Safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of udenafil, a novel PDE-5 inhibitor, in healthy young Korean subjects
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WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ABOUT THIS SUBJECT
- The phosphodiesterase (PDE) type 5 inhibitor is a widely used agent that facilitates penile erection.
- Udenafil is newly developed as a PDE-5 inhibitor.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
- This is the first study to determine the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of udenafil in healthy subjects.
- Udenafil was safe and well tolerated in healthy Korean subjects.
- The AUC and Cmax of udenafil increased supraproportionally with increasing dose upon single administration, but there was no significant drug accumulation upon multiple administrations.

AIM
To evaluate the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics (PK) of udenafil, a novel phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor.

METHODS
A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-rising, parallel-group, single- and multiple-dose study was conducted in healthy Korean subjects. The subjects were allocated to single-dose groups of 25, 50, 100, 200 or 300 mg (eight subjects in each dose group, including two placebos), or to multiple-dose groups of 100 or 200 mg (once-daily dosing for 7 days; nine subjects in each dose group, including three placebos). Serial samples of blood and urine were collected after oral administration and the drug concentrations in plasma and urine were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography. Safety and tolerability were evaluated by monitoring clinical laboratory parameters and adverse events.

RESULTS
Udenafil reached peak plasma concentrations at 0.8–1.3 h, and then declined mono-exponentially with a terminal half-life of 7.3–12.1 h in the single-dose study. The area under the time–concentration curves (AUC) and maximum plasma concentrations (Cmax) increased supraproportionally with increasing dose in the single-dose study. During multiple dosing, a steady state was reached at 5 days and little accumulation occurred after repeated dosing for 7 days. Udenafil was generally well tolerated in these healthy subjects, and no serious adverse events occurred.

CONCLUSIONS
Udenafil was safe and well tolerated in healthy volunteers. The AUC and Cmax of udenafil increased supraproportionally with increasing dose upon single administration, but there was no significant drug accumulation upon multiple administrations.
Introduction

Approximately 20% of males >20 years old in the USA are reported to have experienced erectile dysfunction and its incidence increases with age [1]. As the prevalence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease increases, the incidence of erectile dysfunction also increases.

Nitric oxide is locally released during sexual stimulation and activates guanylate cyclase, which increases guanosine 3′, 5′-cyclic monophosphate (cGMP). This in turn relaxes the smooth muscles in the corpus cavernosum and induces blood inflow, resulting in penile erection [2, 3]. Phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE-5) predominates in the corpus cavernosum and ends penile erection by disintegrating cGMP [4]. Thus, the inhibition of PDE-5 maintains a penile erection which has been induced by sexual stimulation.

PDE-5 inhibitors are widely used for the treatment of erectile dysfunction. However, PDE-5 inhibitors exhibit adverse reactions such as facial flushing, headache, colour discrimination abnormality, cardiovascular events and so on [5, 6]. PDE-5 is distributed throughout the systemic vasculature, although it is principally found in the corpus cavernosum and lung [7]. Therefore, increased cGMP by a PDE-5 inhibitor in the systemic vasculature may induce vasodilation and subsequent decrease in blood pressure [8]. It has been a major concern that PDE-5 inhibitors may increase the risk of cardiovascular events, especially in patients taking concomitant nitrates for comorbid vascular diseases [9]. Thus, efforts are underway to develop new PDE-5 inhibitors having a higher potency, but with a lower incidence of adverse drug reactions.

Udenafil (5-[2-propyloxy-5-(1-methyl-2-pyrrolidiny lethylamidosulphonyl)phenhyl]-1-methyl-3-propyl-1,6 dihydro-7H-pyrazolo(4,3-d)-pyrimidin-7-one; Zydena®, Dong-A Pharmaceutical Company, Seoul, S. Korea) is a novel, potent PDE-5 inhibitor having a similar molecular structure to sildenafil citrate (Viagra®) (Figure 1) [10]. An in vitro study evaluating various PDE isozymes has shown that udenafil is comparable to sildenafil (Viagra®) in selectivity to PDE-5 [11]. It has been shown to have potent erectile properties in rats and rabbits with a broad safety margin in preclinical studies [12].

