Pressure Measurement in Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Correlation with Electrodiagnostic and Ultrasonographic Findings
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Objective: This study was done to evaluate the correlation between carpal tunnel pressure (CTP), electrodiagnostic and ultrasonographic findings in patients with carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS).

Methods: CTP was measured during endoscopic carpal tunnel release (ECTR) for CTS using Spiegelberg ICP monitoring device with parenchymal type catheter. Neurophysiologic severity and nerve cross sectional area were evaluated using nerve conductive study and ultrasonography (USG) before ECTR in all patients.

Results: Tests were performed in a total of 48 wrists in 39 patients (9 cases bilateral). Maximum CTP was 56.7 ± 19.3 mmHg (Mean ± SD) and 7.4 ± 3.3 mmHg before and after ECTR, respectively. No correlation was found between maximum CTP and either neurophysiologic severity or nerve cross sectional area, whereas we found a significant correlation between the latter two parameters.

Conclusion: CTP was not correlated with neurophysiologic severity and nerve cross sectional area. Dynamic, rather than static, pressure in carpal tunnel might account for the basic pathophysiology of CTS better.
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INTRODUCTION

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is the most common entrapment neuropathy. Combination of clinical findings with electrodiagnostic study is the most valid way of diagnosing CTS1-24. Since CTS is a compression neuropathy, the most direct evaluation tool would be the measurement of carpal tunnel pressure (CTP)2-11. Since the first report of CTP measurement using water-filled bulb, there have been many studies about CTP in patients having CTS, using various devices3,4,5,13,20,26,30. CTP was reported to be elevated in patients with CTS, ranging from 12 to 43 mmHg, while it was 5-14 mmHg in healthy subjects5,15,20,26,31,32. Elevated CTP in CTS has been reported to decrease to the normal range immediately after surgical release of the transverse carpal ligament15,27,38.

The nerve compression at carpal tunnel lead to functional change of the median nerve, which can be evaluated by nerve conductive study, i.e., focal slowing of nerve conduction velocity across the carpal tunnel1-5. Structural change has also known to occur in the median nerve, which can be assessed by ultrasonography (USG), i.e., swollen nerve in patients with CTS2-7,23. However, little is known about the relationship between CTP and functional/structural changes caused by elevated pressure. Therefore, this study was performed to investigate the relationship between CTP and the findings of electrodiagnostic and ultrasonographic studies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and operative procedure

From November 2005 to May 2007, CTP was measured during operation for CTS. The patients were diagnosed by
clinical symptoms and signs and confirmed by electrophysiological study. Patients with idiopathic CTS were included in study.

Endoscopic carpal tunnel release (ECTR) was done for patients who did not respond to conservative treatment or presented with thenar atrophy. All of the patients were operated on using Endocarp™ (Linvatec, Largo, FL, USA) under local anesthesia and with application of pneumatic tourniquet. The nature of the study was explained to all patients and informed consent for the pressure measurement was obtained from the patients. This study was approved by the institutional review board of our hospital.

**Electrophysiologic study and ultrasonography**

Nerve conduction studies (NCS) were performed before operation using standard techniques of surface stimulation and recording (Nicolet Viking IV, Madison, WI, USA), by one neurologist (YHH). Skin temperatures were maintained above 31.0˚C. Median sensory nerve conduction velocity (SNCV) was orthodromically measured in the index finger-wrist segment, and the median distal motor latency from the wrist 5 cm proximal to the recording electrode at the abductor pollicis brevis. When these conventional tests yielded normal results, segmental (mixed nerve conduction with stimulation of mildpalm and recording at the wrist) or comparative studies (median/ulnar or median/radial comparisons) were performed. The severity of CTS was assessed based on the results of NCS using a previously reported neurophysiologic classification.

