



저작자표시-비영리-변경금지 2.0 대한민국

이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

- 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.

다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:



저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.



비영리. 귀하는 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 없습니다.



변경금지. 귀하는 이 저작물을 개작, 변형 또는 가공할 수 없습니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 [이용허락규약\(Legal Code\)](#)을 이해하기 쉽게 요약한 것입니다.

[Disclaimer](#)

수의학석사학위논문

방사선 영상에서 Miniature
Schnauzer 견의 신장 크기 재정립

Reestablishment of Radiographic
Kidney Size in Miniature
Schnauzer Dogs

2015 년 2 월

서울대학교 대학원

수의학과 임상수의학 (수의방사선과학) 전공

손정민

수의학석사학위논문

방사선 영상에서 Miniature
Schnauzer 견의 신장 크기 재정립

Reestablishment of Radiographic
Kidney Size in Miniature
Schnauzer Dogs

2015 년 2 월

서울대학교 대학원

수의학과 임상수의학 (수의방사선과학) 전공

손정민

방사선 영상에서 Miniature Schnauzer 견의 신장 크기 재정립

Reestablishment of Radiographic Kidney Size in
Miniature Schnauzer Dogs

지도교수 윤정희

이 논문을 수의학석사학위논문으로 제출함

2014 년 10 월

서울대학교 대학원

수 의 학 과 임상수 의 학 (수 의 방 사 선 과 학) 전 공

손정민

손정민의 석사학위논문을 인준함

2014 년 12 월

위 원 장 _____ 최민철 (인)

부위원장 _____ 윤정희 (인)

위 원 _____ 장동우 (인)

Abstract

Reestablishment of Radiographic
Kidney Size in Miniature
Schnauzer Dogs

Jung-min Sohn

Veterinary Clinical Science (Veterinary Radiology)

Department of Veterinary Medicine

The Graduate School

Seoul National University

Kidney size may be altered in renal diseases, and the detection of alterations in kidney size has diagnostic and prognostic value. It is hypothesized that radiographic kidney size, the kidney length to L2

length ratio, in normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs may be overestimated because of their shorter vertebral length. This study was conducted to evaluate radiographic kidney size, the second lumbar vertebra (L2) length, and ultrasonographic kidney size, the kidney length to aorta diameter ratio, in clinically normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs and other dog breeds, to evaluate the effect of vertebral length on radiographic kidney size, and to reestablish radiographic kidney size in normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs. Abdominal radiographs and ultrasonograms from 49 Miniature Schnauzer dogs and 54 other dog breeds (control group) without clinical evidence of renal disease and lumbar vertebral abnormality were retrospectively evaluated. Radiographic kidney size in the Miniature Schnauzer group (3.31 ± 0.26) was significantly larger than that in the control group (2.94 ± 0.27). Relative L2 length, the L2 length to width ratio, in the Miniature Schnauzer group (1.11 ± 0.06) was significantly shorter than that in the control group (1.21 ± 0.09). However, ultrasonographic kidney sizes were within or very close to normal range both in the Miniature Schnauzer (6.75 ± 0.67) and the control groups (7.16 ± 1.01). Thus, Miniature Schnauzer dogs have breed-specific short vertebrae and consequently a larger radiographic kidney size, which was greater than standard reference in normal adult dogs. Care should be taken

when evaluating radiographic kidney size in Miniature Schnauzer dogs to prevent falsely diagnosed renomegaly.

.....
keywords : dog, Miniature Schnauzer, kidney size, L2, radiography

Student Number : 2013–21557

목차

Introduction	1
Materials and Methods	3
Radiographic measurements	5
Radiographic kidney size.....	6
Radiographic L2 length.....	6
Ultrasonographic kidney size.....	6
Group	7
Intra- and interobserver repeatability and reproducibility of radiographic measurements.....	7
Statistical analysis	7
Results	9
Radiographic measurements	10
Radiographic kidney size.....	11
Radiographic L2 length.....	15
Ultrasonographic kidney size.....	17
Intra- and interobserver repeatability and reproducibility of radiographic measurements.....	19
Discussion.....	19
References.....	24
국문초록	29

