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The effect of chromosome 17 polysomy on HER-2/neu status in breast cancer

C L Hyun,1 H E Lee,4 K S Kim,5 S-W Kim,2,5 J H Kim,3,6 G Choe,1,4 S Y Park1,4

ABSTRACT

Aim: To investigate the effect of polysomy 17 on HER-2 status as evaluated by immunohistochemistry (IHC), dual-colour fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) and chromogenic in situ hybridisation (CISH).

Methods: Dual-probe FISH and single-probe CISH were performed to detect HER-2 gene amplification, and IHC to detect HER-2 expression, on 309 invasive breast cancers.

Results: Polysomy 17 was detected in 32.0% of the total number of breast cancers; it was detected in 12.3% of the IHC 0 or 1+ cases, 42.8% of the IHC 2+ cases and 66.0% of the IHC 3+ cases (p<0.001). In addition, there was a substantially higher rate of polysomy 17 in the IHC 2+ or 3+/FISH-negative cases than in the IHC 0 or 1+ cases (40.8% vs 12.3%; p<0.001). The FISH and CISH results were concordant in 299 cases (96.8%). Of the 10 discordant cases, FISH suggested amplification in five with disomy 17 and one with monosomy 17, whereas CISH pointed to borderline copy numbers in each of these six cases. The remaining four cases had high polysomy 17, CISH, but not FISH, indicated amplification.

Conclusions: Results suggest that an increase of HER-2 gene copy number secondary to chromosome 17 polysomy leads to HER-2 overexpression in some IHC 2+ cases and may complicate the scoring of HER-2 status and assays to evaluate mRNA and protein levels.6 Amplification of the human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2) gene and/or overexpression of HER-2 protein has been identified in 20–30% of breast cancers and is associated with poor prognosis in both node-negative and node-positive breast cancers.1–3 Since the introduction of trastuzumab, a humanised monoclonal antibody against the extracellular portion of the HER-2 protein, assessment of HER-2 status has been standard practice in women with breast cancer in order to identify patients that are most likely to benefit from trastuzumab.4,5 Various methods are available to assess HER-2; these consist of assays to evaluate gene numbers, and assays to evaluate mRNA and protein levels.6 Most laboratories use immunohistochemistry (IHC) to quantify HER-2 protein, and fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) as a test for HER-2 gene amplification. Comparative studies of IHC and FISH have yielded high rates of concordance.7–11 HER-2 protein overexpression is attributable to gene amplification in approximately 90% of cases.1–12 It has been suggested that in the remaining cases HER-2 protein overexpression is due to an increase in HER-2 gene copy number secondary to chromosome 17 polysomy. However, an effect of chromosome 17 polysomy on the expression of HER-2 protein is not well established, and tests of this proposal have given conflicting results.15–19 Chromogenic in situ hybridisation (CISH), using a peroxidase-based chromogenic reaction, is a new method for assessing gene amplification status.20 CISH also determines the actual extent of HER-2 gene amplification and has greater potential for integration into diagnostic laboratories than FISH. The use of CISH permits the histology of the specimen to be assessed at the same time, and the signal remains stable for a long time. A number of studies have shown generally good concordance between FISH and CISH results.70–22 However, it is still a matter of debate whether CISH is as reliable as FISH for assessing HER-2 gene amplification, given certain limitations of CISH.

The PathVysion kit (Vysis, Downers Grove, Illinois, USA) for FISH uses a dual probe system that detects simultaneously the HER-2 gene and the chromosome 17 centromere, and defines HER-2 gene amplification to have taken place when the HER-2 gene copy number per CEP17 is >2. Although dual-colour CISH has been described recently,26 CISH generally does not take into account chromosome 17 copy number and yields absolute HER-2 gene copy numbers. However, chromosomal 17 polysomy occurs frequently in breast cancer27 and may complicate the scoring of HER-2 amplification. Such changes in chromosome 17 copy number could therefore lead to discrepancies between the results of CISH and FISH.

We undertook this study to evaluate the influence of chromosome 17 polysomy on the results of IHC, FISH and CISH and to assess the use of CISH for identifying HER-2 gene amplification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples and tissue array construction

A total of 309 cases of invasive breast cancer were collected at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital from May 2005 to December 2005. The study was conducted in two parts. For validation of our techniques, 216 consecutive cases of breast cancer were studied. An additional 93 cases with weakly or moderately positive staining for HER-2 on IHC (1 to 2+ and 2+) were selected to evaluate the effect of polysomy 17 on the HER-2 status, particularly in this group of breast cancers. All specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin wax, and stained with H&E for histological examination.
Figure 1 High level human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 gene amplification shown by fluorescence in situ hybridisation (A) and chromogenic in situ hybridisation (B).