USG of the carpal tunnel was performed before operation by one radiologist (YHK), unaware of the clinical finding. The USG device was HDI 3000 (Philips, Nederland), Sequoia 512 (Acuson, Mountain View, CA, USA). A 2-6 MHz linear array transducer was used. Cross sectional area (CSA) of median nerve was measured using elliptical method at two points : one at mid_forearm, the other at just proximal to carpal tunnel, where the nerve swelling is most prominent. The absolute value of nerve area at just proximal to carpal tunnel and ratio of nerve swelling to mid_forearm was used for analysis.

**Pressure measurement**

Pressures were measured with Spiegelberg intracranial pressure (ICP) monitoring device connected to a parenchymal type catheter (B Braun Medical Inc, Germany). After dissection of subcutaneous fat, the ligament layer was identified and incised in transverse direction. The Endocarp cannula was inserted so that the distal end of cannula was located just distal to distal end of ligament using surface landmark. This position of cannula was confirmed by endoscope. The catheter was inserted through cannula into the carpal canal. The depth of insertion was usually 1 cm passed last marker of the catheter (D4). In some cases, initial depth of insertion was at the level of last marker of the catheter (D3), due to the difference in length of carpal tunnel. Then, the cannula was removed and pressure was measured. The pressure measured repeatedly with each 1 cm distraction of catheter until the proximal end of balloon was just visible (D2 and D1) (Fig. 1). Three or four point measurements were done. After division of transverse carpal ligament, the pressure was measured again as done before division of ligament. Pressure proximal to the initial incision of ligament was done with same method. P3 corresponds to D3, P2 to D2, and P1 to D1, respectively. Usually the measurement was done in two to three points.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using a commercially available statistical software package (SPSS for Windows, version 11.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Correlation between NCS grade, USG finding and maximum pressure was analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA). Posthoc analyses were done using Tukey’s HSD. Correlation between USG finding and maximum pressure was analyzed with linear regression. p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

We measured preoperative and postoperative carpal tunnel pressure in 48 wrists from thirty-nine patients (18 Left hands, 30 Right hands). There were 35 females (7 cases...
bilateral) and four males (2 cases bilateral) aged 25 to 80 years (mean 55.5).

NCS and USG were done in all cases. NCS results were as follows: mild in 1 hand (2.1%), moderate in 14 (29.2%), severe in 18 (37.5%) and extreme in 15 (31.3%).

Mean of median nerve CSA was 0.062 cm² (range 0.04-0.09) at forearm, 0.16 (range 0.08-0.3) at just proximal to carpal tunnel. Mean of ratio of CSA was 2.63 (range 1.29-4.93).

Before carpal tunnel release, mean of maximum pressure at distal to incision site was 56.7 mmHg (22.3 to 105) and mean of maximum pressure at proximal to incision site was 18.2 mmHg (5.5 to 34.1). There was a significance of difference in pressure between points of measurements before operation (Table 1).

After carpal tunnel release, mean of maximum pressure at distal to incision site was 7.4 mmHg (0.7 to 13.6) and mean of maximum pressure at proximal to incision site was 7.5 mmHg (1.9 to 13.4) (Fig. 2, Table 2).

When the postoperative pressure was compared with the preoperative value, all of the measurement points except for proximal point 2 showed significant decrease (Table 2). The difference in pressure between measurement points, existed before operation, disappeared after operation (Table 3).

Relation between NCS grade, maximum preoperative pressure and median nerve swelling was investigated. One case with mild grade was excluded to make statistical analysis feasible. There was a statistically significant correlation between NCS and cross sectional median nerve area (p = 0.006, ANOVA) (Fig. 3). Nerve swelling ratio showed relationship of borderline significance with NCS grade (p = 0.061, ANOVA). There was no a statistical difference between NCS grade and maximum pressure (p = 0.795, ANOVA) (Fig. 4). Neither Cross sectional median nerve area at carpal tunnel nor the ratio of nerve swelling showed statistically significant correlation with maximum preoperative pressure (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

As expected, the present study showed high CTPs in patients with CTS, which dropped significantly after carpal tunnel release. The measured pressure values before and after carpal tunnel release in this study were also comparable to those of previous studies. Neurophysiologic severity was also highly correlated with nerve swelling assessed by USG, which is also in line with previous studies. This suggests functional change correlates with anatomical change. However, we could not find any significant correlation between the CTP and either neurophysiologic severity nor USG findings.

