPEAKER_B: pardon me
SPEAKER_A: say you all have a lot of good cheeses up there though

The speaker A's first utterance was not understood by speaker B. So speaker B asked speaker A to repeat it. Speaker A uses DM say to attract attention to his/her repeated utterance.

(21)
SPEAKER_B: and she couldn't get out and her hair it was and she was heavy kind of like me and so what you could see was this big bottom sticking out of her of her dishwasher and her husband was
SPEAKER_A: uh-huh
SPEAKER_B: say he was videotaping this thing you know she she is caught in the dishwasher you know oh it was hysterical and
SPEAKER_A: oh my
word

DM *say* can also represent a personal feeling such as an exclamatory surprise or pride or a personal attitude like agreement in spontaneous conversation. In the example above, the speaker's personal feelings and attitudes were focused on by using the DM *say*. In (20), *say* attracts the hearer's attention to the speaker B's surprise that the husband was videotaping when her wife's head was caught in the dishwasher.

In addition, four instances of *say* in the sentence final position were misspelling of 'per se' Mistaken instances of 'say'.

3) **Mistaken instances of ‘per say’ in the Switchboard spoken corpus.** In both two example below, ‘per say’ is read meaning ‘in itself’ and mistaken spelling of ‘per se’

(25)

SPEAKER: Both goosh that’s that’s the general you know you know you almost need to know the family situation you know and you know number of kids fortunately

SPEAKER: B: I have two children and they’re both grown and are covered you know under their own plans so I don’t I don’t have medical you know expenses for them per say

(27)

SPEAKER: B: um did you ever get to watch any of the uh new orleans games

SPEAKER A: this SPEAKER: A: actually, I’d have to confess I don’t really scope out the new orleans games per say.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pragmatic Function</th>
<th>Total(N)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.approximator</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.specification</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. exemplification</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.supposition</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. self-repair</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.topic shift</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. utterance terminator</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.attention getter</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM say occurrences
total occurrences of 'say' 131/4103 33.32/100
Table 1 demonstrates that DM *say* is used primarily as a signal for exemplification and an approximator, 33% and 31%, respectively, whereas the use of DM *say* as supposition and topic shift was very infrequent, showing only 2%. And 19 percent of DM *say* occurred as an attention getter. The use of DM *say* with specification and utterance terminator are rather infrequent. The total token of DM *say* is 131 among the total *say* occurrences, taking up 33.32 percent, which means *say* as a discourse marker could not be ignored both in usage and in teaching.

4.2 The diachronic analysis of *say*

Based on the data of the Oxford English Dictionary, I attempted to account for the functions of *say* diachronically. Many functions within the five categories suggested in the analysis were shown in the following data.

4.2.1 DM *say* to attract the hearer’s attention.

Historically, the first function used was the attention attraction device.
(1) 1742 Gray  *Eton* 21 *say*, father Thames! for thou hast seen Full many a sprightly race.

(2) a1771 Gray  *Amaryllis* Lanes 7 Ah! *say*, Fellow-swains, how these symptoms befell me?

(3) 1896 A. E. Housman  *Shropshire Lad* xxiv, *say*, lad, have you things to do?

In (1), *say* is used to attract the father Thames’s attention prefixed to a statement. In (2), *say* is used to attract Fellow-swains’ attention to an indirect question to declare or make known ‘how these symptoms befell me. Also *say* is also used to express the speaker’s personal feeling of sadness. In (3), *say* is also used to attract the hearer’s attention to the question.

4.2.2 DM *say* to suppose a hypothetical situation

(4) 1643 Trapp  *Comm. Gen.* xlvi. 1 But *say* it had been out of his way.

DM *say* is used to introduce a clause with the sense of ‘supposing the hypothetical situation of it being out of his way.’

(5) 1601 Shakes.  *Twel. N.* i. iv. 23 *say* I do speake with her (my Lord) what then?

The DM *say* is used like the word ‘if’, supposing a situation ‘if I speak with her’, then what will happen? As in the previous analysis, after the hypothetical situation prefaced by the DM *say*, a question follows.

4.2.3 DM *say* as an approximator.

(6) 1863 Kingsley in *Lett.* etc. (1877) II. 147 The wages of my people, average 11s. per week... Harvesting, *say* ? more.

