



저작자표시 2.0 대한민국

이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

- 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.
- 이차적 저작물을 작성할 수 있습니다.
- 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 있습니다.

다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:



저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 [이용허락규약\(Legal Code\)](#)을 이해하기 쉽게 요약한 것입니다.

[Disclaimer](#) 

수의학석사학위논문

**Characterization of veterinary hospital-associated
isolates of *Enterococcus* species in Korea**

국내 동물병원에서 분리된 장구균 분리주의 특성

2014년 2월

서울대학교 대학원
수의학과 수의미생물학 전공
정연수

**Characterization of veterinary hospital-associated
isolates of *Enterococcus* species in Korea**

**By
Chung, Yeon-Soo**

February, 2014

**Department of Veterinary Medicine
(Major: Veterinary Microbiology)**

**The Graduate School
Seoul National University**

**Characterization of veterinary hospital-associated
isolates of *Enterococcus* species in Korea**

**By
Chung, Yeon Soo**

Adviser: Prof. Kim, Jae-Hong

**A dissertation submitted to the faculty of the
Graduate School of Seoul National University
in partial fulfillment of the requirement for
the degree of Master in Veterinary Microbiology**

February 2014

**Department of Veterinary Medicine
(Major: Veterinary Microbiology)
The Graduate School**

Seoul National University

수의학석사학위논문

**Characterization of veterinary hospital-associated
isolates of *Enterococcus* species in Korea**

국내 동물병원에서 분리된 장구균 분리주의 특성

지도교수: 김 재 홍

이 논문을 수의학석사 학위논문으로 제출함
2014 년 2 월

서울대학교 대학원 수의학과
수의미생물학 전공
정 연 수

정연수의 수의학 석사 학위논문을 인준함
2014 년 2 월

위 원 장: _____ 유 한 상 (인)

부위원장: _____ 김 재 홍 (인)

위 원: _____ 윤 장 원 (인)

Characterization of veterinary hospital-associated isolates of *Enterococcus* species in Korea

Chung, Yeon-Soo
(Supervised by Prof. Kim, Jae-Hong)
Department of Veterinary Medicine, The Graduate School of
Seoul National University

Abstract

Possible cross-transmission of hospital-associated *Enterococci* between human patients, medical staff, and hospital environment has been extensively studied. However, limited information is available for veterinary hospital-associated *Enterococcus* isolates. This study investigated the possibility of cross-transmission of antibiotic-resistant *Enterococci* between dog patients, their owners, veterinary staff, and hospital environment. Swab samples ($n=465$) were obtained from five veterinary hospitals in Seoul, Korea, during 2011. Forty three *Enterococcus* strains were isolated representing seven enterococcal species. *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* were the most dominant species (16 isolates each, 37.2%). Although slight differences in the antibiotic resistance profiles were observed between the phenotypic and the genotypic data, our antibiogram analysis demonstrated high prevalence of the multiple drug-resistant (MDR) isolates of *E. faecalis* (10/16 isolates, 62.5%) and *E. faecium* (12/16 isolates, 75.0%). Pulsed-field gel electrophoretic comparison of the MDR isolates revealed three different

clonal sets of *E. faecalis* and a single set of *E. faecium*, which were isolated from different sample groups or dog patients at the same or two separate veterinary hospitals. These results imply a strong possibility of cross-transmission of the antibiotic-resistant enterococcal species between animal patients, owners, veterinary staff, and hospital environment.

Student ID: 2012-21549

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURE	iv
LIST OF TABLES	v
INTRODUCTION	1
MATERIALS AND METHODS	3
1. Sampling.....	3
2. Isolation and identification of <i>Enterococcus</i> species	4
3. Antibiotic resistance profiling	4
4. Detection of the antibiotic resistance genes	5
5. Molecular fingerprinting	5
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	7
1. Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. from the veterinary hospital- associated swab samples	7
2. Phenotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance among <i>E. faecalis</i> and <i>E. faecium</i> isolates	8
3. Detection of the antibiotic resistance genes among the resistant <i>E. faecalis</i> and <i>E. faecium</i> isolates	10
4. Genetic relatedness between the antibiotic-resistant isolates of <i>E. faecalis</i> or <i>E. faecium</i>	12
REFERENCES	21
국문초록.....	27

