The Social and Cultural Presence of Buddhism in the Lives of Confucian Literati in Late Chosŏn: The Case of Tasan*
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Our understanding of the relationship between Confucian literati and the Buddhist world of the late Chosŏn period has been biased not only by the dominant ideological setting of the period but also by our own contemporary perspective. This article attempts to extend the examination of this relationship away from ideological, religious and philosophical perspectives to a sociological and anthropological one. By retracing and reorganizing some anecdotes of the life of Tasan, it draws attention to the evolution of that well-known Confucian scholar's attitudes towards Buddhism and analyzes some factors behind his drawing closer to the Buddhist world.
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Introduction

It is well known that during the Chosŏn dynasty Korean society was dominated by Confucian ideology, that Buddhism was rejected for being a heretic teaching, and that monks were driven out to remote mountain temples by the state. However, it is also known that some Confucian literati appreciated and socialized
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with the Buddhist world. Though seemingly contradictory, these two facts reveal that during this period “Confucian” literati had various ways of perceiving the Buddhist world; and that social and cultural barriers between the two worlds varied according to the public or private situation of each individual, and were at times much less formidable than what certain ideological and conventional discourses would have us imagine.

In this article, I will problematize commonly received and still influential assumptions related to this issue. The main problem is that, without considering the dimension of personality or the evolutionary aspect of attitudes, they rigidly apply a simplified and fixed ideological framework to individuals of a certain social group (i.e. the Confucian literati). However, an individual’s social “belongingness” must be nuanced to the context of his own life, and socio-cultural complexity has to be taken into account. Some new approaches are thus needed. With this in mind, I will provide a counter-example to such viewpoints through a case-study of Chŏng Yagyŏng (1762-1836), also known under his penname Tasan. Tasan’s relationship with Buddhism is well known and has been the subject of a number of studies. However, in my opinion, these studies seem generally to overlook the development of this relationship. One of the focal points of this article is to underline those socio-cultural factors that generate dynamism and gradually transform the relationship between an individual and religious or cultural traditions. I will hence reprocess the example of Tasan so as to highlight the social and cultural context and psychological mechanisms that seem to have contributed to changing his relationship with Buddhism over time.

At the outset I feel it necessary to mention and clarify, albeit briefly, two points that might lead to doubts regarding the soundness of this sort of approach. The first concerns the study of one person. Though I will examine

1. The expression “Buddhist world” here refers not only to the Buddhist belief system as a religious object and its community of believers, but also to that ensemble of diverse cultural elements related to Buddhism that one can enjoy even without religious faith: Buddhist monasteries as an anthropo-geographical environment, monks’ dietary traditions as culinary culture, and sutras or temple gazetteers a literary texts. See below.

2. A pioneering study was made by Yi Ùlho, “Yubul sanggyo üi myŏn esŏ pon Chŏng Tasan,” in Paek Sŏnguk paksu songsu kinyŏm pulgyohak nonmun chip, ed. Paek Sŏng-uk paksu songsu kinyŏm saŏp wiwŏnhoe (Seoul: Tongguk munhwasa, 1959), pp. 705-730. More recently, studies have been focused on his relation with Buddhism during his exile period at Kangjin, for example: O Kyŏng-hu, “Chosŏn hugi pulgyosa ch’ansul kwa Taedong sŏngyo ko,” Han’guk sasang kwa munhwa 35 (2006): 81-112. Chŏng Min has published many articles on the subject, exploring in particular new materials discovered from Buddhist and family collections, for example: Chŏng Min, “Han’guk kyohoesa yŏn’gusŏ sojang Tasan ch’imp’il sŏganch’ŏp Maeok sŏgwе e taehayŏ,” Kyohoesa yŏn’gu 33 (2009): 539-568.
one individual, I will not extrapolate the example of Tasan to all others in the socio-cultural group to which he belonged. The aim of this paper is to focus upon the social and cultural aspects of a single individual case, and to examine its context and evolution. This work should ideally be supplemented with other such case studies, however, this goes beyond the scope of the present article. The second point concerns the materials used in the present study. I quote Tasan’s writings from a variety of different records, such as his letters, travelogs, encomiums and poems. However, the use of poems as historical sources in the study of social and cultural issues sometimes gives rise to doubts, since they are normally considered as imaginative in nature and of only esthetic interest. However, this depends upon the poet’s view as to just what a poem is. For Tasan, a poem expresses what it means to be human and can contribute to social relief. This is why his poems are often nothing but descriptive, narrative and factual records. Being clearly dated, they can serve as reliable historiographic material, just like a diary, through which one can trace the factual development of certain aspects of his life. But more generally speaking, we can legitimately consult artistic products as part of historical and sociological analysis because any form of human creation is a social product, reflecting its cultural and social background and context.

3. “The root of all poetry is situated in the moral principles observed between parents and children, sovereign and subject, and husband and wife. Sometime it heightens their happiness; sometime it expresses their resentment in these relationships. Secondly, [it is based on] concern about society and providing relief to the people. It is after having the intention to aid the helpless and to save poor people, after having felt lost and hurt but not having the heart to abandon them, that one can compose a poem.”


5. See for example, Cho Sŏngul’s Yŏyumang chip ŭ munbŏnhakhŏk yŏng’gu (Seoul: Hyean, 2004).

6. Many works can be referred to as models in this respect. The most outstanding work in this context, in my opinion, must be the Fêtes et chansons anciennes de la Chine by Marcel Granet, one of the most famous French sinologists, from whom Claude Lévi-Strauss obtained much inspiration for his monumental works. Granet analyzed the poems from the Shijing 詩經 to explain the society of ancient China. The arts are one of the legitimate objects of scientific research from cultural history to sociology, from the Autumn of the Middle Ages by Johan Huizinga, who is concerned with the style of a whole culture through individual paintings and poems, to Sociology of the Arts: Exploring Fine and Popular Forms by Victoria Alexander, who is interested in rock music.
General Problems

Let us return to the starting point: the problem of the relationship between so-called “Confucian” literati and Buddhism. A great deal of ambiguity still surrounds this issue. While the “separation” theory was still widely accepted up until the 1980s, a number of studies on the interaction between these two worlds have recently been published. Approximately twenty seventeenth and eighteenth-century personages from the “Confucian” side have thus far been studied from the perspective of their relations with the Buddhist world. However, these studies have generally been confined to literary exchanges, or have expressed doctrinal viewpoints. The topics covered have mainly been concepts or literary writings. Any relationship going beyond ideological and institutional perspectives between the elite class and the Buddhist world has yet to emerge as an object of research.

The main difficulties in this matter arise from the sources used in such studies. It can be observed that the Buddhist side, in order to survive or to be acknowledged, made a more explicit effort to establish relations with the Confucians in the late Chosŏn period. There is considerable amount of documentation available that details such contacts, as a number of related studies have shown. However, considering that Buddhists had much to gain in terms of identity and legitimacy through a relationship with the hegemonic group, one may naturally suspect their records of internal bias in this respect. On the other hand, official documents and Confucian literature deliberately omit reference to any relationship with the Buddhist world, in order to preserve its cultural hegemony or elude political and social supervision. This absence, combined with the critical attitude of its formal and conventional discourse, has been interpreted as evidence of the Confucian elite’s break with Buddhism.