Tissue arrays were used for high throughput study as previously described. After screening the slides of each case, we selected a paraffin block that was well-fixed and contained a representative section of tumour. Three tissue columns (2.0 mm each in diameter) were taken from each selected paraffin block and arranged in separate new paraffin blocks with 60 holes, using a trephine apparatus (Superbiochips Laboratories, Seoul, Korea). As an internal control, we inserted a tissue column from a normal breast or adenosis into two holes of each tissue microarray block.

Immunohistochemical analysis
Sections (4 µm thick) of the tissue array block were cut, dried, deparaffinised and rehydrated as usual. For antigen retrieval, slides were placed in a microwave in 0.1 M citric acid at pH 7.2 for 15 minutes. Immunohistochemical staining was carried out in a DAKO Autostainer Plus (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) using an LSAB detection kit (DakoCytomation). HER-2 protein was detected using polyclonal antibody A0485 (DakoCytomation) at a dilution of 1:700 with 40 minutes' incubation.

Expression was scored as follows: 0, no staining; 1+, weak and incomplete membranous staining in $\geq$10% of the tumour cells; 2+, weak to moderate, complete membranous staining in $\geq$10% of the tumour cells; 3+, strong, complete membranous staining in $\geq$10% of the tumour cells.

FISH assays for HER-2 gene amplification
The PathVysion (Vysis) assay was carried out with the protocol recommended by the manufacturer on 4 µm sections prepared from the tissue array block. Sections were baked overnight at 56°C, deparaffinised and dehydrated twice in xylene for 5 minutes, followed by immersion twice in 100% ethanol for 5 minutes. Air-dried slides were treated in a preheated 80°C pretreatment solution (Vysis) for 10 minutes, washed twice in 10% of the tumour cells.

CISH assays for HER-2 gene amplification
Sections (4 µm thick) were prepared as for FISH. They were then incubated in SPOT-Light Heat Pretreatment Solution (Zymed, South San Francisco, California, USA) at 98–100°C for 15 minutes, washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and digested with SPOT-Light Tissue Pretreatment Enzyme (Zymed) at room temperature for 10 minutes. They were then washed with PBS and dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol. An aliquot of digoxigenin-labelled HER-2 probe (Zymed) was applied to the slides, which were then covered, sealed with rubber cement and placed on a preprogrammed HYBrite (Vysis) with the following settings: denaturation at 73°C for 5 minutes, and hybridisation at 57°C for 16 hours. After hybridisation, the rubber cement was removed, and the slides were immersed in 2× SSC with 0.3% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40) at room temperature for 5 minutes. They were then washed twice for 5 minutes with 2× SSC/0.3% NP-40, the first at 74°C, the second at room temperature. After drying, the sections were counterstained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI; Vysis).

At least 60 cells were evaluated for each breast cancer specimen; the genetic variables were reported as: HER-2 gene copy number, chromosome 17 copy number and average HER-2 gene to chromosome 17 ratio. The HER-2 gene was considered to be amplified in tumours with average ratios $\geq$2. A ratio of 2 or more and less than 5 was defined as low level amplification; 5 or more as high level amplification. The cut-off points for chromosome 17 copy number changes were adopted from Ma et al. with modification. Specimens with signals in the range 1.25–2.25 were defined as having disomy 17. The other cases were considered to have aneusomy 17: monosomy 17 ($<1.25$ signals per cell), low polysomy 17 (2.25–$\leq$3.75 signals per cell), or high polysomy 17 ($>3.75$ signals per cell).

Table 1 Comparison of IHC, FISH and CISH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HER-2 IHC</th>
<th>0 or 1+</th>
<th>2+</th>
<th>3+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 146)</td>
<td>(n = 113)</td>
<td>(n = 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISH (+)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>15 (13.3%)*</td>
<td>45 (90.0%)+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CISH (+)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>12 (10.6%)*</td>
<td>46 (92.0%)+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ten cases were assessed as amplified by both methods; seven cases were discordant.
†Forty-four cases were assessed as amplified by both methods; three cases were discordant.

IHC, immunohistochemistry; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridisation; CISH, chromogenic in situ hybridisation.

CISH assays for HER-2 gene amplification
Sections (4 µm thick) were prepared as for FISH. They were then incubated in SPOT-Light Heat Pretreatment Solution (Zymed, South San Francisco, California, USA) at 98–100°C for 15 minutes, washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and digested with SPOT-Light Tissue Pretreatment Enzyme (Zymed) at room temperature for 10 minutes. They were then washed with PBS and dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol. An aliquot of digoxigenin-labelled HER-2 probe (Zymed) was applied to the slides, which were then covered, sealed with rubber cement and placed on a preprogrammed HYBrite (Vysis) with the following setting: denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes, and hybridisation at 57°C for 16–18 hours. After hybridisation, the rubber cement was removed, and the slides were immersed twice in 0.5× SSC for 5 minutes, the first at room temperature and the second at 73°C. After washing with distilled water, they were immersed in absolute methanol with 5% H2O2 for 10 minutes and incubated with CAS-Block (Zymed) for 30 minutes.
Hybridisation signals were detected after sequential incubations with mouse anti-digoxigenin (Zymed), polymerised horseradish peroxidase anti-mouse antibody and diaminobenzidine, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Zymed). The slides were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin (Zymed) for 3 minutes.