As a first-in-human clinical trial, this study was conducted to evaluate the safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetic (PK) characteristics after single and multiple oral administrations in healthy male subjects.

Methods

The study was conducted at the Clinical Trials Centre, Seoul National University Hospital (SNUH) and the study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of SNUH. All procedures were performed in accordance with the recommendations of the Declaration of Helsinki on biomedical research involving human subjects. All subjects gave written informed consent before being enrolled.

Study population

Forty male subjects, aged 19–32 years (mean ± SD: 23.5 ± 3.5 years) and weighing 51.4–81.0 kg (65.9 ± 7.3 kg), participated in the single-dose study, and 18 healthy male subjects, aged 20–29 years (24.1 ± 2.9 years) and weighing 60.0–76.7 kg (67.1 ± 5.2 kg), took part in the multiple-dose study. The subjects were evaluated as being healthy by physical examination, vital signs, 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG), serology, urinary drug screen, routine clinical laboratory tests, visual acuity test and colour discrimination test performed within 3 weeks of the first administration of the study drug. All subjects with a history of achromatopsia, dyschromatopsia or retinitis pigmentosa were excluded from the study. Subjects were prohibited from the use of any drugs within 7 days prior to the study, including heavy drinking. Additionally, their body weight was required to be within 85–115% of ideal body weight. Subjects were required also not to have smoked within 3 months of the first administration of the study drug.

Study design

This study was conducted as a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalating, parallel-group design. In the single-dose study, subjects were randomly assigned to one of five dosage groups of 25, 50, 100, 200 or 300 mg; each group contained eight subjects, two of whom were randomly allocated to receive placebo. The subjects were admitted in the morning of day 1 (1 day before study drug administration) for baseline evaluations. On the next day (day 1), the subjects were orally administered the scheduled dosage with 240 ml of water around 09.00 h, after an overnight fasting of at least 10 h. They were kept in the semi-Fowler position and fasting state until 4 h after drug administration, except for 200 ml of water 2 h after dosing. Subjects were discharged in the morning of day 2 and revisited for post-treatment evaluation on day 10 or 11.

The multiple-dose study was performed after the single-dose study. Subjects were randomly assigned to one of two dose groups of 100 and 200 mg; each group contained nine subjects, three of whom received placebo. The
subjects went through an identical schedule to that of the single-dose study for day -1 and 1. From day 2 through day 7, subjects were administered udenafil or placebo once a day at the same time as day 1 with 240 ml of water. On day 7, the subjects were given the drug after overnight fasting and were then kept in the semi-Fowler position and fasting state until 4 h after drug administration except for 200 ml of water 2 h after dosing. The subjects were discharged on state until 4 h after drug administration except for 200 ml day at the same time as day 1 with 240 ml of water. On day 7, subjects were administered udenafil or placebo once a day at the same time as day 1, just before dosing on days 5, 6 and 7, taken before and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 24 and 32 h after drug administration during the single-dose study. For the multiple-dose study, blood samples were taken before dosing and at regular intervals after dosing, and ambulatory blood pressure (ABP) and total peripheral resistance (TPR) were measured using an ABP Monitor (SpaceLabs Inc., Issaquah, WA, USA) and computerized impedance cardiography (CIC-1000; Sorba Medical System, Milwaukee, WI, USA), respectively, before and after dosing at scheduled times.

Pharmacokinetic evaluation
Blood samples (8 ml) were taken from an indwelling cannula inserted into a forearm vein at the scheduled times: before dosing (0 h), 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 24 and 32 h after drug administration during the single-dose study. For the multiple-dose study, blood samples were taken before and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12 and 24 h after dosing on day 1, just before dosing on days 5, 6 and 7, and also at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 24, 32 and 48 h after dosing on day 7.

The blood samples were centrifuged at 1200 g for 10 min at 4°C, and plasma was immediately stored in polypropylene tubes at -20°C or less until measurement of the plasma concentrations of udenafil. Urine samples were collected at predefined intervals of 0–8, 8–24, 24–32 and 32–48 h after dosing in the single-dose study. Urine was also collected from 0 to 12 h on day 1, and 0–12, 12–24 and 24–48 h after dosing on day 7 in the multiple-dose study.