To these difficulties is added the way traditional scholarship has viewed the interaction between the Confucian and Buddhist worlds through polarized and sclerotic social categories such as Confucian yangban vs. heretical and humble monks. However, such broad social groups, generally perceived as being

---

7. One of the most representative and recently released works on the relationship between Confucian intellectuals and Buddhism in the Late Chosŏn period is Yu Hosŏn, Chosŏn bugi Kyōnghwasa sajok ī pulgyo insik kwa pulgyo munhwasa (Seoul: T’aehaks, 2006). It deals with scholars of the first half of the eighteenth century, such as Kim Ch’anghŭp, Ch’oe Ch’angdae, Yi Ôksu, Yi Hagon, and Cho Kwimyŏng, as well as their thought and literature.

8. For an early representative study see Yi Chongch’an, Han’guk pulga simunhaksan non (Seoul: Pulgwang ch’ulp’anbu, 1993).
monolithic, should in fact be sub-divided according to criteria other than social status, such as intellectual activity or cultural preferences. It goes without saying that having the same social status does not necessarily mean having the same intellectual or cultural preferences and attitudes. Sub-groups from each of the two sides, sharing intellectual and cultural affinities, could very well have communicated and interacted with each other. In an article published five years ago in this journal, Boudewijn Walraven pointed out the need to re-examine the preconceived idea that Buddhism in late Chosŏn had degenerated and fallen into outright superstition, remaining active only at the lower social levels rather than amongst the dominant and literate class.\(^9\) He attributed this attitude principally to the ideals of colonial modernity. His main argument was that in so far as there had been a popularization of Buddhism at this time, it can also be understood as an assimilation by commoners of a form of elite Buddhism. More generally, “what is needed,” Walraven writes, “is a much more nuanced view of the position of Buddhism in Chosŏn society that accounts for both the positive as well as the negative aspects within a comprehensive framework that includes a more sophisticated acknowledgement of social differentiation, and goes beyond the simple dichotomy of the elite versus the people.”\(^{10}\) He demonstrates this argument through the relationships some members of the higher strata of society had with the Buddhist world.\(^{11}\)

Nowadays, in academic circles at least, it seems to be admitted that during the late Chosŏn period there was interaction between Confucians and Buddhists. “Not only did Buddhism exercise an influence on the peasantry during the late Chosŏn period,” one historian of Korean religions has written, “it also had an influence \textit{sub rosa} on the Confucian literati.”\(^{12}\) According to this view, some positive aspects of the Buddhist world progressively came to the fore, along with criticism of orthodox Korean tradition inspired by Zhu Xi’s school of thought, and this formed the context in which the Buddhist world gradually emerged out of its socio-cultural marginalization.\(^{13}\) How and to what extent did this change take place? If some consider such exchanges as being rather

\(^{10}\) Ibid., 4.
\(^{11}\) With respect to this issue, another problematic notion is that of ideological attitudes and religious convictions. This will be discussed later in the section concerning the social functionalist viewpoint.
modest, others point out that some conventional interaction between Buddhist monks and Confucian literati officials was based on a continuous and close relationship. Some questions have thus arisen concerning this contact between the two groups. If there was some sort of relationship, then what form did it take? And if there was not, then how and to what degree was contact and exchange established? What kind of socio-cultural basis and environment was formed, and in what way did Confucian literati perceive it? Also, did this eventual contact between Confucians and Buddhists give rise to any changes in a Confucian’s public and private life? These questions concerning the interaction between individuals and groups belonging to two different traditions are relevant to our understanding of social and cultural developments in the late Chosŏn period, a time when Confucian hegemony began to decline. They can provide clues to Confucian literati attitudes, as well as their awareness of and reactions to the social and cultural changes of the period.

**Viewpoints**

In this article, I will seek to ascertain what coexistence between these two traditions (one hegemonic and the other marginalized but apparently with a large following) meant for the Confucian literati of the period. Interest in this question is not only inspired by the desire to re-establish certain historical facts about the relationship between these two traditions, but more generally because a better understanding of the coexistence and exchanges between such traditions may help shed light upon the cultural plurality of Chosŏn society, a plurality that served to stimulate its social and cultural dynamism. Hence, I suggest a change of perspective, so as to examine how and why interaction between these two groups was possible or impossible, how it was produced and evolved, as well as its social and cultural aspects, and what the Buddhist presence meant to the social and cultural environment of the literati.

Sharing Walraven’s view, my article aims to illustrate this question and open up more discussion of it. In particular, I will outline the cultural and social presence of the Buddhist world in a Confucian’s life, as well as its evolution and consequences. In so doing, I hope to emphasize the need to consider the

coexistence of, and encounters and exchanges between, the Buddhist and Confucian worlds, not from an ideological or political angle, which tends to highlight a doctrinal confrontation or reconciliation, but from a sociological and anthropological perspective, which allows for a more relevant observation of all aspects of their relationship and development, so as to understand in greater detail the society in which they both existed. Hence, the use in this article of the terms “Confucian’s life” or “Buddhist world,” which denote social and cultural dimensions, rather than “Confucianism” and “Buddhism,” which connote an ideological or doctrinal dimension.

A Social Functionalist Viewpoint in Need of Revision

The negative perception of Buddhism, as referred to by Walraven, was until recently widely accepted. Twenty-five years ago, it was even provided an explanatory theory. In his 1987 article, Chŏng Ch’angsu proposed an analysis of the phenomenon of religious plurality in Chosŏn society from a functionalist viewpoint—relying mainly on the work of Talcott Parsons, and consolidating the idea that the Buddhist world was isolated from Confucian literati and limited to the lower classes of society.17 According to this theory, the reason why mutually conflicting cultural elements coexisted in society was that each of them played a role in social cohesion. And when, in an institutionalized value system dominated by Confucianism, “irrational” discrepancies did exist between norms that were meant to be followed and their actual observance, other religious beliefs helped to compensate for such shortcomings.

However, such mutual complementing was not durable. It had a temporary and limited character in that it worked only as a sort of “contingency plan” at a time when normalized and regularized action was irrelevant. Chŏng Ch’angsu thought that this could explain why, during the Chosŏn period, Buddhism became alienated from its metaphysical base, becoming a religious faith and practice aimed at praying for good fortune.18 In this distribution of roles, in response to the need for social integration, different traditions coexisted on a sort of dominant-dominated basis. Chŏng proposed three such modes of coexistence. In the first type the state embraced, for its official ceremonies and publicly-supported events, religious faiths and practices which were compatible

18. Ibid., 18.
with Confucian ideology or the state’s operating system (*chedojo tonghwa*). Some shamanic ritual offerings to supernatural beings were thus made at the Chosón court. However, Buddhism was not placed in this category. The second type refers to “selective acceptance according to affinity” (*sŏnbŏlchŏk suyong*) with Confucian ideology. Geomancy (*p’ungsu*) was a prominent example of this type. As for Buddhism, Chŏng underlined that this “selective acceptance” appeared amongst a limited class of people. Buddhism was referred to more in the third type, concerning the question of “separate” or “subordinate acceptance” (*pulli mit chongsokchŏk suyong*), in which faiths and religious practices were separated and organized into a hierarchy of various classes.

According to Chŏng, this mode of coexistence resulted in two functional effects. Firstly, when distinction was made between a superior male class as being Confucian and an inferior female class as being Buddhist or dedicated to other faiths: confined to their feminine dimension, Buddhism and Shamanism could be introduced and practiced, thereby playing complementary roles even in the families of Confucian officials, without however entering into conflict with the social and political status of male members who embraced Confucian ideology. Secondly, it was by means of this coexistence that the separation and subordination of these “undesirable” faiths and practices were maintained amongst the lower strata of society. Thus connected to such strata, they continued to be viewed as an inferior form of culture.

