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Abstract

One of the greatest challenges in the field of chemical biology is the identification of novel bioactive small molecules. These molecules can serve as specific bioprobes for the mechanistic studies of biological systems or therapeutic candidates with unique modes of action. There are two main approaches for identifying bioactive small molecules. In the target-based approach, a target biomacromolecule that plays crucial roles in a disease model is selected. High-throughput biochemical assays identify small molecules that modulate the function of the disease-related target. Finally, the desired phenotypic changes induced by the active small molecules are investigated. In the phenotype-based approach, a phenotype related to a disease model is selected, and small molecules that induce the changes in the phenotype are selected. Target identification process can reveal the mechanism of the action of chemical modulators.

Efficient discovery of bioactive small molecules would be possible by combining advantages of each method. Regardless of the type of high-throughput screening, however, the successful discovery of new small molecule modulators has been significantly influenced by the molecular diversity of small molecule screening libraries. Therefore, a new strategy to construct a small molecule library is presented in chapter 1 with the purpose of modulating new therapeutic targets such as protein–protein interactions.

The library members contained two distinct heterocycles connected in a single molecule with stereodivergent linkers. Pyrimidine-, pyrazole-, or pyrazolopyrimidine-based carbohybrids were constructed through condensations of the key intermediates, 2-C-formyl glycals, with various
dinucleophiles. Fused-triazole scaffolds were obtained through intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions after selective functionalization of the carbohybrid polyol moieties with azide and alkyne functionalities. Overall, this synthetic method affords two distinct privileged substructures in a single molecule, connected by stereodivergent diol linkers derived from abundant natural chiral sources, carbohydrates. The resulting vicinal diols in the linker were further modified to achieve unique connectivities between the two privileged structures for maximized three-dimensional shape diversity. The diversity was verified through principal moment inertial analysis and the structure alignment of energy-minimized compounds.

In chapter 2, a target-based approach is exemplified in an attempt to identify inhibitors of a protein–miRNA (Lin28–let-7) interaction, an important therapeutic target.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) regulate gene expression by targeting most protein-coding transcripts. As a result, miRNAs are involved in every important cellular process in animal. Dysregulation of miRNA biogenesis is associated with many human diseases. Let-7 miRNA family is well known for its tumor suppression function, and it is down-regulated in many cancers. Lin28 protein is abnormally expressed in many cancer cells, and the protein bind primary and precursor let-7 miRNAs to inhibit their maturation. In this study, FRET-based high throughput screening system was constructed in order to identify inhibitors of the Lin28–let-7 interaction. Unnatural amino acid mutagenesis and bioorthogonal chemistry enabled site-specific fluorescent labeling of Lin28, resulting in a highly robust and reliable Lin28–let-7 binding assays. High-throughput screening using the assay identified flavone-based natural products as inhibitors of the protein–miRNA interaction. Biophysical studies
revealed that inhibitors specifically bind Lin28 to block the access of let-7 miRNAs.

In chapter 3, discovery of 2-aminopyrimidine-based antimalarial agents using a high-content phenotype-based screening is presented. New antimalarial agents that exhibit multistage activities against drug-resistant strains of malaria parasites represent good starting points for developing next-generation antimalarial therapies. In order to discover such agents, an image-based parasitological screening method for defining drug effects on different asexual life cycle stages of *Plasmodium falciparum* was developed. High-throughput screening of the in house chemical library using this image-based approach led to the identification of carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine compounds with fast-acting growth inhibitory activities against three laboratory strains of multidrug-resistant *P. falciparum*. Structure–activity relationship study led to the identification of two derivatives (8aA and 11aA) as the most promising antimalarial candidates, targeting all major blood stages of multidrug-resistant *P. falciparum* parasites.
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Chapter 1. Synthesis of Molecular Frameworks Containing Two Distinct Heterocycles Connected in a Single Molecule with Enhanced Three-Dimensional Shape Diversity


### 1.1. Introduction

One of the greatest challenges in the field of chemical biology is the identification of new small molecule modulators that can selectively perturb the function of gene products.[1] Such chemical modulators can serve as specific bioprobes for the mechanistic studies of mysterious biological systems at the molecular level, which is the main concept of chemical genetics.[2] In addition, these small-molecule modulators can open new doors for the development of new therapeutic agents with unique modes of action.[3] The discovery of new bioactive small molecules has been achieved by means of high-throughput screening (HTS) against various biological targets, which can be classified into two major approaches: target-based enzymatic assays and discovery-driven phenotypic assays.[4] Recently, the screening paradigm has shifted toward phenotypic screening as the dominant strategy for discovering new first-in-class therapeutics.[5] Therefore, image-based high-content screening (HCS) has received increased attention in the pharmaceutical industry and academia to expand the repertoire of “druggable” targets.[6]

Regardless of the type of HTS, the successful discovery of new small-molecule modulators has been significantly influenced by the molecular diversity of small-molecule screening libraries.[7] Therefore, it is essential to efficiently construct a collection of drug-like small molecules with maximum
molecular diversity. To this end, synthetic chemists have adopted a diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS) approach that aims at the efficient generation of complex and drug-like compound libraries that contain large numbers of structurally diverse molecular frameworks. Our research group have been particularly interested in the development of divergent and robust synthetic pathways for the efficient construction of a drug-like small-molecule library through the creative assembly of polyheterocycles embedded with privileged substructures including benzopyrans, benzodiazepines, acetal-fused pyranopyrones, and tetrahydroindazolones. This approach was named privileged-substructure-based diversity-oriented synthesis (pDOS). It is worth mentioning that new polyheterocycles embedded with privileged substructures showed enhanced selectivities and high relevance in multiple biological assays, which was confirmed by the successful identification of specific small-molecule modulators for various biological targets, including anticancer, antiosteoporosis, and antidiabetic agents.

Among the synthetic pathways developed thus far, I pursued the further elaboration of a particular molecular framework, namely, carbohybridics. These are defined as acyclic polyols fused with various privileged heterocycles, which are widely dispersed in nature and have interesting biological activities (Figure 1.1). For example, bengazoles are antifungal marine natural products that contain a unique bisoxazole moiety connected to a carbohydrate-like acyclic polyol. Many iminoalditols with acyclic polyol chains have been identified as inhibitors of glycosyltransferases and glycosidases for diverse therapeutic applications. Sialic acid and its derivatives are polyol-fused heterocyclic molecules widely distributed in nature. On the basis of these interesting structural motifs, an efficient one-pot protocol has been developed
for the synthesis of acyclo-C-nucleosides as carbohybrids starting from 2-C-formyl glycals.\textsuperscript{[15]} The synthetic method overcame the limitations of previously reported protocols that used 2-C-formyl glycals, such as poor yields and the use of strong base. With this powerful method in hand, I envisioned the further expansion of the carbohybrid collection through the creation of new molecular frameworks through the incorporation of various diversity elements, including skeletal, stereochemical, and substituent diversity. In fact, a new type of carbohybrid, elaborated through the modification of a glycosidic linkage at the polyol moiety, has been identified in \textit{Proteus} bacteria (Figure 1.1).\textsuperscript{[16]}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{bioactive_molecules.png}
\caption{Bioactive small molecules containing carbohybrid, pyrimidine, pyrazole, pyrazolopyrimidine, and triazole substructures.}
\end{figure}
Herein, I report a systematic modification of carbohybrid collections to create new molecular frameworks that contain two distinct privileged substructures in a single molecule. Acyclic polyols fused with pyrimidines, pyrazoles, or pyrazolopyrimidines served as primary carbohybrid skeletons; such heterocycles are privileged substructures frequently observed in bioactive natural products and pharmaceutical agents (Figure 1.1).\textsuperscript{[17]} I also identified 1,2,3-triazoles fused with diverse heterocycles as modification elements for carbohybrids because they are abundant in many bioactive compounds. In fact, there are only a half dozen reports on the synthesis of a fused 1,2,3-triazole-based compound library, and the strategies for their preparation are still in the early stages of development.\textsuperscript{[18]} As shown in Figure 1.2, carbohybrid-derived new molecular frameworks contain two privileged substructures connected with stereodivergent vicinal diols. New synthetic schemes were designed to construct fused triazoles through the intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azido and alkyne functionalities, which can be installed at the desired positions of the polyol moiety. The intramolecular cycloaddition reaction is quite an efficient transformation and is tolerant of a broad range of substrates.

Next, I aimed to modify the stereodivergent vicinal diol functionality in the linker with various chemical reactions, which would provide new kinds of skeletal diversity through the creation of additional molecular scaffolds. The various tetherings of the chirally enriched vicinal diols allowed the specific display of the two privileged heterocycles in a diverse three-dimensional (3D) space owing to the conformational restriction of the rotatable bonds in the linker region. In this chapter, I describe in detail my stereodivergent synthetic strategy to control the spatial orientation of privileged heterocycles using carbohybrid molecular frameworks.
1.2. Results and Discussion

1.2.1. Preparation of pyrimidine- and pyrazole-based carbohybrids

The efficient one-pot synthesis of pyrimidine-, pyrazole-, and pyrazolopyrimidine-based carbohybrids has been reported using 2-C-formyl glycals as starting materials.\textsuperscript{[15]} In this study, I sought to diversify the polyheterocyclic skeletons through the introduction of fused triazoles in these carbohybrids. Hence, I prepared the key intermediates 1 and 2 through the selective protection of hydroxyl groups on D-glucal and D-galactal, and a subsequent Vilsmeier–Haack formylation. In brief, the primary hydroxyl group at the C-6 position was protected with a trityl group, and two of the secondary hydroxyl groups were orthogonally protected with \textit{p}-methoxybenzyl (PMB) groups. After formylation in the presence of POCl$_3$ in
dimethylformamide (DMF), the intermediates 1 and 2 were transformed into acyclic polyol-fused pyrimidines 3, pyrazoles 4, and pyrazolopyrimidines 5 through the imine formation with dinucleophiles followed by nucleophilic sugar-ring opening.

As shown in Table 1.1, this transformation preserved its broad scope of substrate generality and afforded various polyheterocycles in moderate to good yields. The dinucleophilic condensation of 2-C-formyl D-glucal 1 along with ring opening gave almost an identical result to that of 2-C-formyl D-galactal 2 in terms of yield and the reaction time under the same conditions (Table 1.1, entries 1 and 2).

**Table 1.1.** Synthesis of pyrimidine-, pyrazole-, and pyrazolopyrimidine-based carbohybrids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NMe&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>RT, 16 h</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>NMe&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>RT, 16 h</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>p-chlorophenyl</td>
<td>RT, 21 h</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>NH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>80°C, 21 h</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>RT, 14 h</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
<td>80°C, 12 h</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>80°C, 11 h</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
<td>80°C, 12 h</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In previous reports, it was clearly demonstrated that both 2-C-formyl d-glucal and 2-C-formyl d-galactal were coupled with various dinucleophiles in a similar pattern.\textsuperscript{[15]} Therefore, in this research I focused on the formation of fused triazoles and the subsequent diversification in spatial orientation of privileged heterocycles using galactal-based carbohybrids (Table 1.1, entries 2–8). When substituted guanidines and benzamidines were used in a salt form, I generated the free bases of dinucleophiles in the presence of K$_2$CO$_3$, which afforded the polyol-fused pyrimidines 3a–d in moderate to respectable yields (Table 1.1, entries 1–4). Synthesis of the pyrazole-based carbohybrid 4a was successfully achieved in excellent yields by means of the cyclocondensation with methylhydrazine under the basic co-solvent conditions at room temperature (Table 1.1, entry 5). In the case of phenylhydrazine, the desired product 4b was not observed under identical conditions, probably owing to the inherently poor nucleophilicity of arylhydrazines. However, thermal activation at 80 ºC allowed the formation of the desired product 4b in modest yield (Table 1.1, entry 6). In the case of 5-substituted 3-aminopyrazoles, the conventional heating at 80 ºC was also required to obtain the desired pyrazolopyrimidines 5a,b in moderate to good yields (Table 1.1, entries 7 and 8). As observed in the arylhydrazine cases, the presence of a phenyl substituent at the C-5 position of 3-aminopyrazole lowered its reactivity and caused a significant reduction in yield.

1.2.2. Construction of fused triazoles through intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions

There are many reports on privileged structures as core skeletons for the
synthesis of potentially bioactive small molecules and as key binding motifs for various biopolymers, especially enzymes and proteins.\cite{19} Therefore, pDOS\cite{10} has been proposed as an efficient strategy for the construction of new polyheterocyclic molecular frameworks that contain privileged substructures to systematically perturb various biological processes, including protein–protein interactions (PPIs). Along this line, I envisioned that the linking of two privileged substructures in a single hybrid molecule might be a powerful strategy to modulate potential PPIs. Each privileged substructure in these hybrid molecules can serve as a binding motif for different PPI partners, which could increase the possibility of perturbing a specific protein–protein interaction in the complex signaling networks.

With the robust and general transformation of key intermediates 1 and 2 with various dinucleophiles, including substituted guanidines, benzamidines, hydrazines, and 3-aminopyrazoles, I pursued the further modification of my resulting carbohybrids. The construction of new core skeletons through the connection of two distinct privileged substructures in a single molecule using various linkers has never been explored in the fields of molecular diversity and diversity-oriented synthesis. Inspired by their abundance in many bioactive compounds\cite{18b,d} and the early developmental stage of drug-like fused 1,2,3-triazole libraries,\cite{18a,c–f} I designed the strategic linkage of fused 1,2,3-triazoles at the other ends of acyclic C-nucleosides 3–5 (Figure 1.2).

As shown in Scheme 1.1, the free secondary hydroxyl groups in 3–5, generated by the cyclocondensations with dinucleophiles, were mesylated, and the trityl-protected primary alcohol was unmasked in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid in methanol. Then, nucleophilic substitution of the O-mesyl group with NaN₃ in DMF afforded intermediates 6–8 that bore β-azido
Scheme 1.1. Synthesis of intermediates (6–8) that harbor β-azido hydroxyl functionality. i) MsCl, TEA, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C–RT; ii) p-TsOH, MeOH, RT; iii) NaN$_3$, DMF, 100 °C. 3a, 6a: $R=\text{NMMe}_2$, $R^1=\text{OPMB}$, $R^2=\text{H}$, 62%; 3b, 6b: $R=\text{NMMe}_2$, $R^1=\text{H}$, $R^2=\text{OPMB}$, 88%; 3c, 6c: $R=\text{p-chlorophenyl}$, $R^1=\text{H}$, $R^2=\text{OPMB}$, 73%; 4a, 7a: $R=\text{Me}$, 67%; 5a, 8a: $R=\text{Me}$, 75%.

hydroxyl functionality in excellent three-step yields. Owing to the mild reaction conditions, no deterioration of chiral enrichment was observed, which might have been caused by the epoxide-associated epimerization through neighboring-group participation. For the formation of the fused triazoles, alkyne functionality was then introduced by the reactions of the hydroxyl groups in 6–8 with various alkyne building blocks. As shown in Scheme 1.2, the nucleophilic substitution of various alkynyl halides with the β-azido hydroxyl moiety in the presence of NaH afforded the corresponding ether intermediates, which underwent the intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions in toluene heated to reflux without further purification to yield the desired morpholine-fused triazoles 9 in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 1.2, pathway I). The hydroxyl moieties in 6–8 were also converted to ester linkages through Mitsunobu reactions with alkynyl acids, and the subsequent intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions yielded 2-morpholine-fused triazoles
Scheme 1.2. Synthesis of fused 1,2,3-triazoles. I) Haloalkynes, NaH, DMF (SN2-type O-alkylation), then heating in toluene at reflux. II) Alkynyl acids, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD), PPh3, THF (Mitsunobu reaction), then microwave irradiation, 150 W, 120 °C, DMF. III) Alkynyl sulfonamides, DIAD, PPh3, THF (Mitsunobu reaction), then heating in toluene at reflux.

10 in excellent yields (Scheme 1.2, pathway II). Alkynyl sulfonamides were successfully introduced under Mitsunobu conditions, and the subsequent intramolecular cycloaddition in toluene heated to reflux afforded piperazine-fused triazoles 11 in high yields (Scheme 1.2, pathway III). Unlike Huisgen cycloaddition, these intramolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions selectively formed single 1,5-regioisomers without copper catalyst, regardless of alkynyl substituents due to the restricted geometries. However, it is worth mentioning
Table 1.2. New molecular frameworks containing two distinct privileged substructures in a single hybrid molecule obtained through pathway I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>HetAr</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>9b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>ethyl</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>9c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>3-methoxyphenyl</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>9e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1-naphthalene</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>9f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>9g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>ethyl</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>9i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>4-fluorophenyl</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>4-methoxyphenyl</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>9l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>2-thienyl</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>9n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>9p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

that the cycloaddition reaction rate was much slower in the case of the ester intermediates (Scheme 1.2, pathway II) than the other substrates under conventional reflux conditions. Instead, microwave irradiation in DMF for 3 min at 150 W and 120 °C was sufficient to convert all the ester intermediates to the desired 1,2,3-triazoles in good yields.

As shown in Tables 1.2 and 1.3, I successfully achieved the efficient synthesis of new chimera compounds (9-11) that contained various fused triazoles and privileged heterocycles connected with chirally enriched vicinal diols. This synthetic route is modular enough to construct any combination of fused 1,2,3-triazoles with privileged polyheterocycles, which dramatically
enhances the expandability of their molecular diversity. In addition, I can envision the access to all possible diastereomers of final compounds by using different starting D- and L-hexoses.

**Table 1.3.** New molecular frameworks containing two distinct privileged structures in a single hybrid molecule obtained through pathways II and III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Path</th>
<th>HetAr</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>10c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>ethyl</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>10e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>11b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>4-methylphenyl</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>4-cyanophenyl</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>11d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1.2.3. Modification of the linker to maximize skeletal diversity in 3D space**

After the successful construction of new chimera compounds that contained fused 1,2,3-triazoles and privileged heterocycles connected with chirally enriched vicinal diols, I pursued the introduction of a new diversity element. This was to be achieved through molecular-shape transformation by using various chemical reactions of the stereodivergent vicinal diols in the linker. In fact, the actual arrangement of privileged substructures in 3D space might be critical to potential interactions with biopolymers. By interlocking vicinal...
diols in the linkers of my chimera compounds, the projection of two privileged structures could be dramatically changed, and the potential interaction of these unique skeletons to biopolymers can be quite specific due to their limited conformational freedom with a prepaid entropic penalty. The generation of molecular-shape diversity might lead to the diversification of biological activity, with a similar set of appendages in a complementary 3D fashion. To this end, the PMB protecting groups were removed in the presence of 20% trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane to release free vicinal diols in excellent yields (Table 1.4).

**Table 1.4. Deprotection of PMB groups in the linker.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>HetAr</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>R³</th>
<th>R⁴</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
<th>Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9a</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9c</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>ethyl</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>12c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9g</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>hydrogen</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9h</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9i</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>ethyl</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9j</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>phenyl</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9k</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>4-fluorophenyl</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9l</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>4-methoxyphenyl</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>12l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9m</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>2-thienyl</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>12m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9n</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>12n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9o</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>methyl</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Scheme 1.3, the resulting vicinal diols were modified through various chemical transformations to uniquely position the two privileged substructures in 3D space. For example, the acetal exchange reaction of the glucal-derived (R,R)-vicinal diol **12b** with *p*-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal
Scheme 1.3. Modification of the linker to maximize skeletal diversity in 3D space. i) DDQ, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C–RT; 13b, 59% d.r.=81:19; 13h, 33%, d.r. = 66:34. ii) Anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal, p-TsOH, CH₂Cl₂, RT; 13b, 55%, d.r. = 71:29. iii) 2,2-
Dimethoxypropane, *p*-TsOH, acetone, RT; 14b, 85%. iv) Acetic anhydride, TEA, 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT; 15b, 95%; 15h, 89%. v) CDI, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT; 16b, 79%. vi) NaN$_3$, H$_2$O, DMF, 110 °C; 17b, 49%.

afforded the chimera compound 13b that contained *p*-methoxyphenyl acetal as a 71:29 diastereomeric mixture as determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. Interestingly, 13b can also be obtained by 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-benzoquinone (DDQ) treatment of PMB-protected 9b in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with a similar 81:19 diastereomeric ratio. When the galactal-derived (*R*,*S*)-vicinal diol compound 9h with PMB protecting groups was treated with DDQ, a different *p*-methoxyphenyl acetal-containing chimera compound 13h was obtained, with a diastereomeric ratio of 66 :34. The glucal-derived (*R*,*R*)-vicinal diol 12b was tolerant of various diol-based chemical transformations. For example, the acid-catalyzed acetal exchange reaction of 12b with 2,2-dimethoxypropane provided dimethyl acetal 14b in high yield, and the treatment of 12b with 1,1-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ allowed the formation of carbonate 16b in good yield. However, the galactal-derived (*R*,*S*)-vicinal diol 12h did not undergo the ring-forming exchange reaction to the dimethyl acetal under identical conditions (Scheme 3, route iii), possibly due to A$_{1,2}$-strain-like repulsion between two privileged heterocycles upon acetal-based cyclization of the vicinal diol. Similarly, the carbonate compound synthesized by the treatment of 12h with CDI was transiently generated, but readily decomposed back to the starting compound 12h at room temperature, which demonstrated the instability of the tethered five-membered ring system from galactal-derived (*R*,*S*)-vicinal diols (Figure 3c).

The further diversification of stereodivergent vicinal diols was carried out through nucleophilic ring opening of the cyclic carbonate 16b with NaN$_3$ to
yield the monohydroxy azido compound 17b.\textsuperscript{[21]} To maximize the molecular shape diversity of my compound collections, the stereodivergent vicinal diols were modified with acetylation to provide 15b and 15h as a linear version of my chimera compounds.

Overall, the vicinal diol modifications introduced new skeletal diversity in my compound collection: two heterocyclic substructures could be uniquely oriented in 3D space through the tethering process of vicinal diols. To visualize the shape diversity I achieved, I selected eight representative chimera compounds (9h, 12b, 13b, 13h, 14b, 15b, 16b, and 17b) with identical appendages that differed with respect to stereochemistry and/or tethering moiety of vicinal diols. The eight compounds were virtually modeled in 3D space, and their energy-minimized molecular structures were superimposed and aligned with respect to the pyrimidine group. As shown in Figure 1.3a, the orientations of morpholine-fused triazoles diverged significantly in 3D space through the rigidified linker regions, which emphasized the importance of the shape diversity in my chimera compounds and the associated spatial display of two privileged substructures within a single molecule. Moreover, to quantify the discrete shape differences of eight representative compounds in 3D space, I performed the normalized principal moments of inertia (PMI) analysis.\textsuperscript{[22]} The PMI analysis has been used to capture the shape-based distribution of the small molecule library. The points in the resulting plot occupy an isosceles triangle defined by the vertices (0,1), (0.5,0.5), and (1,1), which correspond to the shape of a rod, disc, and sphere, respectively. As shown in Figure 1.3b, my representative compounds were dispersed in the PMI plot, which indicates that my linker diversification strategy of stereodivergent vicinal diols allows access
Figure 1.3. 3-dimensional shape diversity of the chimera molecules. (a) Overlay of eight representative molecules. Energy-minimized conformers were aligned with respect to the pyrimidine moiety. Branching linker atoms are not shown in this figure for clarity. (b) PMI plot depicting the eight representative compounds. (c) The structural differences in energy-minimized conformers of glucal-derived \((R,R)\)-carbonate 16b and unstable galactal-derived \((R,S)\)-carbonate.

to different chemical spaces by means of the unique display of two privileged substructures in 3D space.

