의학박사 학위논문

중이 세포에서 *Dermatophagoides farinae*에 의한 Lipopolysaccharides의 염증 반응 상승효과에 대한 연구

Synergistic Effect of *Dermatophagoides farinae* and Lipopolysaccharides in Human Middle Ear Epithelial Cell

2016년 2월

서울대학교 대학원

의학과 이비인후과학 전공

이 지 은

Abstract

Synergistic Effect of *Dermatophagoides farinae* and Lipopolysaccharides in Human Middle Ear Epithelial Cell

Ji-Eun Lee

Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery

The Graduate School

Seoul National University

Introduction: Although, the concept of "one airway one disease" including the middle ear space as a part of the united airways is well-recognized, the role of allergen in otitis media with effusion is not clearly understood. We aimed to investigate *Dermatophagoides farinae* (Der f) and LPS interaction on the induction of epithelial inflammatory response *in vitro*.

Material & Methods: Primary human middle ear epithelial cells were exposed to Der f, LPS or both in different sequences, and the magnitude of each immunologic response was compared. mRNA expression of mucin (MUC) 4, 5AC, 5B, 8, GM-CSF, TNF-α, TLR 4 and MD-2 were evaluated by using real-time PCR. MUC proteins level before and after knocking out the TLR4 and MD-2 via siRNA transfection was assessed. Accordingly, the involved cell signaling pathway was evaluated.

Results: The expression of cytokines and MUC 4, 5AC, 5B and 8 genes was augmented by pretreatment of Der f followed by LPS, however, reverse treatment or adding together did not induce the same amount of response. Increased MUC expression was decreased by knockdown of TLR4, but not by the MD-2. The signal intensity of MUC 8 protein was higher in MD-2 over-expressed cells than in cells exposed to LPS only. The nuclear factor-κB translocation was demonstrated in the pretreatment of Der f followed by LPS.

Conclusions: When Der f precedes LPS exposure, it can act synergistically in the induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and mucin gene, suggesting an important role for the development of OME in patients with concealed allergy airway sensitization.

* This work is published in Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. Journal.

Keywords: *Dermatophagoides farinae*; Innate immunity; Lipopolysaccharides; Mucins; Toll-like receptors;

Student Number: 2012-31129

Contents

Abstract ---------- i

Contents ---------- iii

List of Tables ---------- iv

List of Figures ---------- v

List of Abbreviations ---------- vi

Introduction ---------- 1

Material and Methods ----------5

Results ----------11

Discussion ----------15

References ----------21

Tables ----------30

Figures ----------31

Abstract (Korean) ----------41

List of Table

Table 1. Primers for real-time polymerase chain reaction----------30

List of Figures

Figure 1. Study design. ---------- 31

Figure 2. Real-time polymerase chain reaction to measure mucin mRNA level. ---------- 32

Figure 3. Immunoblotting to determine MUC 8 and Toll-like receptor 4 signal intensity. ---------- 33

Figure 4. Real-time PCR to measure the levels of various cytokine mRNAs.-34

Figure 5. mRNA and protein levels in cells transfected with small interfering RNA or cells overexpressing MD-2. ---------- 35

Figure 6. Confocal laser scanning image. ---------- 37

Figure 7. Inhibition of MUC gene mRNA expression by SB203580 and Bay.39

Figure 8. Inhibition of phosphorylation of p38 MAPK and CREB and translocation of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) by SB203580 and Bay in HMEECs stimulated with Der f + LPS. ---------- 40

List of Abbreviations

Der f *(Dermatophagoides farinae)*

HDM (house dust mites)

HMEECs (primary human middle ear epithelial cells)

LPS (lipopolysaccharides)

MUC (mucin)

MD-2 (myeloid differentiation-2)

OME (otitis media with effusion)

PBS (phosphate-buffered saline)

TLRs (Toll-like receptors)

Df48h (Der f 2.0μg/ml only for 48h)

LPS24h (LPS 1.0μg/ml only for 24h)

Df48h/LPS24h (Der f 2.0μg/ml for 48 h and add LPS 1.0μg/ml for 24 h)

LPS48h/Df24h (LPS 1.0μg/ml for 48 h and add Der f 2.0μg/ml for 24 h)

Df48h/LPS48h (Der f 2.0μg/ml and LPS 1.0μg/ml both simultaneously for 48 h)

Introduction

"One airway, one disease" is a well-established concept that links the upper and lower airways. Anatomically, these two airways have common respiratory epithelium and similar mucosal susceptibility to disease. Epidemiologic studies have consistently shown that asthma and rhinitis often coexist in the same patients. Between 19% and 38% of patients with allergic rhinitis have coexisting asthma, and the prevalence rate of asthma in patients with allergic rhinitis is much higher than that in the general population.[1,2] In Korea, the prevalence of bronchial hyper-responsiveness was 55.7% and 25.5% in 6–15-year-old patients with allergic and non-allergic rhinitis, respectively.[3]

Currently, this concept is applied to the middle ear space, given that the middle ear space is an anatomical extension of the airway through the Eustachian tube and that it is capable of mounting an allergic inflammatory response. One study showed the incidence of atopy was 24% in the study population comprising patients who had otitis media with effusion (OME) persisting for more than 3 months and unresponsiveness to antibiotics.[4] Others suggested that 35% of patients with recurrent OME have allergic rhinitis.[5] Significantly higher numbers of eosinophils and T lymphocytes, as well as significantly higher levels of IL-4 and IL-5 $mRNA^+$ cells, have been reported in patients with atopic OME than in non-atopic controls.[6] Furthermore, studies have shown increased expression of IL-5 and major basic proteins in the middle ear mucosa of patients

with OME compared with that in normal controls, as well as higher levels of eosinophilic cationic proteins in the supernatant of middle ear effusion of atopic patients with OME than in those with non-atopic controls.[7,8] These findings support the concept that the middle ear may be part of the united airway and may behave in a similarly to the nose or lung under allergic inflammatory conditions. Although allergy has been considered one of the most important factors of OME based on clinical observations, the role of allergy has not been investigated extensively and is easily ignored. In general, the possible integration of the middle ear as part of the united airways concept will have major clinical implications for the diagnosis and the management of allergic airway disease.

Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus (Der p) and *Dermatophagoides farinae* (Der f) are the most common house dust mite (HDM) species, which produce allergens that are widely distributed in Korea. Recently, Der p and Der f have been shown to activate toll like receptors (TLRs) 2 or 4 and thereby stimulate the innate immune response. TLRs recognize repetitive patterns in diverse microbes, including gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and viruses, and they are key components of innate immunity.[9,10] In the innate immune response, airway epithelial cells aid the immune system by inducing recruitment of immune-competent cells to local tissues and modulating their activity.[11] In addition, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a major component of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria, is a virulence factor that can induce inflammatory

responses. LPS may induce the release of multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines from airway epithelial cells, and this initial inflammatory response plays a key role in the containment of infection. The inflammatory response induced by LPS in epithelial cells has been linked to TLR 4.[12]

The airway mucosa is lined with ciliated columnar epithelium interspersed with goblet cells. Accumulation of secretions and mucostasis in the airway mucosa due to allergic airway disease can alter the viscoelasticity of the mucus, ciliary motility, and epithelial defense mechanisms, thereby increasing the susceptibility to various stimuli such as bacteria and viruses.[13] Viruses are clinically linked to allergy: viral infection can induce asthma/allergic exacerbations, and viral clearance and persistence of symptoms are prolonged in allergic individuals.[14-16] Conversely, chronic allergic inflammation may impair anti-viral reaction to acute rhinovirus infection, as indicated by the suppression of IFN-α, IFN-γ, and IL-12 induction.[17] Meanwhile, airborne LPS might adversely affect asthmatic individuals by enhancing an established airway inflammation and airway obstruction.[18,19]

Considering the above findings, it is natural to think that epithelial cells of the middle ear might be involved in recognizing and formulating an innate immune response to Der f and LPS, and a kind of reciprocal effect may be developed by subsequent exposure to Der f and LPS. Although allergy or allergen sensitization could be a concealed, potent stimulant of inflammation in sinus and middle ear disease, the effect of allergen sensitization on infection and its

mechanism in airway epithelium is not clearly understood. Moreover, although allergy has been implicated in OME, the role of middle ear epithelial cells in the innate immune response has not been characterized. Thus, this study was design to investigate whether allergen pre-sensitization within airway mucosa can affect subsequent viral or bacterial infection. For this, we performed in vitro experiments using primary human middle ear epithelial cells (HMEECs) and assessed the signaling pathways activated by Der f and LPS as well as the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and the expression of the mucin (MUC) gene.

Material and Methods

Reagents

LPS, SB203580, and Bay were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, Germany). Der f crude body extract was purchased from Arthropods of Medical Importance Resource Bank (Seoul, Korea). Other chemicals used were of the purest grade available from Sigma (St Louis, MO).

Cell culture and stimulation

HMEECs (kindly provided by Dr. David J. Lim, House Ear Institutes, LA, CA) were maintained in a mixture of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and bronchial epithelial basal medium (Lonza, Walkersville, MD) (1:1).20-22 Cells were grown to 60% confluence in 100 mm culture plates and kept at 37℃ in a carbon dioxide-enriched (95% air, 5% CO2) humidified atmosphere.

HMEECs were seeded onto 60 mm culture plates, with 2.2 × 105 cells per well for the experimental condition. Predetermined non-cytotoxic doses of Der f or LPS by MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-Diphenyltetrazolium Bromide) assay (data not shown) were applied to HMEECs. By performing the pilot study

using real-time PCR, treatment with 1.0 μg/ml of LPS for 24 h was identified to be the optimal and effective in that it not only elicits a moderate level of inflammatory response but also has an augmentative effect following the administration of 2.0 μg/ml of Der f. Cells were then stimulated in several ways: 1) Der f 2.0 μg/ml only for 48h (Df48h); 2) LPS 1.0 μg/ml only for 24h (LPS24h); 3) Der f 2.0 μg/ml for 48 h and add LPS 1.0 μg/ml for 24 h (Df48h/LPS24h); 4) LPS 1.0 μg/ml for 48 h and add Der f 2.0 μg/ml for 24 h (LPS48h/Df24h); or 5) Der f 2.0 μg/ml and LPS 1.0 μg/ml both simultaneously for 48 h (Df48h/LPS48h) (**Fig**.1).

Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated from the epithelial cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen) per the manufacturer's instructions, and reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Quantitect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen, Venlo, NLD). qRT-PCR analyses were performed using a 7500 FAST qRT- PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Each reaction mixture contained 10 μl of SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 4 pmol each of the forward and reverse primers, and 1μl of cDNA in a final volume of 20 μl. Reaction mixtures were incubated at 95℃ for 5 min to activate FastStartTaq DNA Polymerase, followed by 40 cycles of amplification. Data were analyzed using the Sequence Detection Software version 1.9.1 (Applied Biosystems). Target mRNA

expression was normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) expression, and calculated using the comparative Ct method. Primers used in this study are shown in Table 1.

RNA interference and plasmid DNA Transfection

Cells were transfected with control small interfering (si) RNA, TLR 4 siRNA or myeloid differentiation (MD)-2 siRNA, and 10 μl Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) in 60 mm plates per the manufacturer's protocol. The plasmid, pFlag-CMV1-hMD2, was a kind gift from Doug Golenbock (Addgene plasmid #13028). After cells were washed with OPTI-MEM (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA), DNA was transfected to cells using X-treme GENE HP-DNA transfection reagent (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) per the supplier's protocol. After 4 h of incubation, the medium was exchanged to a complete medium containing 10% serum and antibiotics. Cells were incubated for an additional 24 h and treated as indicated in the figures 5 and 6. Cell viability was measured via light microscopy, and the gene-silencing or expression efficacy was evaluated by evaluating the mRNA levels. The siRNAs were NM_138554.2 for TLR4,and NM_014364.2 for MD-2 (Bioneer, Daejeon, Korea).