However, it would seem appropriate to recall here certain limits to this theory, limits that Chŏng Ch’angsu himself acknowledged in his conclusion. In fact, he nuanced his explanation by means of three points. Firstly, there must be some factors that a social functionalist viewpoint cannot account for; secondly, his work deals with the relationship between Confucianism and other belief systems in a rather static and general manner; thirdly, his approach lacks an analysis of the relationship between “lower elements,” such as Buddhism, *p’ungsu* geomancy or shamanistic beliefs. Nevertheless, his approach provided a framework that has since been widely used by researchers, especially those interested in the education, socialization, or “Confucianization” of Chosŏn society.19

**Ideology versus Mentality**

To his discussion concerning the limits of his research, I would add two more

points. First, there is the premise upon which his stance seems to be based: “Buddhism and Confucianism were antagonistic or incompatible in Chosŏn society.” Of course, we can hardly deny that this was the case when we consider the position of rulers, government officials and the social elite of the Chosŏn period, for whom the ideology of education and socialization was at stake. This was the case when it came to the political elite’s socializing blueprints, the state’s functioning and the moral discourses of the elite. What is more, Confucian scholars were for the most part seen as both ideologues and literati, and the history of Confucian intellectuals in general has largely been a question of thought and ideology. However, the relationship between these two cultural elements cannot be considered solely in terms of ideological conviction, as other aspects are obviously also important: local, social and family networks in particular, in which individuals and even families of the “higher classes” were in contact with the Buddhist world in various ways. A number of examples of this can be found in the aforementioned article by Walraven. As far as their relationship with the Buddhist world is concerned, their “mentalities” and socio-cultural behavior have yet to be given their due place in historical research.

Neither should the historical depth of the relationship between Buddhism and Confucianism in Korean society be overlooked. Many efforts were made from the Silla period onwards to reconcile the two traditions, this despite their respective struggles for hegemony. Differences in their ultimate goals notwithstanding, there are significant points of convergence between the two, such as similarities in their approaches towards self-cultivation. Some prominent figures are known to have crossed the boundary between the two traditions. During the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, thanks to religious consolation for those affected by disasters and wars, Buddhist culture, which was already deeply enrooted in the “mentalities” of the Korean people, obtained a popular base and was able to extend its development in society. Confucian


21. There are some works about the interaction between Buddhism and Confucianism (and Taoism) in the history of China. Among them, Paul Demiéville’s “La pénétration du bouddhisme dans la tradition philosophique chinoise” (in *Choix d’études bouddhiques* [Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1973], 1-38) provides a concise and interesting historical overview. Tasan also showed Ch’o-ŭi parallels between some Confucian and Buddhist terms. See Chŏng Min, “Ch’o-ŭi ege chun Tasan ŭi tangbu,” *Munhŏn kwa haesŏk* 41 (2007), 49-69.

literati cannot be excluded from the population amongst which it thus spread.

“Disciplinary Production” versus “Creative Consumption”

The relationship an individual can have with a religious tradition can be examined in various ways. The Buddhist world provides not only a belief system and social organization, but also all of the “cultural elements” one can enjoy either religiously or unreligiously. For example, besides a purely religious site, a monastery may also be regarded unreligiously as a place for sightseeing, a site of culinary culture (in the diet of Buddhist monks), and as repository of reading material (Buddhist canonical texts or temple gazetteers). The expression “cultural elements” here refers to knowledge of both traditional practices and material heritage. When used in a religious context these elements carry a religious meaning; but they can also be used for other reasons and in other contexts. To “believe in a religion” and “have knowledge about a religion” are obviously two different things. It is also possible to abide by a certain type of traditional practice without believing in that practice’s corresponding religious faith. As a result, the claim to be Confucian does not necessarily exclude the adoption or appropriation of Buddhist (or any other) cultural elements, and vice versa. In other words, and to quote Michel de Certeau, we have to distinguish between “disciplinary production” and “creative consumption” (or use). We should also bear in mind that a certain perception of religion, based upon a certain religious tradition (Judeo-Christian) formed in the specific historical context of Western civilization, has defined it as being an exclusive credo, disregarding the possibility of its coexistence with other religious traditions.

The Dimension of Personality

Another comment to make on Chŏng Ch’angsu’s analysis is that his theory does not suppose the coexistence of Confucianism and Buddhism amongst the literati class. The dimension of personality, which is accounted for in the case of people of lower social strata, is not considered when it comes to the literati class. In other words, “irrational discrepancies” are taken for granted among common

people but not among literati. In Chŏng’s explanation, scholars seem to be viewed simply as ideological and socializing agents of the state, and not as individuals whose interests could overflow into spheres other than those of political or social obligations. For an individual, whoever he is, a religious tradition may be viewed as one of a number of different cultural models, and not always as a final or sole objective, it being possible to also pursue other cultural models that may be more fundamental. The “complementary relationship,” the first coexistence mode postulated in Chŏng Ch’angsu’s explanation, can also be found amongst the literati class between Confucian and Buddhist cultures. In this sense, the third type of coexistence, “separate” and “subordinate” acceptance, which confines Buddhism to inferior classes and female groups, should be reconsidered. In fact, like Shamanic culture’s complementary role vis-à-vis the Confucian world, Buddhist culture also might serve a complementary function to Confucianism, not just in a separate “inferior and female” class, but beyond that and by bearing upon the personalities of Confucian literati.

The Presence of Buddhism in Tasan’s Confucian World

To illustrate this through a concrete example, let us examine the case of Chŏng Yagyong (penname Tasan), a representative Confucian scholar between the second half of the eighteenth century and the first half of the nineteenth century. Tasan was a committed Confucian and never abandoned the life and spirit this implied. But he was also intellectually open-minded. In his youth, he was interested in Christian doctrine. In terms of his relationship with Buddhism, it would seem that he gradually drew closer to it over the years. Towards the end of his life, he occasionally wrote as if he were a Buddhist himself. This article aims to show the influences Tasan received from the two different traditions and the social and cultural dynamism this involved, observing how and under what circumstances he evolved. As his life is well known, this article can introduce almost nothing new in terms of its details. It will, however, retrace and reorganize the details of that life so as to draw attention to aspects which


26. In my current research, I have studied approximately eighty authors who lived during the eighteenth century (identified in the Munjip ch’ŏnggan). Among these, about sixty left writings that are in some way or other related to the Buddhist world.
may have previously been overlooked by researchers.

Familiar Surroundings, Pragmatic Interests, Favorable Impacts

During his youth, Tasan’s relationship with the Buddhist world seems to have been rather pragmatic. He became gradually acquainted with the Buddhist world while visiting monasteries, where he sometimes stayed to study and thereby had the opportunity to meet monks. The first impression he had of such places was of their lofty, truth-searching atmospheres. His first well-documented encounter with the Buddhist world dates to the winter of 1775, when he was fourteen and visited Sujong monastery 水鐘寺, situated half-way up Ungil hill, five km. north of Tasan’s birth place at Ch’och’ŏn 萸川 (or Sonae). However, he also wrote that he had been visiting this monastery since his childhood, which leads us to infer that his first encounters with Buddhism started much earlier. Apparently, the monastery made a more than agreeable impact on him. One poem discloses his determination to return there one day after having seen something of the world. After he married and moved to Seoul in 1776, Tasan visited this monastery every time he returned to his hometown. Moreover, in the winter of 1778, when he was seventeen years old, he spent forty days reading books in Tongnim monastery 東林寺 with his elder brother Yakchŏn. An extract of their conversation provides us a glimpse of the favorable impression they shared of monastic life. This Buddhist environment, its utility and favorable impact upon him were constant factors throughout his life.