Concentrations of udenafil were measured using plasma and urine samples. Noncompartmental PK analysis was performed using WinNonlin (Professional Network Version 5.0; Pharsight Corp., Mountain View, CA, USA). The actual blood collection times were used in PK analysis. The maximum drug concentrations in plasma (C_max) and the time at C_max (t_max) were determined directly from the observed values. The terminal elimination rate constant (λz) was estimated by linear regression of the log-linear decline of individual plasma concentration–time data. The terminal half-life (t_1/2) was calculated for each individual as follows: t_1/2 = ln(2)/λz.

The individual area under the time–concentration curve (AUC) from time 0 to the last measurable time (AUC_last) was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule and the AUC extrapolated to infinity (AUC∞) was calculated as follows: AUC∞ = AUC_last + C_last / λz (C_last: the last measurable concentration). Apparent clearance (CL/F) was calculated as Dose/AUC∞. The individual renal clearance (CLR) was calculated as follows: CLR = AE0–48h (amount excreted in urine during 0–48 h)/AUC0–48h (AUC from 0 to 48 h). The accumulation index (RA) was calculated as AUC7–8h (day 7, from 144 to 168 h)/AUC1 (day 1, from 0 to 24 h) in the multiple-dose study.

Measurement of drug concentrations
Udenafil concentrations in plasma and urine were determined using high-performance liquid chromatography, as described previously, with a slight modification [13]. Udenafil and the internal standard, sildenafil, were provided by the Dong-A Pharmaceutical Company. In brief, after vortexing the mixture of biological samples (1 ml; urine was diluted 10–50 times), internal standard (250 ng), methanol (100 μl), sodium bicarbonate buffer (200 μl, 0.1 M) and ethyl ether (5 ml) for 60 s and centrifuging at 3000 g for 10 min, the organic layer was transferred and evaporated. The residue obtained was reconstituted in 150 μl of the mobile phase and 100 μl of the supernatant was injected onto a Capcell pak C18 column (5 μm particle size, 150 × 4.6 mm i.d.; Shiseido, Tokyo, Japan) at ambient temperature. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (30%) and 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer of pH 4.5 (70%), which was delivered isocratically at a flow rate of 1.0 ml min⁻¹. Retention times for udenafil and the internal standard were approximately 12 and 9 min, respectively. The peaks were determined using an ultraviolet detector set at a wavelength of 292 nm. The lower limit of quantification was 5 ng ml⁻¹ in plasma and 10 ng ml⁻¹ in urine. The method was validated over the range 5–1000 ng ml⁻¹ in plasma and 10–1000 ng ml⁻¹ in urine. The accuracy in plasma for the within- and between-run ranged from −12.9% to 2.2% and from −10.0% to −1.6%, respectively, and the precision for the within- and between-run ranged from 0.8% to 5.1% and from 1.4% to 7.9%, respectively. The
accuracy in urine for the within- and between-run ranged from −9.1% to 1.4% and from −3.5% to 4.1%, respectively, and the precision for the within- and between-run ranged from 1.3% to 3.3% and from 2.4% to 3.5%, respectively [14].

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS® 12.0 software (SPSS Korea, Seoul, Korea). ANOVA was also used to evaluate any differences in apparent clearance (CL/F), terminal half-life (t1/2) and renal clearance (CLR) among the dose groups in the single-dose study. Dose linearity was also evaluated by comparing PK results such as Cmax/dose and AUC0–inf/dose in the single-dose study. In the multiple-dose study, PK results were compared to determine any differences between day 1 and day 7. In order to confirm the steady state of udenafil, predosing concentrations of udenafil on days 5 and 6 were compared using paired t-test. P-values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Safety and tolerability
In the single-dose study, there were a total of 75 adverse events (AE). Of these, 71 were mild and four were moderate in severity. Forty-two AEs (56%) were judged to be possibly related to udenafil and the frequency increased with the doses (0 cases in the 25-mg, three in the 50-mg, six in the 100-mg, 13 in the 200-mg and 20 in the 300-mg dose group). The remaining 33 AEs were decided to be possibly related to placebo (six cases, 8%) or unrelated to udenafil or placebo (27 cases, 36%). The common AEs which could be possibly related to udenafil were headache, penile erection, facial flushing (Table 1). Although penile erection would be an intended effect in erectile dysfunction patients, this symptom was classified as an AE in this study, considering that this was a first-in-human study in healthy subjects.