Introduction

Kidney size may be altered in renal diseases.¹⁻⁶ The detection of alterations in kidney size has diagnostic and prognostic values, and multiple imaging modalities have been used to evaluate kidney size.¹⁻⁶ Advanced imaging such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and scintigraphy may provide morphologic information, but the use of these modalities is only limitedly available, time- and cost-consuming, and requires general anesthesia.⁷⁻⁹ In general practice, radiography and ultrasonography are the method of choice, in which linear measurements and the calculated volume of kidneys can be acquired. As kidney volume is better than linear measurements to assess changes in kidney size, several methods for the ultrasonographic evaluation of kidney volume have been reported.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ However, they tend to underestimate kidney volume and are complex for practical use.^{6-8,10-16} Previous studies have shown that kidney length is also well correlated with actual kidney size.^{7,12-14,17-19,20} For practical term, the use of the ultrasonographic ratio of kidney length to aortic luminal diameter (K/Ao) with 5.5–9.1 of a reference range in normal dogs is a consistent and simple way to assess kidney size.²¹

However, the difficulty in obtaining a standard plane accurately is the greatest limitation of the ultrasonographic evaluation of kidney size.^{6-8,12-15,22}

The radiographic measurement of kidney size is quick and simple and less likely to be affected by an observer's skill. In addition, one study reported that radiographic kidney length was better correlated with actual length than ultrasonographic kidney length.¹⁸ Radiographic kidney size is determined by comparing kidney length to the length of the second lumbar vertebra (K/L2), and a ratio of 2.5–3.5 has been accepted as normal in adult dogs and is commonly used in general practice.^{1,18,20,22-25} There have been several reports on radiographic kidney size in normal dogs; however, little has been reported on breed variations.^{18,20,22,24,25} A recent study compared radiographic kidney sizes in dogs with different skull types (brachycephalic, mesaticephalic, dolichocephalic) and with different body weights (0–10kg, >10kg–30kg, >30kg).²⁵ The study showed significant differences between skull types, especially between brachycephalic and dolichocephalic dogs, and between small (≤ 10 kg) and large breed dogs (>30kg). However, the normal reference ratio of 2.5–3.5 was still valid and among breed and body weight, which factor had a greater effect on radiographic kidney size was not determined in spite of the fact that breed and weight

are interrelated.

It is hypothesized that normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs have a larger radiographic kidney size than other dog breeds because they have a shorter vertebral length and the upper reference value of 3.5 is too low for them as maximum normal radiographic kidney size and that ultrasonographic K/Ao ratios in normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs may be similar to those in other dog breeds. The objectives of this study were to evaluate radiographic kidney size (K/L2) and L2 vertebral length and ultrasonographic kidney size (K/Ao) in clinically normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs and other dog breeds, to compare those values between groups, to evaluate the effect of vertebral length on radiographic kidney size, and to reestablish radiographic kidney size in normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs.

Materials and Methods

Abdominal radiographs and ultrasonograms from Miniature Schnauzer dogs and other dog breeds, which presented to the Department of Radiology at the Seoul National University

Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital from February 2012 to April 2014 and from February 2014 to April 2014, respectively, were retrospectively evaluated. Dogs with no evidence of clinical and subclinical renal disease by physical examination, clinical sign, serum chemistry (blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, calcium, phosphorus, glucose, total protein, albumin) and electrolytes, no ultrasonographic abnormalities of kidneys (normal cortical and medullary echogenicity, smooth contour, no focal lesion or pyelectasia), and with normal lumbar vertebral column were included. A normal lumbar vertebral column was defined as one with 7 segments, no transitional vertebra, and no evidence of disease that could affect vertebral length. In addition, at least one kidney had to be measurable on either ventrodorsal or right lateral view. Dogs with systemic hypertension, immune-mediated disease, diabetic mellitus, urine specific gravity < 1.015, proteinuria (confirmed by the urine protein:creatinine ratio or significant protein detection on a dip stick), or age under 1 year old were excluded from the study. Taking the effect of body weight on kidney size into account, dogs with a body weight above 15 kg were also intentionally excluded.