In 1782, when he was twenty-one years old, Tasan stayed in Pongun monastery 奉恩寺 in Seoul, again with his elder brother, to study for the kwagǒ examination. After becoming a chinsa 進士, a classical literary licentiate, in the spring of 1783, Tasan stopped off for a short stay at this monastery while on his way back to his hometown. In a poem composed at the time, he describes the joyful welcome of his monk acquaintances and expresses his satisfaction with the monastery:

Hermits also love the indigo color of the chinsa [dress],

29. “Tongnimsa Toksŏgi” 東林寺讀書記, Tasan simunjip, vol. 13. Tongnim monastery is in South Ch’olla province, near the town of Hwasun. Hyewŏn 慧遠, a monk Tasan knew, may have been at this monastery. See note 36 below.
Not having banished worldly thoughts altogether.31

During this same spring, he returned to his hometown and once again visited Sujong monastery. Three days later, he composed the following poem:

No desire to ever go back to the storms of life
Just one more serene night here!32

A Personal Relationship with the Buddhist World

Tasan also displayed in several writings his knowledge about the history of Korean Buddhism, especially about monks of great virtue. Between 1777 and 1779, during his stay in the town of Hwasun,33 his father’s place of work, he visited such monasteries as Manyŏn 萬淵寺, where he composed a “Panegyric over the portrait of National Master Pojo.”34 Moreover, Tasan had numerous personal contacts with Buddhist monks. The earliest traces of his friendship with monks can be found in his poem written to monk Yŏndam Yu’˘l 蓮潭有一 (1720-1799) in 1778.35 Yu’˘l was also the master of monk Ch’ŏui Úlsun 草衣意洵 (1786-1866), who later became one of Tasan’s disciples. Tasan was introduced to Yu’˘l by a monk named Hyewŏn 懷遠. The latter’s surname was Chŏng 丁, the same as that of Tasan, and had known Tasan ever since visiting Ch’ŏch’ŏn.36 While still a youth, Tasan was already in contact with a number of monks and held some of them in high regard. In a poem dedicated to Yu’˘l, he expresses his

33. In present-day South Chŏlla province.
34. “Pojo kuksa hwasang ch’an” 普照國師畫像贊, Tasan simunjip, vol. 12. Manyŏn monastery is in South Chŏlla province, near both Hwasun and Tongnim monastery.
36. This account derives from an annotation Tasan himself made to the previously-quoted poem (see note 27). Monk Hyewŏn is reported to have been from Tongnim monastery. Cf. O Kyo’˘nhu, “Chosŏn hugi Taedun saji ŭi p’yŏnch’an,” Han’guk sasangsahak 19 (2002), 353. In another poem (“Ok sŏkhaeng gi Hyejang” 億昔行寄惠藏, Tasan simunjip, vol. 5), a monk named Wŏnggong 元公 is mentioned. In another annotation by Tasan himself, this monk is presented as Ch’ŏngp’a taesa 李坡大士 Hyewŏn 懷苑, having Chŏng 丁 as a surname, from Chŏnju 全州. Hyewŏn 懷遠 and Hyewŏn 懷苑 could be the same person. On the other hand, it is supposed that Tasan became acquainted with Yu’˘l thanks to another personal contact. Yu’˘l had the same master as Sŏlp’a Sangŏn 雪坡尚彥 (1707-1791). Pŏnam Ch’ae Chegong 樊巖 蔡濟恭 (1720-1799), under whom Tasan studied, was close enough to Sŏlp’a Sangŏn to compose his epitaph. Cf. Ch’oe Pyŏnggŏn, “Tasan Chŏng Yagyŏng ŭi Han’guk Pulgyosa yŏn’gu,” in Chŏng Tasan yŏn’gu ŭi hyŏnhwang (Seoul: Minun sa, 1983), 327; Chŏng Chonggu, “Tasan ŭi Pulgyogwan,” Tasan hakpo 1 (1978), 214.
respect for monks and his regret about divisions between Confucians and Buddhists.

... 
So sad bringing forth detached thoughts
So many heroes and great figures living in seclusion
When there is no more distinction between self and object, here is the Way
What a futile contention amongst Confucians and Buddhists!37

Political Obedience vs. Personal Affinity

However, over a span of seven years, between 1783 and 1789, during his period as a student at Sŏnggyungwan, it would seem that he left no trace of his contacts with the Buddhist world, except for occasional visits to monasteries on his way back to his hometown.38 This makes us feel that there must have been a break between Tasan’s personal and affective life and the public and ideological sphere.

Tasan became a government official in the third month of 1789. From then on, writings about excursions to monasteries reappear. In the eighth month of that year, he went sightseeing with his father to Ŭnhae 銀海 monastery in Yŏngch’ŏn, Kyŏngsang province.39 In the third month of 1790, when he was exiled for a short time at Haemi,40 he stayed one night at Kaesim monastery 開心寺41 with the governor of T’ae-an county.42 However, his attitude towards the Buddhist world during this period is quite typical of a Confucian official. In his “Answer to the question about men of ability,” ostensibly a reply to an inquiry made by King Chŏngjo in 1790,43 he firmly rejects Buddhism as heresy. Indeed, Tasan resorts to anti-Buddhist arguments patterned by Neo-Confucian ideologists, a stand which contrasts with Chŏngjo’s rather lenient attitude:

38. In a series of poems (“Ch’och’ŏn sasa hyo Changnamho sangsim naksa” 萊川四時詞效張南湖賞心樂事, *Tasan simunjip*, vol. 1) composed in the early summer of 1786 in his hometown of Ch’och’ŏn to celebrate the view of the four seasons, we find “Huŏbok-sa ch’ŏng’aeng” 興福寺鶯.
40. In Sŏsan-si, South Ch’ungch’ŏng province.
41. Present-day Sinch’ang-ni, Unsan-myŏn, Sŏsan-si.
(Chŏngjo) [...] As for monks, they are undoubtedly first-rate heretics, but they should be treated appropriately considering their desperate ascetic devotion and discipline unattainable by ordinary laymen and their aspiration to goodness identical to ours [...] 

(Tasan) [...] As for monks and nuns, they have been notorious since olden times for exploiting innocent people using talismans and chanting invocations, and a good deal of deceitful figures have not infrequently emerged from amongst them to harm virtue and destroy decent tradition. [...] Once the government starts to approve and praise them, it may propel innocent people into their snares. While Your Highness rightly dispels them, so as to open the eyes of unenlightened people, I sincerely regret and feel grief about your words [as above]. In my humble opinion, your firm and resolute early edict banning coming and going through the capital city to these mu-shamans and monks, after repudiating them, must be hailed as marvelous imperial virtue and a brilliant historical event for which you should be praised. If you continue this way, our country will be blessed.44

Such words notwithstanding, Tasan still displayed affinity for the monastic atmosphere and his excursions to monasteries continued. In his writings, we find traces of visits to monasteries at least once a year between 1794 and 1799. On the fourth day of the fifth month, 1797, he composed poems while on an excursion with his brothers to the Ch’ŏnjin Buddhist hermitage 天眞庵 to enjoy the extremely palatable wild vegetables there. Here again we catch a glimpse of his contradictory attitude towards the Buddhist world. The poem implies that his interest in monasteries has a worthier reason than the mere pleasure of sightseeing and enjoying the beauty of monastic landscapes.