The differences in the stereochemistry and linker tethering lead to the significant shape and skeletal diversity of final compounds, thus emphasizing the importance of the unique design of molecular frameworks and their connectivity. It is worth mentioning that the overall shape of a small molecule is the most fundamental element that influences its specific modulation of
biological functions and signaling pathways. Indeed, a substantial “shape space” coverage (in other words, molecular-shape diversity) has been correlated with a broad range of perturbations in biological activities. In this study, I demonstrated that the shape space coverage of chimera carbohybrids that contain two unique privileged substructures could be expanded through the tethering of the stereodivergent vicinal diols in the linker region. Therefore, in the field of diversity-oriented synthesis, my linker-diversification strategy affords a new way to access molecular-shape diversity in 3D space and a new tool to expand this molecular diversity without significant changes in substituents.

1.3. Conclusion

New molecular frameworks were developed through the systematic incorporation of two distinct privileged substructures in a single molecule. As substituents of carbohybrids, pyrimidines, pyrazoles, and pyrazolopyrimidines were synthesized by means of the condensations of common intermediates, 2-C-formyl glycals, with the corresponding dinucleophiles in simple one-pot procedures. To enhance the biological relevance of these compounds, particularly with respect to the potential perturbations of protein–protein interactions, privileged fused triazoles were introduced at the other end of the resulting carbohybrids. The β-azido hydroxyl moieties in the key intermediates were prepared by selective polyol modifications, and the primary hydroxyl groups were modified with various alkyne building blocks. The resulting intermediates, which contain both azido and alkyne functionalities, were then subjected to intramolecular copper-free 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition by
conventional heating or microwave irradiation to efficiently yield the fused 1,2,3-triazoles as a single 1,5-regioisomer. The key feature of these carbohybrids was the connection of two privileged heterocycles with a stereochemically enriched vicinal diol linker, which was further utilized for the expansion of molecular-shape diversity through tethering of the stereodivergent vicinal diols using various chemical reactions. This allowed the unique orientation of privileged substructures in 3D space. This linker-diversification strategy offers a new diversity element through molecular shape transformation without substituent changes. PMI analysis and the structure alignment of energy-minimized representative compounds clearly demonstrated the importance of stereochemistry and linker-modification chemistry of vicinal diols. The molecular recognition of bioactive small molecules by biopolymers is significantly influenced by their 3D conformations.[23] Therefore, the linker-diversification strategy and my new molecular frameworks can provide a valuable platform on which to build molecular diversity in 3D space, ultimately leading to the identification of specific bioactive small molecules, particularly protein–protein interaction modulators.

1.4. Experimental Section

General methods. All commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification unless noted otherwise. All the solvents were purchased from commercial vendors. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were obtained using Bruker DRX-300 (Bruker Biospin, Germany), Agilent 400-MR DD2 (Agilent, USA), or Varian Inova-500 (Varian Assoc., Palo Alto, USA)
Instruments. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard or the residual solvent peak (CDCl₃; ¹H: δ = 7.26 ppm, ¹³C: δ = 77.23 ppm). Multiplicity was indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet of doublet), dt (doublet of triplet), td (triplet of doublet), brs (broad singlet), and so on. Coupling constants are reported in hertz. Mass spectrometric analysis was performed using a Finnigan Surveyor MSQ Plus LC/MS (Thermo) with electrospray ionization (ESI). Microwave reaction was performed using a CEM Discover Benchmate microwave synthesizer. The conversion of starting materials was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using precoated glass-backed plates (silica gel 60; F₂₅₄ = 0.25 mm), and the reaction components were visualized by observation under UV light (254 and 365 nm) or by treatment of TLC plates with visualizing agents such as KMnO₄, phosphomolybdic acid, ceric sulfate, and ninhydrin followed by heating. Products were purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (230–400 mesh) using a mixture of EtOAc/hexane or MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ as eluents. The energy-minimized structures of molecules were obtained by Vconf Interface v2.0 using default parameters and visualized by Discovery Studio 3.0. The principal moment of inertia (PMI) of the energy-minimized structures was calculated using PreADMET v2.0 and visualized by a PMI plot as described previously.[22a]

**General procedure for the preparation of pyrimidine-, pyrazole-, and pyrazolopyrimidine-based carbohybrids 3–5.** A solution of 2-C-formyl glycal 1 or 2 (100 mg) in THF (3 mL) was added to a stirred solution of dinucleophile (2 equiv.) and K₂CO₃ (5 equiv.) in ethanol (3 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature or 80 °C until the full conversion of
starting materials monitored by TLC. The solvent was removed under reduced
pressure and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The
aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate twice, and the combined
organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$. The filtrate was condensed
under reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography.

Carbohybrid 3b: Prepared from 2 and 1,1-dime-thylguanidine sulfate salt,
amorphous white solid. Yield: 80%; [α]$^\text{D}_{25} = 9.69$ (c = 0.91, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.17
(EtOAc/hexane 1:2); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 8.24 (s, 2 H), 7.42 (d, $J$
= 8.1 Hz, 6 H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 9H), 7.15 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.82–6.78 (m, 4H),
6.69 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.40 (d, $J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H),
4.18 (d, $J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.11–4.05 (m, 3 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H),
3.73 (dd, $J$ = 7.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, $J$ = 9.3, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 6H), 3.08
(dd, $J$ = 9.3, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.39 ppm (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz,
CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 162.4, 159.5, 159.4, 157.9, 144.1, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7, 128.9,
128.0, 127.2, 119.1, 114.0, 113.8, 86.9, 81.0, 76.5, 74.3, 70.3, 70.0, 64.7, 60.6,
55.5, 37.4, 14.4 ppm; HRMS (FAB+): m/z calculated for C$_{42}$H$_{47}$N$_3$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$ : 726.3543; found: 726.3536.

General procedure for the preparation of key intermediates 6–8 that
harbor β-azido hydroxyl functionality. Triethylamine (TEA; 3 equiv.) and
MsCl (Ms = mesylate; 2 equiv.) were added to a stirred solution of primary
carbohybrid 3–5 (1 equiv.) in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 0 °C. The mixture was
stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and washed with brine. The
aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and the combined organic layer was
dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$. After removal of the organic solvent under
reduced pressure, the crude mesylated product was dissolved in methanol,
followed by the addition of p-TsOH (1.5 equiv.). After stirring for 8 h at room
temperature, the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate twice, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography. The detritylated product (1 equiv.) was dissolved in DMF and NaN₃ (5 equiv.) was added, after which the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h. The solvent was removed and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography to obtain key intermediates 6–8. Intermediate 6a: Prepared from carbohybrid 3a, white syrup. Yield: 62%; [α]D²⁵ = 55.11 (c = 0.74, CHCl₃) ; Rᵢ = 0.19 (EtOAc/hexane 1:1) ; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.31 (s, 2 H), 7.22–7.18 (m, 4 H), 6.86–6.84 (m, 4 H), 4.63 (s, 2 H), 4.47–4.43 (m, 2 H), 4.23 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 6H), 3.65 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 3.26 (q, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 1.94 ppm (brs, 1 H) ; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 162.4, 159.51, 159.49, 157.6, 130.3, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 117.9, 114.0, 113.9, 81.1, 78.4, 74.9, 70.6, 63.5, 62.3, 55.39, 55.36, 37.3 ppm; HRMS (FAB+): m/z calculated for C₂₆H₃₂N₆O₅ [M+H]+: 509.2512; found: 509.2515.

General procedure for the preparation of morpholine-fused triazoles 9. NaH (2 equiv.) was added to a stirred solution of key intermediates 6–8 in anhydrous DMF at 0 °C. After stirring the mixture for 15 min at 0 °C, alkynyl halide (1.5 equiv.) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was
partitioned between ethyl acetate and brine. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$. The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and dissolved in toluene. The mixture was heated to reflux for 4 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography. In some cases, the crude mixture in DMF was heated to 110 °C to give morpholine-fused triazoles 9 in a one-pot manner. After completion of the reaction, the purification step was the same as described above. Triazole 9b: prepared from intermediate 6a and 1-bromo-2-butyne; white solid. Yield: 89%; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -60.08$ (c = 0.58, CHCl$_3$); $R_f = 0.09$ (EtOAc/hexane 1:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 8.25$ (s, 2 H), 7.15–7.10 (m, 4 H), 6.80–6.78 (m, 4 H), 4.62–4.50 (m, 4 H), 4.45–4.40 (m, 2 H), 4.34 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 4.15–4.10 (m, 3 H), 3.76–3.74 (m, 7 H), 3.18 (s, 6 H), 2.08 ppm (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 162.1$, 159.4, 159.3, 157.7, 136.6, 130.2, 129.84, 129.79, 129.7, 127.5, 117.7, 113.8, 80.4, 77.4, 74.8, 70.0, 64.7, 62.0, 56.0, 55.33, 55.31, 37.2, 9.8 ppm; HRMS (FAB+): m/z calculated for C$_{30}$H$_{36}$N$_6$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 561.2825; found: 561.2821.

**General procedure for the preparation of 2-morpholione-fused triazoles 10.** Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (1.2 equiv.) was added slowly to a stirred solution of key intermediates 6–8 (1 equiv.), triphenylphosphane (1.2 equiv.), and akynic acids (1.2 equiv.) in anhydrous THF at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1.5 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography to give ester intermediates. They were dissolved in DMF and heated in a capped microwave vessel under microwave irradiation (150 W, 120 °C) for 3 min. After removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography. Triazole 10a:
Prepared from intermediate 6a and 2-butynoic acid, Yellow solid, Yield: 95%; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -16.09$ (c = 0.61, CHCl$_3$), R$_f$ = 0.19 (EtOAc/hexane 1:1); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 8.42 (s, 2 H), 7.14 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.03 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 6.85–6.76 (m, 4 H), 4.68–4.64 (m, 1 H), 4.54 (d, $J$ = 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.46–4.40 (m, 3 H), 4.33 (d, $J$ = 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.23 (d, $J$ = 10.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.16 (d, $J$ = 11.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.92–3.89 (m, 1 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 3.22 (s, 6 H), 2.54 ppm (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta =$ 162.6, 159.8, 159.7, 158.1, 156.7, 148.9, 131.0, 130.1, 129.2, 128.4, 121.8, 117.0, 114.1, 114.0, 79.3, 77.4, 74.9, 70.6, 68.5, 55.7, 55.5, 55.4, 37.3, 11.4 ppm; HRMS (FAB+): m/z calculated for C$_{30}$H$_{34}$N$_{6}$O$_{6}$ [M+H]$^+$: 575.2618; found: 575.2622.

**General procedure for the preparation of piperazine-fused triazoles 11.**

Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (1.5 equiv.) was added slowly to a stirred solution of key intermediates 6–8 (1 equiv.), triphenylphosphane (1.5 equiv.), and akynic sulfonamides (1.5 equiv.) in anhydrous THF at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography, and the resulting intermediate was stirred in toluene heated at reflux for 3 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography.

Triazole 11b: prepared from intermediate 6a and 4-methyl-N-(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide, yellow solid. Yield: 73%; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -50.39$ (c = 0.46, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.20 (EtOAc/hexane 2:3); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta =$ 8.39 (s, 2 H), 7.60–7.55 (m, 4 H), 7.46–7.43 (m, 2 H), 7.37–7.32 (m, 3 H), 7.08–7.03 (m, 4 H), 6.77–6.71 (m, 4 H), 4.72–4.67 (m, 2 H), 4.46 (d, $J$ = 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.34–4.19 (m, 3 H), 4.15–4.09 (m, 2 H), 4.02 (d, $J$ = 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 3.69 (s, 3 H), 3.52 (dd, $J$ = 13.1, 5.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.17–3.13 (m, 7 H), 2.46 ppm (s, 3 H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta =$ 162.4, 159.59, 159.57, 157.9,
144.9, 141.9, 132.8, 130.8, 130.6, 130.4, 129.5, 129.1, 129.0, 128.2, 127.8, 126.3, 125.4, 117.5, 113.99, 113.98, 80.2, 75.1, 74.8, 69.8, 57.2, 55.4, 55.3, 44.4, 42.8, 37.3, 21.8 ppm; HRMS (FAB+): m/z calculated for C_{42}H_{45}N_{7}O_{6}S [M+H]^+: 776.3230; found: 776.3234.
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**Synthesis of 2-C-formyl-3,4-di-O-(p-methoxybenzyl)-6-O-trityl-D-glucal 1.**

**Tritylation:** To a stirred solution of D-glucal (500 mg, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous pyridine (2.5 mL) was added trityl chloride (1.2 equiv.) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 0.1 equiv.). After stirring at room temperature for 24 h, the mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with water and the combined organic layer was washed with 10 % CuSO₄ aqueous solution to remove pyridine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure followed by flash column chromatography to obtain 6-O-trityl-D-glucal. Yield = 63%. **PMB protection:** To a stirred solution of 6-O-trityl-D-glucal (1000 mg, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL), NaH (4.8 equiv.) was added slowly at 0 °C. After stirring the mixture for 15 min, p-methoxybenzyl chloride (2.4 equiv.) and tetrabutylammonium chloride (0.05 equiv.) was added. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and partitioned between diethyl ether and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography to obtain 3,4-di-O-(p-methoxybenzyl)-6-O-trityl-D-glucal. Yield = 97%. **Formylation:** To a stirred solution of 3,4-di-O-(p-methoxybenzyl)-6-O-trityl-D-glucal (1000 mg, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL), POCl₃ (3 equiv.) was added dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring the mixture for 10 h at room temperature, the reaction was quenched by transferring the mixture slowly to the saturated cold NaHCO₃ aqueous solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate and the combined organic layer was washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure followed by flash column chromatography to obtain 2-C-formyl-3,4-di-O-(p-methoxybenzyl)-6-O-trityl-D-glucal 1 as a white solid. Yield: 63%; [α]D²² = +18.61 (c = 1.07, CHCl₃); Rf = 0.67 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.35 (s, 1H), 7.38–7.36 (m, 6H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 9H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.8 Hz,
2H), 6.74 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.53–4.50 (m, 1H), 4.45–4.30 (m, 5H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.71–3.69 (m, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 11.2, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (dd, J = 11.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 190.5, 164.5, 159.6, 159.3, 143.9, 130.5, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 129.2, 128.8, 128.0, 127.3, 118.1, 114.1, 113.9, 87.2, 80.0, 72.2, 71.4, 71.2, 65.5, 62.9, 55.4.

Synthesis of 2-C-formyl-3,4-di-O-(p-methoxybenzyl)-6-O-trityl-D-galactal 2.

Tryptlylation: To a stirred solution of D-galactal (1 equiv.) and trityl chloride (1.2 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM was added diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 1.5 equiv.) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, 0.1 equiv.). After stirring at room temperature for 24 h, the mixture was diluted with DCM and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure followed by flash column chromatography to obtain 6-O-trityl-D-galactal. Yield = 89%. PMB protection: The same procedure as the above was applied to obtain 3,4-di-O-(p-methoxybenzyl)-6-O-trityl-D-galactal. Yield = 95%. Formylation: The same procedure as the above was applied to obtain 2-C-formyl-3,4-di-O-(p-methoxybenzyl)-6-O-trityl-D-galactal. Yield = 64%; [α]D²² = +20.09 (c = 1.05, CHCl₃); Rf = 0.64 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.25 (s, 1H), 7.38–7.36 (m, 6H), 7.25–7.20 (m, 9H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.64–4.61 (m, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.44 (dd, J = 3.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.801 (s, 3H), 3.797 (s, 3H), 3.72–3.68 (m, 2H), 3.58 (dd, J = 11.7, 1.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 189.6, 164.6, 164.5, 159.5, 159.0, 144.1, 131.1, 129.8, 129.4, 129.3, 128.9, 127.9, 127.1, 119.5, 114.0, 113.6, 87.0, 79.2, 73.3, 72.9, 71.2, 65.0, 55.5, 55.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₄₅H₄₇N₃O₆ [M+Na]⁺: 679.27; found: 679.27.

Synthesis of pyrimidine-, pyrazole- and pyrazolopyrimidine-based carbohybrids 3–5. The synthetic procedure is described at the Experimental Section.
Compound 3a: Prepared from 1,1-dimethylguanidine sulfate salt; White solid; Yield = 85%; \([\alpha]_D^{21} = -51.96\ (c = 1.62,\ CHCl_3); R_f = 0.53\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.24 (s, 2H), 7.41–7.40 (m, 6H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 9H), 7.10 (d, \(J = 8.8\) Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.70 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 4.39–4.37 (m, 2H), 4.22–4.14 (m, 2H), 4.02–3.99 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.58 (dd, \(J = 6.4, 2.9\) Hz, 1H), 3.25–3.24 (m, 2H), 2.96 (d, \(J = 5.9\) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 162.4, 159.6, 159.4, 157.8, 143.9, 130.3, 130.1, 129.8, 129.5, 128.9, 128.1, 127.3, 118.1, 114.1, 113.8, 86.8, 80.5, 76.6, 73.6, 70.52, 70.48, 64.8, 55.5, 55.4, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for C\(_{45}\)H\(_{47}\)N\(_3\)O\(_6\) [M+H]\(^+\): 726.35; found: 726.10.

Compound 3b: Prepared from 1,1-dimethylguanidine sulfate salt; White solid; Yield = 80%; \([\alpha]_D^{25} = -9.69\ (c = 0.91,\ CHCl_3); R_f = 0.17\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 2); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.24 (s, 2H), 7.42 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 6H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 9H), 7.15 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.82–6.78 (m, 4H), 6.69 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 4.40 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.24 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.11–4.05 (m, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.73 (dd, \(J = 7.6, 6.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, \(J = 9.3, 6.1\) Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 6H), 3.08 (dd, \(J = 9.3, 6.4\) Hz, 1H), 2.39 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 162.4, 159.5, 159.4, 157.9, 144.1, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7, 128.9, 128.0, 127.2, 119.1, 114.0, 113.8, 86.9, 81.0, 76.5, 74.3, 70.3, 70.0, 64.7, 60.6, 55.5, 37.4, 14.4; HRMS (FAB+) \(m/z\) calculated for C\(_{45}\)H\(_{47}\)N\(_3\)O\(_6\) [M+H]\(^+\): 726.3543; found: 726.3536.

Compound 3c: Prepared from 4-chlorobenzamidine hydroiodide; Amorphous white solid; Yield = 52%; \([\alpha]_D^{23} = +3.16\ (c = 1.32,\ CHCl_3); R_f = 0.66\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.66 (s, 2H), 8.41 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.43 (m, 6H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 6H), 7.26–7.23 (m, 3H), 7.17 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, \(J = 8.5\) Hz, 2H), 6.60 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 4.47 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.29 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.18–4.14 (m, 1H), 4.08 (d, \(J = 10.8\) Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, \(J = 10.8\) Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.78 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.64 (s,
3H), 3.36 (dd, \( J = 9.3, 6.4 \) Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, \( J = 9.0, 6.8 \) Hz, 1H), 2.32 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 163.4, 159.7, 159.5, 157.2, 143.9, 137.2, 136.1, 130.9, 130.3, 129.9, 129.7, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.2, 127.4, 114.1, 113.8, 87.1, 80.6, 76.3, 74.4, 71.4, 69.8, 64.6, 55.5, 55.3; LRMS (ESI) \( m/z \) calculated for C\(_{49}\)H\(_{45}\)ClN\(_2\)O\(_6\) [M+H]\(^+\): 793.30; found: 793.39.

Compound 3d: Prepared from guanidine hydrochloride; Amorphous white solid; Yield = 68%; \([\alpha]_D^{24} = -13.52 \) (c = 1.07, CHCl\(_3\)); \( R_f = 0.25 \) (EtOAc : Hexane = 3 : 1, 1% TEA); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.20 (s, 2H), 7.44–7.42 (m, 6H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 9H), 7.15 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.70 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 5.22 (d, \( J = 8.1 \) Hz, 2H), 4.40 (d, \( J = 11.2 \) Hz, 1H), 4.28(d, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 1H), 4.21 (d, \( J = 11.2 \) Hz, 1H), 4.12–4.02 (m, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.73–3.71 (m, 1H), 3.28 (dd, \( J = 9.2, 6.2 \) Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, \( J = 9.3, 6.6 \) Hz, 1H), 2.51 (t, \( J = 7.8 \) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 163.0, 159.52, 159.48, 158.4, 144.0, 130.2, 129.7, 129.5, 129.4, 128.9, 128.1, 127.3, 122.3, 114.1, 113.8, 86.9, 80.9, 76.3, 74.4, 70.7, 69.9, 64.7, 55.5, 55.4; LRMS (ESI) \( m/z \) calculated for C\(_{49}\)H\(_{45}\)ClN\(_2\)O\(_6\) [M+H]\(^+\): 698.32; found: 698.31.

Compound 4a: Prepared from methylhydrazine; White solid; Yield = 94%; \([\alpha]_D^{22} = -31.35 \) (c = 1.51, CHCl\(_3\)); \( R_f = 0.20 \) (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.42–7.40 (m, 6H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 10 H), 7.15 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, \( J = 8.8 \) Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, \( J = 8.8 \) Hz, 2H), 4.45 (d, \( J = 11.5 \) Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, \( J = 6.4 \) Hz, 1H), 4.31–4.21 (m, 3H), 3.97–3.93 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.80–3.76 (m, 7H), 3.16–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.62 (d, \( J = 5.9 \) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 159.31, 159.26, 144.0, 139.2, 130.24, 130.16, 130.0, 129.7, 129.5, 128.8, 128.0, 127.1, 119.8, 113.9, 113.7, 86.7, 81.3, 74.2, 72.9, 70.4, 70.0, 64.6, 55.39, 55.36, 39.13; LRMS (ESI) \( m/z \) calculated for C\(_{43}\)H\(_{44}\)N\(_2\)O\(_6\) [M+H]\(^+\): 685.33; found: 685.37.

Compound 4b: Prepared from phenylhydrazine; Amorphous yellow solid; Yield = 55%; \([\alpha]_D^{21} = -23.25 \) (c =0.57, CHCl\(_3\)); \( R_f = 0.68 \) (EtOAc : Hexane =
1:1; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.64–7.62 (m, 3H), 7.46–7.42 (m, 7H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 11H), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.53–4.50 (m, 2H), 4.30 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 4.04–4.01 (m, 1H), 3.81 (dd, $J = 6.6$, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.78–3.76 (m, 4H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.22 (dd, $J = 9.3$, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (dd, $J = 9.5$, 5.8 Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.43, 159.39, 144.1, 141.0, 140.2, 130.11, 130.07, 129.7, 129.6, 128.9, 128.8, 128.0, 127.2, 126.6, 126.4, 122.2, 119.0, 114.0, 113.8, 86.9, 71.3, 74.4, 72.9, 70.5, 70.4, 64.7, 55.5, 55.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{48}$H$_{46}$N$_2$O$_6$ [M+H$^+$]: 747.34; found: 747.30.

Compound 5a: Prepared from 3-amino-5-methylpyrazole; Amorphous white solid; Yield = 70%; $[^{23}]\alpha_D^{23} = +5.16$ (c =0.90, CHCl$_3$); $R_f = 0.40$ (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.40 (s, 1H), 8.31 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.44 (m, 5H), 7.37 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.31–7.23 (m, 9H), 7.16 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.65 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.52–6.48 (m, 3H), 4.44–4.42 (m, 2H), 4.28 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.18 (t, $J = 5.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.11 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.79–3.75 (m, 4H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.37 (dd, $J = 9.3$, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dd, $J = 9.2$, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.6, 159.3, 155.5, 149.9, 148.9, 143.9, 133.5, 130.2, 129.8, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.1, 127.3, 119.6, 114.1, 113.5, 96.1, 87.1, 80.4, 75.9, 74.3, 71.3, 69.7, 64.5, 55.4, 55.2, 14.7; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{46}$H$_{45}$N$_3$O$_6$ [M+H$^+$]: 736.34; found: 736.51.

