Management of Recurrent Vestibular Schwannomas
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Objective: Management of recurrent vestibular schwannomas (VS) after microsurgery or radiosurgery is a complicated subject. However, few studies have documented the outcome of recurrent VS. The authors review clinical experience of recurrent VS management and analyzed the efficacy of treatment modalities.

Methods: Between 1990 and 2002, 293 patients harboring unilateral VS underwent microsurgery, radiosurgery, microsurgery followed by radiosurgery. Of these, 26 procedures (in 20 patients) were performed to treat recurrent VS. Recurrence was assessed from an increased tumor size by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The mean age of patients with recurrent VS was 46.9 years and the mean follow-up period was 68 months. Radiological characteristics were investigated and growth rates of recurrent tumors were calculated by measuring changes in tumor diameter on MRI after treatment.

Results: MRI characteristics revealed a lobulated contour in 75% and a cyst in 60% of the patients. Only 1 patient showed neither lobulation nor a cyst. The average diameter of the recurrent tumors were 36.9 mm. The overall tumor control rate for initial management was 87.4%, 94.7%, and 98.5% for microsurgery, radiosurgery, and microsurgery plus radiosurgery, respectively. However, control rates for recurrent tumors were lower at 85%, 63%, and 80%, respectively.

Conclusion: A cystic nature and a lobulated tumor contour are frequent clinical characteristics of recurrent VS. Microsurgery or microsurgery followed by radiosurgery shows little difference in tumor control rate for primary and recurrent VS. However, radiosurgery alone appears to be less beneficial for recurrent VS.
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microsurgery followed by radiosurgery) who were treated between 1990 and 2002. The recurrent cases consisted of 8 males and 12 females of mean age 46.9 years (range 19~63 years) with a mean follow-up period of 68 months (range 21~121 months). Patients with VS associated with neurofibromatosis were excluded.

Tumors were measured in x, y, and z dimensions using a computerized magnetic resonance imaging(MRI) system. These measurements included tumor dimension parallel to the petrous bone (x), perpendicular to the petrous bone at the internal auditory canal in axial images (y), and longest superoinferior dimension in coronal images (z). Treating the tumor shape as an ellipsoid, we assessed its volume using the following formula:

$$V = \frac{4\pi xyz}{3 \times 2^3}$$

Tumor equivalent diameter (d) was defined as:

$$d = (xyz)^{1/3}$$

Radiological characteristics were carefully investigated and the growth rates of recurred tumors were calculated by measuring tumor diameter changes on MR images after treatment. Recurrence was assessed from increased tumor size in MR images with or without symptom aggravation.

## Results

Initial tumor control was achieved in 83.3% (128 of 146) in the microsurgery group, 97.7% (84 of 86) in the radiosurgery group, and in 100% (61 of 61) in the microsurgery followed by radiosurgery group. The initial recurrence rate was 6.8%. The mean recur-free time of the 20 patients was 40.6 months (range 5~113 months). At the time of initial management the average tumor volume of the recurred cases was 28.1cc (range 6.0~47.1cc). And the average tumor volume of the first recurrence was 35.6cc (range 2.7~108.7cc).

The mean time to the second recurrence of 6 cases was 25.3 months (range 8~68 months) and the average tumor volume of the second recurrence was 24.2cc (range 1.1~61.6cc).

The management of recurrent VS is summarized (Fig. 1). Of 18 recurrent VS after microsurgery, 8 patients underwent microsurgery, 7 radiosurgery, and 3 microsurgery followed by radiosurgery. And 2 patients with recurrent VS after radiosurgery underwent microsurgery. The average residual tumor volume of the recurrent tumor after microsurgery was 9.0cc (range 0~27.2cc) including two gross-total resections and the tumor volume which underwent radiosurgery after the first recurrence was 6.5cc (range 2.7~11.1cc).

Second recurrence developed in 6 patients; 2 after microsurgery, 3 after radiosurgery, and 1 after microsurgery followed by radiosurgery. Thus the tumor control rates for recurrent tumors were 85% (11/13) for microsurgery, 63% (5/8) for radiosurgery, and 80% (4/5) for microsurgery followed by radiosurgery, respectively. The radiological characteristics of recurrent VS were interesting. A cystic portion was detected in 12 patients (60%), and a lobulating feature was noticed in 15 (75%) (Fig. 2). Only one case had neither cyst nor lobulation.

Facial nerve function was assessed at the last follow-up in the recurrent VS. Regarding House-Brackman grade 1 and 2 as functional preservation, facial nerve function was preserved in only 5 patients (25%).

## Discussion

Recurrence of vestibular schwannoma: risk factors

Recurrence of VS after treatment using microsurgery(MS) or radiosurgery(RS) has been widely reported with rates ranging from 0% to 44%2,7,8,24-27,30. Diverse reported recurrence rates are caused by differences in follow-up periods, differing definitions of the extent of tumor resection, and the different diagnostic procedures used to assess tumor recurrence28).

Indeed recurrence developed even after complete resection of VS, incomplete resection of the tumor has greater chance for recurrence28). Several situations can prevent total tumor resection, i.e., excessive tumor vascularity, firm adherence of the tumor to critical neural or vascular structures, extensive
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Fig. 1. Management flow sheet for the recurrent vestibular schwannomas in the present series.

Fig. 2. The characteristic features frequently observed in the recurrent vestibular schwannomas. Cystic nature of the tumor (A) and lobulated contour (B).
growth deep in the temporal bone, or a poor general patient condition. Moreover, tumors with a diameter exceeding 3cm have a greater likelihood of recurring after microsurgery or radiosurgery.