Western blot analysis

At specific time points after the Der f or LPS treatment, the medium was removed and cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 10 mM, pH 7.4). Cells were then lysed with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.7, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 5 mM EGTA, 50 mM -glycerophosphate, 20 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4, 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 mg/ml leupeptin and 10 mg/ml aprotinin) and incubated fora 20 min at 4℃. Briefly after sonication, cells were centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 10 min at 4℃. The supernatant that contained the total cell lysate was collected. Protein concentration of the lysates was measured using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).Equal amounts of protein were mixed with sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris–HCl [pH 6.8], 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 2.5% glycerol, 0.5% 2-β-mercaptoethanol, bromophenol blue), boiled for 5 min, and separated by electrophoresis on 10%–12% Tris–HCl gels. Protein content of the gels was transferred to a PVDF membrane (Amersham, Buckinghamshire,UK), and the membranes were blocked with TBS-T (20 mM Tris, 500 nM NaCl, with 0.1%Tween-20) containing 5% (w/v) skim milk for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were probed with antibodies against MUC 8(Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), TLR-4, p-p38, p38, p-CREB (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) and GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) followed by peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG or anti-rabbit IgG (Jackson Immuno Research, West Grove, PA).Membranes were developed using the enhanced chemiluminescent

analysis system (SuperSignal® West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate, Pierce, Waltham, MA) and the signal was captured using an image reader (LAS4000; Fuji Photo Film, Tokyo, Japan). Results were obtained from three independent experiments.

Immunofluorescence

HMEECs were transfected with siRNA-TLR4, MD-2 and control, and treated with Der f 24 h and then with LPS 24 h on a cover slide in 12-well plates. Cells were rinsed thrice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, and rinsed again. Cells were then blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin, followed by the addition of the primary antibodies; anti- Der f and anti-TLR4. After extensive washing with PBS, fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated IgG was added. Following incubation, the slides were rinsed, mounted, and viewed at 488 nm on a confocal microscope (FV1000, Olympus, Japan).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (Ver. 12.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). A one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine statistical

significance differences between control and groups at each time or dose point. Scheffe's F-test was used to correct for multiple comparisons when statistically significant differences were identified in the ANOVA. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Induction of MUC and pro-inflammatory cytokine gene mRNAs expression in HMEECs stimulated by Der f and LPS

Treatment with Df48h increased the level of MUC 8 mRNA expression. The expression level was significantly augmented when the cells were exposed to Df48h/LPS24h. We further analyzed the interaction of Der f and LPS under different treatment conditions. When we treated the cells in either with 1) LPS48h/Df24h or 2) Df48h/LPS48h, MUC8 mRNA expression did not reach the levels induced by Df48h/LPS24h (**Fig.**2a). With regard to the MUC 4, 5AC and 5B mRNA expression increased in a similar fashion, showing the greatest increase in the cells treated Df48h/LPS24h without statistical significance (**Fig**. 2b).This pattern was confirmed at the protein level. Immunoblot assay showed that Df48h/LPS24h synergistically elevated the MUC 8 protein production; however, this was not observed in Df48h or LPS24h (**Fig**.3a). Next, we analyzed the magnitude of the synergistic effect of both Der f and LPS by evaluating the MUC 8 and TLR 4 protein expression levels, because both Der f and LPS are known to bind to TLR 4 to trigger the inflammatory signaling pathway. To further demonstrate the synergistic effect of Der f and LPS, we treated HMEECs with LPS 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 μg/ml each for 24 h, and then compared the intensity of MUC8 and TLR 4 protein produced by Df48LPS24

treatment. Df48h/LPS24h showed a higher intensity than that produced by LPS24h only (>10 μg/ml) (**Fig**. 3b). In terms of mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1ß, GM-CSF, IL-33, and TNF-α, the augmented pattern was also similar to that of MUC, although they did not reach to statistical significance (**Fig**.4). These results suggest a possibility that Der f and LPS can act synergistically.

Role of TLR4 in induction of MUC gene in Der f- and LPS-stimulated HMEECs.

To elucidate the mechanism underlying the difference in mucin gene expression in cells treated with LPS48h/Df24h or Df24h/LPS48h, we further determined the roles of TLR 4 and MD-2. We therefore transfected HMEECs with si RNAs to knock down the expression of TLR4 or MD-2. Suppression of TLR4 and MD-2 by each siRNA were confirmed by real-time PCR. CD14 expression was also suppressed by both siRNA without statistical significance (**Fig**.5a, upper panel). Expression of MUC 4, 5B and 8 mRNAs by the treatment with Df48h/LPS24h decreased significantly upon siRNA-TLR4 transfection. However, a significant amount of mRNAs were still expressed in siRNA-MD-2 transfected HMEECs (**Fig**. 5a, lower panel). An immunoblot analysis further confirmed decreased or sustained increase in MUC 8 protein signals in siRNA-

TLR4- or siRNA-MD-2-transfected cells, respectively (**Fig**.5b). These results indicated that TLR 4 could be partially activated in the absence of MD-2 protein.

Alternatives for MD-2 in MUC gene induction in Der f- and LPS-stimulated HMEECs

Our above mentioned results suggest the possibility of Der f being a functional substitute for the MD-2 protein; thus, the TLR 4 signal could be activated without the help of MD-2 protein. Therefore, to determine the exact role of MD-2 in Der f and LPS synergism, a mammalian expression vector containing MD-2 (pCMV1-hMD-2) was transfected into HMEECs for transient expression studies. MD-2 was over-expressed in HMEECs subsequently exposed to LPS for 24 h, and showed higher MUC 8 protein signal density than those cells exposed to LPS only, and showed similar MUC 8 protein signals compared to cells that were exposed to Df48h/LPS24h (**Fig**.5c). Moreover, we conducted the co-immunofluorescence staining of TLR 4 and Der f in siRNA-treated cells. Der f and TLR 4 was co-localized not only in the siRNA-negative control transfected cells but also in the siRNA-MD-2 transfected cells (**Fig**. 6a and b). These results suggest that the MD-2 protein plays an important role in the pro-inflammatory Der f and LPS synergism.

p38 MAPK and CREB phosphorylation inhibition and NF-κB translocation in Der f and LPS-stimulated HMEECs

To further investigate the mechanism underlying the synergistic functions of Der f and LPS, we treated cells with various inhibitors such as SB203580 (a bicyclic inhibitor of p38), PD98059 (a specific inhibitor of MAPK/MEK-1), Bay (a NF-κB inhibitor), LY294002 (PI3K inhibitor), and a JNK inhibitor (data not shown). Cells were treated with each inhibitor 2 h prior to LPS treatment. Of these, the mRNA expression levels of MUC 2, 5AC, and 8 decreased significantly under SB203580 or Bay treatment (**Fig**.7a). MUC 8 protein signal intensity was lower in SB203580 or Bay treated cells than in Df48h/LPS24h cells, and was most lowest in Df48h/LPS48h cells (**Fig**.7b). This suggests that p38 MAPK and NF-κB transcriptional factors are engaged in the synergism of Der f and LPS. The p-p38 signal post LPS treatment peaked at 5 min, the expression of p-p38 and p-CREB was inhibited by SB203580 (**Fig**.8a). GAPDH was constitutively expressed and was not affected by SB203580. Moreover, NF-κB translocation was seen in Df48h/LPS24h by confocal microscopy (**Fig.** 8b).