Compound 5b: Prepared from 3-amino-5-phenylpyrazole; Amorphous yellow solid; Yield = 44%; $[^{20}]\alpha_D^{20} = +7.56$ (c =0.56, CHCl$_3$); $R_f = 0.13$ (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 2); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.46 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.40 (m, 9H), 7.32–7.23 (m, 9H), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.83 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.66 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.50 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 4.48–4.44 (m, 2H), 4.30 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.20 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.81 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 3.41–3.38 (m, 1H), 3.11 (t, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.7, 159.4, 156.6, 150.3, 149.6, 143.9, 133.7
132.9, 130.2, 129.8, 129.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.1, 127.3, 126.7, 120.4, 114.1, 113.6, 93.7, 87.1, 80.4, 75.9, 74.3, 71.4, 69.7, 64.6, 55.5, 55.1, 14.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C_{51}H_{47}N_{3}O_{6} [M+H]^+: 798.35; found: 798.31.

**Synthesis of key intermediates with β-azido hydroxyl groups 6–8.** The synthetic procedure is described at the Experimental section.

**Compound 6a:** Prepared from compound 3a; White syrup; Yield = 62%; [α]_D^{25} = –55.11 (c = 0.74, CHCl_3); R_f = 0.19 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.31 (s, 2H), 7.22–7.18 (m, 4H), 6.86–6.84 (m, 4H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 4.47–4.43 (m, 2H), 4.23 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 6H), 3.65 (t, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 3.26 (q, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 1.94 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 162.4, 159.51, 159.49, 157.6, 130.3, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 117.9, 114.0, 113.9, 81.1, 78.4, 74.9, 70.6, 63.5, 55.4, 55.39, 55.36, 37.3; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C_{26}H_{32}N_{6}O_{5} [M+H]^+: 509.2512; found: 509.2515.

**Compound 6b:** Prepared from compound 3b; Yellow syrup; Yield = 88%; [α]_D^{23} = –43.99 (c = 0.54, CHCl_3); R_f = 0.31 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.29 (s, 2H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.43–4.39 (m, 2H), 4.32 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.75 (m, 9H), 3.53 (q, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (s, 6H), 2.18 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 162.5, 159.6, 158.1, 130.3, 129.8, 129.50, 129.46, 117.7, 114.1, 114.0, 81.3, 74.5, 70.4, 63.5, 55.5, 55.4, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C_{51}H_{47}N_{3}O_{6} [M+H]^+: 509.25; found: 509.11.

**Compound 6c:** Prepared from compound 3c; White solid; Yield = 73%; [α]_D^{22} = –46.71 (c = 0.38, CHCl_3); R_f = 0.58 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.71 (s, 2H), 8.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.56–4.53 (m, 2H), 4.45 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.84–3.77 (m, 6H), 3.70 (s, 3H),
3.63–3.60 (m, 1H), 2.09–2.05 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.7, 159.8, 159.7, 157.4, 137.3, 136.0, 130.3, 130.0, 129.7, 129.6, 129.1, 128.8, 114.2, 114.1, 80.2, 76.9, 74.2, 71.3, 63.5, 62.2, 55.5, 55.4; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{30}$H$_{30}$ClN$_5$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 576.20; found: 576.48.

Compound 7a: Prepared from compound 4a; White solid; Yield = 67%; [α]$_D^{21}$ = −57.73 (c = 0.88, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.17 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.51 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.20 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.88–6.83 (m, 4H), 4.58–4.46 (m, 4H), 4.25 (d, $J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.82–3.72 (m, 9H), 3.45 (q, $J$ = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (bs, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.5, 159.4, 139.5, 130.10, 130.07, 130.05, 130.0, 129.6, 118.6, 134.99, 113.96, 81.4, 74.4, 73.8, 70.2, 63.5, 62.2, 55.4, 39.2; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{29}$N$_5$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 468.22; found: 468.36.

Compound 8a: Prepared from compound 5a; White solid; Yield = 75%; [α]$_D^{22}$ = −36.93 (c = 1.04, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.21 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.43 (s, 1H), 8.35 (s, 1H), 7.16 (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.64 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 4.55 (d, $J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (dd, $J$ = 6.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, $J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, $J$ = 11.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d, $J$ = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.82–3.77 (m, 6H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.64–3.61 (m, 2H), 2.53 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.8, 159.6, 155.8, 149.8, 149.2, 133.7, 130.2, 129.9, 128.8, 118.2, 114.2, 113.9, 96.3, 80.2, 77.4, 76.7, 74.1, 71.1, 63.6, 62.0, 55.5, 55.3, 14.8; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{30}$N$_6$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 519.24; found: 519.43.

**Synthesis of morpholine-fused triazoles 9.** The synthetic procedure is described at the Experimental Section.

Compound 9a: Prepared from compound 6a and 3-chloro-1-propyne; Yellow syrup; Yield = 65%; [α]$_D^{21}$ = −65.18 (c = 0.55, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.43 (MeOH : DCM = 1 : 20); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.33 (s, 2H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.13–7.10 (m, 4H), 6.81–6.78 (m, 4H), 4.76–4.64 (m, 3H), 4.50–4.44 (m, 2H), 3.82–3.72 (m, 9H), 3.45 (q, $J$ = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (bs, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.8, 159.6, 157.8, 156.0, 149.8, 149.2, 133.7, 130.2, 129.9, 128.8, 118.2, 114.2, 113.9, 96.3, 80.2, 77.4, 76.7, 74.1, 71.1, 63.6, 62.0, 55.5, 55.3, 14.8; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{30}$N$_6$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 519.24; found: 519.43.
4.41–4.31 (m, 2H), 4.12 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.08–4.05 (m, 2H), 3.82 (dd, J = 12.5, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 6H), 3.20 (s, 6H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 162.3, 159.51, 159.46, 157.9, 131.3, 130.3, 130.0, 129.8, 129.6, 128.0, 117.9, 113.94, 113.90, 80.4, 74.8, 70.3, 65.5, 62.3, 56.4, 56.2, 55.44, 55.38, 37.3; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C29H34N6O5 [M+H]+: 547.27; found: 547.53.

Compound 9b: Prepared from compound 6a and 1-bromo-2-butyn; Slightly yellow solid; Yield = 93%; [α]D25 = –60.08 (c =0.58, CHCl3); Rf = 0.09 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.25 (s, 2H), 7.15–7.10 (m, 4H), 6.80–6.78 (m, 4H), 4.62–4.50 (m, 4H), 4.45–4.40 (m, 2H), 4.34 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.15–4.10 (m, 3H), 3.76–3.74 (m, 7H), 3.18 (s, 6H), 2.08 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 162.1, 159.4, 159.3, 157.7, 136.6, 130.2, 129.84, 129.79, 129.7, 127.5, 117.7, 113.8, 80.4, 77.4, 74.8, 70.0, 64.7, 62.0, 56.0, 55.33, 55.31, 37.2, 9.8; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C30H36N6O5 [M+H]+: 561.2825; found: 561.2821.

Compound 9c: Prepared from compound 6a and 1-bromo-2-pentyne; White solid; Yield = 90%; [α]D23 = –54.55 (c = 0.37, CHCl3); Rf = 0.11 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.27 (s, 2H), 7.16–7.11 (m, 4H), 6.82–6.80 (m, 4H), 4.65–4.54 (m, 4H), 4.47 (q, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.17–4.11 (m, 3H), 3.78–3.76 (m, 7H), 3.19 (s, 6H), 2.56–2.46 (m, 2H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 162.2, 159.5, 159.4, 157.9, 142.5, 130.3, 129.93, 129.91, 129.86, 127.1, 117.9, 113.9, 80.5, 77.6, 74.9, 70.2, 64.9, 62.3, 56.2, 55.43, 55.41, 37.3, 18.5, 13.2; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C31H38N6O5 [M+H]+: 575.30; found: 575.29.

Compound 9d: Prepared from compound 6a and 3-chloro-1-phenyl-1-propyne; Yellow solid; Yield = 65%; [α]D21 = –27.24 (c =0.66, CHCl3); Rf = 0.33 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.21 (s, 2H), 7.53–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.21–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.13–7.11 (m, 2H), 6.84–6.78 (m, 4H), 4.81 (s, 2H), 4.71 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.60–4.53 (m, 3H), 4.37–4.29 (m, 3H), 4.13 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, J =
12.1, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.96 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 161.9, 159.6, 159.4, 157.7, 140.9, 130.8, 130.2, 129.92, 129.89, 128.9, 127.9, 127.5, 126.1, 117.4, 113.99, 113.95, 80.5, 77.7, 75.0, 70.0, 64.2, 63.3, 56.3, 55.42, 55.40, 37.01; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{35}$H$_{38}$N$_6$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 623.30; found: 623.53.

Compound 9e: Prepared from compound 6a and 3-bromo-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-propyne (S1); Yellow solid; Yield = 73%; [α]$_D^{22}$ = −27.72 (c = 0.39, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.28 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.22 (s, 2H), 7.32 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.19 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.95 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.87 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.83–6.78 (m, 4H), 4.80 (s, 2H), 4.69 (d, $J = 10.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.61–4.57 (m, 2H), 4.53 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd, $J = 6.4$, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (dd, $J = 12.7$, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.84 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.99 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 160.2, 159.6, 159.5, 157.7, 140.9, 132.2, 130.2, 129.94, 129.91, 129.88, 129.7, 118.4, 117.4, 114.1, 114.01, 113.98, 113.86, 111.4, 80.5, 77.7, 75.0, 70.0, 64.3, 63.3, 56.3, 55.57, 55.42, 55.40, 37.0; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{36}$H$_{40}$N$_6$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 653.31; found: 653.06.

Compound 9f: Prepared from compound 6a and 1-(3-bromo-1-propynyl)naphthalene; Yellow solid; Yield = 67%; [α]$_D^{22}$ = −25.19 (c = 0.41, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.26 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.38 (s, 2H), 8.17–8.13 (m, 1H), 7.92–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.54–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.29–7.15 (m, 5H), 6.85–6.80 (m, 4H), 4.80 (d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.73–4.60 (m, 4H), 4.52 (d, $J = 10.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.28–4.18 (m, 3H), 3.93 (dd, $J = 12.4$, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.13 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 162.2, 159.6, 159.5, 158.0, 140.9, 134.1, 131.5, 130.3, 130.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 129.1, 128.6, 127.8, 127.3, 126.9, 126.3, 125.9, 125.3, 117.9, 114.0, 80.5, 77.8, 77.4, 75.0, 70.3, 65.3, 63.2, 56.5, 55.4, 37.3; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{39}$H$_{40}$N$_6$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 673.31; found: 673.64.
Compound 9g: Prepared from compound 6b and 3-chloro-1-propyne; White solid; Yield = 78%; \([\alpha]_D^{24} = -71.27\) (c = 0.41, CHCl₃); \(R_f = 0.52\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 3 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.38 (s, 2H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 2H), 4.90–4.87 (m, 2H), 4.75 (d, \(J = 14.7\) Hz, 1H), 4.62 (dd, \(J = 7.2, 3.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.24 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.04–4.00 (m, 2H), 3.90–3.87 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 162.6, 159.7, 159.4, 158.2, 132.3, 130.1, 130.0, 129.5, 129.3, 128.5, 117.9, 114.2, 113.8, 80.9, 76.1, 74.8, 70.0, 63.9, 62.4, 56.6, 55.5, 55.4, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated C₂₉H₃₄N₆O₅ [M+H]⁺: 547.27; found: 547.52.

Compound 9h: Prepared from compound 6b and 1-bromo-2-butene; White solid; Yield = 79%; \([\alpha]_D^{22} = -67.51\) (c = 1.23, CHCl₃); \(R_f = 0.16\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 2 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.37 (s, 2H), 7.16 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 4.81–4.75 (m, 2H), 4.65 (d, \(J = 14.7\) Hz, 1H), 4.57 (dd, \(J = 7.5, 4.0\) Hz, 1H), 4.44 (d, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.24 (d, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 1H), 4.10 (d, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.04–3.98 (m, 2H), 3.94 (d, \(J = 10.8\) Hz, 1H), 3.85–3.80 (m, 4H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 2.25 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 162.5, 159.6, 159.3, 158.2, 137.2, 130.03, 129.97, 129.6, 129.4, 128.6, 117.9, 114.1, 113.7, 80.8, 76.2, 74.8, 69.9, 63.7, 62.3, 56.5, 55.5, 55.4, 37.4, 10.3; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for C₃₀H₃₆N₆O₅ [M+H]⁺: 561.28; found: 561.21.

Compound 9i: Prepared from compound 6b and 1-bromo-2-pentyne; White solid; Yield = 89%; \([\alpha]_D^{22} = -63.84\) (c = 0.29, CHCl₃); \(R_f = 0.64\) (MeOH : DCM = 1 : 10); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.38 (s, 2H), 7.16 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 4.81–4.78 (m, 2H), 4.68 (d, \(J = 14.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.58 (dd, \(J = 7.3, 4.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.25 (d, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 1H), 4.11 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.03–3.98 (m, 2H), 3.93 (d, \(J = 10.5\) Hz, 1H), 3.84 (dd, \(J = 12.0, 4.6\) Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 2.69–2.63 (m, 2H), 1.24 (t, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 162.5, 159.6, 159.3, 158.2, 143.1,
Compound 9j: Prepared from compound 6b and 3-Chloro-1-phenyl-1-propyne; White solid; Yield = 64%; \([\alpha]_d^{23} = -44.25\) (c =0.30, CHCl\(_3\)); \(R_f = 0.40\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1^H\) NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.41 (s, 2H), 7.62 (d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 7.45 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2H), 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 6.63 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz, 2H), 5.01 (d, \(J = 14.9\) Hz, 1H), 4.92–4.88 (m, 2H), 4.65 (dd, \(J = 7.2, 3.8\) Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.08–3.98 (m, 3H), 3.91 (dd, \(J = 12.1, 4.9\) Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.67 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.07–3.98 (m, 3H), 3.91 (dd, \(J = 12.1, 4.9\) Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.24 (s, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 162.5, 159.7, 159.3, 158.2, 141.7, 131.1, 130.0, 129.5, 129.3, 129.1, 128.8, 128.0, 126.3, 117.9, 114.1, 113.7, 81.1, 76.2, 75.0, 70.0, 63.6, 63.4, 56.9, 55.5, 55.3, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for C\(_{35}\)H\(_{38}\)N\(_6\)O\(_5\) \([M+H]^+\): 623.30; found: 623.57.

Compound 9k: Prepared from compound 6b and 3-bromo-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-propyne (S2); Slightly yellow solid; Yield = 58%; \([\alpha]_D^{22} = -37.23\) (c =0.18, CHCl\(_3\)); \(R_f = 0.17\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1^H\) NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.40 (s, 2H), 7.59–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.13 (m, 4H), 6.86 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.63 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 4.99–4.85 (m, 3H), 4.64 (dd, \(J = 7.6, 3.9\) Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, \(J = 11.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.07–3.98 (m, 3H), 3.91 (dd, \(J = 12.0, 4.9\) Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.24 (s, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 162.57 (d, \(J = 247.5\) Hz), 162.55, 159.7, 159.3, 158.2, 140.9, 130.04, 129.98, 129.5, 129.3, 128.5, 128.0 (d, \(J = 7.9\) Hz), 127.3 (d, \(J = 3.3\) Hz), 117.8, 116.2 (d, \(J = 21.5\) Hz), 114.1, 113.7, 81.2, 76.1, 75.0, 70.0, 63.6, 63.2, 56.9, 55.5, 55.3, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for C\(_{35}\)H\(_{37}\)FN\(_6\)O\(_5\) \([M+H]^+\): 641.29; found: 641.46.

Compound 9l: Prepared from compound 6b and 3-bromo-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-propyne (S3); White solid; Yield = 84%; \([\alpha]_D^{23} = -33.07\) (c =0.60, CHCl\(_3\)); \(R_f = 0.56\) (MeOH : DCM = 1 : 10); \(^1^H\) NMR (500 MHz,
CDCl$_3$) 8.41 (s, 2H), 7.54 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.63 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.98 (d, $J = 14.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.91–4.86 (m, 2H), 4.63 (dd, $J = 7.6$, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.08–4.04 (m, 2H), 4.00–3.98 (m, 1H), 3.90 (dd, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.08–4.04 (m, 2H), 4.00–3.98 (m, 1H), 3.90 (dd, $J = 12.1$, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.5, 159.7, 159.5, 158.2, 141.6, 130.0, 129.5, 129.4, 127.9, 127.6, 123.7, 117.9, 114.6, 114.1, 113.7, 113.1, 76.2, 75.0, 70.0, 63.6, 63.3, 56.9, 55.5, 55.3, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{36}$H$_{40}$N$_6$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 653.31; found: 653.45.

Compound 9m: Prepared from compound 6b and 3-bromo-1-(2-thienyl)-1-propyne (S4); Yellow solid; Yield = 42%; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -34.10$ (c = 0.27, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.23 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 2); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.40 (s, 2H), 7.33 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.18–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.12–7.10 (m, 1H), 6.87–6.85 (m, 2H), 6.81–6.79 (m, 2H), 6.65–6.64 (m, 2H), 4.98 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.89–4.87 (m, 1H), 4.83 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.62–4.60 (m, 1H), 4.47 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.13 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.08–4.00 (m, 3H), 3.89 (dd, $J = 12.0$, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.6, 159.7, 159.4, 158.2, 137.2, 133.3, 130.1, 129.5, 129.3, 127.90, 127.88, 125.2, 123.9, 117.8, 114.2, 113.8, 81.0, 76.2, 75.0, 70.0, 63.7, 62.9, 57.0, 55.4, 55.8, 55.3, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{33}$H$_{36}$N$_6$O$_5$S [M+H]$^+$: 629.25; found: 629.40.

Compound 9n: Prepared from compound 6c and 1-bromo-2-butyne; White solid; Yield = 77%; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -53.74$ (c = 0.25, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.56 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.84 (s, 2H), 8.42 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.64 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.80–4.71 (m, 3H), 4.59 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, $J = 12.0$, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.83–3.79 (m, 4H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 163.8, 159.9, 159.5, 157.7, 137.4,
137.3, 136.0, 130.2, 129.8, 129.4, 129.1, 128.9, 128.8, 128.5, 114.2, 113.8, 80.1, 76.2, 74.8, 71.0, 63.7, 62.3, 56.3, 55.5, 55.3, 10.3; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C_{34}H_{34}ClN_5O_5 [M+H]^+: 628.23; found: 628.55.

Compound 9o: Prepared from compound 7a and 1-bromo-2-butyn; White solid; Yield = 60%; [\alpha]_D^{22} = -88.13 (c =0.44, CHCl_3); R_f = 0.12 (EtOAc : Hexane = 2 : 1); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.60 (s, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.91–6.85 (m, 4H), 6.75 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.76 (d, J = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 4.70–4.65 (m, 2H), 4.57–4.54 (m, 2H), 4.48 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.24–4.15 (m, 3H), 4.06 (dd, J = 12.0, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.86 (dd, J = 12.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H); ^13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 159.44, 159.35, 139.7, 137.1, 130.2, 130.14, 130.05, 129.8, 129.7, 128.5, 119.0, 114.0, 113.7, 81.1, 74.9, 73.2, 70.1, 64.1, 62.3, 56.7, 55.5, 55.4, 39.3, 10.2; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C_{28}H_{33}N_5O_5 [M+H]^+: 520.26; found: 520.38.

Compound 9p: Prepared from compound 8a and 1-bromo-2-butyn; White solid; Yield = 88%; [\alpha]_D^{22} = -58.37 (c = 0.37, CHCl_3); R_f = 0.18 (EtOAc : Hexane = 2 : 1); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.47 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 4.79–4.71 (m, 3H), 4.56–4.54 (m, 1H), 4.48–4.45 (m, 2H), 4.14 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (dd, J = 12.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 3.78–3.74 (m, 4H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 2.25 (s, 3H); ^13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 159.8, 159.4, 155.8, 149.9, 149.2, 137.3, 134.1, 130.1, 130.0, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 117.7, 114.1, 113.6, 96.3, 79.9, 76.0, 74.6, 70.7, 63.6, 62.3, 56.3, 55.4, 55.2, 14.8, 10.2; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C_{31}H_{34}N_6O_5 [M+H]^+: 571.27; found: 571.46.

Synthesis of 2-morpholione-fused triazoles 10. The synthetic procedure is described at the Experimental section.

Compound 10a: Prepared from compound 6a and 2-butynoic acid; Yellow solid; Yield = 95%; [\alpha]_D^{25} = -16.09 (c =0.61, CHCl_3); R_f = 0.19 (EtOAc :
Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.42 (s, 2H), 7.14 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.85–6.76 (m, 4H), 4.68–4.64 (m, 1H), 4.54 (d, $J$ = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.46–4.40 (m, 3H), 4.33 (d, $J$ = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (d, $J$ = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, $J$ = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 3.92–3.89 (m, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 2.54 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.6, 159.8, 159.7, 158.1, 156.7, 149.9, 129.2, 128.4, 121.8, 117.0, 114.1, 114.0, 79.3, 77.4, 74.9, 70.6, 68.5, 55.7, 55.5, 55.4, 37.3, 11.4; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C$_{30}$H$_{34}$N$_6$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 575.2618; found: 575.2622.

Compound 10b: Prepared from compound 6b and 2-butynoic acid; White solid; Yield = 72%; [$\alpha$]$_D^{20}$ = $-$45.84 (c =0.50, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.17 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.35 (s, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.06–5.04 (m, 1H), 4.54–4.47 (m, 2H), 4.40–4.35 (m, 2H), 4.23 (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (d, $J$ = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.89–3.84 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 6H), 2.56 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.6, 159.9, 159.6, 158.1, 156.7, 149.2, 130.2, 130.1, 128.8, 128.5, 122.4, 117.0, 114.3, 113.9, 80.7, 75.7, 75.3, 69.9, 66.0, 56.0, 55.5, 55.4, 37.4, 11.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{30}$H$_{34}$N$_6$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 575.26; found: 575.55.

Compound 10c: Prepared from compound 6c and 2-butynoic acid; Slightly yellow solid; Yield = 92%; [$\alpha$]$_D^{23}$ = $-$38.88 (c =0.59, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.38 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.80 (s, 2H), 8.43 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.74 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.64 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (m, 1H), 4.54 (d, $J$ = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 4.45–4.40 (m, 3H), 4.14 (d, $J$ = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, $J$ = 11.5 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.74 (d, $J$ = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 2.59 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 164.2, 160.2, 159.8, 157.6, 156.6, 149.5, 137.6, 135.8, 130.31, 130.27, 129.8, 129.1, 128.6, 128.1, 127.8, 122.3, 114.5, 114.0, 80.1, 75.5, 75.3, 70.9, 65.9, 55.9, 55.5, 55.3, 29.9, 11.5; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{34}$H$_{32}$ClN$_5$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 642.21; found: 642.55.
Compound 10d: Prepared from compound 6c and 2-pentynoic acid; Slightly yellow solid; Yield = 90%; \([\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -30.38\) (c = 0.16, CHCl₃); Rᶠ = 0.19 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 2); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.79 (s, 2H), 8.45–8.41 (m, 2H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.17–7.14 (m, 2H), 6.91–6.87 (m, 2H), 6.75–6.71 (m, 2H), 6.64–6.61 (m, 2H), 5.05 (q, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.56–4.52 (m, 2H), 4.46–4.39 (m, 3H), 4.14 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.69 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.04–2.98 (m, 2H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.5, 160.5, 160.1, 157.9, 155.4, 137.9, 136.1, 130.64, 130.58, 130.2, 129.5, 129.0, 128.5, 128.2, 122.1, 114.8, 114.3, 80.4, 75.9, 75.6, 71.2, 66.2, 56.2, 55.9, 55.6, 19.7, 13.6; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₂₉H₃₃N₅O₅ [M+H]⁺: 656.23; found: 655.97.