Radiographs were obtained using a direct digital radiography system with a focal film distance of 100 cm. kVp and mAs varied

depending on the size of the dog. Ultrasound scans were performed using 4–9 or 4–10 MHz microconvex and 5–12 or 5–13 MHz linear probes (SA–9900, Medison, Seoul, Korea; Aloka Prosound 7, Hitachi Aloka Medical Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Ventrodorsal and right lateral radiographs and ultrasonograms were retrieved and reviewed in all dogs.²⁶

Radiographic measurements

The radiographs were evaluated in random order with a DICOM workstation using electronic calipers. On ventrodorsal radiographs, kidney length and the length and width of L2 were measured. On right lateral radiographs, kidney length and the length and height of L2 were measured. Kidney length was measured as the maximum distance between the cranial and caudal poles of the kidney. L2 length was measured at the level of midpoint parallel to the long axis of the vertebral body on ventrodorsal radiographs and at the level of the origin of the transverse processes parallel to the long axis of the vertebral body on right lateral radiographs. The width and height of L2 were measured at the level where the caudal borders of the transverse processes meet the vertebral body on ventrodorsal and right lateral radiographs, respectively. All

measurements were made three times by one observer (J.S.) and mean values were obtained.

Radiographic kidney size

In each dog, both the left and right kidney sizes were calculated by dividing the kidney length by the L2 length on both ventrodorsal and right lateral radiographs.

Radiographic L2 length

In each dog, the radiographic L2 length was calculated as the ratios of L2 length to width (L2L/L2W) on ventrodorsal radiographs and L2 length to height (L2L/L2H) on right lateral radiographs.

Ultrasonographic kidney size

To evaluate kidney size irrespective of L2 length, ultrasonographic kidney size, K/Ao, was calculated using recorded values from preserved images in which both the left and right kidney lengths on the dorsal plane and aortic luminal diameter on the longitudinal plane had been measured by original examiners.

Group

Measurements and calculated ratios were compared between Miniature Schnauzer dogs and other dog breeds (control group). The control group was further divided into either the brachycephalic or nonbrachycephalic group and either the chondrodystrophoid or nonchondrodystrophoid group.

Intra- and interobserver repeatability and reproducibility of radiographic measurements

Abdominal radiographs from 20 randomly selected dogs were evaluated by three observers (J.S., S.Y, and J.L.). Kidney length and the length, width, and height of L2 were measured three times by each observer independently, as described previously. Each of three measurements was compared to evaluate the intraobserver repeatability in each observer, and the mean values of three measurements in each observer were compared to evaluate interobserver reproducibility.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all measurements of the left and right kidneys and L2. Data were presented as the mean \pm

standard deviation (SD). Normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Left and right kidney sizes on either the ventrodorsal or right lateral radiograph and mean kidney sizes on the ventrodorsal and right lateral radiographs in each group were compared using an independent samples t–test. Left and right kidney sizes on ultrasonograms were compared using a paired samples t–test. Radiographic kidney size, radiographic L2 length, and ultrasonographic kidney size in the Miniature Schnauzer group and the control group, as well as the effect of sex on radiographic kidney size were compared using an independent samples t–test. Radiographic kidney size and L2 length in brachycephalic, nonbrachycephalic, chondrodystrophoid, and nonchondrodystrophoid groups were compared with those in the Miniature Schnauzer group and each other using one–way ANOVA. Correlations of radiographic kidney size with age, body weight, and radiographic L2 length were assessed using the Pearson’ s correlation coefficient. Correlations of body weight with L2 length, width, and height and radiographic L2 length were also assessed using the Pearson’ s correlation coefficient. Intra– and interobserver repeatability and reproducibility were evaluated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). A *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical tests were performed by one of the

authors (J.S.) using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

Results

Forty-nine Miniature Schnauzer dogs and 54 other dog breeds without clinical evidence of renal disease and lumbar vertebral abnormality were included. The control group consisted of Maltese (n=12), Shih Tzu (n=8), Poodle (n=5), Dachshund (n=4), Bichon Frisé (n=3), Cocker Spaniel (n=3), Pekingese (n=3), Pug (n=3), Yorkshire Terrier (n=3), Chihuahua (n=2), Miniature Pinscher (n=2), Pomeranian (n=2), Beagle (n=1), Bulldog (n=1), Papillon (n=1), and Spitz (n=1).

Shih Tzu, Pekingese, Pug, Chihuahua, and Bulldog were included in the brachycephalic group (n=17), and the others were included in the nonbrachycephalic group (n=37). The chondrodystrophoid group (n=31) included Shih Tzu, Poodle, Dachshund, Bichon Frisé, Cocker Spaniel, Pekingese, Pug, Beagle, and Bulldog, and the others were included in the nonchondrodystrophoid group (n=23).

