해외 신간소개


미국 유력 일간지 월스트리트 저널의 플리쳐 신문보도 상 수상 (2004년) 경력을 가진 기자 다니엘 골든은 이 저서에서 하버드, 프린스턴, 브라운 등 Ivy League 대학과 듀크 등 유수대학의 대학발전 기성기금 모금수단으로 기여입학정책의 내막을 파헤치며 케네디, 알 고어 접안등 실명을 거론하는 강력한 수사보고형의 연구이다. 캘리포니아공대(Caltech) 그리고 쿠퍼유니언(Cooper Union) 대학은 공정한 입학심사과정이 실천되는 모범사례로 언급되었다.

"A heavy-hitting, name-naming expose by Wall Street Journal deputy bureau chief Golden concludes that Ivy League admissions offices do not practice meritocracy. Instead, top-drawer schools reward donor–happy alums and the 'legacy establishment,' which Golden defines as 'elites mastering the art of perpetuating themselves.' Moreover, the 'preference of privilege' enables wealthy candidates to nose out more deserving working–and middle–class students, especially new immigrants and Asian–Americans."
(출처: Publishers Weekly)

"That virtually all elite private colleges give preference to the sons and daughters of alumni will come as a surprise to no one. But preference also extends to wealthy applicants whose families have been identified as potential donors—‘development case’ in the parlance of the trade. Golden documents that even Harvard, with its 25.9 billion endowment, is not above giving preference to the scions of the super–rich. His primary example, however, of development cases being central to the admissions process is Duke, where the university embarked on a systematic strategy of raising its endowment by seeking out wealthy applicants. Golden estimates that Duke admitted 100 development applicants in the late 1990s who otherwise would have been rejected."
(출처: Washington Post)