Compound 10e: Prepared from compound 7a and 2-butynoic acid; Slightly yellow solid; Yield = 73%; \([\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -59.18\) (c = 0.33, CHCl₃); Rᶠ = 0.23 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.88–6.85 (m, 4H), 6.78–6.74 (m, 2H), 4.93 (q, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.63–4.58 (m, 1H), 4.53–4.36 (m, 4H), 4.20 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.74, 159.65, 156.9, 149.2, 139.4, 130.1, 129.99, 129.95, 129.5, 129.1, 122.2, 118.5, 114.2, 113.9, 80.7, 75.2, 72.4, 70.2, 66.5, 56.1, 55.51, 55.45, 39.4, 11.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₂₈H₃₁N₅O₆ [M+H]⁺: 534.23; found: 534.02.

Compound 10f: Prepared from compound 7a and 3-phenyl-2-propynoic acid; White solid; Yield = 77%; \([\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -33.44\) (c = 0.34, CHCl₃); Rᶠ = 0.25 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27–8.24 (m, 2H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.44 (m, 4H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.87–6.84 (m, 4H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (q, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (dd, J = 12.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 4.55–4.52 (m, 2H), 4.48 (dd, J = 12.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (dd, J = 6.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.23–4.16 (m, 2H), 4.01 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.7, 159.6, 156.5, 150.8, 139.4, 130.10, 130.07, 130.02, 129.4, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 121.2, 118.5, 114.2.
113.9, 80.9, 75.3, 72.4, 70.1, 66.0, 56.2, 55.5, 55.3, 39.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{33}$H$_{33}$N$_{5}$O$_{6}$ [M+H]$^+$: 596.25; found: 596.00.

**Synthesis of piperazine-fused triazoles 11.** The synthetic procedure is described at the Experimental section.

Compound 11a: Prepared from compound 6a and 4-methyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide; White solid; Yield = 58%; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -57.07$ (c = 1.07, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.16 (EtOAc : Hexane = 2 : 1); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.40 (s, 2H), 7.54 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.08–7.03 (m, 4H), 6.80–6.76 (m, 4H), 4.70–4.66 (m, 2H), 4.46 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.24–4.12 (m, 2H), 4.05–3.94 (m, 4H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.39 (dd, $J = 13.3$, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (s, 6H), 3.12 (dd, $J = 13.3$, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 162.5, 159.63, 159.61, 158.1, 144.9, 132.7, 130.8, 130.5, 130.3, 129.7, 129.4, 129.3, 129.0, 127.8, 117.6, 114.1, 114.0, 80.6, 75.1, 75.0, 69.9, 57.1, 55.0, 55.4, 45.2, 41.9, 37.4, 29.9, 21.8; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{36}$H$_{41}$N$_{7}$O$_{6}$S [M+H]$^+$: 700.29; found: 700.00.

Compound 11b: Prepared from compound 6a and 4-methyl-N-(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S5); White solid; Yield = 73%; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -50.39$ (c =0.46, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.20 (EtOAc : Hexane = 2 : 3); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.39 (s, 2H), 7.60–7.55 (m, 4H), 7.46–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.08–7.03 (m, 4H), 6.77–6.71 (m, 4H), 4.72–4.67 (m, 2H), 4.46 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.34–4.19 (m, 3H), 4.15–4.09 (m, 2H), 4.02 (d, $J = 11.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.52 (dd, $J = 13.1$, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.17–3.13 (m, 7H), 2.46 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 162.4, 159.59, 159.57, 157.9, 144.9, 141.9, 132.8, 130.8, 130.6, 130.4, 129.5, 129.1, 129.0, 128.2, 127.8, 126.3, 125.4, 117.5, 113.99, 113.98, 80.2, 75.1, 74.8, 69.8, 57.2, 55.4, 55.3, 44.4, 42.8, 37.3, 21.8; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C$_{42}$H$_{43}$N$_{7}$O$_{6}$S [M+H]$^+$: 776.3230; found: 776.3234.
Compound 11c: Prepared from compound 6a and 4-methyl-N-(3-(p-tolyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide; Slightly yellow solid; Yield = 70%; \([\alpha]_D^{21} = -46.69\) (c = 0.44, CHCl\(_3\)); \(R_f = 0.24\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.39 (s, 2H), 7.58–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.35 (d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 7.08–7.03 (m, 4H), 6.77–6.72 (m, 4H), 4.70–4.67 (m, 2H), 4.46 (d, \(J = 11.7\) Hz, 1H), 4.33–4.18 (m, 3H), 4.13–4.07 (m, 2H), 4.02 (d, \(J = 11.7\) Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.50 (d, \(J = 13.3\), 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.18–3.12 (m, 7H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 162.4, 159.6, 158.0, 145.1, 135.0, 132.9, 123.7, 130.8, 130.6, 130.3, 129.8, 129.5, 129.0, 127.74, 127.69, 126.2, 125.0, 117.6, 113.98, 113.96, 80.2, 77.4, 75.1, 74.6, 69.7, 57.2, 55.4, 55.3, 44.5, 42.8, 37.3, 21.9, 21.5; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for C\(_{43}\)H\(_{47}\)N\(_7\)O\(_6\)S [M+H]\(^+\): 790.34; found: 790.79.

Compound 11d: Prepared from compound 6a and N-(3-(4-cyanophenyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide; Slightly yellow solid; Yield = 71%; \([\alpha]_D^{22} = -46.12\) (c = 0.25, CHCl\(_3\)); \(R_f = 0.12\) (EtOAc : Hexane = 2 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.39 (s, 2H), 7.75–7.73 (m, 2H), 7.68–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.60 (d, \(J = 8.2\) Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 7.07–7.04 (m, 4H), 6.75–6.72 (m, 4H), 4.73–4.69 (m, 1H), 4.66 (d, \(J = 3.9\) Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, \(J = 11.7\) Hz, 1H), 4.34–4.29 (m, 2H), 4.20–4.11 (m, 2H), 4.08 (d, \(J = 11.0\) Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, \(J = 11.7\) Hz, 1H), 3.697 (s, 3H), 3.702 (s, 3H), 3.53 (d, \(J = 13.1\), 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (s, 6H), 3.12 (d, \(J = 13.1\), 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 162.4, 159.62, 159.59, 158.0, 145.1, 135.0, 132.9, 123.7, 130.8, 130.6, 130.4, 129.5, 128.9, 127.8, 126.9, 126.5, 118.8, 117.4, 114.02, 113.99, 111.5, 110.2, 80.0, 75.2, 74.8, 69.8, 57.4, 55.4, 55.3, 44.3, 42.7, 37.3, 21.8; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for C\(_{43}\)H\(_{44}\)N\(_8\)O\(_6\)S [M+H]\(^+\): 801.32; found: 801.76.

**Synthesis of vicinal diols 12.** The synthetic procedure is described at the Experimental section.
Compound 12a: Prepared from compound 9a; White Solid; Yield = 99%; $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -4.84$ (c = 0.52, CHCl$_3$ : MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$ = 0.09 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$ : CD$_3$OD = 25 : 2) $\delta$ 8.35 (s, 2H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 4.93–4.82 (m, 3H), 4.33 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd, $J = 6.2$, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$ : CD$_3$OD = 10 : 1) $\delta$ 161.7, 157.1, 131.9, 128.1, 120.4, 74.7, 69.9, 65.4, 62.3, 58.6, 37.3; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{18}$N$_6$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 307.15; found: 307.22.

Compound 12b: Prepared from compound 9b; White solid; Yield = 92%; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -3.69$ (c = 0.15, CHCl$_3$ : MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$ = 0.16 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$ : CD$_3$OD = 22 : 7) $\delta$ 7.99 (s, 2H), 4.54–4.41 (m, 3H), 4.26–4.22 (m, 3H), 4.17 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (s, 6H), 1.83 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$ : CD$_3$OD = 22 : 7) $\delta$ 161.1, 156.7, 136.4, 128.1, 120.3, 74.2, 69.7, 63.9, 61.5, 56.7, 36.9, 8.9; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{14}$H$_{20}$N$_6$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 321.17; found: 320.97.

Compound 12c: Prepared from compound 9c; White solid; Yield = 92%; $[\alpha]_D^{23} = -5.10$ (c = 0.39, CHCl$_3$ : MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$ = 0.28 (MeOH : DCM = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.35 (s, 2H), 4.97 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.78–4.71 (m, 2H), 4.55–4.52 (m, 2H), 4.28–4.21 (m, 3H), 4.00 (dd, $J = 12.3$, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (s, 6H), 2.52 (q, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 2H), 1.17 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.0, 157.2, 142.8, 128.0, 120.6, 74.9, 70.4, 65.4, 62.4, 57.2, 37.4, 18.5, 13.2; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{22}$N$_6$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 335.18; found: 335.15.

Compound 12g: Prepared from compound 9g; Yellow solid; Yield = 99%; $[\alpha]_D^{22} = -27.56$ (c = 0.42, CHCl$_3$ : MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$ = 0.24 (MeOH : EtOAc = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.36 (s, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 4.89–4.81 (m, 2H), 4.74–4.59 (m, 5H), 4.28–4.18 (m, 2H), 3.05 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 161.8, 157.4, 132.3, 128.2, 120.8, 73.3, 71.0, 64.2, 62.4, 57.3,
Compound 12h: Prepared from compound 9h; White solid; Yield = 88%; [α]D²² = −16.62 (c = 0.23, CHCl₃ : MeOH = 1 : 1); Rf = 0.13 (MeOH : CHCl₃ = 1 : 10); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃ : CD₂OD = 10 : 1) δ 8.33 (s, 2H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 2H), 5.02 (s, 2H), 4.77 (m, 2H), 4.54 (m, 3H), 4.19–4.12 (m, 2H), 3.06 (s, 6H), 2.11 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃ : CD₂OD = 10 : 1) δ 161.5, 157.3, 136.9, 128.8, 121.3, 73.0, 70.4, 63.8, 62.0, 57.2, 37.3, 9.7; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₁₃H₁₈N₆O₃ [M+H]+: 307.15; found: 307.19.

Compound 12i: Prepared from compound 9i; White solid; Yield = 99%; [α]D²³ = −14.61 (c = 0.54, CHCl₃ : MeOH = 1 : 1); Rf = 0.35 (MeOH : CHCl₃ = 1 : 10); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.39 (s, 2H), 7.44–7.31 (m, 5H), 5.16 (bs, 1H), 4.97–4.95 (m, 2H), 4.86–4.80 (m, 2H), 4.76–4.73 (m, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 4.30–4.22 (m, 2H), 4.07 (bs, 1H), 3.08 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.9, 157.4 (t, J = 13.3 Hz), 142.8, 128.2, 120.8, 73.4, 71.1, 64.2, 62.4, 57.4, 37.4, 18.5, 13.3; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₁₅H₂₂N₆O₃ [M+H]+: 335.18; found: 335.15.

Compound 12j: Prepared from compound 9j; White solid; Yield = 96%; [α]D²³ = +29.33 (c = 0.44, CHCl₃ : MeOH = 1 : 1); Rf = 0.31 (MeOH : DCM = 1 : 10); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (s, 2H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.12 (m, 2H), 5.03–4.96 (m, 2H), 4.80–4.77 (m, 1H), 4.74–4.68 (m, 2H), 4.35–4.27 (m, 2H), 3.15 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃):
CD$_3$OD = 10 : 1) δ 162.5 (d, $J = 248.4$ Hz), 161.6, 157.2, 140.5, 128.6, 127.9 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 126.6 (d, $J = 3.3$ Hz), 121.3, 116.1 (d, $J = 21.8$ Hz), 73.0, 70.4, 63.6, 63.0, 57.6, 37.3; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{21}$FN$_6$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 401.17; found: 401.30.

Compound 12l: Prepared from compound 9l; White solid; Yield = 89%; [α]$_D^{22}$ = +42.38 (c = 0.34, CHCl$_3$: MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$ = 0.23 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$ : CD$_3$OD = 10 : 1) δ 8.47 (s, 2H), 7.45 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 5.02 – 4.94 (m, 2H), 4.79 – 4.70 (m, 3H), 4.34 – 4.26 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$ : CD$_3$OD = 10 : 1) δ 161.7, 159.5, 157.3, 141.3, 128.0, 127.5, 123.0, 121.3, 114.5, 73.1, 70.5, 63.7, 63.2, 57.6, 55.4, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{24}$N$_6$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 413.19; found: 413.30.

Compound 12m: Prepared from compound 9m; Yellow solid; Yield = 76%; [α]$_D^{23}$ = +37.01 (c = 0.79, CHCl$_3$: MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$ = 0.14 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.40 (s, 2H), 7.28 – 7.27 (m, 1H), 7.05 – 7.02 (m, 1H), 6.97 – 6.96 (m, 1H), 5.04 (bs, 1H), 4.88 – 4.66 (m, 5H), 4.37 – 4.21 (m, 3H), 3.06 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 161.9, 157.4, 136.7, 132.4, 128.0, 127.9, 125.3, 124.2, 120.7, 73.4, 71.1, 63.9, 62.7, 57.8, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{20}$N$_6$O$_4$S [M+H]$^+$: 389.14; found: 389.27.

Compound 12n: Prepared from compound 9n; White solid; Yield = 94%; [α]$_D^{23}$ = −0.60 (c = 0.59, CHCl$_3$: MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$ = 0.16 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$: CD$_3$OD = 10 : 1) δ 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 4.89 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.80 (s, 2H), 4.55 – 4.48 (m, 2H), 4.32 – 4.26 (m, 1H), 4.13 – 4.07 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$: CD$_3$OD = 10 : 1) δ 138.2, 137.0, 129.6, 128.7, 121.4, 73.1, 67.4, 64.1, 62.1, 57.2, 38.8, 9.8; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{18}$ClN$_5$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 388.12; found: 388.24.
Compound **12o**: Prepared from compound **9o**; White solid; Yield = 99%; 
$[\alpha]_D^{23} = -22.59$ (c = 0.15, CHCl$_3$ : MeOH = 1 : 1); R$_f$= 0.08 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.96 (s, 2H), 8.32 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 4.87 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.83–4.77 (m, 2H), 4.67–4.61 (m, 2H), 4.32–4.28 (m, 1H), 4.21 (dd, $J = 12.1$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 163.0, 156.8, 137.13, 137.08, 135.8, 132.6, 129.5, 129.0, 128.8, 72.9, 70.2, 63.8, 62.1, 57.2, 9.8; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{17}$N$_3$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 280.14; found: 280.23.

**Synthesis of p-methoxyphenyl (PMP) acetal 13b and 13g by DDQ treatment.** To a stirred solution of **9b** or **9g** (20 mg, 0.0357 mmol) in anhydrous DCM was added DDQ (2 equiv.) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, then at room temperature for 9 h. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO$_3$ solution and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$(s). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) to yield 13b or 13h as a diastereomeric mixture.

**Compound 13b**: Diastereomeric mixture (81 : 19); White solid; Yield = 59%; R$_f$= 0.35 (MeOH : CHCl$_3$ = 1 : 10); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ [8.28 (s) : 8.23 (s) = 1.60 : 0.35, total 2H], [7.42 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz) : 7.31 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz) = 1.69 : 0.41, total 2H], 6.92–6.89 (m, 2H), [6.14 (s) : 5.92 (s) = 0.20 : 0.81, total 1H], [5.54 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz) : 5.32 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz) = 0.89 : 0.26, total 1H], [4.90–4.72 (m), 4.47–4.37 (m), total 5H], 4.01–3.96 (m, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.17 (s, 6H), 2.19 (s, 3H); LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{26}$N$_6$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 439.21; found: 438.89.

**Compound 13h**: Each diastereomer is indicated by 13h-1 and 13h-2. They were separated by preparative TLC. Diastereomeric ratio of 13h-1 to 13h-2 is 66 to 34. Yield = 33% (total yield including both diastereomers).  
13h-1: White solid; $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +20.74$ (c = 0.44, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$= 0.44 (EtOAc : Hexane = 4 : 1); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.53 (s, 2H), 7.38 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.89–6.82 (m, 2H), 4.47–4.40 (m, 1H), 3.92–3.85 (m, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.17 (s, 6H), 2.19 (s, 3H); LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{26}$N$_6$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 439.21; found: 438.89.
Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 5.64 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J = 8.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.26–4.18 (m, 2H), 3.92–3.87 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 6H), 2.19 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.2, 160.4, 158.1, 137.1, 131.3, 127.4, 127.2, 118.1, 114.0, 103.6, 78.4, 66.3, 62.4, 55.5, 55.1, 37.3, 29.9, 10.1; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₂₂H₂₆N₆O₄ [M+H]+: 439.21; found: 438.95.

Synthesis of p-methoxyphenyl (PMP) acetal 13b by acetal exchange reaction. To a stirred solution of 12b (5 mg, 0.0156 mmol) in DCM was added excess amount of p-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal and catalytic amount of p-toluensulfonic acid. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography to yield white solid 13b as a diastereomeric mixture (71 : 29). Yield = 55%; Rf = 0.19 (EtOAc : Hexane = 4 : 1); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ [8.28 (s) : 8.23 (s) = 1.37 : 0.55, total 2H], [7.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz) : 7.31 (d, J = 8.8 Hz) = 1.46 : 0.60, total 2H], 6.91–6.89 (m, 2H), [6.14 (s) : 5.92 (s) = 0.29 : 0.71, total 1H], [5.54 (d, J = 8.3 Hz) : 5.32 (d, J = 7.3 Hz) = 0.73 : 0.28, total 1H], [4.90–4.69 (m), 4.47–4.38 (m), total 5H], 4.01-3.96 (m, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.17 (s, 6H), 2.19 (s, 3H); LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₂₂H₂₆N₆O₄ [M+H]+: 439.21; found: 438.99.

Synthesis of dimethyl acetal 14b. To a stirred solution of 12b (3 mg, 0.0094 mmol) in acetone was added excess amount of 2,2-dimethoxypropane and catalytic amount of p-toluensulfonic acid. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the
residue was subjected to preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) to yield **14b** as a white solid. Yield = 85%; \([\alpha]_D^{24} = -3.51\) (c = 0.10, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.27 (EtOAc : Hexane = 4 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.17 (s, 2H), 5.18 (d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, \(J = 14.9\) Hz, 1H), 4.68–4.65 (m, 2H), 4.44–4.41 (m, 1H), 4.36 (dd, \(J = 9.2, 3.1\) Hz, 1H), 3.91 (dd, \(J = 12.5, 4.2\) Hz, 1H) 3.15 (s, 6H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 157.1, 136.9, 127.7, 115.5, 109.5, 81.8, 75.9, 64.2, 62.1, 53.7, 37.4, 27.4, 26.8, 9.98, 9.97; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for \(\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_6\text{O}_3\) [M+H]^+: 361.20; found: 360.89.

**Synthesis of diacetate 15b and 15h.** To a stirred solution of **12b** or **12h** (5mg, 0.0156 mmol) in anhydrous DCM, triethylamine (5 equiv.), acetic anhydride (3 equiv.) and catalytic amount of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine were added. The mixture was stirred for an hour and purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) to yield **15b** or **15h**.

**Compound 15b:** White solid; Yield = 95%; \([\alpha]_D^{25} = -54.49\) (c = 0.54, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.14 (EtOAc : Hexane = 4 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.35 (s, 2H), 6.10 (d, \(J = 6.8\) Hz, 1H), 5.72 (dd, \(J = 6.8, 5.4\) Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 1H), 4.53–4.51 (m, 1H), 4.36–4.33 (m, 1H), 3.82 (dd, \(J = 12.7, 3.9\) Hz, 1H), 3.17 (s, 6H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.03 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 169.8, 162.1, 157.7, 137.1, 127.4, 116.4, 73.0, 70.7, 64.9, 62.3, 54.8, 37.3, 21.1, 20.9, 10.1; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for \(\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_6\text{O}_5\) [M+H]^+: 405.19; found: 404.91.

**Compound 15h:** White solid; Yield = 89%; \([\alpha]_D^{24} = -88.34\) (c = 0.47, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.11 (EtOAc : Hexane = 4 : 1); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 8.50 (s, 2H), 6.12 (d, \(J = 3.9\) Hz, 1H); 5.79 (dd, \(J = 6.8, 4.1\) Hz, 1H), 4.87 (d, \(J = 15.3\) Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, \(J = 15.3\) Hz, 1H), 4.51–4.47 (m, 1H), 4.10 (dd, \(J = 12.5, 3.1\) Hz, 1H), 3.86 (dd, \(J = 12.5, 3.5\) Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 6H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.99 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) \(\delta\) 169.5, 169.4, 162.2, 158.5, 137.2, 127.3, 115.5, 72.0, 70.9, 64.2, 62.5, 54.9, 37.3, 21.1, 21.0, 10.1; LRMS (ESI) \(m/z\) calculated for \(\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_6\text{O}_5\) [M+H]^+: 405.19; found: 404.91.
Synthesis of carbonate 16b. To a stirred solution of 12b (13 mg, 0.0406 mmol) in anhydrous DCM was added 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (1.5 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 11 h and purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) to yield 16b as a white solid. Yield = 79%; [α]D23 = +84.60 (c =0.37, CHCl₃); Rf = 0.16 (EtOAc : Hexane = 4 : 1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.18 (s, 2H), 5.95 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, J = 8.6, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (q, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (dd, J = 12.9, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J = 12.9, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 6H), 2.23 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8, 157.1, 152.9, 137.8, 182.1, 113.8, 81.3, 77.4, 64.0, 62.4, 53.5, 37.4, 10.1; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₁₅H₁₈N₆O₄ [M+H]⁺: 347.15; found: 346.90.

Synthesis of monohydroxy azido compound 17b. To a stirred solution of 16b (5 mg, 0.0144 mmol) in anhydrous DMF was added H₂O (1 equiv.) and NaN₃ (1.1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 22 h. The solvent was removed under the reduced pressure and the residue was subjected to preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) to yield 17b as white solid. Yield = 49%; [α]D25 = +43.09 (c = 0.19, CHCl₃); Rf = 0.17 (EtOAc : Hexane = 4 : 1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.37 (s, 2H), 4.92 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.89–4.86 (m, 1H), 4.84–4.78 (m, 2H), 4.20–4.09 (m, 2H), 4.00 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 6H), 2.24 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.8, 157.1, 152.9, 137.8, 182.1, 113.8, 81.3, 77.4, 64.0, 62.4, 53.5, 37.4, 10.1; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C₁₄H₁₉N₉O₂ [M+H]⁺: 346.17; found: 345.89.

Synthesis of alkynyl bromides (S1–S4)

Sonogashira coupling: To a stirred solution of aryl iodide (100 mg, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous toluene (0.5 ml), Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ (0.03 equiv.), Cul (0.06 equiv.) and piperidine (2 equiv.) was added. After stirring the mixture for 2 min at room temperature, propargyl alcohol (1.02 equiv.) was added slowly. The final reaction mixture was stirred for an hour and diluted with diethyl ether, then filtered through a short pad of silica. After removal of the solvent under the
reduced pressure, the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography to yield cross-coupling products. **Appel reaction:** To a stirred solution of cross-coupling products (1 equiv.) and CBr₄ (1.1 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM was added a solution of PPh₃ (1.1 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring the mixture for 2 h at 0 °C, the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with diethyl ether and filtered through a short pad of silica. The filtrate was condensed under the reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography to yield alkynyl bromides S1–S4.