In the multiple-dose study, there were a total of 101 AEs. Of these, 94 were mild and seven AEs were moderate in severity. With regard to the relationship to udenafil, 82 AEs (81%) were determined to be possibly related to udenafil (29 cases in the 100-mg dose group, 53 cases in the 200-mg dose group). The remaining 19 AEs were possibly related to placebo (six cases, 6%) or unrelated to udenafil or placebo (13 cases, 13%). The common AEs that could be possibly related to udenafil were headache, penile erection, facial flushing and febrile sensation (Table 1). The colour discrimination abnormality was detected in the multiple-dose study, which was related to the ‘blue-green’ region of the spectrum; it was detected 1.5 h after the first dosing on colour discrimination test and it spontaneously recovered to normal on the successive test (1.5 h after the fourth dosing) (Table 1). Therefore, this abnormality was considered to be clinically insignificant.

There were no clinically significant findings in the routine laboratory parameters, visual acuity, platelet aggrega-

Table 1
Number of possible treatment-related adverse events at each dose level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose mg</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>Multiple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (excluding penile erection)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity*</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial flushing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Febrile sensation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigestion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watery stool</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyeball pain</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blurring of vision</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour discrimination abnormality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glare</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention disturbance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tingling sensation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal stuffiness</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile erection</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG abnormality</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mod, moderate; there were no adverse events of ‘mild’ or ‘severe’ intensity in the single-dose study, and no adverse events of ‘severe’ intensity in the multiple-dose study.
†The ‘blue-green’ region abnormality on colour discrimination test was detected 1.5 h after the first dosing. ‡These abnormalities (P-axis deviation, ectopic atrial rhythm) were detected 1 h after udenafil oral administration and then spontaneously recovered to normal 31 h later.
to bleeding time or semen analysis. Additionally, vital signs (sitting posture), ABP and TPR between the udenafil group and placebo group exhibited little difference, indicating there were no clinically significant changes (data not shown).

**Pharmacokinetic profile**

Udenafil was rapidly absorbed, reaching peak plasma concentrations at 0.8–1.3 h and then declining mono-exponentially with a terminal half-life ($t_{1/2}$) from 7.3 to 12.1 h in the single-dose group (Figure 2, Table 2). Significant differences were found in the apparent clearance ($\text{CL/F}$) and terminal half-life among the dosage groups ($P < 0.05$ for ANOVA, Table 2). Similarly, there were significant differences in the dose-normalized $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{\text{t}}$ among the dosage groups ($P < 0.0001$, ANOVA); both values increased on dose escalation. Therefore, the $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{\text{t}}$ of udenafil increased supraproportionally with increasing dose (Figure 3), whereas the renal clearances ($\text{CL}_R$) were similar among the dosage groups.

In the multiple-dose study, inspection of the mean concentration–time profiles showed that udenafil reached steady state by day 5. This was confirmed by using paired $t$-test between the dose-normalized concentration on day 5 and that on day 6 ($P = 0.367$, Figure 2). The concentration–time profiles on day 7 were comparable to those of day 1 (Figure 2). Pharmacokinetic parameters exhibited similar individual values for $C_{\text{max}}$ and $t_{\text{max}}$ between day 1 and day 7 (Table 2). Between the 100-mg and 200-mg dosage groups, no statistically significant differences were found in the dose-normalized $C_{\text{max}}$ ($P = 0.172$) or dose-normalized $AUC_{\text{t}}$ on day 7 ($P = 0.681$, $t$-test). However, the apparent clearance decreased in both the 100-mg and 200-mg dosage groups on day 7 in comparison with day 1, and, in contrast, the terminal half-life significantly increased on day 7 in comparison with day 1 ($P < 0.05$ for paired $t$-test, Table 2). The observed accumulation index was $1.39 \pm 0.26$ in the 100-mg and $1.22 \pm 0.20$ in the 200-mg dose group.