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure 1. The PFGE analysis of the 13 <i>E. faecalis</i> (A) and 14 <i>E. faecium</i> (B) isolates resistant to antibiotics.	19
--	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Oligonucleotide sequences in this study	14
Table 2. Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. from veterinary hospitals in Korea, 2011	15
Table 3. Antibiotic resistance profiling of the <i>E. faecalis</i> and <i>E. faecium</i> isolates	16
Table 4. Detection of the antibiotic resistance genes among the resistant isolates of <i>E. faecalis</i> and <i>E. faecium</i>	18

INTRODUCTION

Enterococci are saprophytic, Gram-positive, facultative anaerobes that often occur in pairs or short chains. As part of commensal inhabitants that belong to the normal gastrointestinal microflora of humans and animals [1], the microorganisms are known to be commonly isolated from several food sources including meats and milk products, as well as from various natural environments [12]. Thus, they were originally considered harmless to humans [10]. However, *Enterococci* have recently emerged and been recognized as one of the leading causative agents of nosocomial infections especially for species that have multiple drug resistance (MDR) [7, 30].

Human infections caused by *Enterococci* are frequently associated with bacteremia, urinary tract infections, endocarditis, and meningitis [15, 27]. Moreover, the microorganisms can easily acquire antibiotic resistance by either genetic mutation or horizontal gene transfer via certain mobile genetic elements such as transposons, bacteriophages, and plasmids [13, 20, 25]. As a result, they possess intrinsic or acquired antibiotic resistance properties against several antibiotics, including glycopeptides, β -lactams, fluoroquinolones, and high levels of aminoglycosides including gentamicin and streptomycin [6]. Recently, the development of MDR among enterococcal species has become a major public

health issue worldwide, partially driven by the overuse or abuse of antibiotics in both human and veterinary practices.

Enterococci thrive well in harsh environments. They can persist on various ex vivo environments, such as medical equipment and/or dry hospital surfaces, aided by their tolerance to heat, chlorine, and alcohol [5, 11, 13]. Thus, it has been hypothesized that *Enterococci* are widely disseminated in hospital environment. Supporting this notion, hospital-acquired enterococcal infections have been extensively reported in human health care units [2, 31]. Indeed, it has been reported that enterococcal isolates are ranked as the second most important pathogens among the intensive care unit-acquired bloodstream infections in Europe [2]. However, much less attention has been given to companion animal patients and their contribution to the cross-transmission of the antibiotic resistant *Enterococci* within and/or between veterinary hospitals. Only a few studies have reported on the possibility of cross-transmission of antibiotic-resistant bacteria or some pathogenic clones between companion animals and hospital environments in small animal clinics [11].

In this study, veterinary hospital-associated *Enterococcus* species were isolated and identified from samples acquired from dog patients, their owners, veterinary staff, and hospital environment in five veterinary hospitals in Seoul, Korea, during 2011. The antibiotic resistance profiles and molecular fingerprints of the isolates were determined to compare their clonality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling

A total of 465 swab samples were collected from four private small veterinary clinics and one veterinary teaching hospital in Seoul, Korea, throughout 2011. All the individual samples from 171 dog patients (external auditory meatus, 43 samples; medial canthus, 43 samples; inter-digital cleft, 42 samples; nasal cavity, 2 samples; skin, 1 sample; anus, 40 samples), 123 pet owners (external auditory meatus, 41 samples; nasal cavity, 41 samples; medial side of arms, 40 samples; medial canthus, 1 sample), 150 veterinary staffs (external auditory meatus, 50 samples; nasal cavity, 50 samples; medial side of arms, 50 samples), and 21 hospital environments (tables, otoscopes, stethoscopes, telephones, computer keyboards, floor, and sinks; 3 samples each) were aseptically obtained, immediately placed into the individual sterile collection tubes containing Amies transport medium (Yu-Han Lab Tech, Korea), and transported on ice to the laboratory within 6 hrs after collection. Each human sample was routinely taken from the external auditory meatus, nasal cavity, medial side of the arms, and medial canthus. Each animal sample was routinely acquired from the external auditory meatus, medial canthus, inter-digital cleft, nasal cavity, skin, and anus. Each environmental sample was routinely taken from tables, otoscopes,

stethoscopes, telephones, computer keyboards, floors, and sinks in the veterinary hospitals.

Isolation and identification of *Enterococcus* species

All the swab samples were streaked on 5% sheep blood agar plates (Komed, Seongnam, Korea) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs. Putative *Enterococcus* spp. were isolated according to a standard protocol previously established in our laboratory [24]. For species differentiation, both the genus-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) identification method [23] and the VITEK 2 bacterial identification system (BioMerieux, Craponne, France) were carried out based on the manufacturer's instructions. For further confirmation, *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* were identified by species-specific PCR [14], while the other *Enterococcus* spp. were identified by 16S ribosomal RNA sequencing [14, 16]. The PCR primers in this study are shown in Table 1.