3-bromo-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-propyne (S1): Prepared from 1-iodo-3-methoxybenzene; Yellow liquid; Yield over two steps = 63%; R_f = 0.68 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 8); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90–6.88 (m, 1H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H).

3-bromo-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-propyne (S2): Prepared from 1-fluoro-4-iodobenzene; Yellow liquid; Yield over two steps = 85%; R_f = 0.78 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 8); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.03–7.00 (m, 2H), 4.15 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.0 (d, J = 250.3 Hz), 134.1 (d, J = 8.4 Hz), 118.4 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 115.9 (d, J = 22.3 Hz), 85.9, 84.2, 15.4.

3-bromo-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-propyne (S3): Prepared from 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene; Yellow liquid; Yield over two steps = 73%; R_f = 0.62 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 8); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.38 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H).

3-bromo-1-(2-thienyl)-1-propyne (S4): Prepared from 2-iodothiophene; Yellow liquid; Yield over two steps = 95%; R_f = 0.71 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 8); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 4.17 (s, 2H).
Synthesis of 4-methyl-N-(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (S5). To a suspension of anhydrous K₂CO₃ (260.0 mg, 1 equiv.) in anhydrous acetonitrile, p-toluenesulfonamide (4 equiv.) and 3-chloro-1-phenyl-1-propyne (1 equiv.) was added. After stirring the mixture for 2 h at 80 °C, the solvent was removed under the reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between diethyl ether and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was condensed under the reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatograph to yield S5 as a slightly yellow solid. Yield = 37%; Rᵣ = 0.27 (EtOAc : Hexane = 1 : 4); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.82 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 5H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (bs, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H).
Chapter 2. Discovery of Small Molecule Inhibitors of Lin28–let-7 Interactions Through the Development of a FRET-based High-Throughput Screening

2.1. Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression by functioning as guide molecules in RNA silencing.\textsuperscript{[1]} They target most protein-coding transcript, thereby being involved in every biological phenomena in animals. miRNA biogenesis is tightly regulated temporally and spatially, and their dysregulation is associated with many human diseases including cancer.\textsuperscript{[1]}

In the nucleus miRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II as a long primary transcript (pri-miRNA) containing a terminal loop structure and mature miRNA sequence in the stem region. Pri-miRNA is then processed by microprocessor comprising RNase III Drosha and DGCR8. The resulting precursor microRNA (pre-miRNA) is exported into the cytoplasm by exportin 5.\textsuperscript{[1,2]} In the cytoplasm pre-miRNA is cleaved by RNase III Dicer near the terminal loop to release a mature miRNA duplex. The duplex is loaded onto an Ago protein to form RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), and the passenger strand is removed.\textsuperscript{[1]} The guide strand functions by base pairing with its target mRNAs usually at 3’ untranslated region (UTR), and AGO proteins functions by recruiting factors that induce translational repression and mRNA decay.\textsuperscript{[1,2]}

The miRNAs from the let-7 family were among the first to be identified and were found to regulate development and proliferation. Mature let-7 is absent or
present only in low amounts in stem cells but strongly induced upon differentiation, and its level is stably maintained in somatic cells. In addition, let-7 miRNAs are well known for its tumor suppressor function by targeting multiple oncoproteins such as Ras, c-Myc and HMGA2. Mature let-7 is down-regulated in many cancer cells, and the growth of tumor was suppressed when let-7 miRNAs were delivered into cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Interestingly, levels of pri- and/or pre-let-7 are not affected even when mature let-7 is down-regulated in stem cells and cancer cells, indicating the post-transcriptional regulation of let-7 maturation.

It was found that RNA binding protein Lin28 selectively blocks the let-7 biogenesis at the post-transcriptional level. Mammals have two Lin28 paralogs, Lin28A and Lin28B, with different physiological expression patterns but similar behavior in vitro. The effect of Lin28B on pri-let-7 is more pronounced because of its nuclear localization signal. Lin28 proteins bind terminal loop, or pre-element (preE) of pri-let-7 in the nucleus and inhibit its processing by Drosha. In the cytoplasm Lin28 binds pre-let-7 and block the processing by Dicer. Furthermore, Lin28 recruits terminal uridylyl transferases (TUTs) that add uridines to the 3’ end of pre-let-7. The uridylated RNA is degraded by a nuclease, Dis3l2.

Cellular level of Lin28 is inversely related to the level of mature let-7 miRNA. Lin28 is highly expressed in undifferentiated embryonic stem cells and represents part of a pluripotency network. Lin28 is also overexpressed in a wide range of cancer cells resulting in let-7 depletion. When siLin28 was introduced into those cancer cells, the let-7 level was restored and tumor
Figure 2.1. Regulation of let-7 biogenesis by Drosha, Dicer, and Lin28 proteins. Figure reproduced from [11].

growth was blocked in vitro and in vivo. Interestingly, a growing body of evidences suggests that Lin28 plays an important role in the formation of cancer stem cells, contributing to tumor resistance, recurrence, and metastasis of cancer.\[14\]

Small molecule inhibitors of the Lin28–let-7 interactions would provide a promising approach to study miRNA biogenesis and stem cell development with temporal precision. Moreover, those inhibitors can be therapeutic agents against cancers with novel mode of actions. I aimed to identify cell permeable small molecule inhibitors of the protein–RNA interaction through the construction of a high-throughput screening system. Since the transcription levels of the let-7 precursors do not change significantly,\[3\] antagonizing Lin28 action is the best way to restore mature let-7 levels.
In this study, a simple assay based on Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) was constructed to detect the Lin28–let-7 interaction in a high-throughput manner. I sought to label Lin28 and let-7 with FRET donor and acceptor at the predesigned position in order to increase the FRET efficiency, which resulted in the establishment of accurate and reliable assay. The assay was applied to high-throughput screening of small molecule library leading to the discovery of flavones as inhibitors of Lin28–let-7 interactions.

2.2. Results and Discussion

2.2.1. A design strategy for FRET-based binding assay to detect Lin28–let-7 interaction

Protein–RNA interactions are probed using various biochemical techniques, the most common of which is enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). These assays can be performed easily with common laboratory equipments. However, they are heterogeneous multiple-step assays requiring several addition-washing steps or time-consuming electrophoresis. Therefore, it is important to develop a new high-throughput assay to identify inhibitors of a protein–RNA interaction from the large number of small molecule libraries. The new assay should be simple, fast and accurate. Moreover, it should be a one-step homogenous process to prevent RNase contamination that may arise from multiple experimental steps.

I designed a FRET-based interaction assay to fulfill above requirements. FRET stands for energy transfer between two light-sensitive molecules. A fluorescent molecule (FRET donor) in its electronically excited state can
transfer energy to another chromophore (FRET acceptor) through a non-radiative mechanism when they are in close proximity (<100 Å). Because FRET efficiency is inversely proportional to the sixth power of the distance between donor and acceptor, FRET signal is sensitive to small changes in distance. As a result, FRET is a powerful tool to study biomolecular interactions.

Each interaction partners should be labeled with light-sensitive FRET donor–acceptor pairs to construct an interaction assay. Fluorescent proteins, organic fluorophores or quencher molecules are possible candidates for protein and nucleic acid labeling. In order to establish a precise assay with high signal-to-background ratio and maximized measurement window, it is highly desirable to label the biomolecules at specific positions without hampering their interactions to give high FRET efficiency. Current approaches in designing FRET assays largely rely on fluorescent proteins (e.g. CFP–YFP pair) which are labeled at the protein terminals only. Therefore, it is impossible to control the FRET efficiency and in some cases, FRET is even not observed. Assays based on fluorescently labeled anti-epitope tag antibodies suffer from similar problems. Alternatively, proteins can be directly labeled with organic fluorophores or quenchers using amine, acid or thiol functionalities. However, biomolecular interactions can be disrupted due to the random chemical labeling. To overcome these limitations, I adopted a site-specific chemical labeling strategy using unnatural amino acid mutagenesis and bioorthogonal chemistry to obtain precisely designed fluorescent Lin28A.

Labeling sites for protein and miRNA were selected based on the crystal structure of the Lin28A–let-7 complex. Lin28A contains two folded regions,
the cold shock domain (CSD) and the tandem CCHC-type zinc finger domain, connected by a positively charged linker of ~15 amino acids.\textsuperscript{[10]} Both domains bind the terminal loop (also termed pre-element, preE) of \textit{let-7} miRNA at discrete sequences (Figure 2.2). The CSD insert into the loop of the preE structure at one end, while CCHCx2 zinc finger recognizes a GGAG motif at the other end of preE.\textsuperscript{[10]} The linker between the CSD and CCHCx2 is flexible and lacks secondary structure. Deletion of up to 9 amino acids (127–135) in the linker region supports binding to \textit{let-7} miRNAs indicating that linker regions can be mutated without compromising binding affinity or specificity.\textsuperscript{[10]} In the case of \textit{let-7}, isolated preE segment, containing none of the mature-region nucleotides, is sufficient for selective high-affinity binding to Lin28.\textsuperscript{[10]} \textit{Let-7} family members bind Lin28 with the same binding mode through the interaction or key nucleobases, even though their preE sequences and predicted secondary structures are somewhat different. Therefore, it is reasonable that any member of the \textit{let-7} miRNA family can be used and preE sequence only is sufficient for binding assay development.

I found from the crystal structure of the protein–miRNA complex that Lin28 linker region and \textit{let-7} Dicer cleavage site, or terminus of the preE sequence, are located close to each other. Therefore, I planned to selectively label a Lin28A linker residue with Cy3 fluorophore as a FRET donor. Isolated preE sequence from \textit{let-7a-1} will be used by labeling its 3’ terminus with BHQ-2 quencher that serves as a FRET acceptor. Cy3–BHQ-2 is a highly efficient donor–acceptor pair with maximal spectral overlap between Cy3 fluorescence emission and BHQ-2 absorption. In fact, a double-strand oligonucleotide dual-labeled with Cy3 and BHQ-2 show the FRET efficiency
of 97%.[15] When the Lin28A-Cy3 and preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 bind, the fluorescence from Cy3 is quenched. In the presence of inhibitors that disrupt the protein–miRNA interaction, Cy3 fluorescence would be recovered, resulting in a turn-on binding assay. High FRET efficiency and large signal window is expected due to the site-specific chemical labeling strategy.

Figure 2.2. Schematic model of the Lin28–let-7 binding and a design strategy to construct a FRET-based turn-on binding assay.

2.2.2. Site-specific fluorescent labeling of Lin28A through unnatural amino acid mutagenesis

I sought to label Lin28A and preE-let-7a-1 at a pre-designed position. In the case of oligoribonucleotides, their synthetic methods including chemical labeling schemes are well established, and they are normally commercially available. PreE-let-7a-1 sequence was selected to include both binding sites for Lin28 and to give the same predicted secondary loop structure (mfold web server[16]) as that of full-length pre-let-7 to ensure efficient and selective binding toward the protein partner. The sequence of the RNA is presented at
Next, Cy3 labeling of Lin28A linker residues was sought. Lin28A contains many lysine and cysteine residues, some of which have key roles in RNA binding or form important protein structural motif. As a result, conventional chemical labeling using \(N\)-hydroxysuccinimide or maleimide functionality is not appropriate. I therefore tried to introduce unnatural amino acids into Lin28A linker and label it with Cy3 using bioorthogonal chemistry. Among several bioorthogonal chemistries, copper-free \([3+2]\) cycloaddition between azide and cyclooctynes was selected due to its selectivity and high reaction rate in mild reaction conditions.

4-Azido-L-phenylalanine (AzF) can be incorporated into proteins in response to amber (UAG) stop codon.\(^{[17]}\) A plasmid (pEVOL-AzF) encoding orthogonal tRNA\(_{\text{CUA}}\) and aminoacyl tRNA synthetase (aaRS) for AzF\(^{[18]}\) is cotransformed with a Lin28A vector containing amber mutation at the designated residue into \textit{E. coli} to express a mutant protein. First, three different N-terminal 6xHis-tagged Lin28A linker mutants (K131AzF, R133AzF, K135AzF) were expressed and purified by Nickel affinity chromatography. However, only small amount of full length AzF mutant was obtained, while majority of the protein population was the truncated form of Lin28A by the action of amber codon as a stop signal (Figure S2.1). Competition between amber suppression and translational termination has been a major hurdle in unnatural amino acid mutagenesis. A simple solution to the problem is to attach the purification tag at the C-terminus of a protein. In the case of Lin28A, however, it was not possible to obtain C-terminal 6xHis-tagged functional protein despite several attempts. In order to produce Lin28A
AzF mutants in large amount through a single affinity chromatography step, I optimized cell culture conditions using Lin28A K135AzF as a model mutant.

Release factor 1 (RF1) is responsible for amber codon-mediate termination in *E. coli*. It is assumed that exogenously added orthogonal tRNA$_{CUA}$ and RF1 compete for binding to mRNA at the ribosome. In addition elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu) has a limited capability to incorporate bulky unnatural amino acid. There have been several attempts to increase the amber suppression efficiency such as RF1 knock-out or EF-Tu engineering.\[^{[19]}\] However, there is not a general rule to increase the amber suppression compared to termination in normal protein expression strains such as BL21(DE3). Therefore, I tried protein expression in *E. coli* with various culture conditions for maximized read-through of an amber codon.

Temperature greatly affected the amber suppression. Higher temperature was advantageous for increased ratio of full length to truncated protein, mainly through the substantial decrease in the translation termination rather than increase in the read-through (Figure 2.3a). The culture temperature was set to 37 °C, and the effects of other components were investigated. The concentration of arabinose for aaRS induction and IPTG for Lin28A induction had minor effects at the ranges we tested (Figure S2.2a). It was somewhat beneficial to induce aaRS prior to Lin28A to ensure AzF-charged orthogonal tRNA$_{CUA}$ is present in the medium at the start of Lin28A expression (Figure S2.2b). By combining the optimized conditions, it was possible to produce Lin28A K135AzF mutant with substantially enhanced full length to truncated protein ratio (Figure S2.3a).
Figure 2.3. Optimization of the Lin28A AzF mutant expression. (a) The effect of protein induction temperature and time on the ratio of full length to truncated Lin28A K135AzF. (b) Expression of seven Lin28A AzF mutants with the optimized conditions.

I produced six additional Lin28A linker mutants using optimized conditions to find the best Cy3 labeling site for assay development (Figure 2.3b). However, only three of the mutants (Q130AzF, S134AzF, K135AzF) were produced in good full-length ratio, while the other mutants still yielded low amount of AzF-incorporated proteins. I further sought to increase the full-length protein yield by adjusting the mRNA context of the amber codon. In *E. coli* there exists a bias in the mRNA sequences several nucleotides before and after stop codons, indicating that termination efficiency is closely related to the mRNA context of the stop codon. Indeed, endogenous amber suppressor from *E. coli* CDJ64 exhibited substantially different read-through efficiency depending on mRNA context around the amber codon.\(^{[20]}\) Accordingly it was expected that amber suppression efficiency would also be dependent on mRNA context when the exogenous orthogonal tRNA\textsubscript{CUA} was employed for unnatural amino acid insertion. However, there is no information about the preferred mRNA sequences before and after the amber codon. Therefore, part
of the information about the nucleotide preference was extracted from the above scanning mutation experiment. (Figure 2.3b, Figure S2.3b) I aimed to enhance the production of full-length Lin28A R133AzF mutant, and silently mutated two nucleotides before and after the amber codon based on the above information. As a result, it was possible to obtain the R133AzF mutant with improved full-length protein ratio. (Figure S2.3b)

I determined to use the protein mixture containing both the full-length AzF mutant and truncated form because it is easily obtained through a simple metal affinity chromatography. Truncated protein contains CSD only, the affinity of which toward preE-let-7 is much weaker than the full-length protein.[10] Even when the binding event occurs between CSD-containing truncated form and miRNA, the unlabeled CSD would not contribute to the fluorescence signal.

I then proceeded to the fluorescent labeling of the four mutants (Q130AzF, R133AzF, S134AzF, K135AzF) using DIBO(dibenzocyclooctyne)-Alexa555 or BCN(bicyclononyne)-Cy3 (Scheme S2.1). Copper-free strain-promoted cycloaddition was used because it is quite an efficient and mild transformation for biomolecule labeling.[21] First, crude cell lysates from three mutants (Q130AzF, S134AzF, K135AzF) were labeled with the dyes. As shown in Figure 2.4, labeling with the BCN-Cy3 is much faster compared to DIBO-Alexa555, considering the fact that Alexa555 conjugates is generally more fluorescent than Cy3 conjugates. In addition, the reaction of BCN is highly selective, while that of DIBO yields nonspecific fluorescent signals of comparable intensity to the Lin28A signal. Moreover, BCN did not label the truncated protein while DIBO did. Finally, BCN-Cy3 was selected
Figure 2.4. Labeling of crude lysates from three Lin28A AzF mutants using DIBO-Alexa555 or BCN-Cy3. Diluted lysates were incubated with 2.5 µM dyes in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1.5 h at room temperature. The reactions were stopped by adding excess AzF. The mixture was analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by fluorescence gel scanning or coomassie staining.

for further Lin28A labeling.

Next, I labeled the purified proteins by on-bead labeling method, at which metal affinity bead-attached Lin28A mutants are incubate with BCN-Cy3 in a buffered solution. When the four mutant proteins were reacted at the same conditions, two of them (R133AzF, S134AzF) were labeled with higher efficiency than the other mutants (Q130AzF, K135AzF). In all cases, the reaction was selective leading to the single labeling of Lin28A at the azide functionality. Fluorescence purity of the product was high enough to be used for reliable binding assays. By modifying simple parameters such as BCN-Cy3 concentration, buffer species, reaction temperature and time, it was possible to label Lin28A S134AzF mutant with 80% yield (Figure 2.5b).
Figure 2.5. Site-specific labeling of Lin28A AzF mutants with BCN-Cy3. (a) Reaction scheme to produce Lin28A-Cy3. (b) Purified Lin28A-Cy3 was analyzed through SDS-PAGE. Labeling yields were determined by quantifying the band intensities of the coomassie-stained gels.

2.2.3. Validation of the binding assay and application to high-throughput screening of small molecule library

Binding event between Lin28A-Cy3 and preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 was detected by adding the RNA solution into the protein solutions pre-dispensed in the screening plate and measuring the Cy3 fluorescence. Simple mix-and-read format makes it possible to conduct the binding assay in a high-throughput manner. When Lin28A-Cy3 and preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 were bound fluorescence signal decreased sharply, but in the presence of excess unlabeled preE-let-7a-1 as a competitor, the Cy3 signal was substantially recovered (Figure 2.6a). Quenching was dependent on the preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 concentration, and up to 85% of the Cy3 fluorescence was diminished at
higher miRNA concentrations (Figure 2.6b). High quenching efficiency arises from our rational design strategy to place the FRET donor and quencher in close proximity, which allows the precise determination of the protein–miRNA binding. Site-specific unnatural amino acid insertion and labeling might be superior alternatives to the use of fluorescent fusion proteins or fluorescent antibodies. Competition by unlabeled preE-let-7a-1 was clearly observed in a dose-dependent manner, while yeast tRNA could not effectively compete out the preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 bound to Lin28A even at high concentrations (Figure 2.6c). These results indicate that the assay detects specific Lin28a–let-7a-1 interaction.

Figure 2.6. Validation of the binding assay. (a) Competition by excess unlabeled preE-let-7a-1 restores the fluorescence signal. (b) Dependence of the quenching efficiency on the preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 concentration. 25 nM Lin28A-Cy3 was used. (c) Competition by unlabeled preE-let-7a-1 or yeast tRNA to check the presence of nonspecific interactions. 25 nM Lin28A-Cy3 and 50 nM preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 were used.
The absorption spectra of Lin28A-Cy3, preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2, and their mixture were measured. The spectrum of the mixture was the sum of the each spectrum, indicating that fluorescence turn-off in our assay is mediated through the FRET mechanism rather than contact quenching. FRET mechanism is preferred over contact quenching mechanism because in the latter case static interaction between dye and quencher results in enhancement of apparent binding affinity.\[15\]

The binding assay was conducted using a 96-well plate in a high-throughput setting. Z’ factor was determined from forty positive controls and forty negative controls to access the quality of the screening system. Samples without preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 served as positive controls, and samples with preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 served as negative controls. Average Z’ factor was calculated to be 0.94. Considering the fact that assays with Z’ factors larger than 0.75 is regarded excellent, our assay is highly robust, reliable and close to an ideal screening system (Figure 2.7a).

The FRET-based high-throughput screening system was applied to identify inhibitors of the Lin28–let-7 interaction from a small set of bioactive compounds. Several compounds showed recovery in the normalized fluorescence when added to the Lin28A-Cy3–preE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 mixture, and they were validated through an independent assay, electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). EMSA is based on the difference in the electrophoretic mobility of RNA and RNA–Protein complex. Mobility of the RNA is greatly retarded when it is bound to a protein due to the increased mass to charge ratio. It is possible to directly detect the free RNA and protein-bound RNA after gel electrophoresis using the EMSA technique. Hit
compounds would increase the ratio of RNA band to protein–RNA complex band.

EMSA was conducted in the presence of increasing amount of recombinant native Lin28A (rLin28A) with preE-let-7a-1 labeled with Cy5 at 5’-terminus (Cy5-preE-let-7a-1) for visualization. As shown in figure 2.7b, Lin28A and let-7a-1 form a complex that is clearly differentiated by gel electrophoresis. Non-specific interaction between BSA and RNA was not observed (figure 2.7b, lane 1). rLin28A–Cy5-preE-let-7a-1 interaction was clearly abolished in the presence of unlabeled preE-let-7a-1 as an inhibitor (figure 2.7c). Hit compounds would behave the same way as unlabeled preE-let-7a-1 in EMSA.

![Figure 2.7](image)

**Figure 2.7.** Application of the binding assay to high-throughput screening and EMSA for hit confirmation. (a) Determination of the Z’ factor using 40 positive and negative controls. (b) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay to detect Lin28A–let-7a-1 interaction. 25 nM RNA was incubated with 200 nM BSA (lane 1) or 0–200 nM rLin28A (lane 2–7). (c) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay in the presence of unlabeled preE-let-7a-1. 25 nM Cy5-preE-let-7a-1, 100 nM rLin28A and 0–2000 nM preE-let-7a-1 were employed.

Two of the hits identified by the high-throughput assay were autrintricarboxylic acid (ATA) and suramin, which are known as inhibitors of
several protein-nucleic acid interactions. These compounds were truly able to inhibit Lin28–let-7 interaction as revealed by EMSA (Figure S2.4), highlighting the reliability of my screening system.

2.2.4. Discovery of flavones as inhibitors of Lin28–let-7 interactions and their mode of action

Two flavones (luteolin and myricetin) were identified as another class of inhibitors through the high-throughput screening. They showed clear antagonistic effect against the protein–RNA interaction with IC$_{50}$ of low micromolar ranges in EMSA (Figure 2.8). A variety of flavones are found in nature, and they are well known for various biological activities. With the purpose of finding new compounds with enhanced activity and selectivity, I performed structure-activity relationship studies of the natural flavones against Lin28A–let-7a-1 interaction using EMSA (Figure S2.5). The results are summarized in table 2.1. Clear structure-activity relationship pattern was observed from the compounds tested. For example, hydroxyl group at R$^1$ was indispensible for inhibitory activity (myricetin vs. rhamnetin). R$^2$ position
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**Figure 2.8.** Luteolin and myricetin as inhibitors of the Lin28A–let-7a-1 interactions. EMSA was performed as described in the ‘Experimental Section’ with 0–20 µM of compounds.
Table 2.1. Structure-activity relationship of selected flavones against Lin28A–let-7a-1 and Lin28B–let-7a-1 interaction determined by EMSA.