Mean ages and body weights were 10.08 ± 3.05 (range 1–17) years and 8.21 ± 1.78 (range 4.9–14.7) kg in the Miniature Schnauzer group, 8.80 ± 3.32 (range 1–17) years and 5.90 ± 3.26 (range 1.9–15) kg in the control group. There were 5 intact males, 23 castrated males, 7 intact females, and 14 spayed females in the Miniature Schnauzer group and 4 intact males, 26 castrated males, 15 intact females, and 9 spayed females in the control group.

Radiographic measurements

In the Miniature Schnauzer group, 46 left kidneys (93.9%) and 41 right kidneys (83.7%) were measureable on ventrodorsal radiographs, and 45 left kidneys (91.8%) and 28 right kidneys (57.1%) were measureable on right lateral radiographs. In the control group, 52 left kidneys (96.3%) and 36 right kidneys (66.7%) were measureable on ventrodorsal radiographs, and 46 left kidneys (85.2%) and 35 right kidneys (64.8%) were measureable on right lateral radiographs. L2 length, width, and height were measurable on both ventrodorsal and right lateral radiographs from all of dogs.

Radiographic kidney size

Radiographic kidney sizes calculated from the measurements in the Miniature Schnauzer group and the control group are summarized in Table 1. In both groups, the ratios of left kidney length to L2 length were not significantly different from those of right kidney length to L2 length on both ventrodorsal and right lateral radiographs. In addition, the mean ratios of left and right kidneys on ventrodorsal views ($K/L2_{VD}$) were not significantly different from those on right lateral views ($K/L2_{RLAT}$). Consequently, further statistical evaluation was performed using the mean ratios of $K/L2_{VD}$ and $K/L2_{RLAT}$ ($K/L2$).

The $K/L2$ ratios in the Miniature Schnauzer group (3.31 ± 0.26) were significantly higher than those in the control group (2.94 ± 0.27) ($P < 0.001$). Interestingly, the $K/L2$ ratios in 12 of 49 (24.5%) Miniature Schnauzer dogs were above 3.5, whereas those in only 2 of 54 (3.7%) dogs in the control group were above 3.5.

Among control dogs, the $K/L2$ ratios were 2.86 ± 0.28 in the brachycephalic group, 2.98 ± 0.27 in the nonbrachycephalic group, 2.94 ± 0.24 in the chondrodystrophoid group, and 2.95 ± 0.33 in the nonchondrodystrophoid group. The $K/L2$ ratios in the Miniature Schnauzer group were significantly higher than the other 4 groups

($P < 0.001$). There were no significant differences between those 4 groups (Fig. 1).

Table 1. Radiographic kidney size in the Miniature Schnauzer and control dogs

	Median	Mean \pm SD	Range
Miniature Schnauzer			
K/L2 _{VD} (n=48)	3.34	3.36 \pm 0.26	2.83–3.95
K/L2 _{RLAT} (n=48)	3.28	3.27 \pm 0.27	2.65–3.93
K/L2 (n=49)	3.29	3.31 \pm 0.26 *	2.74–3.94
Control			
K/L2 _{VD} (n=53)	2.96	2.97 \pm 0.28	2.51–3.63
K/L2 _{RLAT} (n=49)	2.93	2.91 \pm 0.27	2.48–3.60
K/L2 (n=54)	2.94	2.94 \pm 0.27	2.49–3.62

* indicates statistical significance at $P < 0.05$.

SD, standard deviation; K, kidney length; L2, second lumbar vertebra length; VD, ventrodorsal radiograph; RLAT, right lateral radiograph.

The K/L2 ratios in the Miniature Schnauzer group significantly differed between male and female dogs regardless of whether the

dogs were neutered or not ($P=0.015$), whereas no such difference was found in the control group. However, mean differences were relatively small in both groups: 0.18 in the Miniature Schnauzer group and 0.13 in the control group. There was no significant difference between intact (intact male and female) and neutered (neutered male and female) dogs. There were no significant relationships between the K/L2 ratios and ages and between the K/L2 ratios and body weights (Figs. 2 and 3).




FIG. 1. Boxplot of the kidney length to second lumbar vertebra length ratio (K/L2) in different breed groups. Sch, Miniature Schnauzer; BC, brachycephalic breed; NBC, nonbrachycephalic breed; CD, chondrodystrophoid breed; NCD, nonchondrodystrophoid breed.