(7) 1898 Allbut’s  *Syst. Med.* V. 450 Equal volumes of, *say*, thirty and forty-fold diluted normal acid.
A production volume of say, 20,000 units a year.

Early in the week, or say Wednesday.

An upper, particular bough in, say, Marchand.

In (6), (7) and (8), DM say is prefixed to designate numerical information such as quantity or date to mark it as approximate information. And in (9) and (10), DM say shows an approximation of the immediately following information.

4.2.4 DM say to present the specification

Pleasure and Pain are indeed to a certain Degree, say to a very high Degree, distributed amongst us without any apparent Regard to the Merit or Demerit of Characters.

The part preceded by the DM say, 'to a certain degree,' is specified by the following part, 'a very high Degree.' In this instance the DM say signals the specified utterance.

4.2.5 DM say to exemplification device

If he were, say, an Indian or Japanese coolie, who can live on rice and onions, he wouldn't get fifteen shillings a week—he would be lucky if he got fifteen shillings a month.

In Ratliff it was that hearty celibacy as of a lay brother in a twelfth-century monastery—a gardener, a pruner of vines, say.

In the subordinate clause of example 12), the DM say sign a exemplification of he as an Indian or Japanese coolie. In (13), in explaining
about the hearty celibacy, the speaker illustrated a gardener and pruner of vines as an example.

5. Pedagogical implication

One might say there is no need to even teach a discourse marker. And there might be no difficulty in communicating in English without using a discourse marker. However, as you already observed in table 1 in the analyses section, we notice that 30% of *say* is used as a DM which might be considered to be very rarely used compared with other DM such as *oh*, *well*, *I mean*, etc. It means we should not ignore the importance of teaching discourse markers. Discourse markers would help learners to understand better the flow of conversation, to express oneself more naturally and smoothly and to provide helpful linguistic strategies.

Discourse markers could be taught through teacher-student mini role-play, students’ role play and discussion activity. In the teacher-student role-play, first the teacher shows examples by creating situations; one using DM, the other without DM. For example, the teacher can make up a situation which forces the use of a targeted discourse marker such as asking several students to request a favor from the teacher. And then the teacher refuses with slightly abrupt answers lacking discourse markers or other delays. The second time, the teachers refuses with answers using discourse markers.

After a while, students have a discussion activity where the teacher solicits students’ impression of the two sets of answers, including why the second version sounds better, and the purposes and functions of discourse marker. This process can be repeated using other discourse markers. When students acquire the functions of DM, they might be asked to have role play activity using DM. Other suggestions are production tasks which ask students to transform some typical artificial dialog sample from ESL texts by inserting DM’s into the text to create more life-like and natural dialogue. Teachers also can benefit from using video, audio material.

6. Conclusion

In sum, this paper attempted to identify various functions of the DM *say* in terms of pragmatic functions: 8 functions by examining the data from Switchboard corpus and 5 functions from the Oxford English dictionary.
The pragmatic function of the DM *say* identified in the synchronic analysis has 8 categories: approximator; a signal to present the specified information; a signal for exemplifying supposing a hypothetical situation; a signal of self-repair; a signal of topic change; an utterance terminator device; and an attracting device.

The diachronic analysis showed that 'say' has been used as a DM since 1742 and provided the chronicle order of DM*say*'s function. The difference between the two analyses’ results revealed that the DM*say*'s function has changed slightly and become more specified.

It is worthwhile to explore 'say', the lexical verb, as a discourse marker. The DM*say* has been shown to be used in many different functions in spoken discourse. Conclusions about the DM*say* functions drawn from this study need to be confirmed from further studies which utilize more natural data sources such as transcribed data with audio material which enables researcher to examine even the intonation and to interview the interlocutors. This is because discourse marker serves as a link between two interlocutor’s context. The diachronic analysis shows some functions have been already used. However, the synchronic analysis is based on spoken data and the diachronic analysis is done on written data. For further study, both analyses based on written discourse data would yield more exact results. Furthermore, naturally collected corpus data would reflect more vividly the pragmatic function. There is a prejudice that the DM*say* is more frequently used by male speakers and in serious contexts such as political debates. So the different use of the DM*say* regards to gender can be investigated in further study. Further study with an experiment where the effect of teaching a discourse marker would definitely help in teaching students discourse markers.
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