Antibiotic resistance profiling

Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by a standard disk diffusion test [29] with the following antibiotic disks (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA): tetracycline (TE, 30 ug), chloramphenicol (C, 30 ug), erythromycin (E, 15 ug), quinupristin/dalfopristin (SYN, 15 ug), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 ug), ampicillin (AM, 10 ug), vancomycin (VA, 30 ug), high-level gentamicin (HLG, 120 ug), high-

level streptomycin (HLS, 300 ug), teicoplanin (TEC, 30 ug), and linezolid (LZD, 30 ug). The interpretation of antibiotic resistance, intermediate resistance, or susceptibility was done as described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines [29]. *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) was used as reference strain. The MDR isolates were defined as *Enterococcus* isolates resistant to three or more different categories of the evaluated antibiotics [22].

Detection of the antibiotic resistance genes

To determine the mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance among the antibiotic resistant *Enterococcus* isolates, all the isolates resistant to vancomycin, erythromycin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, high-level gentamicin, and high-level streptomycin were PCR screened for the presence of the following six resistance genes; vancomycin (*vanA* and *vanB*), erythromycin (*ermB*), tetracycline (*tetM* and *tetL*) [26], chloramphenicol (*cat*), high-level gentamicin (*aac6'-Ie-aph2''-Ia*), and high-level streptomycin (*ant6-Ia*) [21]. The PCR primers specific to the individual target genes are listed in Table 1.

Molecular fingerprinting

The genetic relatedness among the antibiotic resistant *Enterococcus* isolates was determined by standard pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) using CHEF

MAPPER (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) described by as the manufacture. In brief, bacterial cells from an overnight culture in 3 ml of Tryptic Soy Broth (Becton Dickinson) were pelleted at 13,000 rpm for 5 min. The pelleted cells were embedded in 1.6% agarose plugs and lysed by lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich). Lysed plugs were then digested overnight with 40 U of *SmaI* (New England Biolabs, Waltham, MA, USA) at 25 °C. Digested plugs were placed on 1.2% SeaKem Gold agarose (Lonza, Allendale, NJ, USA) and PFGE was carried out at 6.0 V for 19 hrs with a ramped pulse time of 1-20 sec in 0.5x Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer at 14 °C. BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) was used to establish a DNA similarity matrix using the Dice coefficient (0.5% optimization, 1.0% tolerance) and the un-weighted pair group method (UPGMA). All statistical comparisons were performed using the chi-square test and the SPSS version 12 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, forty three veterinary hospital-associated *Enterococcus* strains from five veterinary hospitals in Seoul, Korea, were isolated, speciated, and characterized for their antibiotic resistance profiles as well as molecular fingerprints to determine genetic similarities between those isolates. Our results imply a strong possibility of cross-transmission between dog patients, their owners, veterinary staff, and hospital environment within and/or among veterinary hospitals in Korea.

Prevalence of *Enterococcus* spp. from the veterinary hospital-associated swab samples

Among the 465 veterinary hospital-associated swab samples analyzed, 43 *Enterococcus* spp. (9.2%) were isolated and further differentiated into seven different species: *E. faecalis*, *E. faecium*, *E. hirae*, *E. gallinarum*, *E. casseliflavus*, *E. canintestini*, and *E. dispar* (Table 2). Our results showed that both *E. faecalis* (16/43 isolates; 37.2%) and *E. faecium* (16/43; 37.2%) were the most dominant *Enterococcus* spp., collectively accounting for 74.4% of the total *Enterococcus* isolates (Table 2). Interestingly, both *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* are also known as the predominant species involved in human infections [28]. Other *Enterococcus* spp. were also isolated but seemed to be rare [23], which included *E. hirae* (4/43

isolates; 8.5%), *E. gallinarum* (3/43; 6.4%), *E. canintestini* (2/43; 4.7%), *E. casseliflavus* (1/43; 2.3%), and *E. dispar* (1/43; 2.3%) (Table 2). Notably, a higher prevalence of *Enterococcus* spp. in the samples of dog patients (33/171 isolates; 19.3%) and hospital environment (3/21; 14.3%) was observed than those of pet owners (3/123; 2.4%) and veterinary staff (4/150; 2.7%). Taken together, our results demonstrate that *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* were most prevalent among the veterinary hospital-associated *Enterococcus* spp. in Korea, which is consistent with the previous studies in Portugal and the United States [18, 30].

Phenotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance among *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates

The antibiotic resistance profiles were examined for all the *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates because they were the most predominant *Enterococcus* spp. related with veterinary hospitals in Korea (Table 2). None of the 32 isolates displayed vancomycin resistance using a standard disk diffusion test (Table 3). Similar to our observation, previous studies have reported very rare detection of vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) isolates from veterinary medical equipment or domestic animals, such as dogs and cats, in small animal clinics [19, 23]. Therefore, it appears that VRE are not yet prevalent in veterinary hospitals or environments, unlike in human hospitals or environments. The glycopeptide antibiotic, avoparcin, can induce cross-resistance with vancomycin [6]. In Korea,

however, the use of avoparcin in feed and feed additives has been banned since 1998. The absence of VRE in the veterinary hospitals examined in this study might be directly or indirectly related with the governmental ban on the use of avoparcin.

As shown in Table 3, however, our antibiogram analyses revealed that the antibiotic resistance rates of the *E. faecalis* isolates were 68.8 and 56.3% for tetracycline (11/16 isolates) and erythromycin (9/16), respectively, which were followed by 37.6% for chloramphenicol (6/16) and 6.25% for both high-level gentamicin and high-level streptomycin (1/16 each). Although limited information has been available, a recent study in the United States revealed that resistance to enrofloxacin (73.0%), erythromycin (53.9%), ampicillin (51.0%), and doxycycline (42.9%) was detected among the 115 *E. faecium* isolates from small animal clinics [23], suggesting that the resistance profiles are similar to those in Korea. Since their intrinsic resistance against quinupristin/dalfopristin has been well established [3, 9], we originally decided to exclude evaluation of quinupristin/dalfopristin resistance among the *E. faecalis* isolates. However, a recent study demonstrated that some clinical strains of *E. faecalis* carry premature stop codons in the *lsa* gene responsible for quinupristin/dalfopristin resistance [8]. In support of the latter observation, four *E. faecalis* isolates were susceptible to quinupristin/dalfopristin, implying that such a nonsense mutation might be increased among strains of *E. faecalis*. In comparison, the antibiotic resistance

rates of the *E. faecium* isolates were 81.3% for tetracycline and ampicillin (13/16 isolates each), followed by 68.8% for erythromycin and ciprofloxacin (11/16 each), 56.3% for high-level gentamicin (9/16), and 37.5% for high-level streptomycin (6/16) (Table 3). It is noteworthy that most of the *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates were resistant to tetracycline (24/32 isolates; 75.0%) and erythromycin (20/32; 62.5%) (Table 3).

It is known that *Enterococci* are intrinsically resistant to several antibiotics and can readily accumulate certain genetic mutations and exogenous genes that confer additional resistance [1, 4]. In support of this observation, 68.8% of the *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates displayed the MDR phenotypes; 10/16 isolates (62.5%) for *E. faecalis* and 12/16 (75.5%) for *E. faecium*. The 10 MDR isolates of *E. faecalis* were resistant to three (5 isolates; 50%) or four (5 isolates; 50%) different antibiotics evaluated, while the 12 MDR isolates of *E. faecium* were resistant to four (3 isolates; 25.0%) or five (9 isolates; 75.0%) different antibiotics. All the *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates were susceptible to linezolid and teicoplanin (data not shown).

Detection of the antibiotic resistance genes among the resistant *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates

To evaluate the presence of appropriate antibiotic resistance genes in the resistant *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates (Table 3), PCR was carried out with

the previously established primers (Table 1) specific to individual resistance genes: *vanA* and *vanB* for resistance to vancomycin, *ermB* for erythromycin, *tetM* and *tetL* for tetracycline, *cat* for chloramphenicol, *aac6'-Ie-aph2''-Ia* for high-level gentamicin, and *ant6-Ia* for high-level streptomycin. As summarized in Table 4, almost all the resistant *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates carried the appropriate resistance genes with minor exceptions, implying the existence of alternative resistance mechanisms. In agreement with the disk diffusion assay results, *vanA* and *vanB* genes were not detected (data not shown).