FIG. 2. Scatterplot of the kidney length to second lumbar vertebra length ratio (K/L2) in Miniature Schnauzer dogs in relation to age and body weight (BW).




FIG. 3. Scatterplot of the kidney length to second lumbar vertebra length ratio (K/L2) in control dogs in relation to age and body weight (BW).

Radiographic L2 length

Radiographic L2 lengths calculated from the measurements in the Miniature Schnauzer group and the control group are summarized in Table 2. L2 length, width, and height were significantly correlated with body weight in the Miniature Schnauzer group ($R=0,643$, $P<0.001$; $R=0,556$, $P<0.001$; $R=0,503$, $P<0.001$) and the control group ($R=0,873$, $P<0.001$; $R=0,817$, $P<0.001$; $R=0,815$, $P<0.001$). Taking the effect of body weight on L2 length into account, L2 length was divided by either L2 width (L2L/L2W) or height (L2L/L2H), and both L2L/L2W and L2L/L2H values were constant regardless of body weight in the Miniature Schnauzer group ($R=0.055$, $P=0.712$; $R=-0.019$, $P=0.897$) and the control group ($R=-0.080$, $P=0.574$; $R=-0.224$, $P=0.111$). Although both values were significantly correlated with radiographic kidney size ($R=0.563$, $p<0.001$; $R=0.250$, $p=0.011$), correlations were relatively low. As L2L/L2W values were better correlated with radiographic kidney size, L2L/L2W values were selected for further statistical analysis.

Table 2. Radiographic L2 length in the Miniature Schnauzer and control dogs

	Median	Mean \pm SD	Range
Miniature Schnauzer			
L2L/L2W (n=49)	1.11	1.11 \pm 0.06 *	0.97–1.26
L2L/L2H (n=49)	2.50	2.50 \pm 0.18	2.22–2.83
Control			
L2L/L2W (n=54)	1.21	1.21 \pm 0.09	0.94–1.39
L2L/L2H (n=54)	2.65	2.66 \pm 0.32	1.89–3.39

* indicates statistical significance at $P < 0.05$.

SD, standard deviation; L2L, second lumbar vertebra length; L2W, second lumbar vertebra width; L2H, second lumbar vertebra height; BW, body weight.

The ratios of L2L/L2W were significantly lower in the Miniature Schnauzer group (1.11 \pm 0.06) than the control group (1.21 \pm 0.09) ($P < 0.001$). Among control dogs, the L2L/L2W ratios were 1.20 \pm 0.10 in the brachycephalic group, 1.21 \pm 0.08 in the nonbrachycephalic group, 1.20 \pm 0.10 in the chondrodystrophoid group, and 1.22 \pm 0.06 in the nonchondrodystrophoid group. There were no significant differences between those 4 groups, and only

the Miniature Schnauzer group had significantly lower values (Fig. 4).




FIG. 4. Boxplot of the second lumbar vertebra length to width ratio (L2L/L2W) in different breed groups. Sch, Miniature Schnauzer; BC, brachycephalic breed; NBC, nonbrachycephalic breed; CD, chondrodystrophoid breed; NCD, nonchondrodystrophoid breed.

Ultrasonographic kidney size

The left and right kidney lengths were significantly different in both the Miniature Schnauzer group ($P < 0.001$) and the control group ($P = 0.004$), and right kidneys were larger in both groups.

However, mean differences were just 1.88 mm in the Miniature Schnauzer group and 1.13 mm in the control group; therefore, the left and right kidney lengths were considered similar in practical terms. Thus, mean values were used to calculate K/Ao ratios. K/Ao ratios were 6.75 ± 0.67 in the Miniature Schnauzer group and 7.16 ± 1.01 in the control group (Table 3). Even though there was a significant difference between those groups ($P=0.015$), K/Ao ratios in all the dogs in both groups were within or very close to normal range: those in 2 Miniature Schnauzer dogs (5.48 and 5.49) and 2 control dogs (5.48 and 9.15) were out of, but very close to, normal range.

Table 3. Ultrasonographic kidney size in the Miniature Schnauzer and control dogs

	Median	Mean \pm SD	Range
Miniature Schnauzer			
K/Ao (n=49)	6.66	6.75 ± 0.67 *	5.48–8.58
Control			
K/Ao (n=54)	6.94	7.16 ± 1.01	5.48–9.15

* indicates statistical significance at $P < 0.05$.