…
Whoever allowed only a few monks to dwell in this ethereal pristine hill and valley
…
My uncontrollable desire to reside here
Is not merely to have fun and fool around46

In the winter of 1798, while he was staying at Chahyo monastery 資孝寺, he was tempted to reconcile Confucian culture, here materialized by the kŏmungo lute, with the Buddhist one, due to his keen realization of the value of monastic life. The proximity of the two cultures and their frequent encounters created an opportunity for harmony and fusion:

45. In present-day T’oech’on-myon, Kwangju-si, Kyŏnggi province.
Kim Daeyeol

Disenchanted by noisy military music
Intentionally, the chant for Buddha is tuned to the kōmungo lute’s notes⁴⁷

**Immersion in the Buddhist world for Eighteen Years**

From the time of his exile to Kangjin (1801), Tasan made friends with local monks on a personal basis as well as for more elaborate cultural exchanges. Alone and destitute, Tasan found solace in meeting this learned group capable of sharing and enjoying a common intellectual horizon with him, while the monasteries provided him with a familiar and secure environment. This is the first distinctive feature of this period of Tasan’s life that should be taken into account. Secondly, through such direct personal exchanges and experiences over an extended period of time, his viewpoint on the divisions between the Confucian and Buddhist worlds began to shift. In the meantime, due to the conflict between political and social restraints on the one hand, and his own personal aspirations on the other, his attitude towards Buddhism became more ambiguous. In other words, without actually converting to Buddhism, Tasan began to have a more positive perception of it and partially accepted it in his life. He became better disposed towards Buddhist culture and its way of life. Thirdly, he found himself making important cultural contributions to the Buddhist world. Furthermore, he ended up including monks in his own social networks.

In many aspects, Tasan’s life in Kangjin seems to have been a long interlude of residing in a purely Buddhist world, during which he became freely assimilated to it. In the spring of 1803, a year and a half after his exile, he wrote the following poem for a monk:

... What a decisive and trustworthy person is this sincere and nice Porija 甫里子！ Staying up many nights talking with him assured me of his open-heartedness and warm-heartedness Oh ! those disgusting, tenacious souls, their lips teeming with jealousy With all these forty long years of life breathing in this dusty world, I never thought that a true and genuine friend would be here right by the southern seaside. Let us open our hearts

⁴⁷ “Ya yu chahyosa” 夜游資孝寺, *Tasan simunjip*, vol. 3.
no difference between old or new friends
In this vast and deep Yongmun valley
quite suitable for retirement,
Let us live together as hermit
neighbors to old age until death

During this time Tasan visited monasteries more often than before, stayed with the monks, drinking and sharing writings with them, while monks also visited Tasan’s residence and helped him with such things as preparing meals.

An Empathic Experience of Buddhist Life

On the seventeenth of the fourth month, 1805, Tasan went to Paengnyŏn monastery 白蓮寺, where he met Aam Hyejang 奚庵惠藏 (1772-1811) for the first time. Among all the monks he met, it was Hyejang who became particularly close to Tasan, who in turn highly appreciated and praised Hyejang’s exceptional talents and lofty asceticism. Hyejang followed him with great sincerity. Tasan’s encounter with this monk, one of the greatest Sŏn monks of the day, provided him with a certain direction, vitality and even convenience during his life in exile. In the poems Tasan exchanged with Hyejang, many Buddhist terms are used. In the following poem, Tasan appears to be on the verge of converting to Buddhism.

... At dawn, a reddish rising sun peeking through the small window in the wall Tame deer and squirrels running through the door A cat brought over and stroked on the forehead With merciful Buddha’s mind as on a grandchild’s forehead ...

... With a necklace taken off, sitting absentmindedly Waiting until the incense before Buddha is burnt into ashes Moreover holding a volume of biographies of renowned monks Napping over half a day in the shade of a table Chanted sutras through the bamboo garden becoming more soothing to the ears as one gets older Yet, the vicissitudes of life’s waves are hindrance as well With a pine-leaf hat on, sipping soup made of pine leaves I’d rather delve into Buddha’s teachings in my remaining years in this world

49. “San-go˘ chaphu˘ng” 山居雜興, Tasan simunjip, vol. 5.
Nonetheless, consciousness of being a bureaucrat from a Confucian and anti-Buddhist government in spite of his exile, he subsequently added the following clarification, as if he were trying to erase suspicions concerning any possible intention of converting; something which could be misconstrued by the Confucian group hostile towards him:

I asked Hyejang to compose and recite poems expressing his feelings about mountain life. And as time went by, I found myself inclining towards his feelings, figuring out how I would fare if I were in his situation. Thus, on behalf of him to express his feelings, I took the brush to write and it amounted altogether to twenty Sŏn poems. Unexpectedly, because of my exile and the dire poverty it brought, time and again I felt as if it was me living retired in the serene place. It is not that I admired their way of life but rather that I loathed hearing roosters crowing and dogs barking in the midst of other disturbing noises as I reflected to myself, trudging along in the dusk towards a far-off destiny. It was quite natural for me to like and desire their way of life.50

What most catches our attention in this extract is the fact that Tasan was thinking of and experiencing Buddhist practice in the shoes of a Buddhist monk. As is well-known, an empathic experience with other people leads to a more profound understanding of them.

“Both a Sŏn Monk and a Versed Confucian:” A Form of Syncretism?

Tasan even brought together the poems and letters he exchanged with Hyejang under the title “Record of Looking at the Moon” (Kyŏnwŏl ch’ŏp 見月帖). A Buddhist nuance is clearly noticeable in this title. In their exchanges, Tasan supposedly talked about the Book of Odes (Sigyŏng 詩經), the Book of Documents (Sŏgyŏng 書經), and the Book of Changes (Yŏkkyŏng 易經), whereas Hyejang apparently talked about the Flower Garland Sūtra (Hwaŏmgyŏng 華嚴經), the Sūtra of the Heroic March (Nŭngŏmgyŏng 楞厳經), and the Sūtra of Perfect Enlightenment (Wŏngakkyŏng 圓覺經). Tasan wrote the calligraphy on the cover of the Flower Garland Sūtra, borrowed the Sūtra of the Heroic March to read, while Hyejang borrowed Chinese classics to read. In this way, Tasan built up his knowledge about Buddhism. He took a keen interest in Hyejang’s exceptional talents and advised him to pursue poetry and to turn to Confucianism as well:

Turn around your Buddhistic cart and

50. Ibid.
Knock on the door of the Six [Confucian] Classics.
No better way
Than following the Yellow Emperor, Yao and Shun forever¹¹ [1806]

At the same time, Tasan found himself becoming sincerely attached to the Buddhist way of life, as he was growing accustomed to living in remote regions, making the acquaintance of monks and dwelling in monasteries.