Discussion

We investigated the synergistic effect of Der f and LPS on MUC gene expression and pro-inflammatory cytokines production in HMEECs. LPS is known to induce and potentiate MUC gene expression;[23] however, till date, the interaction between LPS and Der f has not been studied. Before starting the experiment, to rule out possible endotoxin contamination of the Der f crude body extract, we first measured the endotoxin level via the LAL method (Toxin Sensor chromogenic LAL Endotoxin assay kit, Gen Script, Piscataway, NJ). The endotoxin level in Der f crude body extract was found to be 0.16 EU/ml, which was considered to be negligible. In this study, Der f and LPS synergistically induced MUC 8 gene expression and pro-inflammatory cytokines, and this effect depends on the sequence of exposure, i.e, it only occurs when Der f stimuli precedes the LPS stimuli. Additionally, pre-treated Der f is likely to function as an MD-2 protein and induce p-p38 and p-CREB expression. These findings provide evidence for the interaction between two environmental stimuli associated with allergic airway disease and infectious diseases in the airway epithelium.

We measured the mucin gene production as the final product because it is one of the major molecules that the airway epithelium produces in large amounts in response to several stimuli, such as TNF-α, IL-1β, LPS, oxidative stress and neutrophil elastase, and because it has been implicated in numerous airway diseases.[24] Mucins are broadly classified as either cell membrane-bound or

secreted; notably, MUC2, MUC5AC, MUC5B, MUC6, MUC7, MUC8, MUC9, and MUC19 are categorized as secreted mucins.[25,26] MUC5AC and MUC5B are predominant in the sinus and nasal cavity, respectively, and are considered to be primarily responsible for the gel-like characteristics of mucoid middle ear fluids.[27-30] The MUC 8 gene is over-expressed in chronic rhinosinusitis and nasal polyp epithelium, and its expression levels are also increased by inflammatory mediators in nasal epithelial cells.[31,32] Airway mucus obstruction is a shared feature among lower airway disease, such as cystic fibrosis, asthma, and chronic obstructive lung disease.[33] Hence, investigating the mucin gene expression in HMEECs is considered to be an appropriate approach to evaluate the "extended one airway concept".

Interaction between the allergen, virus, bacteria and/or other pro-inflammatory stimuli have been reported previously.[3] Synergistic effects of human rhinovirus and nitric oxide 2 on IL-8 release and an additive effect on ICAM-1 expression have been demonstrated.[34] The interaction between the human rhinovirus or respiratory syncytial virus and Der p I has been studied, and it was found that they synergistically induce IL-8 expression in bronchial epithelial cells.[35] With regard to the interaction between bacteria and allergens, one study has shown that systemic LPS administration suppresses early and late allergic reaction in vivo via the TLR4-dependent pathway that triggers nitric oxide synthase 2 activity in a murine model of asthma;[36] the generation of Th1 or Th2 responses was found to be dependent on the dose of LPS. At a low LPS

level, inhaled LPS induces a Th2 response to inhaled antigens, whereas at a high level, inhaled LPS results in a Th1 response to the antigen.[37] In an HDM allergen-evoked asthma murine model, LPS dose-dependently inhibits HDM-induced eosinophilic recruitment into the lungs, mucus production in the airways, and production of Th2 cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, IL-10 and IL-13).[38] However, the interaction between Der f and LPS at a cellular level has not been addressed previously, especially in the middle ear epithelium in vivo or in vitro, despite being frequently implicated in middle ear disease pathogenesis.

It is well known that co-molecules such as MD-2, CD14, myD88 and LPS-binding protein are needed to activate the TLR 4 signaling pathway; in particular, MD-2, a glycoprotein co-expressed with TLR4 at the surface of various cell types, has gained a lot of recent attention. Theoretically, to transmit the LPS-driven inflammatory signals, LPS bound to LBP is shuttled to CD14, which transfers the LPS to an MD-2 molecule. Activation of MD-2 occurs in two ways: it combines with endogenously expressed TLR-4 to increase surface expression of TLR-4 and MD-2,[39] and participates directly in endotoxin recognition by extracting the endotoxin from monomeric endotoxin:CD14 complex.[40]

Previous studies demonstrate that MD-2 is essential for LPS-driven inflammatory responses, and lack of MD-2 protein expression in human corneal epithelial cells account for the lack of response to LPS exposure in the cornea.[41] MD-2 binds to TLR 4 and greatly enhances the response of TLR4 transfected

cells to LPS exposure.[42] These facts prompted us to investigate whether the MD-2 protein might have a specific role in the allergen and LPS synergism in HMEECs. In our study, to evaluate the role of MD-2, we knocked-down the MD-2 gene by transfecting siRNA-MD-2. Interestingly, the production of MUC genes was not completely decreased in the absence of MD-2. Moreover, pre-treated Der f did not significantly alter the MD-2 mRNA expression level (data not shown). Overexpression of the MD-2 gene using the pCMV-hMD-2 vector had a similar induction effect of MUC 8 protein when followed by LPS exposure for 24 hr. This implies that Der f may combine with LPS, TLR4, and CD14 and have a role in endotoxin recognition in cases of deficiency of the MD-2 protein. Notably, the crystal structures of MD-2 and Der p 2 exhibit structural homology with two anti-parallel β-pleated sheets stabilized by disulfide bonds.[43-45] In an in vivo study, airway sensitization and Der p 2 challenge resulted in allergic asthma in wild type and MD-2-deficient mice, but not in TLR4-deficient mice.[46] Der p 2 does not only have structural homology but also functional homology with MD-2, facilitating signaling through direct interactions with the TLR4 complex, and reconstituting LPS-driven TLR4 signaling in the absence of MD-2.[46] Taken together, these facts suggests that Der f has functional homology with MD-2.