Regarding the relationship between CTP and neurophysiologic severity, previous studies reported a significant
correlation between them, suggesting that the increased pressure underlie the functional alteration of nerve conduction. Our results, however, failed to show such a significant correlation, and the discrepancy is to be addressed in detail. First of all, there are several differences between the present study and previous ones in neurophysiologic study method and patient population included. The median nerve conduction was studied intraoperatively, but only the sensory nerve conduction was evaluated in 19 patients. In another study, they compared the carpal canal pressure with the latency of sensory nerve potential. Unlike previous studies, in the present investigation, the neurophysiologic severity was graded according to both motor and sensory nerve conductive studies. Furthermore, about two thirds of our patients did not show any sensory nerve potential in NCS (severe and extreme grade), indicating a vast majority of our patients had severe nerve dysfunction.

The real pathologic factor might be median nerve intraneural pressure, rather than CTP. There has been one report on direct measurement of intraneural pressure, which showed that there was strong correlation between intraneural pressure and CTP. Therefore, if intraneural pressure would have had significant correlation, carpal tunnel pressure would have shown significant correlation, too. Various methods have been reported for CTP measurements, including ICP monitoring device, as in our series. It is known that application of tourniquet do not affect the CTP.

One possible explanation for the lack of correlation is the static versus dynamic pressure. We measured the static pressure in resting state with neutral wrist position. Pressure changes on wrist position, finger action, or repetitive hand activity suggest dynamic nerve compression might be a real causative factor in CTS, rather than static compression.

The duration of nerve compression would be another factor in CTS pathogenesis. Duration, in addition to certain pressure level, might influence the functional and structural changes in the median nerve. In chronic nerve compression disorder, such as CTS, the pressure might increase gradually over time according to structural change in carpal tunnel. Therefore, the pressure level would parallel with symptom duration. Besides, the exact assessment of duration is not easy in chronic nerve compression disorder.

It is known that USG reveals swelling of median nerve in CTS, helpful in diagnosis and severity evaluation. There has been no report about the correlation between CTP and USG finding. Our data showed no significant correlation between the two, contrary to our expectation. Since it is known that CTP is related to neurophysiologic severity, we think that there might be a causal relationship between CTP and median nerve swelling, although not disclosed yet in this study.
It is known that the maximum point of compression is located in the central portion of carpal tunnel, where the pressure shows highest value\(^6\).\(^{14}\).\(^{16}\).\(^{20}\). It is suggested that nonuniform dimension of carpal tunnel may be the cause of this pressure profile\(^9\). It is notable that the pressure differences between measurements were disappeared after carpal tunnel release (Table 1, 3, Fig. 2), which meant reversal of abnormal anatomy of CTS back to normal condition. To our knowledge, the difference in pressure profile is evaluated statistically for the first time in this study.

One of the limitations of our study is the population of patients. The majority of our patients were in severe/extreme in NCS grade. This allowed us to evaluate the impact of NCS grade in only one side of spectrum, rather than all grades of neurophysiological severity.

Although our study doesn’t show significant correlation between basic pathologic factor of CTS and resulting changes of median nerve, it is well known that the carpal tunnel pressure is elevated in CTS patients. Refining of pressure measurement technique might elucidate the correlation and clinical implications of carpal tunnel pressure in CTS.

**CONCLUSION**

Electrodiagnostic and anatomical changes showed a significant correlation, although the basic pathologic factor for these changes showed no significant correlation. The dynamic pressure measurements, rather than static, might be a better evaluation of basic pathology of CTS.
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