Among the 11 *E. faecalis* and 13 *E. faecium* isolates resistant to tetracycline, 23 isolates (95.8%) harbored the *tetM* gene. Not surprisingly, the 13 tetracycline-resistant and *tetM*-positive isolates (13/23; 56.5%) also possessed the *tetL* gene (Table 4). The *ermB* gene was widely distributed among the erythromycin-resistant isolates (18/20; 90.0%) (Table 4). Almost all the high-level gentamicin (9/10; 90.0%)- or high-level streptomycin-resistant isolates (6/7; 85.7%) carried the bifunctional gentamicin resistance gene (*aac6'-Ie-aph2''-Ia*) or the streptomycin-resistance gene (*ant6-Ia*) (Table 4). Interestingly, there was a significant difference in the presence of the *aac6'-Ie-aph2''-Ia* gene between *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates (Table 4; $P < 0.05$). However, such a difference between *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* would not be a species-specific pattern because the same resistance gene has been detected among the gentamicin-resistant *E. faecalis* isolates from dog and cats in the US [17, 18].

Genetic relatedness between the antibiotic-resistant isolates of *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium*

To determine the genetic relatedness between the veterinary hospital-associated *Enterococcus* isolates, 27 antibiotic-resistant *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates were analyzed by PFGE (see Materials and Methods) because of their clinical importance. The analysis with the 13 *E. faecalis* isolates revealed three different sets (Type A to C), which were almost identical in their molecular patterns (Fig. 1A). Types A and C originated at the same veterinary hospital from different sample groups (Type A) or dog patients (Type C) (Fig. 1A). They also shared their own antibiogram profiles (Fig. 1A). These results indicate that Type A or C might be the same clonal sets. PFGE analysis with the 14 *E. faecium* isolates showed the single identical set in their molecular patterns (Type D; Fig. 1B); Type D was isolated from the different sample groups at the same veterinary hospital and showed a slight difference in antibiogram profiles (Fig. 1B).

In conclusion, our experimental analysis revealed a low contamination of *Enterococci* among veterinary hospital-associated swab samples in Korea. Although no VRE isolates were identified, 68.8% of the *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates displayed the MDR phenotypes. More importantly, the PFGE data strongly indicate the possibility for cross-transmission of antibiotic resistant *Enterococcus* clones among veterinary hospital-associated environments, such as

dog patients, their owners, veterinary staff, and hospital environment. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the existence of a potential clonal set of the antibiotic resistant *E. faecalis* isolates from different sample groups, namely dog patients and veterinary staff, at the same animal hospital in Korea. Proper hygiene, effective infection control, and restricted movement of companion animal patients in veterinary hospitals would be prudent.

Table 1. Oligonucleotide sequences in this study

Primers	Nucleotide sequences (5' to 3')	Product size (bp)	Reference
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	FW: TACTGACAAACCATTTCATGATG RV: AACTTCGTCACCAACGCGAAC	112	[20]
<i>E. faecalis</i>	FW: ACTTATGTGACTAACTTAACC RV: TAATGGTGAATCTTGGTTTGG	360	[16]
<i>E. faecium</i>	FW: GAAAAACAATAGAAGAATTAT RV: TGCTTTTTTGAATTCCTTTTA	215	[16]
<i>vanA</i>	FW: CATGAATAGAATAAAAGTTGCAATA RV: CCCCTTAAACGCTAATACGATCAA	1,030	[6]
<i>vanB</i>	FW: GTGACAAACCGAGGCGAGGA RV: CCGCCATCCTCCTGCAAAAAA	433	[6]
<i>ermB</i>	FW: TGGTATTCCAAATGCGTAATG RV: CTGTGGTATGGCGGGTAAGT	745	[26]
<i>tetM</i>	FW: GTGGACAAAGTACAACGAG RV: CGGTAAAGTTCGTCACACAC	406	[26]
<i>tetL</i>	FW: TGGTGGGAATGATAGCCCATT RV: CAGGAATGACAGCACGCTAA	229	[26]
<i>cat</i>	FW: ATGACTTTTAATATTATRAWTT RV: TCATYTACMYTATSAATTATAT	648	[14]
<i>aac6'-Ie-aph2''-Ia</i>	FW: CCAAGAGCAATAAGGGCATA RV: CACTATCATACCACTACCG	220	[21]
<i>ant6-Ia</i>	FW: ACTGGCTTAATCAATTTGGG RV: GCCTTCCGCCACCTCACCG	597	[21]

Table 2. Prevalence of *Enterococcus* spp. from veterinary hospitals in Korea, 2011