In addition, there are several additional factors that may influence augmented mucin gene expression induced by Der f and LPS. Notably, at least three different microbial pathogen-associated molecular patterns can be detected in

mite feces and/or in the mite environment: LPS, β-glucan, and chitin. Both LPS and β-glucan mediate innate immunity through reactive oxygen species production, and can subsequently affect the mucin gene production.[47] The endotoxin level of Der f crude body extract used in this study was 0.16 EU/ml and thus the contribution might not be significant. Chitin can polarize Th1, Th2, and Th17 immune responses and recruit IL-4 positive innate immune cells, including eosinophils and basophils;[48,49] however, its precise contribution in the HDM-LPS synergism remains to be elucidated.

HDM allergenic proteins can be categorized into four main families: proteases, proteins displaying affinity for lipids, non-proteolytic enzymes, and non-enzymatic components.[50] In the context of innate immunity activation, all these protein and non-protein compounds could putatively participate in stimulation. Among them, group 2 HDM allergen is described as an MD2-like lipid binding protein based on its structural/sequence homologies,[51] supporting the hypothesis of our study.

Finally, the lack of synergism when the HMEECs were treated with Der f and LPS simultaneously (Df48h/LPS48h) or LPS48h/Df24h may be attributed to the following aspects: First, it has been noted that Der f binds to LPS with a molar ratio of 1:1.[52] Thus, when treated simultaneously, a fraction of these molecules may bind before reaching and binding to TLR4 and thus cannot activate the signaling pathway as expected. Second, an insufficient number of TLR 4 on the cell surface or endogenous MD-2 protein may interact with Der

f and LPS when they are added at the same time. Third, the "hygiene hypothesis" states that high levels of exposure to bacterial products, such as LPS, early in life are inversely correlated with the development of atopy and allergic disease.[53-55] Thus, it is thought that LPS exposure leads to the exhaustion of TLR 4 and development of a counter-regulatory response.[56] "Last, unlikely with in LPS48h/Df24 treated cells, Der f would act like MD-2 protein in Df48h/LPS24h treated cells, more signal activity could be triggered."

In summary, these experiments demonstrate that Der f and LPS can act synergistically when Der f exposure precedes LPS exposure in HMEECs. Based on our findings, the likely underlying mechanism is that Der f has functions similar to that of MD-2, combining with TLR-4 and participating in endotoxin recognition. Such synergy suggests an important role for the development of OME in patients with concealed allergen-sensitized airway epithelium. Thus, targeted inactivation of innate immune signals to allergen exposure may be useful for the development of specific therapeutics for otitis media in concealed allergic sensitization.

References

1. Blair H. Natural history of childhood asthma: 20-year follow-up. Arch Dis Child. 1977 Aug;52(8):613-9.

2. Pederson PA, Weeke ER. Asthma and allergic rhinitis in the same patients. Allergy. 1983 Jan;38(1):25-9.

3. Kim SW, Han DH, Lee SJ, Lee CH, Rhee CS. Bronchial hyperresponsiveness in pediatric rhinitis patients: the difference between allergic and nonallergic rhinitis. Am J Rhinol Allergy. 2013 May-Jun ;27(3):e63-8.

4. Döner F, Yariktas M, Demirci M. The role of allergy in recurrent otitis media with effusion. J Investiq Allergol Clin Immunol. 2004;14(2):154-8.

5. Bernstein JM, Lee J, Conboy K, Ellis E, Li P. Further observations on the role of IgE-mediated hypersensivity in recurrent otitis media with effusion. Otolaryngol Head and Neck Surg. 1985 Oct;93(5):611-5.

6. Sobol SE, Taha R, Schloss MD, Mazer BD, Manoukian JJ, Tewfik TL, et al. T(H)2 cytokine expression in atopic children with otitis media with effusion. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002 Jul;110(1):125-30.

7. Wright ED, Miotto D, Giguere C, Hamid Q. Increased expression of major basic protein (MBP) and interleukin-5(IL-5) in middle ear biopsy specimens from atopic patients with persistent otitis media with effusion. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2000 Nov;123(5):533-8.

8. Hurst DS, Venge P. Evidence of eosinophil, neutrophil, and mast-cell mediators in the effusion of OME patients with atopy and without atopy. Allergy. 2000 May;55(5):435-41.

9. Ozinsky A, Underhill DM, Fontenot JD, Hajjar AM, Smith KD, Wilson CB et al. The repertoire for pattern recognition of pathogens by the innate immune system is defined by cooperation between Toll-like receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000 Dec 5;97(25):13766–71.

10. Akira S, Takeda K, Kaisho T. Toll-like receptors: critical proteins linking innate and acquired immunity. Nat Immunol. 2001 Aug;2(8):675–80.

11.Kitajima T, Muroi M, Yamashita N, Tanamoto K. Toll-like receptors required for dermatophagoides farinae to activate NF-κB. Biol Pharm Bull. 2014;37(1):74-80.

12.Chow JC1, Young DW, Golenbock DT, Christ WJ, Gusovsky F. Toll-like receptor-4 mediates lipopolysaccharide-induced signal transduction. J Biol Chem. 1999 Apr 16;274(16):10689-92.

13.Vlastos I, Athanasopoulos I, Mastronikolis NS, Panogeorgou T, Margaritis V, Naxakis S, et al. Impaired mucociliary clearance in allergic rhinitis patients is related to a predisposition to rhinosinusitis. Ear Nose Throat J. 2009 Apr;88(4):E17-9.

14. Papadopoulos NG, Xepapadaki P, Mallia P, Brusselle G, Watelet JB,

Xatzipsalti M, et al. Mechanisms of virus-induced asthma exacerbations: state-of-the-art. A GA2LEN and InterAirways document. Allergy. 2007 May;62(5):457–70.

15.Papadopoulos NG, Christodoulou I, Rohde G, Agache I, Almqvist C, Bruno A, et al. Viruses and bacteria in acute asthma exacerbations—a GA(2) LEN-DARE systematic review. Allergy. 2011 Apr;66(4):458–68.

16.Copenhaver CC, Gern JE, Li Z, Shult PA, Rosenthal LA, Mikus LD, et al. Cytokine response patterns, exposure to viruses, and respiratory infections in the first year of life. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2004 Jul 15;170(2):175–80.