![Flavone structure](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>R³</th>
<th>R⁴</th>
<th>R⁵</th>
<th>R⁶</th>
<th>R⁷</th>
<th>IC₅₀ Lin28A (µM)</th>
<th>IC₅₀ Lin28B (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myricetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isorhamnetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Not Active</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luteolin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diosmetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Not Active</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apigenin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Not Active</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisetin</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnetin</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Not Active</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not Active (>80% binding at all conc. tested)
N.D. (not determined)

may be further modified because fisetin was active in the absence of R² hydroxyl group (fisetin vs. quercetin). The same applies to R³ hydroxyl group. R³ position may be changed to other functionalities (luteolin vs. quercetin). Presence of vicinal diols at the para- and meta-position of the phenyl ring seems to be important for inhibitory effect of flavones (quercetin vs. isorhamnetin; quercetin vs. morin; luteolin vs. diosmetin; luteolin vs. apigenin). On the basis of these relationships, compounds with improved activity, selectivity and physical properties could be designed and synthesized.

Given the high sequence homology and structural similarity between Lin28A and Lin28B, the inhibitory effect of the flavones against Lin28B–let-7 interaction was also assessed through EMSA using rLin28B and Cy5-preE-let-
7a-1 as a probe. It is interesting that inhibitors of the Lin28A–let-7 interaction are also inhibitors of the Lin28B–let-7 interaction with similar inhibitory activities (table 2.1 and figure 2.9). Many cancer cells appear to predominantly express either Lin28A or Lin28B. Therefore, inhibitors against both Lin28A–let-7 and Lin28B–let-7 interactions are highly desirable to cover wide array of cancers.\cite{13}

There are twelve human let-7 family members and they all bind Lin28 with almost identical mode.\cite{10} The ideal inhibitors should disrupt interactions of Lin28 with all let-7 family members for fast and substantial increase in the cellular mature let-7 levels. I found that luteolin inhibits the interaction between Lin28A and let-7g with similar inhibitory activity to let-7a-1. Therefore, hydroxyflavone cores might be a good starting point for designing inhibitors of the important therapeutic target, Lin28–let-7 interaction.

Biophysical studies were conducted to elucidate the inhibitory mechanism of the flavones. Biacore analysis indicated that inhibitors clearly bind to the

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Inhibitory activity of flavones against Lin28B–let-7a-1 interaction and Lin28A–let-7g interaction.}
\end{figure}
Lin28A protein, while compounds without inhibitory effect did not show any specific binding to the protein. Slow-on and slow-off binding event was observed for all inhibitors investigated (luteolin, fisetin, quercetin and myricetin) (Figure S2.6). From these data I concluded that flavones exert their inhibitory effect primarily through the specific binding to Lin28 proteins.

2.3. Conclusion

RNA is emerging as a viable target for small molecules drugs beyond the conventional antibiotics-targeted bacterial rRNA, as the understating of RNA tertiary structures is improved and the number of RNA-binding chemical entities is increased. At the same time protein–RNA interactions, which were regarded undruggable, is increasingly recognized as an important class of potential drug targets. However, there have been only a few reports regarding small molecule modulators of protein–RNA interactions, the majority of which are targeting HIV tat protein.

In this study I constructed a FRET-based high throughput assay to identify inhibitors of Lin28–let-7 interaction, a type of protein–miRNA interaction. The assay was highly robust and reliable through the novel design strategy employing site-specific protein labeling by unnatural amino acid mutagenesis. I identified four natural flavones as inhibitors of the oncogenic Lin28–let-7 interaction. The inhibition was mediated by the specific binding between Lin28 and inhibitors. Based on the structure-inhibitory activity relationship study, it would be possible to design novel inhibitors of Lin28–let-7 interaction with enhanced activity and selectivity. In addition, our robust screening platform may be applied to the high-throughput screening of large
number of small molecule libraries to identify inhibitors with different core structures. Finally, assays development strategy employed here might be applied to construct other kinds of FRET-based high-throughput protein–nucleic acid binding screening systems.

2.4. Experimental section

Protein expression and purification. N-terminal 6xHis-tagged native human Lin28A and Lin28B were overexpressed in E. coli Rosetta2 (DE3) pLysS. The cells were grown to optical density at 600 nm of 0.6–0.8. Protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG overnight at 18 °C. Cell pellets were resuspended in cold lysis buffer (20 mM Tris·HCl [pH 8.0], 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 1x protease inhibitor cocktail, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 50 µM ZnCl₂, 10 % glycerol) and sonicated. The lysate was cleared through centrifugation followed by filtering. The filtrate was incubated with Ni-NTA agarose bead (Qiagen) for 1.5 hr at 4 °C. The bead was washed with 80 bead volumes of wash buffer A (20 mM Tris·HCl [pH 8.0], 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 50 µM ZnCl₂, 10 % glycerol), then 30 bead volumes of wash buffer B (20 mM Tris·HCl [pH 8.0], 500 mM NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, 50 µM ZnCl₂, 10 % glycerol). The proteins were eluted with 8 bead volumes of elution buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 500 mM NaCl, 100–300 mM imidazole, 10 % glycerol). Imdazole was removed using a PD-10 column (GE Healthcare) to give the protein solution in dialysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 10 % glycerol). The protein was aliquoted in small fractions, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C. Protein expression was verified through mass spectrometry and western blot analysis.
**Unnatural amino acid mutagenesis.** Mutant human Lin28A with unnatural amino acid was expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) after codon optimization at 4 residues (R122, R133, R138, L145) for efficient protein expression. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to introduce amber codon at the linker positions of Lin28A. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) was co-transformed with the amber mutant plasmid and pEVOL-AzF[^18]. The cells were grown to optical density at 600 nm of 0.6. 1 mM or 1.5 mM azidophenylalanine (AzF) and 0.06% arabinose was added to produce AzF-charged orthogonal tRNA. After incubation for an hour at 37 °C, Lin28A expression was induced with 0.25 mM IPTG for 6 h at 37 ºC. Protein purification procedure was the same as that of native Lin28.

**Fluorescent labeling of Lin28A.** Cleared lysate from 50 mL of AzF mutant culture was incubated with 120 µL Ni-NTA agarose bead and washed as described above. Next, The bead was washed with reaction buffer (PBS, 10% glycerol) and resuspended in 500 µL buffer. 5 nmole BCN-Cy3 was added and the mixture was incubated overnight at 4 °C. After the reaction, excess dye was removed and the bead was washed with wash buffer C (PBS, 0.05% Tween20) and wash buffer B. The protein was stored at −80 °C after elution and buffer exchange as described above.

**RNA sequence.** RNAs were synthesized by Bioneer. Sequences used for FRET-based binding assay, high-throughput screening, and electrophoretic mobility shift assay are as follows. PreE-let-7a-1: 5’-UUA GGG UCA CAC CCA CCA CUG GGA GAU AA-3’; PreE-let-7a-1-BHQ2: 5’-UUA GGG UCA CAC CCA CCA CUG GGA GAU AA-3’-(BHQ2); Cy5-PreE-let-7a-1: (Cy5)-5’-UUA GGG UCA CAC CCA CCA CUG GGA GAU AA-3’; Cy5-
PreE-let-7g: (Cy5)-5’-UGA GGG UCU AUG AUA CCA CCC GGU ACA GGA GAU AA-3’

**FRET-based binding assays and high-throughput screening.** 25 nM Cy3-Lin28A was mixed with 50 nM PreE-let-7a-1-BHQ2 in a binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1.5 % DMSO, 0.01% Tween 20, 2.6 U recombinant RNase inhibitor) in 50 µL volume. 96-well half area non-binding black plate with clear bottom (Corning #3881) was used for binding reaction and high-throughput screening. The mixture was incubated for 30–60 min at room temperature with mild shaking. Cy3 fluorescence was recorded with Synergy HT micro plate reader (BioTek) with excitation at 530/25 nm and emission at 590/35 nm. High-throughput screening was conducted at the same conditions. Reactions in the presence of 2 µM unlabeled PreE-let-7a-1 or reactions in the absence of any RNA served as positive controls. Reactions with PreE-let-7a-1-BHQ-2 and DMSO served as a negative control. Fluorescence changes induced by compounds in the absence of RNA were normalized to evaluate the correct inhibitory effects.

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA).** EMSA was performed to confirm the activities of primary hit compounds and to determine IC$_{50}$ values. Cy5-preE-let-7a-1 and Cy5-preE-let-7g was used as a probe. 10 nM native rLin28A or rLin28B was incubated with 5 nM let-7 probes and hit compounds at various concentrations in a binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 2% DMSO, 0.01% Tween 20, 12% glycerol, 5 U recombinant RNase inhibitor). The mixture was resolved by native acrylamide gel electrophoresis and Cy5 signal was visualized using Typhoon Trio (GE healthcare).
Surface plasmon resonance analysis. The binding of hit compounds toward rLin28A was determined by surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy using a Biacore 3000 instrument (GE Healthcare). The surface carboxyl group of CM5 sensor chip was activated with a mixture of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide and N-hydroxysuccinimide in flow cells 1 and 2 to generate the reactive succinimide ester on the surface of sensor chip. Human rLin28A (30 µg/ml in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5) was immobilized on the flow cell (4500 RU) via amide bond formation. The remaining succinimide ester on flow cells 1 and 2 was quenched by injecting 1 M ethanolamine·HCl (pH 8.0). 20 mM HEPES·NaOH (pH 7.4) buffer with 100 mM NaCl and 0.005% P20 was used as the running buffer throughout the immobilization process. After immobilization, various ligands were injected for 90 s at a flow rate of 30 µL/min, and dissociation from the sensor surface was monitored for 480 s at the same flow rate. 50 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.0) containing 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.005% P20 and 2% DMSO was used as the running buffer. The binding events were measured at 25 °C. Data were analyzed using the Biacore 3000 Evaluation software (GE Healthcare). Final sensorgrams were obtained by eliminating responses from flow cell 1 and the buffer-only control.

Synthesis of bicyclononyne-Cy3 (BCN-Cy3). Bicyclononyne S1 was synthesized as previously described.[21] S1 (1 equiv.) was dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂, then pyridine (2.5 equiv.) and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate (1.25 equiv.) was added. After stirring overnight at room temperature, the mixture was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution. The organic layer was washed with brine and subjected to
flash column chromatography to give $S_2$. $S_2$ was dissolved in $N,N$-dimethylformamide, then triethylamine (3 equiv.) and 2,2’-(Ethylenedioxy)bis(ethylamine) (6 equiv.) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, and partitioned between CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and 1 N NaOH. The organic layer was condensed and subjected to flash column chromatography to give $S_3$. To a stirred solution of Cy3-NHS ester (1 equiv.) in acetonitrile, $S_3$ (1 equiv.) and diisopropylethylamine (2 equiv.) was added. The mixture was stirred for 9 h the solvent was evaporated. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography to give BCN-Cy3. LRMS (ESI+) m/z calculated for C$_{46}$H$_{61}$N$_4$O$_5$ [M]$^+$, 749.46; Found, 749.51; Purity: 92 %.
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2.7. Supporting Information

**Figure S2.1.** Expression of Lin28A AzF mutants in BL21(DE3). Cells were grown to OD$_{600 \text{ nm}}$ of 0.6, then 1 mM AzF (or DMSO), 0.02% Arabionse and 0.5 mM IPTG was added. They were incubated overnight at 18 °C and purified by Nickel affinity chromatography.

**Figure S2.2.** Optimization of Lin28A K135AzF production BL21(DE3). (a) Effect of inducer concentrations. Indicated amount of arabinose and 1 mM AzF were added when the culture reached OD$_{600 \text{ nm}}$ of 0.6. IPTG was added 30 min later. Cells were grown for total 8 h at 37 °C. (b) Effect of induction order. 0.02% of arabinose and 1 mM AzF were added when the culture reached OD$_{600 \text{ nm}}$ of 0.6. After indicated time intervals, 0.5 mM IPTG were added and cells were further grown for 4 h.
Figure S2.3. (a) Optimized production of Lin28A K135AzF mutant in BL21(DE3). (b) mRNA context optimization to enhance the production of full-length R133AzF mutant. First two bases before and after the amber codon was silently mutated on the basis of the information about the preferred nucleotide sequences for high read-through over termination.

Figure S2.4. Identification of aurintricarboxylic acid and suramin as inhibitors of the Lin28–let-7 interaction.
Figure S2.5. EMSA images showing inhibitory effects of several flavones against Lin28A–let7a-1 or Lin28B–let-7a-1 interaction.

Figure S2.6. Biacore analysis of the Lin28A–Flavone interactions. Inhibitory compounds (luteolin, myricetin, quercetin, and fisetin) displayed strong binding. Weakly active morin (IC$_{50}$ >100 µM) showed very weak binding, and an inactive compound (rhamnetin) exhibited no specific binding. Continued at the next page.
Figure S2.6. Continued.

Scheme S2.1. Synthesis of BCN-Cy3. Detailed synthetic methods are described at ‘Experimental Section’.
Chapter 3. Discovery of Carbohybrid-Based 2-Aminopyrimidine Analogues As a New Class of Rapid-Acting Antimalarial Agents Using Image-Based Cytological Profiling Assay


3.1. Introduction

With nearly a million deaths annually and over 219 million hospital cases each year, malaria remains a serious public health problem, particularly in the high transmission areas of Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America.[1–3] The disease is caused by mosquito-borne protozoan parasites of the genus *Plasmodium*, five species of which are known to infect humans (*Plasmodium falciparum*, *Plasmodium vivax*, *Plasmodium ovale*, *Plasmodium malariae*, and *Plasmodium knowlesi*).[4,5] In the absence of vaccines with operational utilities against the disease, accurate diagnosis and treatment with available antimalarial regimens alongside effective vector control measures remain the best hope of controlling the disease globally.[6–8]

*Plasmodium* parasites, however, exhibit a high propensity to rapidly developed resistance against newly introduced medicines, necessitating as such a continuous search and development of new antimalarial agents to combat emerging resistant strains.[9,10] To stop the spread of multidrug resistance worldwide, the World Health Organization recommends the use of artemisinin-based combination treatments for all uncomplicated malaria cases globally. A key inclusion benefit of artemisinin in the current combinations lies in its rapid-acting multistage activities against most drug-resistant strains of *Plasmodium* parasites.[11] Unfortunately, parasite resistance to artemisinin has been inevitable, as revealed by the recent emergence and spread of drug-
tolerant parasites along the Thai–Cambodian borders in South East Asia.\textsuperscript{[12,13]}

This dire situation calls for the immediate development, preferably, of nonartemisinin-based compounds with fast-acting, long-lasting, and multistage activities capable of reducing treatment durations while also improving patient compliances.\textsuperscript{[11]} Indeed, compared to slow-acting single-target drugs such as pyrimethamine (antifolate), sulfadoxine (antifolate), and atovaquone (cytochrome bc1 complex inhibitor), anti-malarial compounds that exhibit fast-acting and pleiotropic activities similar to that of artemisinin and chloroquine are expected to display shorter parasite clearance times (PCTs), increased useful therapeutic lives (UTLs), and high parasitaemia reduction ratios (PRR), with net effects being increased cure rates and mitigation of resistance development.\textsuperscript{[10,14,15]} Discovering new therapeutic agents in malaria requires a combination of new chemical libraries and innovative screening technologies. Indeed, plausible efforts by both pharmaceutical industries and academic research institutions recently had led to the identification of several structurally diverse antimalarial compounds, some of which are under scrutiny by partnerships such as the Medicine for Malaria Ventures (MMV) for inclusion into the lead discovery chain.

Recently, a group in Institut Pasteur Korea reported the development of an automated image-based screening system for the quantitative detection and classification of \textit{P. falciparum} life cycle stages in a 384-culture well format.\textsuperscript{[16]} In parallel, a small-molecule library of over 4000 drug-like compounds containing 60 distinct core structures was constructed using a privileged-substructure-based diversity-oriented synthetic (pDOS) approach.\textsuperscript{[17]} By using the above image-based system first as a growth inhibition screening assay and then as a cytological profiling tool, carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidines
were identified from the pDOS library as a new class of antimalarial compounds with potent activities against three laboratory strains of multiple drug-resistant *P. falciparum*. In chapter 1, I reported the synthesis of stereochemically enriched acyclic polyols fused with various privileged heterocycles from naturally abundant carbohydrates and named them as “carbohybrids”.[18] On the basis of structure–activity relationship studies of the carbohybrids, 2-aminopyrimidine analogues (8aA and 11aA) of the initial hit compounds were identified as the most promising candidates given their high *in vitro* potency and excellent *in vivo* PK properties and efficacy in a mouse malaria model.

3.2. Results and Discussion

3.2.1. A novel image-based cytological profiling assay

*Plasmodium* parasites exhibit a complex life cycle that involves multiple parasite stages and forms, which display variable degrees of sensitivity to most antimalarial compounds.[14,19,20] To facilitate the discovery and development of new therapies that target existing strains of drug-resistant *P. falciparum*, a cytological profiling method was developed using a previously reported image-based screening system.[16] This assay relies on the quantitative detection of each major blood form (early rings, late rings, trophozoites, and schizonts) of *P. falciparum* parasites in a 384-well format based on the numbers and sizes of detectable nuclear condensation spots in infected erythrocytes. In this assay, all host cells are stained with wheat germ agglutinin–AlexaFluor-488 conjugate whereas individual parasites in infected
erythrocytes are identified using the nuclear stain DAPI. The assay also employs the fluorescent dye Mitotracker red CMXRos to stain and discriminate functional mitochondria in live parasites and disrupted mitochondria in dead parasites. A major advantage of this image-based system is in its ability to quantify drug activities on the basis of total culture parasitaemia and to determine each parasite stage proportion in an automated fashion. The cytological profiling approach as developed in this study involves parasite treatment at well-defined time-points in the parasite’s intraerythrocytic life cycle and comparison of the resulting stage accumulation indices following 36 or 24 h of drug pressure. Institut Pasteur Korea confirmed the reliability of this approach by profiling the stage specificities of four mechanistically known antimalarial agents using three different drug-resistant lines of *P. falciparum* (Figure 3.1). Consistent with their known modes of actions,[14,19,21–27] the data show that artemisinin (ART) and chloroquine (CQ) are fast-acting in inhibiting early and late ring development to the midtrophozoite stage, but that these compounds are only weakly active against trophozoite development to the schizont stages (Figure 3.1a). Indeed, at clinically relevant concentrations (peak plasma concentrations of ~2 µM for ART and 1–10 µM for CQ),[28–30] both chloroquine and artemisinin are known to rapidly inhibit cell cycle development in malaria parasites, resulting in parasite clearance times of <24 h for both drugs.

*trans*-Epoxysuccinyl-L-leucylamido-(4-guanidino)butane (E64) on its part inhibited early ring development and schizont maturation, whereas *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) was only weakly effective at inhibiting early ring development in all three parasite lines (Figure 3.1a). As shown in Figure 3.1b, ART, E64, and GlcNAc also produced significant decreases in culture
Figure 3.1. Stage-specific activities of selected antimalarial agents as determined using a novel image-based cytological profiling method. Tightly synchronized *P. falciparum* (HB3, W2, or K1 strains) were treated with 10 µM final concentration of each antimalarial agent at (a) the 6 hpi (hour postinvasion, early ring), 18 hpi (late ring), or 30 hpi (midtrophozoite) time-points for a continuous duration of 36 h, or (b) at the 42 hpi time-point (midschizont) for 24 h. The parasite nuclei, mitochondria, and host erythrocytes were then stained with DAPI, Mitotracker Red CMXRos, and wheat germ agglutinin–AlexaFluor-488, respectively, and the proportion of infected and viable parasitaemia determined by automated image mining. (a) Heat-map and
parasite stage accumulation index at each treatment time-point. ER, early rings; LR, late rings; T, trophozoites; S, schizonts. (b) A plot of parasitaemia reduction index (PRI) against schizont accumulation index (SAI) indicating the rapid-acting effects of E64 and artemisinin (ART) on schizonts and the invasion-specific effect (high PRI and low SAI) of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) against all three parasite strains. Accumulation or reduction indices greater than the control’s mean plus three standard deviations (n = 3) were considered significant.

parasitaemia when added at the 42 h time-point for 24 h. Indeed, both ART and E64 gave significantly high schizont accumulation indices, indicating rapid inhibition of schizont maturation and/or merozoite egress, whereas GlcNAc reduced culture parasitaemia without a significant retention of schizonts. This later indicates that GlcNAc predominantly acts on extracellular merozoites, inhibiting host cell entry as reported previously.\(^{[21]}\) Taken together, the above results demonstrated the ability of the novel cytological profiling approach to quantify the stage specificities of antimalarial agents at different time-points during the parasite’s asexual developmental cycle with high reliability and in a high-throughput screening format.

3.2.2. Discovery of carbohybrid-based aminopyrimidines as a new antimalarial drug class

To identify antimalarial compounds with novel modes of action, 3980-membered in house small-molecule library was screened using the image-based parasitological assay system and the chloroquine/pyrimethamine-resistant \( P. falciparum \) K1 strain. This initial screen resulted in 89 hit compounds (hit rate of 2.4%) that inhibited parasite growth by >90% when used at 5 µM final concentrations and exhibited EC\(_{50}\) values less than 2 µM. Counter-screening of these initial hits against two other drug-resistant parasite strains (W2 and HB3) identified the carbohybrid frameworks containing 2-
aminopyrimidine moiety 1 (SNU3701) and 2 (SNU3662)\textsuperscript{[31]} that displayed similar EC\textsubscript{50} values (less than 1 µM) against all three \textit{P. falciparum} strains (Table 3.1).

Dose-responsive cytotoxicity analyses of the above compounds using three different human cell lines (HepG2, THP-1, and U2OS) gave high selectivity indices in all three cell lines (Table 3.1), indicating \textit{in vitro} safety toward these cell lines. Cytological profiling of the compounds using drug-resistant \textit{P. falciparum} K1 parasites revealed that carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine analogues (1 and 2) exhibit fast-acting antimalarial activities by inhibiting further development of early rings, late rings, and midtrophozoite stage parasites when treated at the 6, 18, or 30 h postinvasion (Figure 3.2). These two compounds, however, did not significantly affect parasite mitochondrial integrity as assessed by using the mitochondrial membrane potential indicator, Mitotracker Red CMXRos.

\textbf{Table 3.1.} Antimalarial and cytotoxicity profiles of 2-aminopyrimidine-based hit compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{50} (µM)\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>HB3</th>
<th>W2</th>
<th>Selectivity index\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CQ</td>
<td>0.208 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.221 ± 0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.001</td>
<td>&gt;5550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.251 ± 0.042</td>
<td>0.442 ± 0.080</td>
<td>0.320 ± 0.038</td>
<td>&gt;226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.535 ± 0.048</td>
<td>0.448 ± 0.061</td>
<td>0.406 ± 0.066</td>
<td>&gt;187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}EC\textsubscript{50} values are means ± SD of two independent experiments each done in duplicate.
\textsuperscript{b}Selectivity indices are calculated as the ratio of 50% cytotoxicity (CC\textsubscript{50}) values against HepG2 cells to the highest parasitological EC\textsubscript{50} values of the three \textit{P. falciparum} strains. The CC\textsubscript{50} of amphotericin B (positive cytotoxicity control) was 40 ± 3.2 µM using the resazurin-based assay as described in the ‘Experimental Section’.
Figure 3.2. Cellular modes of action of 2-aminopyrimidine-based carbohybrid compounds. Tightly synchronized *P. falciparum* (K1 strain) were treated at the 6 hpi (early ring), 18 hpi (late ring), or 30 hpi (midtrophozoite) time-points with 10 µM final concentration 1 or 2 for a continuous duration of 36 h. Parasite nuclei, parasite mitochondrial, and the host erythrocytes were then stained with DAPI, Mitotracker Red CMXRos, and wheat germ agglutinin–AlexaFluor-488, respectively, and the proportion of infected and viable parasitaemia determined by automated image analysis. (a) Heat-map and parasite stage accumulation index at each treatment time-point. ER, early rings; LR, late rings; T, trophozoites; S, schizonts. (b) Representative images of parasitized cultures showing blockage of further development of “early ring”, late ring (“Ring”), or “Trophozoite” stage parasites in 1- or 2-treated cultures compared to the DMSO-treated control. The mitotracker-positive parasitized erythrocytes are indicated as “Live”, whereas mitotracker-negative cells are labeled as “Dead”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6 hpi</th>
<th>ER</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>18 hpi</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>30 hpi</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>ART</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>ART</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E64</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>E64</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>E64</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlcNAc</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>GlcNAc</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>GlcNAc</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Treatment of the parasites at the 42 hpi time-point resulted in a parasitaemia reduction index of 0.52 for 1 and 0.46 for 2 and schizont accumulation index of approximately 2.4 for each compound. These results indicate that both compounds are fast acting in inhibiting schizont maturation and/or merozoite egress from the infected erythrocytes, leading to accumulation of schizonts in culture. Collectively, I concluded that carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine analogues are a novel class of fast acting blood-stage antimalarial compounds on the basis of their ability to block cell cycle progression from the treated parasite stages.