Cystic changes in VS are well known. The exact location of the facial nerve is unusual in these kind of tumors, and this may cause accidental injury despite intraoperative facial nerve monitoring. When a cystic VS expands and compresses the brain stem and/or the cerebellum, complete tumor removal is difficult due to adherence and avoided to protect neural structures. Thus, a portion of the cyst wall is left and this may allow recurrence development.

In this study, recurrent VSs showed a cystic nature and a lobulated contour in preoperative images. We recommend meticulous extirpation of the tumor with these characteristics and frequent image follow-up.

Management of recurrent vestibular schwannoma

Little information has been provided on the treatment of recurrent VS, and thus microsurgery, radiosurgery, or microsurgery followed by radiosurgery, that are the modalities applied for primary VS are also used to treat recurrent VS. Beatty et al. and others suggested repeated surgical resection as a first-line treatment of choice for recurrent VS in cases treated by microsurgery. Despite reports that postoperative complications such as cerebrospinal fluid leak, ataxia, facial nerve palsy, and/or other multiple cranial nerve deficits are more frequent for repeated microsurgery, surgeons tend to have individual preferences for repeated surgery. However, some authors recommend that patients with recurrence or evidence of a growing tumor following surgery should be referred for radiosurgery. Pollock et al. reported radiosurgical outcome in 76 patients who had recurrent after one or more microsurgeries. Tumor growth control was achieved in 73 patients (94%), and 5 patients experienced tumor progression and required further treatment (microsurgery in 4 cases and repeated radiosurgery in one case). In another study radiosurgery was found to control tumor growth in 48 of 50 patients (96%).

Microsurgery or radiosurgery have also been applied to treat recurrent VS after radiosurgery failed to achieve tumor growth control. Reoperations of the recurrent tumor previously treated with radiosurgery were considered to be more difficult to resect because of tumor fibrosis, loss of the peritumoral arachnoidal plane, and a combination of tumor fibrosis and adjacent scarring. However, the reported experience about this problem is variable and no definite conclusion can be made.

In our series, the treatment modalities selected for recurrent VS were chosen based on tumor characteristics, relationship with neural structures, patient general condition, comorbidities, and patient preference. Tumor growth control was fairly achieved for 11 of 13 (85%) recurrent tumors in the microsurgery group and for 4 of 5 cases (80%) in the microsurgery followed by radiosurgery group respectively. However, in terms of radiosurgical outcome, the result was disappointing. The tumor control rate was only 63% (5 of 8 cases) for the recurrent tumors which contrasted with 97.7% (84 of 86 cases) for primary tumors, but this outcome was limited because the included cases were small to induce the generalization.

Cystic nature and a lobulated tumor contour are frequently identified in recurrent VS. Microsurgery or microsurgery followed by radiosurgery shows little difference in terms of tumor control rate for primary or recurrent VS. However, radiosurgery alone appears to be less beneficial in recurrent VS despite its high efficacy as a primary treatment.

Conclusion

A cystic nature and a lobulated tumor contour are frequently identified in recurrent VS. Microsurgery or microsurgery followed by radiosurgery were chosen based on tumor characteristics, relationship with neural structures, patient general condition, comorbidities, and patient preference. Tumor growth control was fairly achieved for 11 of 13 (85%) recurrent tumors in the microsurgery group and for 4 of 5 cases (80%) in the microsurgery followed by radiosurgery group respectively. However, in terms of radiosurgical outcome, the result was disappointing. The tumor control rate was only 63% (5 of 8 cases) for the recurrent tumors which contrasted with 97.7% (84 of 86 cases) for primary tumors, but this outcome was limited because the included cases were small to induce the generalization.

Causes for radiosurgical failure

In our study, radiosurgical outcome for recurrent VS showed a lower tumor control rate than microsurgery or combination therapy. Only one second recurrence developed among the ten first recurrences with 9.0cc of the average residual volume although the tumor volume treated with RS was 6.5cc resulting in 3 second recurrences. The tumor volume made no significant difference in terms of treatment outcome in our results. All radiosurgically failed cases showed cystic and lobulating characteristics. Among them, 3 patients with a cystic component developed a second recurrence and required repeated microsurgery. Bloch et al. advocated that stereotactic radiosurgery is not effective at treating cystic recurrence, because the condition is not caused by rapid cell division. It was further suggested that radiosurgery might even stimulate further cystic expansion in such patients. Pendell et al reported that cyst enlargement as early as 4 months after radiosurgery in all six cases with a cystic portion treated by radiosurgery. Thus unsatisfactory results of radiosurgical outcome for recurrent VS in the present series are originated form the cystic characteristics of the tumor which frequently recurs. More sophisticated study on the mechanism of this problem is required to confirm.
Commentary

The authors performed a valuable analysis of 20 cases of recurrent vestibular schwannomas (VS). They attempted to identify the characteristic findings on MR images that could signal the possibility of recurrence later. Moreover, their results were very rewarding in that they analyzed the efficacy of each treatment modality for recurrent VS. The authors suggested that tumor with lobulating contour or cystic nature should be closely followed up. Also, their results supported that radiosurgery alone appeared to be less beneficial for recurrent VS.

The finding that recurrence rate of microsurgery alone was 36.7% (18 of 49 patients), in contrast to radiosurgery alone, recurrence rate of which was 2.3% (2 of 86), illustrates that tumor with lobulating contour or cystic nature should be closely followed up. Also, their results supported that radiosurgery alone appeared to be less beneficial for recurrent VS.

These findings are well concordant with the results of recent another report[1]. These data will add substantially to the growing pool of information about characteristic radiological findings of recurrent VS. It would be better to be described more in detail which findings were observed in 6 patients who showed second recurrence, as well as first recurrence.
I expect other immunohistological prognostic factors for developing recurrence would be identified in the next study.
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