17. Rochlitzer S, Hoymann HG, Muller M, Braun A. No exacerbation but impaired anti-viral mechanisms in a rhinovirus-chronic allergic asthma mouse model. Clin Sci (Lond). 2014 Jan;126(1):55-65.

18.Rizzo MC, Naspitz CK, Fernández-Caldas E, Lockey RF, Mimiça I, Solé D. Endotoxin exposure and symptoms in asthmatic children. Pediatr Allergy Imunol 1997 Aug;8(3):121-6.

19. Michel O, Kips J, Duchateau J, Vertongen F, Robert L, Collet H, et al. Severity of asthma is related to endotoxin in house dust. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996 Dec;154 (6 Pt 1):1641-6.

20. Song JJ, Lee JD, Lee BD, Chae SW, Park MK. Effect of diesel exhaust particles on human middle ear epithelial cells. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.

2012 Mar;76(3):334–8.

21. Preciado D, Kuo E, Ashktorab S, Manes P, Rose M. Cigarette smoke activates NFκB-mediated Tnf-α release from mouse middle ear cells. Laryngoscope. 2010 Dec;120(12):2508-15.

22. Jun HJ, Lim HW, Choi J, Jung HH, Chae SW. Ciglitazone inhibits cigarette smoke solution-induced inflammatory responses in human middle ear epithelial cells. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2012 Aug;76(8):1136–9.

23. Smirnova MG, Guo L, Birchall JP, Pearson JP.LPS up-regulates mucin and cytokine mRNA expression and stimulates mucin and cytokine secretion in goblet cells. Cell Immunol. 2003 Jan;221(1):42-9.

24. Voynow JA, Gendler SJ, Rose MC. Regulation of mucin genes in chronic inflammatory airway diseases. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2006 Jun; 34(6): 661–5.

25. Rose MC. Mucins: structure, function, and role in pulmonary diseases. Am J Physiol 1992 Oct;263(4 Pt 1):L413–L29.

26. Rose MC, Voynow JA. Respiratory tract mucin genes and mucin glycoproteins in health and disease. Physiol Rev. 2006 Jan;86(1):245–78.

27. Kerschner JE. Mucin gene expression in human middle ear epithelium. Laryngoscope. 2007 Sep;117(9):1666–76.

28. Giebink GS, Le CT, Paparella MM. Epidemiology of otitis media with

effusion in children. Arch Otolaryngol. 1982 Sep;108(9):563–6.

29.Thai P1, Loukoianov A, Wachi S, Wu R. Regulation of airway mucin gene expression. Annu Rev Physiol. 2008;70:405-29.

30. Alenmyr L, Herrmann A, Högestätt ED, Greiff L, Zygmunt PM. TRPV1 and TRPA1 stimulation induces MUC5B secretion in the human nasal airway in vivo. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging. 2011 Nov;31(6):435-44.

31. Cho KN, Choi JY, Kim CH, Baek SJ, Chung KC, Moon UY, et al. Prostaglandin E2 induces MUC8 gene expression via a mechanism involving ERK MAPK/RSK1/cAMP response element binding protein activation in human airway epithelial cells. J Biol Chem. 2005 Feb 25;280(8):6676-81.

32. Lee HM, Kim DH, Kim JM, Lee SH, Hwang SJ. MUC8 mucin gene up-regulation in chronic rhinosinusitis. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2004 Aug;113(8):662-6.

33. Yoshida T, Tuder RM. Pathobiology of cigarette smoke-induced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Physiol Rev. 2007 Jul;87(3):1047-82.

34. Spannhake EW, Reddy SP, Jacoby DB, Yu XY, Saatian B, Tian J. Synergism between rhinovirus infection and oxidant pollutant exposure enhances airway epithelial cell cytokine production. Env Health Perspect. 2002 Jul;110(7):665–70.

35. Foster S, Bedford KJ, Gould ME, Coward WR, Hewitt CR. Respiratory

syncytial virus infection and virus-induced inflammation are modified by contaminants of indoor air. Immunology. 2003 Jan;108(1):109–15.

36. Rodríguez D, Keller AC, Faquim-Mauro EL, de Macedo MS, Cunha FQ, Lefort J, et al. Bacterial LPS signaling through TLR4 suppresses asthma –like response via NP synthase 2 activity. J Immunol. 2003 Jul 15;171(2):1001-8.

37. Eisenbarth SC, Piggott DA, Huleatt JW, Visintin I, Herrick CA, Bottomly K. LPS-enhanced, Toll-like receptor 4 dependent T helper cell type 2 responses to inhaled antigen. J Exp Med. 2002 Dec 16;196(12):1645-51.

38. Daan de Boer J, Roelofs JJ, de Vos AF, de Beer R, Schouten M, Hommes TJ, et al. Lipopolysaccharide inhibits Th2 lung inflammation induced by house dust mite allergens in mice. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2013 Mar;48(3):382-9.

39. O'Neil DA, Porter EM, Elewaut D, Anderson GM, Eckmann L, Ganz T, et al. Expression and regulation of the human beta-defensins hBD-1 and hBD-2 in intestinal epithelium. J Immunol. 1999 Dec 15;163(12):6718-24.

40. Gioannini TL1, Teghanemt A, Zhang D, Coussens NP, Dockstader W, Ramaswamy S, et al. Isolation of an endotoxin-MD-2 complex that produces Toll-like receptor 4-dependent cell activation at picomolar concentrations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004 Mar 23;101(12):4186-91.

41. Zhang J, Kumar A, Wheater M, Yu FS. Lack of MD-2 expression in human

corneal epithelial cells is an underlying mechanism of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) unresponsiveness. Immunol Cell Biol. 2009 Feb;87(2):141-8.

42. Shimazu R, Akashi S, Ogata H, Nagai Y, Fukudome K, Miyake K, et al. MD-2, a molecule that confers lipopolysaccharide responsiveness on Toll-like receptor 4. J Exp Med. 1999 Jun 7;189(11):1777–82.

43. Ohto U, Fukase K, Miyake K, Satow Y. Crystal structures of human MD-2 and its complex with antiendotoxic lipid IVa. Science. 2007 Jun 15; 316(5831):1632–4.