Group of samples	No. of samples	No. of the following <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates/No. of the samples tested (%)					
		Total	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>E. faecium</i>	<i>E. gallinarum</i>	<i>E. hirae</i>	Others ^a
Dog patient	171	33 (19.3)	14 (8.2)	9 (5.3)	3 (1.8)	3 (1.8)	4 (2.3)
Pet owner	123	3 (2.4)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	0 (0.0)
Veterinary staff	150	4 (2.7)	2 (1.3)	2 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Env.^b	21	3 (14.3)	0 (0.0)	3 (14.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Total	465	43 (9.3)	16 (3.4)	16 (3.4)	3 (0.6)	4 (0.9)	4 (0.9)

^a include *E. casseliflavus*, *E. canintestini*, and *E. dispar*.

^b samples from veterinary hospital environments

Table 3. Antibiotic resistance profiling of the *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* isolates

Sample group	Species	No. (%) of isolates resistant to the following antibiotics ^a											
		VA	E	TE	C	HLG	HLS	AM	CIP	SYN	TEC	LZD	MDR
Dog patient	<i>E. faecalis</i> (n=14)	0 (0.0)	7 (50.0)	9 (64.3)	4 (28.6)	1 (7.1)	1 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (78.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	8 (56.1)
	<i>E. faecium</i> (n=9)	0 (0.0)	4 (44.4)	6 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	3 (33.3)	2 (22.2)	6 (66.7)	5 (55.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (55.6)
	Subtotal (n=23)	0 (0.0)	11 (47.8)	15 (65.2)	4 (17.4)	4 (17.4)	3 (13.0)	6 (26.1)	5 (21.7)	11 (47.8)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	13 (56.5)
Pet owner	<i>E. faecalis</i> (n=0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<i>E. faecium</i> (n=2)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)
	Subtotal (n=2)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)
Veterinary staff	<i>E. faecalis</i> (n=2)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (50.0)
	<i>E. faecium</i> (n=2)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0.0)	1 (50.0)	1 (50.0)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (100)
	Subtotal (n=4)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)	3 (100)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)	1 (33.3)	3 (100)	3 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)
Environment ^b	<i>E. faecalis</i> (n=0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<i>E. faecium</i> (n=3)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)	3 (100)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)	1 (33.3)	3 (100)	3 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)
	Subtotal (n=3)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)	3 (100)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)	1 (33.3)	3 (100)	3 (100)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (100)
Total	<i>E. faecalis</i> (n=16)	0 (0.0)	9 (56.3)	11 (68.8)	6 (37.6)	1 (6.25)	1 (6.25)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12 (75.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	10 (62.5)
	<i>E. faecium</i> (n=16)	0 (0.0)	11 (68.8)	13 (81.3)	0 (0.0)	9 (56.3)	6 (37.5)	13 (81.3)	12 (75.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12 (75.0)
	Subtotal (n=32)	0 (0.0)	20 (62.5)	24 (75.0)	6 (18.8)	10 (31.3)	7 (21.9)	13 (40.6)	12 (37.5)	12 (37.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	22 (68.8)

^a abbreviations: VA (Vancomycin), E (Erythromycin), TE (Tetracycline), C (Chloramphenicol), HLG (High-level gentamicin), HLS (High-level streptomycin), AM (Ampicillin), CIP (Ciprofloxacin), SYN (quinupristin/dalfopristin), and MDR (Multiple drug resistance).


^b means the samples from veterinary hospital environments.

Table 4. Detection of the antibiotic resistance genes among the resistant isolates of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*

Species	No. of isolates carrying the antibiotic resistance gene ^a / No. of resistant isolates (%)					
	<i>ermB</i>	<i>tetM</i>	<i>tetL</i>	<i>cat</i>	<i>aac6'-Ie-aph2''-Ia</i>	<i>ant6-Ia</i>
<i>E. faecalis</i>	8/9 (88.9)	11/11 (100)	1/11 (9.1)	6/6 (100)	0/1 (0.0)	1/1 (100)
<i>E. faecium</i>	10/11 (90.9)	12/13 (92.3)	12/13 (92.3)	0/0 (0.0)	9/9 (100)	5/6 (83.3)
Total	18/20 (90.0)	23/24 (95.8)	13/24 (54.2)	6/6 (100)	9/10 (90.0)	6/7 (85.7)

^a *ermB*, erythromycin resistance gene; *tetM* & *tetL*, tetracycline resistance gene; *cat*, chloramphenicol resistance gene; *aac6'-Ie-aph2''-Ia*, HLG resistance gene; *ant6-Ia*, HLS resistance gene.