Musing over the events vividly remembered yesteryear during the King’s Chaego ²² retreat
What a lonely king’s place in the Eastern Tower
On the 13th day of the fifth month, my heart ached, my eyes, utterly-dry, were tearless [from crying over the king’s mortal remains].
That day I put on a ritual cap, but rather now
Will I follow the turning cart[wheels of Buddhism] for the remainder of my life.³³

Tasan’s friendship with Hyejang continued until the latter’s death. However, Hyejang was not the only monk Tasan befriended. Other monks also assisted Tasan in his remote and mountainous dwelling place. He also made excursions to monasteries and discussed the sūtras with other monks. Some poems written during this period allude to his circle of monk acquaintances and their visits to his home. In his “Miscellaneous Poems from the Tower of Breeze in the Pine Trees,” written circa 1810, Tasan confesses that his lofty style of living resembles that of monks.

What happiness it is to espouse the joy of Buddhist doctrines
What a pleasant match!
While all Buddha’s sayings seem nothing but wrong,
The one concerning such espousement appears surely true
...
You’d better lead your life loftily, standing aloof from the temporal world once you are in the mountain.
I am learning the monastic ways of life one by one³⁴

The expression “to espouse the joy of the Buddhist doctrines” appears in the

---

¹¹. “Owo˘l ch’il il yō chae Po˘un sanbang Chang gong hyu chu sang kwa hu˘i ya ny˘om Chuyok kam yuk sa un yō chi sujak” 五月七日余在寶恩山房 藏公携酒相過厚意也 拈周易坎六四韻 與之酬酢, Tasan simunjip, vol. 5.
²². Chaego 齋居: refers to the king’s retreat to a quiet place to deliberate the final verdict of a criminal case.
³³. “Ku’u ch’a gyu sang ku’un” 久雨次睽上九韻, Tasan simunjip, vol. 5.
Vimalakirti Sūtra 維摩經. The quotes above may also partially indicate Tasan’s approval of Buddhist values, as he learned the monastic life of monks and accommodated Buddhist culture into his own without actually converting.

In 1811, Aam Hyejang passed away. In the ninth month of the same year, Tasan wrote a funeral address for him. In an epitaph for Hyejang’s pagoda that he wrote during the same period, Tasan gave a detailed description of the circumstances in which he had met Aam Hyejang for the first time. In this text, he remembered that he had recognized Hyejang as being a Sŏn monk and versed Confucian at the same time. This idea is found again later when Tasan refers to the monk Ch’o’ui. Moreover, in his late writings, Tasan seems to describe himself in the same way.

Tasan sometimes expresses a close attachment to the Buddhist way of life, drawing parallels between it and Confucianism in a somewhat syncretistic manner. A good illustration of this is to be found in a letter he wrote to his elder brother Yakchŏn in 1812:

In Buddhism, you have the “method by studying” and the “method by meditation.” Monks who adopt the “method by studying” therefore practice meditation in their later years. This is also what I wish. However, the hardships of the “method by meditation” demand much more effort than studying the Classics. I just hope my determination is unwavering. Zhu Xi was a master of the “method by studying,” Lu Xiangshan a master of the “method by meditation.” Categorically, a master of the “method by studying” is close to Yu, Ji, and Mozi; a master of the “method by meditation” to Yan Hui and Yang Zhu.

Tasan also socialized with other monks, and at their request made a major contribution to Buddhist historiography by compiling the Gazetteer of Mandalok monastery 萬德寺志 and the Gazetteer of Taedun monastery 大芚寺志. These texts constitute important accomplishments and are a legacy of the history of

56. Hong Chik’il 洪直弼 (1776-1852), a great Confucian scholar from the Yŏngnam region, seems to have used a similar expression (為儒為禪) when referring to the monk In-ak 仁岳 (?-1796), who was also known to have been versed in Confucian Classics since his youth. Cf. Chŏng Pyōngjo and Yi Sŏkho, *Han’guk chonggyo sasangsa, Pulgyo Togyo p’yŏn* (Seoul: Yŏnse taehakkyo ch’ulp’anbu, 1991), 172-173.
59. Chŏng Min, “Han’guk kyohoesa yŏn’guso sojang Tasan ch’inp’il sŏganjip.” Ch’oe Pyŏnghŏn (“Tasan Chŏng Yagyong üi Han’guk Pulgyosa yŏn’gu,” 333-341) discussed the meaning and the limits of Tasan’s achievements in this.
Buddhism in Korea. What is noteworthy here is that Tasan considered Korean Buddhist history and culture as being part of a more extended identity of his country.60

Social Interaction with Buddhists

After Hyejang passed away, Tasan continued his exchanges with monks from Mandøk monastery61 and Taedun monastery.62 He had particular affection for Wanho Yun’u 玩虎尹佑 (1756-1826) from Taedun monastery, who was Yǒndam Yuil’s disciple, and Ch’o’ōi Úisun 草衣意洵 (1786-1866) and Hou’i Sió 縞衣始悟 (1778-1868),63 who were Wanho’s disciples. Ch’o’ōi studied the Book of Odes and the Book of Changes with Tasan; after returning home following his exoneration, Tasan suggested that the monk settle close to his hometown.64 The letters that Tasan sent to Ch’o’ōi between 1813 and 1814 can be found in the literary collection of Sin Hôn rather than in Tasan’s own collected works.65 The most remarkable thing about these letters is their use of Buddhist wording and the forcefulness of their language, sounding like the exchanges between master and disciple. Desiring to show him the right path by comparing Buddhism and Confucianism, Tasan insists at times on the similarities between the two teachings, and at other times on their differences.66

Hou’i’s secular surname was Chŏng 丁, just like that of Tasan. The letters Tasan sent Hou’i between 1813 and 1815 can be found in the Maeok sŏgwé 梅屋書籤, a collection of Tasan’s letters.67 Tasan also instructed him, and

60. O Kyŏnghu, “Chosŏn hugi pulgyosa ch’ansul kwa ‘Taedong sŏn’gyo ko’,” Han’guk sasang kwa munhwa 35 (2006), 86-87 and 95. According to him, Tasan’s historiographical compilation about Korean Buddhism was in line with that of his contemporaries, especially those belonging to the so-called schools of “practical studies,” in their understanding of their own history and cultural tradition. He also acknowledged that through his working methods such as the collection of material and critical and philological research for the compilation of monographies on monasteries, Tasan exerted an intellectual influence on the monks who collaborated with him.
61. Also known as Paengnyŏn sa 白蓮寺.
62. Also known as Taehūng sa 大興寺.
66. Chŏng Min, “Ch’o’ōi ege chun Tasan ūi tangbu,” 63.
67. Chŏng Min, “Han’guk kyuhoesa yŏn’guso sojang Tasan ch’inp’il sŏganjip.”
encouraged him to continue learning. Even after Tasan’s return home, Hou ˘i continued the relationship with Tasan’s family. In 1817, Tasan also compiled a collection of poems with other young monks.68

In 1818, having returned home upon his exoneration, Tasan founded the “Tasin Mutual Aid Society” (Tasin kye 茶信契) comprised of his disciples from the Kangjin area and two of Hyejang’s disciples, Suryong and Ch’egyŏng. The society’s aim was to continue the scholastic relationship of its members and provide mutual aid. Tasan specifically implored those disciples in the club who were not monks to help the two monks in their difficult times. In the society’s charter, we can read the following clause:

Suryong and Ch’egyŏng entered into our study relationship from the outside. When there are worries or difficulties in the farmland of the “Chŏndŭng Mutual Aid Society,” the town office has to be informed so that arrangements and care may be provided.69

The “Chŏndŭng Mutual Aid Society” mentioned here seems to have been organized in a similar way as the Tasin Society, i.e., by monks who shared a scholastic relationship with Tasan.70 This is one example of Confucian intellectuals accommodating Buddhist monks into their social structure, a significant event, from our viewpoint, in Confucian-Buddhist interaction.