44. Derewenda U, Li J, Derewenda Z, Dauter Z, Mueller GA, Rule GS, et al. The crystal structure of a major dust mite allergen Der p 2, and its biological implications. J Mol Biol. 2002 Apr 19; 318(1):189–97.

45. Kim HM, Park BS, Kim JI, Kim SE, Lee J, Oh SC, et al. Crystal structure of the TLR4-MD-2 complex with bound endotoxin antagonist Eritoran. Cell. 2007 Sep 7;130(5):906-17.

46.Trompette A, Divanovic S, Visintin A, Blanchard C, Hegde RS, Madan R, et al. Allergenicity resulting from functional mimicry of a Toll-like receptor complex protein. Nature. 2009 Jan 29;457(7229):585-8.

47. Ryu JH, Yoo JY, Kim MJ, Hwang SG, Ahn KC, Ryu JC, et al. Distinct TLR-mediated pathways regulate house dust mite-induced allergic disease in the upper and lower airways. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013 Feb;131(2):549-61.

48. Lee CG, Da Silva CA, Dela Cruz CS, Ahangari F, Ma B, Kang MJ, et al. Role of chitin and chitinase/chitinase-like proteins in inflammation, tissue remodeling, and injury. Annu Rev Physiol. 2011;73:479-501.

49. Reese TA, Liang HE, Tager AM, Luster AD, Van Rooijen N, Voehringer D, et al. Chitin induces accumulation in tissue of innate immune cells associated with allergy. Nature. 2007 May 3;447(714D):92-6.

50. Thomas WR, Smith WA, Hales BJ, Mills KL, O'Brien RM. Characterization and immunobiology of house dust mite allergens. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2002 Sep;129(1):1-18.

51. Thomas WR, Hales BJ, Smith WA. House dust mite allergens in asthma and allergy. Trends Mol Med. 2010 Jul;16(7):321-8.

52. Ichikawa S, Takai T, Yashiki T, Takahashi S, Okumura K, Ogawa H, et al. Lipopolysaccharide binding of the mite allergen Der f. Genes Cells. 2009 Sep;14(9):1055-65.

53. Braun-Fahrländer C, Riedler J, Herz U, Eder W, Waser M, Grize L, et al. Environmental exposure to endotoxin and its relation to asthma inschool-age children. N Engl J Med. 2002 Sep 19; 347(12):869–77.

54. Gehring U, Bischof W, Fahlbusch B, Wichmann HE, Heinrich J. House dust endotoxin and allergic sensitization in children. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002 Oct 1;166(7):939–44.

55. Riedler J, Braun-Fahrländer C, Eder W, Schreuer M, Waser M, Maisch S, et al. Exposure to farming in early life and development of asthma and allergy: a cross sectional survey. Lancet. 2001 Oct ;358(9288):1129–33.

56. Wills-Karp M, Santeliz J, Karp CL. The germless theory of allergic disease: revisiting the hygiene hypothesis. Nat Rev Immunol. 2001 Oct;1(1):69–75.

Table 1.Primers for real-time polymerase chain reaction

Primers	
MUC 4	F:5’-ATG GTCATCTCG GAG TTC CAG-3’ R:5’-GTAGACCAGGTCGTAGCCCTT-3’
MUC 5ac	F: 5’-GGGACTTCTCCTACCAAT-3’ R:5’-TATATGGTGGATCCTGCA GGGTAG-3’
MUC 5b	F:5’-CAC ATC CAC CCT TCC AAC-3’ R:5’-GGC TCA TTG TCG TCT CTG-3’
MUC 8	F:5’-GAC AGG GTT TCT CCT CAT TG-3’ R:5’-CGT TTA TTC CAG CAC TGT TC-3’
IL-1b	F: 5’-ACAGATGAAGTGCTCCTTCCA -3’ R: 5’-GTCGGAGATTCGTAGCTGGAT-3’
IL-33	F: 5’-CAAAGAAGTTTGCCCCATGT-3’ R: 5’-AAGGCAAAGCACTCCACAGT-3’
GM-CSF	F: 5’-CCTTGACCATGATGGCCAG-3’ R: 5’- TGGAGGGCAGTGCTGTTTG-3’
TNF-α	F:5′-AGACGCCACATCCCCTGACAA-3′ R:5′-AGACGGCGATGCGGCTGATG-3′
MD2	F: 5’-CCG AGG ATC TGA TGA CGA TT -3’ R: 5’- TGG GCT CCC AGA AAT AGC TT -3’
CD14	F: 5’-AGC CAC AGG ACT TGC ACT TT -3’ R: 3’-TGG GCA ATG CTC AGT ACC TT- 3’
TLR4	F: 5’-TCC CTG AAC CCT ATG AAC -3’ R: 5’-CRA AAC CAG CCA GAC CTT -3’
GAPDH	F: 5’-ATCATCCCTGCCTCTACTGG-3’ R: 5’-GTCAGGTCCACCACTGACAC-3’

F,forward; R,reverse

Figure 1. Study design.

Cells were treated with medium only or with a pre-determined, non-cytotoxic dose of Der f for 24/48 h or LPS for 24/48 h or both in different sequences or simultaneously as indicated. Df, *Dermatophagoides farina;* LPS, Lipopolysaccharide; HMEEC, human middle ear epithelial cell.



Figure 2. Real-time polymerase chain reaction to measure mucin mRNA level.

(a) The expression of MUC 8 mRNA level was higher in cells exposed to Der f first and then to LPS than in cells exposed to Der f or LPS alone. The mRNA level did not increase when the cells were first exposed to LPS and then to Der f or were exposed to both agents simultaneously. (b) The levels of MUC 4, 5AC, and 5B mRNA showed similar patterns to MUC 8. (*: $p < 0.05$).



Figure 3. Immunoblotting to determine MUC 8 and Toll-like receptor 4 signal intensity.

(a) Treatment with Der f followed by LPS had a synergistic effect and increased MUC 8 production. (b) Signal intensity of MUC 8 and Toll-like receptor 4 induced by combined treatment with Der f and LPS was higher than that induced by treatment with LPS alone.



Figure 4. Real-time PCR to measure the levels of various cytokine mRNAs.

The mRNA levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1b, IL-33, GM-CSF, and TNF-α tended to increase when the cells were exposed to the Der f initially and then to LPS.



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 5. mRNA and protein levels in cells transfected with small

interfering RNA or cells overexpressing MD-2.