A.



B.




Figure 1. The PFGE analysis of the 13 *E. faecalis* (A) and 14 *E. faecium* (B) isolates resistant to antibiotics. All the genomic DNAs were digested with *Sma*I and followed by standard PFGE analysis (see Materials and Methods). Levels of

similarity were determined using Dice coefficient (0.5% optimization, 1.0% tolerance) and the un-weighted pair group method (UPGM). Individual PFGE patterns were summarized with their antibiotic resistance profiles, sample groups, veterinary hospitals where the samples were collected, and sample sources. Abbreviations: E, erythromycin; TE, tetracycline; C, chloramphenicol; HLG, high-level gentamycin; HLS, high-level streptomycin; AM, ampicillin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; SYN, quinupristin/dalfopristin.

REFERENCES

1. Arias CA and Murray BE. 2012. The rise of the Enterococcus: beyond vancomycin resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 10: 266-278.
2. Bohme H, Konigsmark C, Klare I, Zischka M, and Werner G. 2012. Cross-transmission rates of enterococcal isolates among newborns in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Pediatr Rep.* 4: e15.
3. Bozdogan B and Leclercq R. 1999. Effects of genes encoding resistance to streptogramins A and B on the activity of quinupristin-dalfopristin against *Enterococcus faecium*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 43: 2720-2725.
4. Cauwerts K, Decostere A, De Graef EM, Haesebrouck F, and Pasmans F. 2007. High prevalence of tetracycline resistance in *Enterococcus* isolates from broilers carrying the erm(B) gene. *Avian Pathol.* 36: 395-399.
5. Cetinkaya Y, Falk P, and Mayhall CG. 2000. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 13: 686-707.
6. Clark NC, Cooksey RC, Hill BC, Swenson JM, and Tenover FC. 1993. Characterization of glycopeptide-resistant enterococci from U.S. hospitals. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 37: 2311-2317.
7. Clewell DB. 1990. Movable genetic elements and antibiotic resistance in enterococci. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 9: 90-102.

8. Dina J, Malbruny B, and Leclercq R. 2003. Nonsense mutations in the *lsa*-like gene in *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates susceptible to lincosamides and Streptogramins A. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 47: 2307-2309.
9. Duh RW, Singh KV, Malathum K, and Murray BE. 2001. In vitro activity of 19 antimicrobial agents against enterococci from healthy subjects and hospitalized patients and use of an *ace* gene probe from *Enterococcus faecalis* for species identification. *Microb Drug Resist.* 7: 39-46.
10. Dupre I, Zanetti S, Schito AM, Fadda G, and Sechi LA. 2003. Incidence of virulence determinants in clinical *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates collected in Sardinia (Italy). *J Med Microbiol.* 52: 491-498.
11. Fisher K and Phillips C. 2009. The ecology, epidemiology and virulence of *Enterococcus*. *Microbiology.* 155: 1749-1757.
12. Ghosh A, Kukanich K, Brown CE, and Zurek L. 2012. Resident Cats in Small Animal Veterinary Hospitals Carry Multi-Drug Resistant *Enterococci* and are Likely Involved in Cross-Contamination of the Hospital Environment. *Front Microbiol.* 3: 62.
13. Giraffa G. 2002. *Enterococci* from foods. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 26: 163-171.

14. Hummel A, Holzapfel WH, and Franz CM. 2007. Characterisation and transfer of antibiotic resistance genes from enterococci isolated from food. *Syst Appl Microbiol.* 30: 1-7.
15. Huycke MM, Sahm DF, and Gilmore MS. 1998. Multiple-drug resistant enterococci: the nature of the problem and an agenda for the future. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 4: 239-249.
16. Jackson CR, Fedorka-Cray PJ, and Barrett JB. 2004. Use of a genus- and species-specific multiplex PCR for identification of enterococci. *J Clin Microbiol.* 42: 3558-3565.
17. Jackson CR, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Davis JA, Barrett JB, Brousse JH, Gustafson J, and Kucher M. 2010. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance and genetic relatedness among enterococci isolated from dogs and cats in the United States. *J Appl Microbiol.* 108: 2171-2179.
18. Jackson CR, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Davis JA, Barrett JB, and Frye JG. 2009. Prevalence, species distribution and antimicrobial resistance of enterococci isolated from dogs and cats in the United States. *J Appl Microbiol.* 107: 1269-1278.
19. Jensen LB, Frimodt-Moller N, and Aarestrup FM. 1999. Presence of erm gene classes in gram-positive bacteria of animal and human origin in Denmark. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 170: 151-158.