The second case of his social mixing is with another monk who later on, in 1830, took part in a literary club of Confucian intellectuals associated with Tasan. The Sŏn monk Ch’ŏŭi, whom Tasan had met during his Kangjin exile, joined the Turŭng Society of Poets [also known as the Yŏlsang Society of Poets], a well-attended poetry club in the Yangsuri area, Tasan’s home region.71

In a lonely house with a gate of worn-out whitish bamboo twigs at the foot of a mountain
Sour rice wine and brewed milk keep friends up late
All the poets in attendance say a welcome to a monk
Seeing him face to face, he is none other than Ch’ŏŭi from the south72 [twelfth month, 1830]

68. Cho˘ng Min, “Saero ch’aju˘n Tasan ŭi san’gŏ chabyŏng 24 su,” 188.
70. Im Hyŏngt’aek, Silsa kusi ŭi han’gukhak (Seoul: Ch’angjak kwa pip’yo˘ng sa, 2000), 416-417.
Truth Found in Buddhism, and Personal Aspirations over Socio-political Constraints

Until the end of his exile period, Tasan seems to have ever been conscious of the fact that the eyes of the government and other Confucians were upon him. In some of the previously-quoted texts, we find certain examples of Tasan’s conflicting attitudes. On the one hand, as a learned Confucian, he appreciated the Buddhist world for its atmosphere, which was much better for self-cultivation. Considering the fact that the central government had dismissed him, and that local government was teeming with corruption and unlawfulness, Tasan could not help but abhor officialdom when he compared it to the pure and serene way of life of Buddhist monks, of whom he grew increasingly envious. On the other hand, however open-minded he was, Tasan remained faithful to Confucianism. Despite his friendly relationships with monks and his knowledge of the Buddhist way of life, he did not convert to Buddhism. Although he approved of Buddhist discipline, he kept himself at a distance, or at least wished to give such an impression. As we have already seen, he once needed to justify a poem he had composed by placing himself in the shoes of Hyejang. When he compiled a collection of letters exchanged with Hyejang, the Record of Looking at the Moon, he implored the monk to keep them to himself, and when he sent monks his gazetteers of monasteries, he firmly requested they be kept confidential for a period of time. All of this implies a wariness of the rigid political and societal norms, which would disapprove of Tasan’s ambiguous position vis-à-vis Buddhism. Ambiguity can emerge from a contradiction that invites or even imposes a choice between two opposites established by the contradiction itself. In Tasan’s case, the contradiction does not come from his understanding of Confucianism nor of Buddhism, but from the political and societal repression of his time. Incompatibility between two traditions was in public space, in social context, not in private place. Hence, we would be misguided if we tried to see his ambiguity as a supposed dichotomy between Confucianism and Buddhism.

After returning home following his exoneration in 1818, Tasan continued his excursions to monasteries, but now socialized more with his old or new Confucian scholarly friends and less with monks. The most distinctive feature of this period is the way Tasan compared his own situation with the monks’ monastic life, and expressed his views through their world or his aspirations to

73. Chŏng Min, “Tasan kwa Ŭnbong iŭ kyoju wa Man’il amji.”
belong to it:

Only in a dream is the King’s meat stew bestowed unto me
Only an old priest’s dark red bowl is being shared now\textsuperscript{74} [1824]

Yearning afar for the lofty Buddhist monastic world, at this stage he explicitly acknowledges that there was a Way in Buddhism:

Behold Sujong monastery\textsuperscript{75} far away on the very edge of the sky
With its Zen tower standing aloof from the world
Resounding in the wind is the reciting of a sūtra
Undoubtedly by a soul enlightened by the Way\textsuperscript{76} [1824 spring]

The way he expresses his regrets for not having reached the stage of self-cultivation he had previously aspired to is obviously influenced by Buddhism, and shows to what extent the Confucian and Buddhist world had become intertwined in his mind.

…
With all the longing for wife and children so utterly shed off
Shall I read the classics of sages on snowy days\textsuperscript{77} [14\textsuperscript{th} day of ninth month, 1820]

“Study for Writing” and “Hermitage of the Bamboo Cane” neighboring each other
On the very top, Zen practice rooms
Life scarcely has room for taking a stroll in the mountains
Failed in keeping the karma of offering service for the Buddha’s birthday\textsuperscript{78} [spring 1827]

…
How ridiculous it is that the poor learned scholar toiled in vain
Achieving merely a self-styled Zen philosophy
…
As I learned of equality in attaining Buddhahood
Good books fully packed in the stacks are not pulled out to read any more\textsuperscript{79}

\textsuperscript{74} “Han’am chasuk to” 寒菴煮菽圖, \textit{Tasan simunjip}, vol. 6.
\textsuperscript{75} The monastery Tasan had visited as a youth.
\textsuperscript{76} “P’algwaebong sije Cho chŏng-ŏn sanjŏng aek” 八卦峯詩題趙正言山亭額, \textit{Tasan simunjip}, vol. 6.
\textsuperscript{77} “Yongmun sa” 龍門寺, in “Kyo˘ng’u˘i si” 經義詩, \textit{Tasan simunjip}, vol. 7.
\textsuperscript{78} “U Hyŏn-gye chabyŏng sipchŏl” 又玄溪雜詠十絶, \textit{Tasan simunjip}, vol. 6.
\textsuperscript{79} “Ch’aun Pŏm Sŏkho Pyŏng-o sŏhoe sipsu kan-gi Song-ong” 次韻范石湖丙午書懷十首簡寄淞翁, \textit{Tasan simunjip}, volume 6.
To imagine abandoning one’s family is certainly not an appropriate attitude for a serious Confucian. Indeed, it was one of the aspects of Buddhism that Confucians criticized most. Poems composed by Tasan during this period display an intermixing of Confucian and Buddhist ethos, and Buddhist wording is frequently employed:

... Utter emaciation from harsh discipline of avoiding cereals learnt from master monk
Sprinkled water denoting the real attainment of Buddha contemplating water
水觀佛 [...] 80 [sixth month, 1828]

Not going out since legs became paralyzed
All kinds of ailments coming and going, and the paralysis is unrelenting
Confined in this chief monk dwelling quarters, sleeping, awaking, loafing and resting,
Meditation “facing the wall” 面壁 must rather be like meditation “contemplating the heart-mind” 觀心! [...] 81 [sixth month, 1828]

Drooped grass garments
Bald head with unkempt hair strewn over
Stripped off monk skin
Confucian bony core exposed
Old mirror already ground and burnished
New axes not blunt
Enlightened clearly by now
This is just the “second moon” 82 [twelfth month, 1830]

In these poetical writings, and in contrast to the previous ones from his period of exile, he provides no additional explanations: Tasan was thus more expressive of his open affinity for the Buddhist world. With old age and the lack of possibility for any new appointments in government, he may have felt he no longer needed to justify himself. Furthermore, he openly asserted that if he could not spend the rest of his life in a monastery, then it would not be because

---

80. “Ku’u sangga ch’a’un tongp’a kuhan sim’u chi chak samsu pongsı song’ong” 久雨傷稼 次韻東坡 久旱甚雨之作三首 奉示淞翁, Tasan simunjip, vol. 6.
81. “Ku’u sangga ch’a’un tongp’a kuhan sim’u chi chak samsu pongsı song’ong, sam” 久雨傷稼 次韻東坡久旱甚雨之作三首 奉示淞翁, 三, Tasan simunjip, vol. 6.
82. “Kyöng’in chesoḵ tong cheu pun’un, che o, chung ch’oũi sŏn” 庚寅除夕同諸友分韻, 贈草衣禪, Tasan simunjip, vol. 6.
of his own refusal, but due rather to societal restraints:

On coming across dilapidated monasteries, always
Do I feel like shearing off the thorn bush
If no one gets upset
By all means ought I to lead my old life herein\textsuperscript{83} [spring 1827]

Factors behind a Drawing-together

We have just seen an evolution in the thought and attitudes of Tasan from youth to old age, during which the Confucian and Buddhist worlds drew gradually closer together. Let us now return to the questions we posed at the beginning of this paper. In what meaningful way can we analyze this process? Which factors made Tasan, a Confucian scholar, move towards and become sympathetic with the Buddhist world? We can first of all point out two \textit{prima facie} contributing factors: the “closeness” between the Confucian and Buddhist worlds and his direct experience of Buddhism.