(a, upper panel) The level of MD-2 or TLR4 mRNA was decreased in cells transfected with small interfering (si) RNA-MD-2 and siRNA-TLR4, respectively. (a, lower panel) The expression of MUC 4, 5B and 8 mRNA was also decreased in si-RNA-transfected cells. However, unlike in the cells transfected with si-RNA-TLR4, the expression of the target genes was not completely abolished in cells transfected with si-RNA-MD-2. (b) The decreased expression level of TLR4 and MUC 8 was confirmed at the protein level. The signal of MUC 8 protein was observed not only in the negative control, but also in the si-RNA-MD-2–transfected cells. (c) Overexpression of MD-2 enhanced the LPS-driven production of MUC 8. The signal intensity of MUC 8 was higher when LPS was added to the cell transfected with the Flag-pCMV-hMD-2 vector than in the cell transfected with vector only. The pattern of increase when the cells were treated with LPS only for 24 h was similar to that observed when the cells were treated first with Der f and then with LPS (Df48h/LPS24h).



(a)



(b)

Figure 6. Confocal laser scanning image.

Figure 6. Confocal laser scanning image (continued).

(a) Red fluorescence indicates Der f expression; green, TLR4 expression; and blue, nuclear location. The lower right panel is a merged image of the other three panels. TLR4 was mainly expressed in the cell membrane, and it can be seen as yellow fluorescence after the overlap, suggesting that Der f and TLR4 co-localized in the cell membrane. Scale bar, 40 μm. (b) In high magnification, the yellow fluorescence is seen not only in the negative control, but also in the membrane of cells transfected with si-RNA MD-2. Scale bar, 10 μm.



Figure 7. Inhibition of MUC gene mRNA expression by SB203580 and Bay. (a) Expression of MUC 2, 5AC and 8 mRNA was down-regulated by treatment with SB 203580 and Bay. (b) Down-regulation of MUC8 protein expression was confirmed by immunoblotting. Results are representative of those obtained from 3 independent experiments.



Figure 8. Inhibition of phosphorylation of p38 MAPK and CREB and translocation of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) by SB203580 and Bay in HMEECs stimulated with Der f + LPS.

(a) HMEECs were treated with Der f for 24 h and then with SB203580 2 h prior to LPS treatment. Subsequently, the cells were treated with LPS for 5, 15, and 30 min in the presence of Der f. The phosphorylation of p38 MAPK and CREB was analyzed using western blotting. (b) Localization of NF-κB p65 was visualized under a fluorescence microscope after IF staining with NF-κB p65 antibody (green). Cells were stained with DAPI to visualize nuclei (blue). NF-κB translocation in HMEECs stimulated with Der f + LPS was inhibited by treatment with SB203580 and Bay. Results are representative of those obtained from 2 independent experiments.

국문 초록

서론: 천식과 비염은 모두 만성 염증성 기도 질환으로 역학, 병태생리, 임상적 특징이 밀접한 관련성이 있어, 두 질환이 별개의 질환이라기보다는 표적기관을 달리하여 표현되는 동일한 염증반응의 하나로 인식되고 있다. 근래에는 이러한 "하나의 기도 질환"(one airway, one disease)의 개념이 상기도와 하기도를 포함하는 것만 아니라 중이강(middle ear cavity)도 기도의 한 부분으로 인식되기에 이르렀다. 그러나, 아직까지 삼출성 중이염에서 알레르기 항원의 역할은 정확히 알려져 있지 않다. 본 연구에서는 귀 상피세포에서 *Dermatophagoides farinae* (Der f)와 Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) 간의 상호 작용에 의해 유도되는 염증 반응에 대해서 살펴보고자 하였다.

방법: 인간 중이 상피세포를 Der f와 LPS에 단독으로 혹은 순서를 달리하여 두 개 모두에 노출시킨 후, Mucin (MUC) 4, 5AC, 5B, 8, GM-CSF, TNF-α, TLR-4, MD2의 mRNA 발현 정도를 실시간 중합효소연쇄반응을 이용하여 분석하였다. 이후, 상피세포에 small interfering RNA_TLR4와 MD2를 형질주입 시키는 방법으로 해당 유전자를 knock out 혹은 과발현 시킨 후, MUC 단백질 표현량을 웨스턴 블로팅으로 비교 분석하였다. 한편, Der f 및 LPS 의 상호작용과 관련된 세포 전달 경로를 분석하였다.

결과: 중이 상피세포에 Der f 를 24시간 전 처리한 이후 LPS를 추가로 처리 했을 때, Der f와 LPS를 단독으로 처리 했을 때 보다 염증성 사이토카인과 MUC 4, 5AC, 5B, 및 8의 mRNA 발현이 증가되는 것을 확인할 수 있었다. 하지만, LPS를 전 처리 한 경우나, Der f와 LPS를

동시에 처리하는 조건에서는 상기와 같은 유전자의 발현 증가가 확인되지 않았다. 증가된 MUC 유전자들의 발현은 TLR4를 knockdown 시켰을 때 현저히 감소하였으나, MD2를 knockdown 시켰을 때에는 여전히 증가되어 나타났다. MD2의 역할을 알아보기 위해 중이 상피세포에 MD2를 과발현 시킨 후 LPS를 처리하였더니, MUC8 단백질의 신호강도가 LPS를 단독으로 처리한 세포에서 보다 높게 나타났다. 한편, P38 MAPK 와 NF-κB 전사요소가 Der f 와 LPS 로 인해 활성화 되는 것으로 확인되었다.

결론: 세포에 전 처리된 Der f는 LPS가 염증성 사이토카인과 MUC 유전자의 발현을 유도하는데 있어서 상승작용을 하는 것으로 확인되었다. 이러한 상승작용은 알레르기 항원에 의해 기도 감작이 되어 있는 환자에서 삼출성 중이염의 발생의 기전에 중요한 역할을 할 것으로 사료되며, Der f 에 대한 선천 면역반응을 불활성화 시키는 방법은 기도 감작이 되어 있는 중이염 환자의 치료법 개발에 유용하게 사용될 수 있을 것이다.

* 본 내용은 Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 학술지에 출판 완료된 내용임

주요어: *Dermatophagoides farinae*; 선천면역; 지질다당류; 점액; 톨-유사 수용체

학 번: 2012-31129