Scheme 3.1. Synthesis of carbohybrid-based molecular frameworks. Reagents and conditions: (a) TrtCl, DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, RT; (b) PMBCl, NaH, DMF, 0 °C–RT; (c) POCl₃, DMF, 0 °C–RT; (d) dinucleophiles, K₂CO₃, EtOH/THF, RT; (e) MsCl, TEA, DCM, 0 °C–RT; (f) p-TsOH, MeOH, RT; (g) NaN₃, DMF, 120 °C; (h) TrtCl, DIPEA, DCM, RT/BnBr, NaH, DMF, RT/ MsCl, TEA, DCM, 0 °C–RT.
Scheme 3.2. Synthesis of compound 14bA and 15bA. Reagents and conditions: (a) PMBCl, NaH, DMF, 0 °C–RT; (b) POCl₃, DMF, 0 °C–RT; (c) 1,1-Dimethylguanidine sulfate, K₂CO₃, EtOH/THF, RT; (d) MsCl, TEA, DCM, 0 °C–RT.

Encouraged by these findings, I constructed a focused library of 2-aminopyrimidine-based carbohybrids using a divergent synthetic strategy. As shown in Scheme 3.1, 6-hydroxyl groups in D-galactal (3a, R¹ = OH, R² = H) and D-glucal (3b, R¹ = H, R² = OH) were selectively protected with trityl group to afford 4a and 4b. p-Methoxybenzyl (PMB) protection of secondary hydroxyl groups, followed by Vilsmeier–Haack formylation, resulted in the 2-C-formyl glycals, 6a and 6b. The condensation of these intermediates (6a and 6b) with dinucleophiles and the subsequent ring opening yielded the carbohybrid scaffolds 7 consisting of diverse heterocyclic moieties (pyrimidine, pyrazolopyrimidine, and pyrazole). Sequential modifications of functional groups through mesylation, trityl deprotection, and azide substitution allowed the formation of carbohybrids 8, 9, and 10, respectively, with different appendices. Finally, the primary hydroxyl group in 10 was transformed via tritylation, benzylation, and mesylation to afford analogues 11, 12, and 13, respectively. The modification of 7bA with PMB group, instead of trityl group at the R⁵ position, and the subsequent O-mesylation yield analogues 14bA and 15bA, respectively.
Table 3.2. Antimalarial and cytotoxicity profiles of 2-aminopyrimidine-based hit compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cpd</th>
<th>HetAr</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>R³</th>
<th>R⁴</th>
<th>R⁵</th>
<th>EC₅₀ (µM) (K1 strain)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7aA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7aC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7aD</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7aE</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7bA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7bE</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aC</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aD</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aE</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8bA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8bE</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9aA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>5.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10aA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>5.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10aD</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>18.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11aA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Trτ</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11aE</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Trτ</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11bA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Trτ</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11bE</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Trτ</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12aA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>1.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12aE</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>1.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12bA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>1.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13aA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>6.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13bA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>N₃</td>
<td>Ms</td>
<td>11.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14bA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>PMB</td>
<td>2.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15bA</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OPMB</td>
<td>OM₅</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>PMB</td>
<td>1.043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Given the occurrence of 2-aminopyrimidine heterocycles in carbohybrid-based hit compounds, I investigated the antimalarial activities of carbohybrids that contained pyrimidine (2, 7aA, 7aC), pyrazolopyrimidine (7aD), and pyrazole (7aE) in their substructures. As shown in Table 3.2, carbohybrid analogues containing all three heterocycles exhibited antimalarial activities ($EC_{50} < 1 \mu M$) against chloroquine/pyrimethamine-resistant *P. falciparum* strain K1. Stereochemical modifications at the $R^1$ and $R^2$ positions, which were achieved by using different glycols (3a or 3b) as starting material, minimally affected their inhibitory activities. However, the galactal-derived compounds (7aA, 7aE, 8aA, 8aE, and 11aA) exhibited slightly higher antimalarial activities compared with those of the glucal-based derivatives (7bA, 7bE, 8bA, 8bE, and 11bA). The modification at the primary hydroxyl group ($R^5$) appeared critical for the antimalarial activity. For example, the introduction of bulky hydrophobic moieties such as the trityl group in 8aA and 11aA allowed a more than 50-fold enhancement in antimalarial activities compared with those of unmodified hydroxyl version in carbohybrid analogues 9aA and 10aA. Consistent with this observation, the introduction of benzyl group (12aA) enhanced their antimalarial activities, albeit not as much as those in trityl-containing carbohybrid derivatives. Importantly, O-mesylation as exemplified in derivative 8aA and azide substitution at the $R^4$ position of the carbohybrid chain (e.g., 11aA) enhanced the class antimalarial activities. On the basis of their potent *in vitro* activities ($EC_{50}$: 104–147 and 80–96 nM, respectively, against all three drug-resistant parasite strains), fast-acting activities against ring, trophozoite, and schizont development (Figure 3.3), and high selectivity indices (SI >680 for 8aA and >1000 for 11aA),
Figure 3.3. Speed of action and stage-specificity of derivatives 8aA and 11aA. Representative images showing rapid arrest of parasite development from the early ring, ring, and trophozoite stages following treatment at the 6, 18, and 30 hpi time points with 10 µM of each test compound. Representative images were cropped from one of five image fields per well of drug-treated cultures in a 384-well plate.

the above two carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine derivatives were selected for further pharmacokinetics analyses.

Candidate compounds 8aA and 11aA were examined for their microsomal stability (n = 3). As shown in Table 3, both compounds showed excellent in vitro metabolic stability, with greater than 80% compound remaining after 30 min in human and mouse liver microsomes. In addition, carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine derivative 8aA at the dose of 1 and 5 mg/kg showed excellent pharmacokinetic behavior after intraperitoneal (IP) injection in SD male rats (n = 5), with rapid absorption (time to peak concentration [Tmax]...
Table 3.3. Microsomal stability and in vivo pharmacokinetic properties of candidate compounds 8aA and 11aA. Data represent mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>microsomal stability (% remaining after 30 min, n = 3)</th>
<th>PK study (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>human</td>
<td>mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aA</td>
<td>88.1 ± 8.01</td>
<td>88.6 ± 5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11aA</td>
<td>89.0 ± 7.46</td>
<td>81.6 ± 7.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of 1 h and maximum concentration [C_{max}] of 1.80 ± 0.40 and 12.9 ± 9.30 g/mL, respectively) and stable plasma concentration of more than 0.10 and 0.15 µg/mL over 24 h. The area under the curve (AUC) of 8aA and 11aA confirmed the excellent pharmacokinetic property, which assures their pharmacological activities in vivo (Figure S3.1).

3.2.3. Novel in vivo antimalarial activity of 8aA and 11aA in a mouse malaria model

To assess the in vivo efficacy of carbohybrid-based antimalarial candidates (8aA and 11aA), groups of P. chabaudi-infected mice were treated by IP injection on day 1 (trophozoite-stage parasites) to day 3 postinfection using fixed doses of 0.03, 0.3, 3, 10, or 30 mg/kg for each compound. Percent inhibitions of parasite growth in treated groups relative to the control parasitaemia on day 4 were then used in probit analyses of compounds’ effects. As shown in Figure 4, both candidate compounds (8aA and 11aA) displayed significant in vivo antimalarial activities in a dose-dependent manner. On the basis of the probit 5-response point, the compound’s ED_{50} against P. chabaudi infection were estimated to be 0.32 mg/kg for 8aA and 0.12 mg/kg for 11aA.
Figure 3.4. Chemical structures and probit analyses of *in vivo* parasitaemia reduction effects of carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine 8aA and 11aA derivatives. Mice (n = 5 per group) were infected with $2 \times 10^7$ parasitized erythrocytes per mouse followed by a 3 day treatment from day 1 post-infection with variable doses (0.03, 0.3, 3.0, 10.0, or 30.0 mg/kg body weight) of compound 8aA or 11aA. Day 4 parasitaemia were then quantified by light microscopy examination of thin smears and used in probit plots to analyze the dose-response effects.

As observed by light microscopy examination of thin smears, mice treatment with either 8aA or 11aA at doses greater than 3 mg/kg resulted in a significant reduction in blood parasitaemia and accumulation of ring-stage parasites in the infected mice unlike trophozoite stage parasites in untreated controls (Figure 3.5). These findings are consistent with the 24 h life cycle of synchronously developing *P. chabaudi* in mice and indicate a fast acting (approximately 12 h post-IP drug administration) *in vivo* antimalarial activity of the compounds. Collectively, the above data demonstrate clearly the therapeutic potential of the carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine 8aA and 11aA in a mouse malaria model.
Figure 3.5. Light microscopy images of Day 4 blood smears from *P. chabaudi*-infected mice showing *in vivo* antimalarial activity of derivative 8aA and 11aA. Compared to the untreated control group in which trophozoite stage (T) parasites were detected, predominantly ring-stage parasites (r) were found in the 8aA and 11aA-treated mice groups particularly at doses > 3 mg/kg body weight.

3.3. Conclusion

Herein, the development and application of a novel image-based cytological profiling assay for the discovery of novel antimalarial agents is reported. Using this screening system, carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidines were identified from 3980-membered in-house pDOS small-molecule library as a new class of blood-stage antimalarial agents. On the basis of the *in vitro* biological evaluation of their synthetic analogues, I optimized our initial hit compound to 8aA and 11aA as antimalarial candidates with excellent potency, high selectivity indices, and rapid acting activities against all major asexual parasite forms. Finally, these lead compounds exhibited favorable pharmacokinetic properties and fast-acting *in vivo* efficacy. I conclude that these carbohybrid-based 2-aminopyrimidine analogues 8aA and 11aA can be a promising class of antimalarial agents with potentials for clinical use against
uncomplicated and/or severe malaria case.

3.4. Experimental Section

Chemistry. All commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification unless noted otherwise. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Inova-500 (Varian Associates) or Agilent 400-MR DD2 (Agilent) instruments. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard or residual solvent peak (CDCl$_3$; $^1$H, $\delta = 7.26$ ppm; $^{13}$C, $\delta = 77.23$ ppm). Multiplicity is indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet of doublet), dt (doublet of triplet), td (triplet of doublet), brs (broad singlet). Coupling constants are reported in hertz. HRMS analyses were conducted at the National Center for Inter-University Research Facilities in Seoul National University by fast atom bombardment (FAB) method. Routine mass spectrometric analysis was performed on a Finnigan Surveyor MSQ Plus LC/MS (Thermo) by electrospray ionization (ESI) method. Purity of the compounds was determined using the Finnigan Surveyor MSQ Plus LC/MS (Thermo) equipped with a Hypersil Gold column and photodiode array detector, or a Shimadzu SCL-10AVP HPLC (Shimadzu) equipped with a VP-ODS column and 254 nm detector. All the final compounds were >95% pure. The conversion of starting materials was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using precoated glass-backed plates (silica gel 60; $F_{254}$ = 0.25 mm), and the reaction components were visualized by observation under UV light (254 and 365 nm) or by treatment of TLC plates with visualizing agents such as KMnO$_4$, phosphomolybdic acid, and ceric sulfate.
followed by heating. Products were purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (230–400 mesh) using a mixture of EtOAc/hexane or MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ as eluents. Synthetic methods and spectroscopic data for 2, 6a, 6b, 7aA–7bA, and 10aA–10aD are reported in Chapter 1.[18]

**Synthesis of a glucal-derived pyrazole-containing carbohybrid 7bE.** A solution of 6b (100 mg) in tetrahydrofuran (3 mL) was added to a stirred solution of methylhydrazine sulfate (2 equiv.) and K₂CO₃ (5 equiv.) in ethanol (3 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate twice, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄(s). The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography; white solid; yield 56%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.43–7.40 (m, 7H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 10H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (s, 2H), 4.11 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 3.94–3.90 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.783 (s, 3H), 3.778 (s, 3H), 3.69 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.26–3.23 (m, 1H), 3.19–3.15 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 159.3, 144.1, 139.3, 130.3, 130.1, 129.9, 129.84, 129.80, 128.9, 128.0, 127.2, 118.9, 114.0, 113.7, 86.6, 80.5, 73.7, 73.2, 70.8, 70.2, 64.8, 55.43, 55.42, 39.2. HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C₄₃H₄₄N₂O₆ [M + H]⁺, 685.3278; found, 685.3282.

**General synthetic procedure for O-mesylated carbohybrids 8.** Triethylamine (3 equiv.) and methanesulfonyl chloride (2 equiv.) were added to a stirred solution of 7 (100 mg) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, diluted with CH₂Cl₂, and washed with brine. The
aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and the combined organic layer was
dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$(s). The filtrate was condensed under reduced
pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography. **8aA**: Prepared from
**7aA** as a white solid; yield 99%. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.18 (s, 2H),
7.39–7.37 (m, 6H), 7.31–7.22 (m, 11H), 6.90 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J$
= 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.99 (dt, $J$ = 6.7, 3.5, 1H), 4.32–4.22 (m,
4H), 4.10 (d, $J$ = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (dd, $J$ = 6.7, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H),
3.75 (s, 3H), 3.35 (dd, $J$ = 10.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 6H), 3.18–3.16 (m, 1H),
2.92 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.4, 159.5, 158.2, 143.4, 130.4,
130.1, 129.8, 129.3, 128.7, 128.2, 127.5, 118.0, 114.0, 113.8, 87.4, 81.5, 80.4,
76.0, 75.3, 70.0, 63.5, 55.5, 55.4, 38.9, 37.4, 31.7. HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C$_{46}$H$_{49}$N$_3$O$_8$S [M + H]$^+$, 804.3319; found, 804.3315.

**General synthetic procedure for detritylated carboxydris 9.** To a stirred
solution of **8** (100 mg) in methanol, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid (1.5 equiv.) was
added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h, and the solvent
was removed under the reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between
ethyl acetate and saturated NaHCO$_3$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted
with ethyl acetate twice, and the combined organic layer was dried over
anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$(s). The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and
subjected to flash column chromatography. **9aA**: prepared from **8aA** as a
white solid; yield 94%. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.36 (s, 2H), 7.26 (d, $J$
= 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, $J$
= 8.6Hz, 2H), 5.08 (dt, $J$ = 6.7, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.37–4.27 (m, 3H), 4.14 (s, 2H),
3.85–3.80 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.74 (dd, $J$ = 8.2, 3.1 Hz, 1H),
3.71–3.68 (m, 1H), 3.23 (s, 6H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 2.35 (brs, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (100
MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.5, 159.7, 159.6, 158.0, 130.4, 130.3, 129.6, 129.0, 118.3,
114.03, 113.99, 82.4, 80.0, 76.5, 75.0, 70.5, 62.7, 55.44, 55.40, 39.0, 37.4.
HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C_{27}H_{35}N_{3}O_{8}S [M + H]^+, 562.2223; found, 562.2222.

**General synthetic procedure for O-tritylated azide-containing carbohybrids 11.** To a stirred solution of 10 (150 mg) and trityl chloride (1.2 equiv) in anhydrous CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, diisopropylethylamine (1.5 equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (0.1 equiv) were added. After stirring at room temperature for 24 h, the mixture was diluted with CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}(s), and the filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure followed by flash column chromatography. 11aA: prepared from 10aA as a white solid; yield 88%. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 8.23 (s, 2H), 7.42–7.40 (m, 6H), 7.29–7.20 (m, 9H), 7.07 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.81(d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 4.42–4.33 (m, 2H), 4.28 (dd, \(J = 8.0, 2.9\) Hz, 2H), 4.06 (d, \(J = 11.0\) Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.62 (dd, \(J = 6.7, 5.5\) Hz, 1H), 3.50–3.46 (m, 1H), 3.42–3.39 (m, 1H), 3.33–3.28 (m, 1H), 3.20 (s, 6H).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 162.4, 159.34, 159.29, 158.1, 143.8, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.5, 128.9, 128.0, 127.2, 117.7, 113.9, 113.7, 87.4, 80.1, 77.8, 74.2, 70.4, 64.1, 63.2, 55.44, 55.38, 37.4. HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C_{45}H_{46}N_{6}O_{5} [M + H]^+, 751.3608; found, 751.3604.

**General synthetic procedure for O-benzylated azide-containing carbohybrids 12.** To a stirred solution of 10 (40 mg) in anhydrous dimethylformamide, NaH (2 equiv) was added slowly at 0 °C. After stirring the mixture for 10 min, benzyl bromide (2 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the
The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$. The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography. **12aA**: prepared from **10aA** as a white solid; yield 71%. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.27 (s, 2H), 7.37–7.29 (m, 5H), 7.16 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, $J = 8.6$Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.49–4.37 (m, 5H), 4.29 (d, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.75–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.66–3.58 (m, 3H), 3.21 (s, 6H).

**13** C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.5, 159.50, 159.45, 158.1, 138.1, 130.2, 129.9, 129.83, 129.75, 128.6, 127.9, 127.8, 117.9, 114.0, 113.9, 80.5, 77.3, 74.5, 73.5, 70.3, 69.7, 62.1, 55.5, 55.4, 37.4. HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for C$_{33}$H$_{38}$N$_6$O$_5$ [M + H]$^+$, 599.2982; found, 599.2979.

**General synthetic procedure for O-mesylated azide-containing carbohybrids 13.** Triethylamine (3 equiv.) and methanesulfonyl chloride (2 equiv.) were added to a stirred solution of **10** (38 mg) in anhydrous CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and washed with brine. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$(s). The filtrate was condensed under reduced pressure and subjected to flash column chromatography. **13aA**: prepared from **10aA** as a clear syrup; yield 88%. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.24 (s, 2H), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.77 (d, $J = 9.0$Hz, 2H), 4.46 (d, $J = 11.0$Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, $J=11.0$Hz, 1H), 4.35–4.25 (m, 3H), 4.23 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dt, $J = 8.0$, 3.8 Hz 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.68 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (s, 6H), 2.97 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.5, 159.7, 159.6, 157.9, 130.2, 130.0, 129.2, 129.1, 117.6, 114.2, 114.0, 80.5, 76.4, 74.2, 70.3, 69.0, 61.7, 55.44, 55.38, 37.6, 37.4.
HRMS (FAB+) m/z calculated for \(^{27}\text{H}^{34}\text{N}^{6}\text{O}^{7}\text{S}[\text{M + H}]^{+}\), 587.2288; found, 587.2289.

**Biological materials.** HepG2 (human hepatocellular carcinoma), THP-1 (human monocytic leukemia), and U2OS (human osteosarcoma) cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco) and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic solution at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\). BALB/c mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All mice were bred and maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions at the animal facility of the Institute of Pasteur Korea. All animal experiments were performed with the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at IPK (authorization no. IPK05050203IPK-12009). The following materials were purchased from companies in the parentheses: hypoxanthine (Sigma-Aldrich), gentamycin (Life Technologies), Albumax (Life Technologies), Resazurin (Sigma-Aldrich), Mitotracker Red CMXRos (Life Technologies), and wheat germ agglutinin–AlexaFluor-488 conjugate (Life Technologies).

**Parasite lines and culture conditions.** *P. falciparum* strain HB3 (Pyr\(^R\)), K1 (CQ\(^R\), Pyr\(^R\)), and W2 (CQ\(^R\), Pyr\(^R\), SDX\(^R\)) were obtained from the Biodefense and Emerging Infections (BEI) Research Resources (Manassas, VA) and maintained at <10% parasitaemia in human red blood cells at 3% hematocrit (blood type O+, Gyeonggi Blood Center, Korean Red Cross). The culture media consisted of RPMI1640, 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4), 0.1 mM hypoxanthine, 0.016 mM thymidine, 0.5% Albumax, and 20 µg/mL gentamycin. Cultures were maintained at 37 °C in gased chambers following injection with a mixture of 5% CO\(_2\), 1% O\(_2\), and 94% N\(_2\). When needed,
parasites were synchronized at the ring stage by two sorbitol treatments (8 h intervals) and further cultivated through one complete cycle prior to the drug activity studies.

**Compound library and image-based parasitological screening.** An in-house drug-like compound library of 3980 small molecules that had previously been constructed using a privileged substructure-based diversity-oriented synthesis (pDOS) approach was subjected to automated high-throughput screening using our novel image-based parasitaemia detection method.\[^{16,17,27}\]

Briefly, DMSO-solubilized test compounds were diluted in complete media and cocultured with *P. falciparum* (K1)-parasitized erythrocytes at a parasitaemia of 1% and hematocrit 1.5%. The final drug concentrations were 5 µM in a final DMSO amount of 0.5% per well of 50 µL sample. All liquid-handling procedures were completed using a Biomek’s NX robotic station (compound dilution) or a CyBi liquid handler (compound transfer) or a Well-Mate dispenser (dispensation of parasitized cultures into drugged wells). The cultures were then placed in gassed chambers (5% CO\(_2\), 1% O\(_2\), and 94% N\(_2\)) and grown for 72 h at 37 °C. 5 µL of each well content was transferred into a staining solution comprising wheat germ agglutinin–AlexaFluor-488 conjugate (RBC membrane stain) and Mitotracker Red CMXRos (mitochondrial membrane potential indicator) and maintained at 37 °C for 20 min to allow for complete incorporation of the dyes. The resulting cultures were again diluted in fixing solution comprising 5 µg/mL DAPI and 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde to a final hematocrit of 0.02% in a 384-well imaging plate (black glass-bottom plate, Matrical). Following a 10 min incubation period at room temperature, the plates were gently vortexed (1700 rpm for 30 s) and spun at 1000 rpm for 1 min to layer the suspended cells onto the well bottom.
Five image fields were then acquired from each well using an Operetta 2.0 microscopy system that was equipped with a robotic arm and a 40× objective lens. The acquired images were then submitted for automated image analysis using our previously developed image mining algorithms for malaria.\footnote{16} Raw data were further exported onto an Excel spreadsheet and normalized using the DMSO-only wells as positive growth controls and RBC-only wells as image-mining background.

**Hit selection and confirmation.** Primary screening hits were defined as reducing the final culture parasitaemia by more than 90% when compared to the mean culture parasitaemia in DMSO control wells. These primary hits were subjected to dose-response studies against all three drug-resistant parasite strains to identify those with novel activities. Compounds exhibiting complete dose-response curves within the analyzed drug range of 0–40 µM and an EC$_{50}$ less than 2 µM were selected for cluster analyses and *in silico* activity searches. Further hit confirmation analysis involved compound resynthesis and antimalarial activity testing in dose-response assays.