“Closeness”

Here, the term “closeness” means both “proximity” and “similarity.” In Tasan’s case, as far as we know, no philosophical or doctrinal arguments, or religious convictions, seem to have played a central role in the interaction between these two worlds. The first type of closeness which needs to be mentioned is \textit{closeness in spirituality}. Both Neo-Confucianism and Buddhism are based firmly in a quest for truth and asceticism. The second type is \textit{closeness in group organization}. Both traditions are “lenient” about membership. This is generally the case of Confucianism, in which there is no priestly caste. And it is also the case of lay groups in Buddhism.\textsuperscript{84} The third type is \textit{historical closeness}. These two traditions had coexisted on the Korean peninsula for more than a millennium. For this reason, they can be understood together as an extended cultural identity. These three first types of closeness characterize the relationship between Confucianism and

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{83} “Hyŏn-gok chapyŏng hwa Yŏ sŏngji” 玄谷雜詠和呂承旨, \textit{Tasan simunjip}, vol. 6.

\end{footnotesize}
Buddhism in Korean (and also East Asian) history in general. These forms of closeness, confirmed in private spheres, facilitated profound mutual understanding through empathy and direct experiences. This mutual understanding led to a degree of tolerance in a large and active sense, and to the acceptance of the simultaneous existence of two different cultures. The following fourth and fifth forms of closeness are rather specific to some individual cases, such as that of Tasan. The fourth form of closeness is *closeness in space*. The Buddhist world, in the form of monasteries and monks, was always physically close to Tasan: in his hometown, in Seoul where he attended the Sŏnggyungwan and had an official career, in his regional wanderings, and in exile. In other words, almost everywhere he went. The fifth and last form is *closeness in a particular social situation*. Tasan’s eighteen-year exile was decisive in his relationship with the Buddhist world. It put him in a marginal and restricted situation similar to that of Buddhist monks, who were also alienated but shared similar cultural tastes with Tasan. Through these different forms of closeness, as well as personal connections, mutual pragmatic interests, favorable impressions, empathetic experiences, the adoption of lifestyles, the understanding of common ultimate objectives and so on, contact was spontaneously established and the two worlds drew closer together.

**Personal Encounter**

In the case of Tasan, it was neither the internal logic of the Confucian tradition nor its differences with Buddhism, but rather external factors, namely political principles and social discrimination, which made exchanges and coexistence with the Buddhist world so problematic. In a public sphere dominated by political concerns, the previously-mentioned closeness could be concealed. Such closeness was intentionally disregarded or treated as something very perilous, because Buddhism, though it shared traits with Confucianism, was “heterodox.” Along with dominant prejudices, discourses and typifications, political ideology and social discrimination regulated the coexistence and exchanges between the two traditions and maintained a distance and a boundary between them. This is where Chŏng Ch’angsu’s explanation left off. But this was just one side of the coin.

A personal encounter, especially face-to-face interaction and repeated direct experiences, provides an opportunity to overcome these obstacles to interaction. In a face-to-face situation, mutual interference can occur that affects each person’s subjective impressions and interpretation. This can
make established typifications more vulnerable and, as a result, modify the
distances or barriers between the two traditions thus separated. By virtue of
face-to-face encounters, a real social relationship can be modified or newly
formed.\textsuperscript{85} This is also one of the reasons why we cannot overlook the study
of the personal dimension in social and cultural history.

Conclusion

We have seen that Tasan’s attitudes and trajectory with regard to the Buddhist
world reveal not only his own personal history, but also the system of meaning
of his society. His behavior was understandable for many of his contemporaries,
who themselves often behaved in a similar manner. Thus, his attitudes
undoubtedly had a significant place in Chosŏn culture.

During this period, despite there being numerous forms of contact with the
Buddhist world open to Confucian intellectuals, social constraint, dogmatic
coercion, and supervision by the regime hindered their deeper interaction with
it. For Confucian intellectuals, inasmuch as they were occupied with and
restrained by their own social status, close as the Buddhist world was, it was
kept at a distance. Their knowledge about it thus remained rigidly patterned,
and for most of them, fixed ideas and a sketchy understanding regarding
Buddhism contributed to their keeping it at a distance. On the other hand, for
those free from ideological shackles or living in provincial society where
political and social control was looser, or for curious, open-minded or
disillusioned Confucians, the role of the Buddhist world seems to have been
very significant indeed. For such individuals, the Buddhist world was probably
as close as, or even closer than, the Confucian world. This is what Tasan
experienced during his exile in Kangjin. Also, through his personal trajectory,
one can see how it became possible for Buddhist monks to participate in social
organizations with Confucian literati. Needless to say, Confucian and Buddhist
traditions had numerous things in common, such as philosophical questioning,
contemplation, modes of expression and practice, as well as other cultural
elements. Therefore, had higher values been based on universal principles rather
than on political identity, in just the same way that “a rose by any other name
would smell as sweet,” the encounter between the two worlds would have been

\textsuperscript{85} For a more detailed account of the relationship between the formation of knowledge and face-
to-face encounters, see Peter Berger & Thomas Luckmann, “The Foundations of Knowledge in
admitted and been viewed as highly relevant. In eighteenth-century Korea, when Confucian socio-political coercive forces were weakened, numerous other cases of Confucian-Buddhist personal interaction, like those of Tasan, can also be found.

There were probably more diverse, individual factors leading learned men to the Buddhist world one way or another, resulting in interaction or a kind of religious conversion. Tasan’s case may belong to the former, a kind of interaction amounting to cultural “consumption” without “conversion.” The latter, a kind of conversion, could have been the result of euphoria or a feeling of being blessed, as in the case of King Chŏngjo (1752-1800) who, after the birth of a prince, acknowledged his devotion to Buddha; or due to a disillusionment with the Confucian world and the contrasting solace found in Buddhism, as in the cases of Kim Ch’anghŭp (1653-1722) and the profound grief provoked by his father’s death on royal command, or Ch’oe Ch’angdae (1669-1720) after having gone through political hardship. There must be other cases, as well. In the future, it would be relevant to examine in greater detail how widespread these types of individual experiences were and what other forms of social and cultural interaction and dynamism took place during the late Chosŏn period, in order to better understand the degree to which the Buddhist world was close to, and a constant environment for, Confucian literati, and how Buddhist culture was shared between different social classes during this period of Korean history.