CISH results were evaluated by the criteria developed in a previous study.20 No amplification was defined as 1–5 signals per nucleus; low-level amplification as 6–10 signals, or as small signal clusters per nucleus, in ≥50% of the tumour cells; and high-level amplification as ≥10 signals, or as large signal clusters, in ≥50% of the tumour cells.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (V.11.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Incidences of polysomy 17 in relation to HER-2 IHC or FISH results were compared with the \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test; \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The degree of agreement between FISH and CISH was estimated by the Cohen \( \kappa \) coefficient.

RESULTS
Comparison of IHC with FISH and CISH
We compared the results of HER-2 IHC and FISH in the 309 cases. FISH indicated that amplification was absent in all the 146 IHC 0 or 1+ cases, and that amplification had taken place in 15 (13.3%) of the 113 IHC 2+ cases, and in 45 (90.0%) of the 50 IHC 3+ cases (table 1; fig 1A).

When we compared HER-2 IHC and CISH, we found that again amplification was absent in all the 146 IHC 0 or 1+ cases. Amplification had occurred in 12 (10.6%) of the IHC 2+ cases, with 11 low level amplifications and one high level amplification. Of the IHC 3+ cases, 46 (92.0%) were amplifications, with eight low level amplifications and 38 high level amplifications (table 1; fig 1B).

Chromosome 17 polysomy in relation to IHC and FISH
Using the criterion of \( \geq 2.25 \) to define chromosome 17 polysomy, 32.0% (99/309) of the cases would be considered polysomic, with 81 cases (26.2%) of low polysomy and 18 cases (5.8%) of high polysomy. Four cases showed monosomy. The incidence of polysomy was 12.3% (18/146) among the IHC 0/1+ cases, 42.8% (48/113) among the IHC 2+ and 66.0% (33/50) among the IHC 3+ cases (\( p < 0.001 \)). In addition, whereas 42 (40.8%) of the 105 IHC 2+ or 3+/FISH-negative cases showed up as polysomic, this was true of only 18 (12.5%) of the 146 IHC 0 or 1+ cases (\( p < 0.001 \)). Polysomy 17 was found in 39 (65.0%) of the 60 FISH-positive cases and 60 (24.1%) of the 249 FISH-negative cases (\( p < 0.001 \)).

Comparison of FISH with CISH with regard to chromosome 17 copy number
The results of FISH and CISH were discordant in 10 cases (table 2). Thus, concordance was 96.8% (κ coefficient, 0.917). Among the 10 discordant cases, five had disomy 17; amplification was revealed by FISH, but copy number (4–5 dots/nucleus) was borderline by CISH. Another discordant case had monosomy 17 and 3–4 HER-2 signals per cell. Thus it was assessed by FISH as having amplification, but not by CISH. The other four discordant cases had high polysomy 17, two with low level amplification by CISH, 5–7 CEP signals per cell and no amplification by FISH. The other two cases were 3+ in IHC, with high level amplification by CISH, 8–14 CEP signals per cells and no amplification by FISH (fig 2).

DISCUSSION
We have investigated the effect of polysomy 17 on the expression of HER-2/neu protein as defined by IHC, and on the correlation between the HER-2/neu FISH and CISH tests. We found that an increase in HER-2 gene copy numbers resulting from polysomy 17 could lead to HER-2 protein overexpression in a subset of breast cancer in the absence of
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reported a similar finding. In our cases, HER-2 gene amplifica-
Moreover, the IHC 2
+ cases, which is similar to the results reported by others. 18 21 The
incidence of polysomy 17 in breast cancer has been reported to
range from 10.5% to 48.7%. 13–19 The differences in reported
incidence seem to depend on the criteria used to define
polysomy, and the IHC status of the specimens used for
analysis. Wang et al 14 found polysomy 17 in as many as 48.7% of
their cases, which were mostly IHC-positive.