**Discussion**

In this first-in-human study of udenafil in healthy male subjects, there were dose-dependent increases in AEs across treatments. However, none of the AEs was severe, and all spontaneously disappeared without any treatment. Headache, facial flushing and nasal stuffiness were frequently reported, and these AEs have also been reported for other PDE-5 inhibitors, which are pharmacologically associated with vasodilation [6]. In addition, some subjects complained of eyeball pain, which has also been found in other PDE-5 inhibitors [10]. In the multiple-dose study, a ‘blue-green’ region abnormality on colour discrimination test was detected 1.5 h after the first dosing. The abnormality on the test spontaneously recovered to normal on successive tests (1.5 h after the fourth dosing). This transient impairment of colour discrimination (blue/green) has also been reported for the other PDE-5 inhibitors [10]. In addition, vital signs (sitting posture), ABP and TPR between the udenafil and placebo groups exhibited little difference, indicating there were no clinically significant changes. Although this result suggests that udenafil can be safely prescribed, it has the limitation that it was obtained only in normotensive subjects and needs to be further evaluated in patients in a variety of clinical situations.

The noncompartmental pharmacokinetic analysis in healthy subjects indicated increments of dose-normalized $AUC$ and $C_{\text{max}}$ in relation to dose in the single-dose study. In udenafil, $C_{\text{max}}$ was increased to 2.7-fold, and $AUC_{\text{t}}$ was increased to 2.8-fold (100- vs. 200-mg dose group). The $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{\text{t}}$ of sildenafil were increased to 2.2- and 2.1-fold, respectively, on doubling of the dose based on regression
Table 2
Pharmacokinetic parameters of udenafil following single and multiple oral administration

(a) Single-dose study*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (mg)</th>
<th>Cmax (ng ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>AUC∞ (ng h ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>CL/F (l h⁻¹)</th>
<th>t½ (h)</th>
<th>tmax (h)</th>
<th>Ae0 (mg)</th>
<th>CLx (l h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 (n = 6)</td>
<td>57.5 ± 25.9</td>
<td>160.9 ± 38.1</td>
<td>90 ± 44</td>
<td>7.27 ± 3.21</td>
<td>1.00 (0.50–2.00)</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.35</td>
<td>4.54 ± 1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (n = 6)</td>
<td>160.9 ± 38.1</td>
<td>2826 ± 765</td>
<td>49 ± 7</td>
<td>10.71 ± 2.38</td>
<td>1.25 (0.50-2.00)</td>
<td>4.18 ± 1.03</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (n = 6)</td>
<td>416.2 ± 124.6</td>
<td>7898 ± 1027</td>
<td>38 ± 10</td>
<td>12.13 ± 1.74</td>
<td>1.25 (1.00-5.00)</td>
<td>11.85 ± 3.05</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 (n = 6)</td>
<td>1138.6 ± 276.0</td>
<td>1187 ± 3057</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>9.88 ± 1.95</td>
<td>0.76 (0.50–2.50)</td>
<td>29.48 ± 5.02</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 (n = 6)</td>
<td>1588.3 ± 282.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 ± 8</td>
<td>9.74 ± 0.93</td>
<td>1.25 (1.00–1.52)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Multiple-dose study§