20. Ke D, Picard FJ, Martineau F, Menard C, Roy PH, Ouellette M, and Bergeron MG. 1999. Development of a PCR assay for rapid detection of enterococci. *J Clin Microbiol.* 37: 3497-3503.
21. Kobayashi N, Alam M, Nishimoto Y, Urasawa S, Uehara N, and Watanabe N. 2001. Distribution of aminoglycoside resistance genes in recent clinical isolates of *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus avium*. *Epidemiol Infect.* 126: 197-204.
22. Kos VN, Desjardins CA, Griggs A, Cerqueira G, Van Tonder A, Holden MT, et al. 2012. Comparative genomics of vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains and their positions within the clade most commonly associated with Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* hospital-acquired infection in the United States. *MBio.* 3.
23. KuKanich KS, Ghosh A, Skarbek JV, Lothamer KM, and Zurek L. 2012. Surveillance of bacterial contamination in small animal veterinary hospitals with special focus on antimicrobial resistance and virulence traits of enterococci. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 240: 437-445.
24. Kwon KH, Hwang SY, Moon BY, Park YK, Shin S, Hwang CY, and Park YH. 2012. Occurrence of antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes, and distribution of enterococcal clonal complex 17 from animals and human beings in Korea. *J Vet Diagn Invest.* 24: 924-931.

25. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. 2012. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 18: 268-281.
26. Malhotra-Kumar S, Lammens C, Piessens J, and Goossens H. 2005. Multiplex PCR for simultaneous detection of macrolide and tetracycline resistance determinants in streptococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 49: 4798-4800.
27. Marothi YA, Agnihotri H, and Dubey D. 2005. Enterococcal resistance--an overview. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 23: 214-219.
28. Murray BE. 1990. The life and times of the Enterococcus. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 3: 46-65.
29. NCCLS. 2009. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, Nineteenth Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S19 NCCLS, Wayne, PA.
30. Poeta P, Costa D, Rodrigues J, and Torres C. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance and the mechanisms implicated in faecal enterococci from healthy humans, poultry and pets in Portugal. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 27: 131-137.

31. Top J, Willems R, van der Velden S, Asbroek M, and Bonten M. 2008. Emergence of clonal complex 17 *Enterococcus faecium* in The Netherlands. J Clin Microbiol. 46: 214-219.

국문초록

국내 동물병원에서 분리된 장구균 분리주의 특성

서울대학교 대학원
수의학과 수의미생물학전공
정 연 수
(지도교수: 김 재 흥)

환자와 의사, 그리고 병원 환경간에 장구균의 교차전파 가능성에 대한 연구는 많이 이루어져 왔다. 하지만, 이에 비하여 동물병원 내에서 장구균의 교차전파 가능성에 대한 연구는 미진한 편이다. 따라서, 이 연구는 환경, 환경의 주인, 의사, 그리고 동물병원 환경간에 항생제 내성 장구균의 교차전파 가능성을 조사하였다. 2011 년에 한국의 서울을 소재로 하는 5 곳의 동물병원들로부터 채취한 465 개의 시료들 중에, 총 43 주의 장구균 분리주가 분리되었고, 7 종의 서로 다른 장구균이 동정되었다. 그중, *Enterococcus faecalis* 와 *Enterococcus faecium* 이 우점종으로 확인되었다(각각 16 주, 37.2%). 항생제 내성 표현형과 유전형간에 그 양상의 차이는 관찰되었으며, 다제내성 *E. faecalis*(10/16 주, 62.5%)와 *E. faecium*(12/16 주, 75.0%)이 높은 분포비율을 나타냈다. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis 를 통하여 다제내성

장구균의 유전적 상동성을 비교·분석한 결과, 동일한 동물병원의 서로 다른 샘플그룹으로부터 분리되었거나, 서로 다른 두 동물병원으로부터 분리된 3 쌍의 *E. faecalis* clone 과 1 쌍의 *E. faecium* clone 을 확인하였다. 이러한 결과는 환축, 환축의 주인, 수의사, 그리고 동물병원간에 항생제 내성 장구균이 서로 교차 전파될 수 있다는 가능성을 시사한다.

주요어: Antibiotic resistance, Enterococci, Veterinary hospitals, Genetic relatedness, Cross-transmission

학 번 : 2012-21549