**In vitro cytotoxicity studies.** Compounds were evaluated for cytotoxicity using three human cell lines HepG2, THP-1, and U2OS. Cells were seeded at a density of 2500 cells/well (total volume 50 µL) in 384-well plates and incubated for 24 h prior to drug treatment. Two-fold serial dilutions of the compounds (starting at 100 µM) were added to the plates and incubated under humidified conditions at 37 °C for an additional 48 h. Resazurin (7-hydroxy-3H-phenoxazin-3-one-10-oxide) was added to a final concentration of 10 µM, and the plates were further incubated for 12 h at 37 °C. Fluorescence due to the metabolic product of resazurin, resorufin, was measured at excitation/emission of 531/572 nm using Victor3 V spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer).
The percent cell viability in each triplicate well was quantified by normalizing against the solvent-treated cells using the formula: % viability = \( \frac{uF_{\text{compound}}}{uF_{\text{solvent}}} \times 100 \), where \( uF_{\text{compound}} \) is the mean resorufin fluorescence in compound-treated wells and \( uF_{\text{solvent}} \) is the mean fluorescence in the solvent-treated wells. Compound concentrations resulting in a 50% (CC\(_{50}\)) reduction in cell viability was determined by nonlinear regression curve fitting using GraphPad Prism 5.04. The cytotoxic control wells consisted of serial dilutions of amphotericin B, starting from a final concentration of 100 µM and 0.5% final DMSO concentration.

**Cytological profiling of antimalarial drug effects.** The effects of each selected antimalarial compound on trophic and schizont development, egress, and merozoite invasion, and on the parasite mitochondrial membrane potential were determined by high content imaging using a four-time point experimental setup. Synchronized \( P. falciparum \) (strain HB3)-infected cultures at 1.5% hematocrit and 5% parasitaemia were treated for 36 h starting at either the early ring stage (6 hpi), late ring stage (18 hpi), or at the late trophozoite (30 hpi) stage with either test or reference compounds at a single maximum effect concentrations of 10 µM (i.e., the concentration at which no further increase in culture parasitaemia is observed following drug treatment). Drug effects on egress and invasion were determined following a 24 h treatment, with each compound added at the midschizont stage (42 hpi). Cultures were sequentially diluted in staining and fixing solutions as described above and imaged using an Operetta 2.0 microscopy system. Following parasite stage quantification using our customized image-mining algorithms, stage accumulation index (stage proportion in test wells relative to same stage proportion in solvent control wells) were calculated and used to assess the rapid acting effects of
each compound on trophozoite and schizont development in vitro. Meanwhile, following the 24 h drug treatment at the 42 hpi, the resulting schizont accumulation index was compared against the total parasitaemia reduction index (decrease in well parasitaemia relative to control parasitaemia) to distinguish between egress inhibition (high schizont accumulation and parasitaemia reduction indices) and drug effects on extracellular merozoites (low schizont accumulation index and high parasitaemia reduction index). The drug effects on parasite mitochondrial activities were also assessed by comparing the proportion of viable (Mitotracker-positive) parasitaemias in drug-treated wells relative to that in the solvent controls following a 36 h treatment allowing for early ring development into the schizont stage.

**Phase I metabolic stability study.** Liver metabolic stability was determined in human and mouse liver microsomes. The compound (1 µM) was mixed with human or rat liver microsomes (0.5 mg/mL) (Gentest, BD Biosciences) in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and incubated at 37 °C for 5 min. The reaction was initiated by NADPH regeneration solution (BD Biosciences) and terminated by three times volume of ice-cold acetonitrile with imipramine (80 ng/mL) as internal standard at single time-point 30 min. After pretreatment of biological samples with vortex and centrifuge, the samples were analyzed by LC/MS/MS system.

**Pharmacokinetic study.** The carbohybrid-based antimalarial candidates (8aA and 11aA) were administered by intraperitonial injection (1 and 5 mg/kg) in SD male rats (n = 5). Our candidate compounds were prepared as a solution (DMSO, PEG400, Tween 80, and hydroxylpropyl-β-cyclodextrin (HPβCD) solution in PBS (final 10% HPβCD) at 10:10:2.5:77.5, v:v:v:v %). Blood samples were taken at 10 and 30 min and at 1, 4, 8, and 24 h postinjection.
After the purification of plasma by centrifugation, the concentration of carbohybrid-based antimalarial candidates was analyzed using Agilent 6460 LC/MS/MS system (Agilent) using electron spray ionization and a reverse-phase column (Hypersil GOLD C18, 50 mm × 2.1 mm, Thermo Scientific). Pharmacokinetic parameters were obtained after the analysis of plasma concentration-time plot with WinNonlin software (Pharsight).

**In vivo efficacy assessment of antimalarial drug candidates 8aA and 11aA.**

Compounds 8aA and 11aA that exhibited potent in vitro multistage antiplasmodial activities, selectivity indices >600, good metabolic stability, and intraperitoneal PK properties were selected for *in vivo* efficacy assessment in *Plasmodium chabaudi*-infected BALB/c mice. Each group of five mice was infected by IP injection of $2 \times 10^7$ parasitized erythrocytes per mouse. Twenty-four hours later (day 1), thin smears were prepared from tail blood and used to determine the pretreatment parasitaemias. This was immediately followed by IP injection of the compounds to final doses of 0.03, 0.3, 3, 10, or 30 mg/kg body weights per group. These treatments were repeated for two additional days, followed by parasitaemia assessment by light microscopy examination of Giemsa-stained thin smears on day 4 post-infection. Probit analyses of the drug effects were then undertaken as previously described.\[^{32−34}\]

### 3.5. References


[31] SNU3701 and SNU3662 are identical to compound 11b and 3d, respectively, in ref 18.
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3.7. Supporting Information

Figure S3.1. Pharmacokinetics of 8aA (A) and 11aA (B) after intraperitoneal injection in mice. The data shown are the means ± S.D. (n = 5).
Table S3.1. Purities of all final compounds. The purities were determined using the Finnigan Surveyor MSQ Plus LC/MS [Thermo] equipped with a Hypersil Gold HPLC column (100 x 4.6 mm) and photodiode array detector, or a Shimadzu SCL-10AVP HPLC [Shimadzu] equipped with a VP-ODS column (150 x 4.6 mm) and 254 nm detector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Purity (Area %)</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Purity (Area %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7bE</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>11bA</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aA</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>11bE</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aC</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>12aA</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aD</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>12aE</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8aE</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>12bA</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8bA</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>13aA</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8bE</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>13bA</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9aA</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>14bA</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11aA</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>15bA</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11aE</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spectroscopic Data of final compounds

Compound 8aC: Prepared from 7aC as a yellow syrup; Yield = 88%; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.55 (s, 2H), 8.40 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 6H), 7.33–7.24 (m, 11H), 6.84 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.21–5.17 (m, 1H), 4.49–4.43 (m, 2H), 4.25 (d, $J$ = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 4.04–3.97 (m, 2H), 3.87 (dd, $J$ = 7.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.40–3.31 (m, 2H), 3.01 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 163.8, 159.8, 159.7, 157.5, 143.3, 137.4, 136.0, 130.5, 130.4, 130.0, 129.8, 129.3, 129.1, 128.8, 128.5, 128.3, 127.6, 114.2, 113.9, 87.8, 80.7, 80.1, 76.1, 75.2, 71.2, 63.4, 55.5, 55.3, 39.2; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{50}$H$_{47}$ClN$_2$O$_8$S [M+H]$^+$: 871.28; found: 871.28.

Compound 8aD: Prepared from 7aD as a clear syrup; Yield = 85%; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.38 (d, $J$ = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (d, $J$ = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.39 (m, 6H), 7.33–7.22 (m, 11H), 6.83 (d, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 5.18–5.15 (m, 1H), 4.44–4.41 (m, 2H), 4.27 (d, $J$ = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.11–4.03 (m, 2H), 3.88 (dd, $J$ = 7.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.42–3.32 (m, 2H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 2.53 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.7, 159.6, 155.9, 149.9, 149.3, 143.3, 133.7, 130.4, 130.3, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 127.6, 118.5, 114.1, 113.8, 96.4, 87.8, 80.7, 80.0, 75.8, 75.1, 71.0, 63.4, 55.5, 55.3, 39.2, 14.8; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{47}$H$_{47}$N$_2$O$_8$S [M+H]$^+$: 814.32; found: 814.27.

Compound 8aE: Prepared from 7aE as a white solid; Yield = 99%; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38–7.35 (m, 6H), 7.31–7.23 (m, 9H), 7.16–7.10 (m, 6H), 6.80 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.69 (d, $J$ = 10.6 Hz, 1H), 4.59–4.56 (m, 1H), 4.41–4.36 (m, 2H), 4.32 (d, $J$ = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.24–4.17 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.40 (dd, $J$ = 11.3, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.91–2.87 (m, 4H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.4, 159.3, 143.4, 139.8, 130.4, 130.3, 130.2, 130.1, 129.4, 128.7, 128.2, 127.4, 118.0, 114.0, 113.8, 87.0, 82.8, 80.4, 75.4, 71.9, 69.3, 63.5, 55.43, 55.40, 39.2, 38.6; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{44}$H$_{46}$N$_2$O$_8$S [M+H]$^+$: 763.30; found: 763.22.
Compound **8bA**: Prepared from **7bA** as a white solid; Yield = 75%; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.21 (s, 2H), 7.39–7.37 (m, 6H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 9H), 7.14 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.10–5.07 (m, 1H), 4.34 (d, $J$ = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.27–4.18 (m, 4H), 3.78–3.77 (m, 4H), 3.765 (s, 3H), 3.50 (dd, $J$ = 11.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (dd, $J$ = 11.2, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 2.98 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.5, 159.4, 157.7, 143.5, 130.3, 130.0, 129.9, 129.5, 128.9, 128.1, 127.4, 117.8, 113.9, 113.8, 87.5, 82.1, 81.5, 76.7, 74.8, 70.5, 63.1, 55.43, 55.38, 39.0, 37.3; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{46}$H$_{49}$N$_3$O$_8$S [M+H]$^+$: 804.33; found: 804.10.

Compound **8bE**: Prepared from **7bE** as a white solid; Yield = 79%; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38–7.34 (m, 7H), 7.30–7.18 (m, 10H), 7.13 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.97–4.94 (m, 1H), 4.46–4.38 (m, 3H), 4.33 (d, $J$ = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (d, $J$ = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (dd, $J$ = 5.5, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.67 (dd, $J$ = 7.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.47–3.40 (m, 2H), 3.31–3.26 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.32, 159.26, 143.5, 139.0, 130.3, 130.1, 130.0, 129.7, 129.6, 128.9, 128.1, 127.3, 118.8, 113.8, 113.7, 87.4, 82.9, 82.4, 77.4, 74.9, 73.2, 70.3, 62.7, 55.4, 39.2, 38.8; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{44}$H$_{46}$N$_2$O$_8$S [M+H]$^+$: 763.30; found: 763.58.

Compound **11aE**: Prepared from **10aE** as a white solid; Recovery yield = 88% (Conversion = 0.75); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.44–7.40 (m, 7H), 7.29–7.20 (m, 10H), 7.11 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.51–4.47 (m, 2H), 4.35 (dd, $J$ = 11.0, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 4.13 (d, $J$ = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.67 (dd, $J$ = 7.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.47–3.40 (m, 2H), 3.31–3.26 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.30, 159.25, 143.9, 139.8, 130.5, 130.2, 130.0, 129.3, 128.9, 128.0, 127.2, 118.3, 113.9, 113.7, 87.3, 80.2, 74.5, 74.3, 70.2, 64.0, 63.4, 55.47, 55.45, 39.2; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{43}$H$_{45}$N$_2$O$_8$S [M+H]$^+$: 710.33; found: 710.43.
Compound 11bA: Prepared from 10bA as a white solid; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.21 (s, 2H), 7.39–7.37 (m, 6H), 7.29–7.19 (m, 9H), 7.06–7.03 (m, 4H), 6.79–6.74 (m, 4H), 4.58–4.49 (m, 2H), 4.25 (d, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.61 (dd, $J = 6.3$, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.34–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.24 (s, 6H), 3.20–3.16 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 162.4, 159.3, 157.5, 143.6, 130.2, 130.0, 129.9, 129.7, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 127.3, 118.2, 113.9, 113.8, 87.3, 80.9, 79.1, 75.0, 70.8, 63.6, 62.5, 55.40, 55.36, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{45}$H$_{46}$N$_6$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 751.36; found: 751.32.

Compound 11bE: Prepared from 10bE as a yellow solid; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.34 (m, 6H), 7.29–7.20 (m, 10H), 7.10 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.66 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.59 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 3.37–3.33 (m, 1H), 3.23–3.16 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.3, 143.7, 138.6, 130.5, 130.4, 130.0, 129.6, 129.5, 128.8, 128.1, 127.3, 119.5, 113.9, 113.8, 87.4, 81.2, 77.4, 75.9, 74.9, 70.8, 63.8, 62.7, 55.47, 55.45, 39.3; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{43}$H$_{43}$N$_5$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 710.33; found: 710.23.

Compound 12aE: Prepared from 10aE as a slightly yellow syrup; Yield = 99%; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.29 (m, 6H), 7.19 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.56–4.51 (m, 3H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 4.44 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.22 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.80–3.78 (m, 7H), 3.66–3.64 (m, 2H), 3.52 (td, $J = 6.2$, 4.1 Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.5, 159.4, 139.8, 138.1, 130.4, 130.0, 129.6, 129.5, 128.8, 128.1, 127.3, 119.5, 113.9, 113.8, 87.4, 81.2, 77.4, 75.9, 74.9, 70.8, 63.8, 62.7, 55.47, 55.45, 39.3, 38.9; LRMS (ESI) $m/z$ calculated for C$_{31}$H$_{35}$N$_5$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 558.27; found: 558.17.

Compound 12bA: Prepared from 10bA as a slightly yellow syrup; Yield = 92%; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.28 (s, 2H), 7.35–7.24 (m, 5H), 7.18–7.14 (m, 4H), 6.84–
6.81 (m, 4H), 4.66–4.55 (m, 2H), 4.42–4.37 (m, 4H), 4.16 (d, \( J = 11.3 \) Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 6H), 3.63 (dd, \( J = 6.5, 4.1 \) Hz, 1H), 3.52–3.42 (m, 2H), 3.37–3.33 (m, 1H), 3.22 (s, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 162.5, 159.50, 159.45, 157.7, 137.7, 130.24, 130.22, 129.92, 129.89, 128.1, 128.0, 118.1, 114.0, 113.9, 81.1, 78.8, 75.2, 73.6, 70.6, 69.9, 61.8, 55.48, 55.46, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C\(_{33}\)H\(_{38}\)N\(_6\)O\(_5\) [M+H\(^+\)]: 599.30; found: 599.17.

Compound 13bA: Prepared from 10bA as a yellow syrup; Yield = 94%; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.29 (s, 2H), 7.19–7.17 (m, 4H), 6.87–6.83 (m, 4H), 4.62–4.54 (m, 2H), 4.45–4.42 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.15 (m, 3H), 3.800 (s, 3H), 3.798 (s, 3H), 3.59–3.57 (m, 1H), 3.54–3.50 (m, 1H), 3.23 (s, 6H), 2.94 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 162.5, 159.71, 159.66, 157.7, 130.4, 130.1, 129.44, 129.41, 117.4, 114.2, 114.1, 80.4, 77.6, 75.0, 70.6, 68.8, 61.0, 55.49, 55.46, 37.6, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C\(_{27}\)H\(_{34}\)N\(_6\)O\(_7\)S [M+H\(^+\)]: 587.23; found: 587.38.

Compounds 14bA: White solid. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.29 (s, 2H), 7.22 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.75 (d, \( J = 9.0 \) Hz, 2H), 4.49–4.42 (m, 4H), 4.30–4.27 (m, 1H), 4.21–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.97–3.92 (m, 1H), 3.782 (s, 3H), 3.777 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.54 (d, \( J = 4.3 \) Hz, 2H), 3.51 (dd, \( J = 7.4, 3.1 \) Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 2.68 (d, \( J = 5.5 \) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 162.3, 159.53, 159.46, 159.4, 157.7, 130.3, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.6, 118.2, 113.99, 113.97, 113.8, 81.2, 76.1, 74.2, 73.2, 70.7, 70.4, 70.2, 55.40, 55.39, 55.3, 37.4; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C\(_{34}\)H\(_{41}\)N\(_3\)O\(_7\)S [M+H\(^+\)]: 604.30; found: 604.04.

Compounds 15bA: Clear Syrup. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.26 (s, 2H), 7.21 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 7.06 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, \( J = 8.8 \) Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, \( J = 8.3 \) Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, \( J = 8.8 \) Hz, 2H), 4.96–4.93 (m, 1H), 4.46 (d, \( J = 11.2 \) Hz, 1H), 4.41–4.39 (m, 3H), 4.36–4.29 (m, 2H), 4.23 (d, \( J = 10.8 \) Hz, 1H), 3.84–3.81 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.763 (s, 3H), 3.756 (s, 3H), 3.70 (dd, \( J = 11.2, 6.8 \) Hz, 1H).
Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 6H), 2.93 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.4, 159.51, 159.45, 159.4, 157.7, 130.3, 130.0, 129.70, 129.67, 129.65, 129.4, 117.7, 114.0, 113.9, 113.8, 82.3, 81.4, 76.8, 74.9, 73.1, 70.6, 68.7, 55.39, 55.36, 55.3, 38.7, 37.3; LRMS (ESI) m/z calculated for C$_{35}$H$_{43}$N$_3$O$_9$S [M+H]$^+$: 682.28; found: 682.15.
국문초록

화학생물학의 주된 과제 중의 하나는 생리활성이 있는 새로운 저분자 화합물을 발굴하는 것이다. 이 화합물들은 복잡한 생명현상을 이해하기 위한 도구로, 더 나아가 다양한 질병의 치료를 위한 의약품으로 개발될 수 있다. 생리활성 저분자 화합물을 찾는 과정은 크게 두 가지로 나누어볼 수 있다.

표적 기반 스크리닝 방법에서는 특정 질병에 중요한 역할을 한다고 밝혀진 표적을 설정하고, 저분자 화합물들이 그 표적의 기능에 어떠한 영향을 미치는지를 살펴보는 생화학적 분석을 통해 유효화합물을 발굴하게 된다. 그리고 이 화합물들이 실제로 세포, 혹은 개체 수준에서 원하는 표현형의 변화를 일으키는지를 판별하는 과정을 거치게 된다. 이와는 반대로 표현형 기반 스크리닝 방법에서는 특정 질병 모델과 관련된 표현형을 먼저 설정하고, 이 표현형의 변화를 일으키는 화합물을 선별하는 스크리닝을 거치게 된다. 이어서 유효화합물들의 표적 단백질을 찾아내면 화합물의 작용 메커니즘을 파악하고 효능이 개선된 화합물을 설계할 수 있게 된다.

위의 두 가지 스크리닝 방법이 가지는 장점을 상황에 맞게 조합함으로써 원하는 생리활성이 있는 화합물을 효율적으로 찾을 수 있다. 그런데 어떠한 방법을 사용하더라도 생리활성 화합물 도출을 위해 가장 먼저 확보되어야 하는 것은 적절한 화학적 공간을 점유하는 저분자 화합물 라이브러리이다. 따라서 본 논문의 Chapter 1 에서는 새로운 구조의 저분자 화합물 라이브러리를 확보하기 위한 전략을 제시하였다.

단백질-단백질 상호작용을 비롯해 새로운 체계화된 질병관련 타겟을 조절하기 위해서는 기존의 전형적인 라이브러리 설계 전략을 뛰어넘는 새로운 접근법이 필요하다. 이에 따라 본 연구에서는 한 분자 안에 두
가지의 독점적 구조가 독립적으로 존재하는 융합분자의 개념을 제시하였다. 구체적으로는 분자의 한 쪽 부분에 pyrimidine, pyrazole 혹은 pyrazolopyrimidine 이, 반대쪽 부분에는 fused-triazole 이 각각 별개로 존재하는 융합분자 화합물 라이브러리를 확보하였다. 먼저 2-C-formyl glycal 과 여러가지 dinucleophie 사이의 축합반응을 통해 pyrimidine, pyrazole 및 pyrazolopyrimidine 이 포함된 carbohybrid 를 합성하였다. 이후 carbohybrid 에 존재하는 polyol 작용기의 반응을 이용하여 azide 와 alkyne 작용기가 포함된 중간체를 다양하게 확보하였다. 그리고 분자내 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition 반응을 거쳐 fused-triazole 을 형성함으로써 최종적으로 하나의 분자 안에 두 가지의 독립된 중심골격이 존재하는 융합분자를 만들어 내었다. 다음으로 각 중심골격을 연결하는 linker 에 존재하는 stereodivergent diol 작용기의 반응을 통해 중심골격의 배향을 다양하게 만들어 주어 3 차원적 분자 모양의 다양성을 확보하였다. 마지막으로 계산화학적 방법을 통해 분자들의 3 차원적 다양성을 검증하여 본 연구에서 제시한 라이브러리 구축 전략이 유효함을 확인하였다.


miRNA 는 세포 내에서 유전자 발현을 조절하는 매우 중요한 역할을 담당하며, miRNA 의 생성 및 작용이 제대로 제어되지 않을 경우 다양한 질병이 발생한다. Let-7 miRNA family 는 암 악체 기능을 가진 것으로 알려져 있는데, Lin28 단백질은 let-7 miRNA 의 전구체에 결합하여 mature let-7 이 생성되는 것을 방해한다. 따라서 Lin28–let-7 상호작용을
저해하는 것은 새로운 항암 전략이 될 수 있다. 본 연구에서는 FRET 메커니즘에 기반하여 Lin28–let-7 상호작용을 관찰할 수 있는 고효율 스크린 방법을 개발하였다. 비천연 아미노산의 도입 및 위치선택적 단백질 형광표시를 통해 높은 FRET 효율 및 정확도를 보이는 스크린 시스템을 구축할 수 있었다. 이를 바탕으로 Lin28–let-7 상호작용을 저해하는 플라본 구조의 천연물을 발굴해 내었고, 이 화합물들이 Lin28과 결합함으로써 활성을 높게 된다는 사실을 밝혀내었다.

Chapter 3에서는 표현형 기반 고집적, 고효율 스크리닝 시스템을 통해 발굴한 2-aminopyrimidine 기반 항말라리아 물질에 대해 서술하였다.

약물 저항성 말라리아를 치료할 수 있는 차세대 항말라리아 물질을 개발하기 위해서는 말라리아 원충의 무성 적혈구 생활상의 여러단계에 걸쳐 활성을 보이는 화합물을 확보하는 것이 중요하다. 이를 위해 먼저 원충의 적혈구 생활상의 각 단계를 관찰할 수 있는 이미지 기반 고효율, 고집적 스크리닝 방법을 개발하였고, 다음으로 저분자 화합물 라이브러리에 대한 스크리닝을 진행하였다. 그 결과 2-aminopyrimidine 기반 carbohybrid 화합물들이 빠른 작용을 통해 약물저항성 말라리아 원충의 증식을 효과적으로 억제한다는 것을 밝혀내었다. 구조–활성 상관관계 연구를 통해 활성이 좋고 인간 세포 대비 말라리에 원충에 대한 선택성이 높으며, 말라리아에 감염된 쥐에서도 효과를 보이는 화합물 두 가지 (8aA, 11aA)를 최종적으로 발굴해 내었다. 이 화합물들은 말라리아 원충의 무성 적혈구 생활상의 모든 단계에 활성을 가짐으로써 빠른 작용을 보인다는 것을 알 수 있었다.
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