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose (mg)</th>
<th>Cmax (ng ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>AUC∞ (ng h ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>CL/F (l h⁻¹)</th>
<th>t½ (h)</th>
<th>tmax (h)</th>
<th>Ae0 (mg)</th>
<th>CLx (l h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 (n = 6)</td>
<td>355.6 ± 62.1</td>
<td>2056 ± 407</td>
<td>44 ± 10</td>
<td>9.33 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.49 (1.00–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.35</td>
<td>4.54 ± 1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>395.6 ± 115.3</td>
<td>2837 ± 598</td>
<td>30 ± 11%</td>
<td>11.75 ± 2.13%</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00–2.00)</td>
<td>4.18 ± 1.03</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>972.6 ± 380.7</td>
<td>5221 ± 1453</td>
<td>37 ± 10%</td>
<td>8.54 ± 0.71</td>
<td>1.00 (0.50–2.50)</td>
<td>11.85 ± 3.05</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 (n = 6)</td>
<td>765 ± 110.6</td>
<td>1453 ± 3903</td>
<td>29 ± 9%</td>
<td>12.44 ± 2.28%</td>
<td>1.25 (0.50–2.50)</td>
<td>29.48 ± 5.02</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>1027 ± 18</td>
<td>5221 ± 1453</td>
<td>37 ± 10%</td>
<td>12.44 ± 2.28%</td>
<td>1.25 (0.50–2.50)</td>
<td>29.48 ± 5.02</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>1027 ± 18</td>
<td>5221 ± 1453</td>
<td>37 ± 10%</td>
<td>12.44 ± 2.28%</td>
<td>1.25 (0.50–2.50)</td>
<td>29.48 ± 5.02</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Cmax, maximum plasma concentration; AUC∞, AUC extrapolated to infinity; CL/F (apparent clearance); t½, terminal half-life; tmax, time of maximum concentration; Ae0, amount excreted unchanged in urine during 0–48 h; CLx, renal clearance. †Values are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Cmax, maximum plasma concentration; AUC∞, AUC extrapolated to infinity; CL/F (apparent clearance); t½, terminal half-life; tmax, time of maximum concentration; Ae0, amount excreted unchanged in urine during 0–48 h; CLx, renal clearance.

Figure 3
Distribution of dose-normalized Cmax and AUC∞ values following single-dose administration. The values show supraproportional increases with dose (P < 0.0001).

Analysis [15]. The Cmax and AUC∞ of vardenafil were also both increased to 2.2-fold after doses of 10 and 20 mg, respectively [16]. This nonlinear pharmacokinetic characteristic implies that the drug’s elimination is saturable. This might be due to saturation of the drug transporter or metabolic system and is recognized by a decrease in apparent clearance at higher doses [17]. This finding has also been shown in a preclinical study, in which the pharmacokinetics following single escalating doses of udenafil in rats revealed an increase in oral bioavailability relative to the administered amount of udenafil (30 mg kg⁻¹, 38%; 50 mg kg⁻¹, 55.6%; administered amount, oral bioavailability, respectively) [18].

In the multiple-dose study, the half-life on day 7 was longer than in the single-dose study. This may be accounted for by differences in the PK-sampling time points [i.e. the additional 48 h after the last (seventh) dosing in the multiple-dose study]. Udenafil exhibited a longer half-life (7–12 h) than sildenafil (half-life 4 h) [10]. The longer half-life means a longer exposure of the human
body to the PDE-5 inhibitor, and this might result in a longer duration of effect. The median $t_{\text{max}}$ of udenafil was 1 h after dosing, and this is similar to that of sildenafil, implying that the onset of the udenafil effect would be as fast as that of sildenafil. However, the effects of the longer half-life and equal $t_{\text{max}}$ of udenafil should be further evaluated in the context of patient responses in later phase clinical trials (reports in submission).

The $IC_{50}$ value (mean ± SD) of udenafil was 8.25 ± 2.90 nM and sildenafil was 8.50 ± 2.05 nM in the PDE-5 of human platelets [11]. The oral bioavailability of udenafil has been calculated to be 38–56% in rats [18], and that of sildenafil to be 23–44% in rats [19]. Therefore, udenafil was expected to show a similar clinical dosage range compared with sildenafil. Based on these pharmacological findings and also the safety results of this study, further studies of udenafil in erectile dysfunction patients were conducted at dosages ranging from 100 to 200 mg. The efficacy and safety were confirmed for the same dose range (100–200 mg) in the following Phase III studies, and Korean regulatory authorities subsequently approved this dosage range for erectile dysfunction patients [20].

In summary, udenafil was found to be safe and well-tolerated in normal healthy subjects. Although the AUC and $C_{\text{max}}$ of udenafil increased supraproportionally with increasing dose on single administration, there was no significant drug accumulation upon multiple administrations. Based on these findings, the proposed dosing regimens and efficacy of udenafil were evaluated in further studies involving patients with erectile dysfunction.
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