Although the effect of chromosome 17 polysomy on the
expression of HER-2 protein is not well established, it has been
reported to be frequently associated with HER-2 protein
overexpression, especially weak or moderate overexpres-
15–17 Merola et al 19 found polysomy 17 in 46.1% of
IHC 2+ cases, which is similar to our result (42.8%). We found
that the incidence of polysomy 17 increased with IHC scores.
Moreover, the IHC 2+ or 3+/FISH-negative cases had a
significantly higher rate of polysomy 17 than the IHC 0 or 1+
cases. These findings support the proposal that polysomy 17
contributes to HER-2 overexpression in cases without HER-2
amplification. Thus, polysomy 17 in the absence of HER-2
amplification seems to be associated with weak or moderate
overexpression of HER-2 protein as a result of increased HER-2
gene copy number.

We noted that polysomy 17 was more frequent in the FISH-
positive cases than in the FISH-negative cases; Lal et al 16
reported a similar finding. In our cases, HER-2 gene amplifica-
ton and polysomy 17 were associated with high grade
carcinoma (p<0.001 and p = 0.059, respectively; data not
shown). Thus, the correlation between polysomy 17 and
FISH-positivity may be explained by the high incidence of high
grade carcinoma in those cases, as suggested by Lal et al. 16

In our study there was excellent agreement between the
CISH and FISH tests (κ coefficient, 0.917). This is consistent
with the results of previous studies. 20–25 There was a discrepancy
between CISH and FISH in 10 of our cases, most probably
because of the difference in the scoring systems used in the
two methods. The cut-off point for HER-2 amplification by CISH
has been defined as six copies per cell, based on the observation
that most cases with polysomy 17 fall into the category of 3–5
signals per nucleus. 26 27 However, polysomy with >5 copies
of chromosome 17 per nucleus is not rare, 28 and such cases may
be scored as having low level amplification when there is actually
no amplification. Two (10.5%) of the 19 cases defined as having
low level amplification by CISH turned out to have high levels
of polysomy and thus no amplification by FISH. Forty-three of
our cases had 3–5 HER-2 gene copies per nucleus by CISH and
57 of the cases involved polysomy 17. However, five cases
(11.6%) had polysomy 17 and were confirmed to have low
level HER-2 amplification by FISH; the remaining case had
monosomy 17 and was classified by FISH as having low level
HER-2 amplification. Thus, a measure of chromosome 17 status
is needed in cases with borderline copy number and low level
amplification of HER-2 according to CISH, in order to confirm
HER-2 gene amplification.

Among the discordant cases, two showed high level
amplification by CISH but no amplification by FISH.
However, these cases were IHC 3+ and had 10–26 HER-2
signals per cell. Isola et al 15 also reported that two of their 12
cases of disagreement between CISH and dual-colour FISH had
increased numbers of HER-2 copies (mean HER-2 copy
numbers, 11.2 and 11.8), but were classified as no amplification
by FISH because of correction for centromere number, despite
the IHC 3+ staining. However, they interpreted these as FISH
false negatives. Recently, Hofmann et al 29 reported that six of 26
metastatic breast cancer patients with polysomy 17 responded
to trastuzumab; all six were IHC 3+, and two were FISH-
negative due to chromosome 17 polysomy. We think that cases
with more than 10 HER-2 signals per cell should be regarded as
FISH-positive, regardless of the HER-2 to CEP17 ratio. Thus, the
absolute number of HER-2 signals should be considered in FISH-
negative by dual probe system/IHC 3+ cases.

In summary, an increase in HER-2 gene copy numbers due to
polysomy 17 may lead to HER-2 protein overexpression in some
IHC 2+/3+ cases, without gene amplification. The high
concordance between FISH and CISH suggests that CISH can
be used as an alternative to FISH to assess HER-2 gene
amplification. Polysomy 17 has an important effect on
discrepancies between the two tests. Thus, cases with border-
line copy numbers or low level amplification by CISH may
require information on chromosome 17 status supplied by FISH
for accurate determination of their HER-2 gene amplification
status.
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Table 2 Chromosome 17 copy number in cases discordant between FISH and CISH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromosome 17 copy number</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>FISH</th>
<th>CISH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disomy 17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Low level amplification</td>
<td>No amplification (4–5 dots/nucleus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monosomy 17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low level amplification</td>
<td>No amplification (3–4 dots/nucleus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High polysomy 17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No amplification</td>
<td>Low or high level amplification*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two cases showed low level amplification by CISH and the others, high level amplification.

FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridisation; CISH, chromogenic in situ hybridisation.

**Take-home messages**

1. Increased human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2) gene copy numbers secondary to polysomy 17 may lead to HER-2 overexpression in some immunohistochemistry 2+/3+ breast cancers, without gene amplification.
2. The high level of concordance between fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) and chromogenic in situ hybridisation (CISH) suggests that CISH is a valid alternative to FISH for assessing HER-2 gene amplification.
3. Cases in which CISH yields borderline copy numbers or low levels of amplification may need FISH to rule out polysomy 17 and to determine HER-2 gene amplification status accurately.
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