SD, standard deviation; K, mean length of left and right kidneys; Ao, luminal diameter of aorta.

Intra- and interobserver repeatability and reproducibility of radiographic measurements

For all the measurements from 20 randomly selected dogs, intra- and interobserver repeatability and reproducibility showed excellent correlation (ICCs of all measurements > 0.9).

Discussion

In this study, the radiographic kidney size in Miniature Schnauzer dogs was significantly larger than that of other dog breeds. The standard normal radiographic kidney size in adult dogs may not be valid for Miniature Schnauzer dogs, as radiographic kidney sizes in 24.5% of Miniature Schnauzer dogs were greater than 3.5. On the other hand, the radiographic kidney size in the control group was consistent with previous reports.^{18,20,22,24,25}

A recent study compared the radiographic kidney sizes in dogs with different skull types (brachycephalic, mesaticephalic, dolichocephalic) and different body weights (0–10kg, >10kg–30kg, >30kg).²⁵ The study showed significant differences between skull

types and body weights, especially between brachycephalic and dolichocephalic dogs and between small breed (≤ 10 kg) and large breed dogs (>30 kg). However, the effect of breed on kidney size irrespective of body weight or the effect of body weight on kidney size irrespective of breed was not fully explained in spite of the fact that breed and weight are interrelated. In this study, only small- to medium-sized dogs (≤ 15 kg) were included to prevent differences resulting from body weight, and no differences between breeds except Miniature Schnauzers as well as between body weights were identified. Thus, the radiographic kidney size was considered to be influenced by body weight rather than breed. One previous study reported a negative correlation between body weight and kidney volume per kilogram body weight.¹⁶

There was a small but significant difference between dogs with different sexes in the Miniature Schnauzer group regardless of their neutering state, as males had larger kidneys. However, no such difference was found in the control group. Previous studies also reported inconsistent results: one study reported that kidney size was slightly larger in male dogs, another feline study reported that intact cats had larger kidneys, and another canine studies reported that there were no differences between sexes.^{16,21,24,25,27} The results of this study and previous studies may indicate that sex has

a minor effect on kidney size. There was no correlation between age and kidney size, which was consistent with previous reports.^{22,24,25}

Whereas L2 vertebral length, width, and height were related to body weight, L2L/L2W and L2L/L2H ratios were not correlated with body weight. However, like kidney size, L2 lengths were variable between individuals, so that the correlation between radiographic L2 length and radiographic kidney size was significant but relatively low. In spite of that, L2L/L2W ratios were significantly lower in Miniature Schnauzer dogs than in other dog breeds. In addition, there were no significant differences between other dog breeds, and even chondrodystrophoid breeds have a similar L2 length to other dog breeds, which indicates that Miniature Schnauzer dogs have breed-specific short vertebra.

Furthermore, ultrasonographic kidney sizes (K/Ao ratios), which were not affected by L2 length, in all the dogs in the Miniature Schnauzer and control groups were within or very close to normal range, supporting the notion that the large radiographic kidney size in Miniature Schnauzer dogs was attributed to their short L2 length, not their long kidney length. Thus, care should be taken when using vertebral length to assess organ size in Miniature Schnauzer dogs

such as heart size and colon diameter on radiographs and kidney size on ultrasonograms as well as radiographic kidney size.^{1,28,29}

This study has several limitations. The radiographic evaluation of organ size has inherent disadvantages of variable degrees of magnification and distortion. One study reported that a considerable degree of magnification may result depending on the distances between an object and film: a 10-cm distance led to 19% magnification.¹⁹ However, a number of previous studies reported relatively consistent radiographic kidney size in various breeds of dogs, which may indicate that variable degrees of magnification of kidney and L2 lengths may not lead to significant deviations from the standard normal ranges of radiographic kidney size.^{18,20,22-25} In addition, one human study reported that renal inclination did not lead to significant error in the radiographic measurement of kidney length in most cases.³⁰ Second, actual kidney size was not measured by necropsy, and more accurate methods to measure kidney size using CT and MRI were not used. In this study, ultrasonographic kidney size using the K/Ao ratio was used as a reference. However, the K/Ao ratio was not a gold standard used to evaluate kidney size. Moreover, to assume actual kidney size, whether the K/Ao ratio on ultrasonograms is superior to the K/L2 ratio on radiographs has not been studied. However, previous studies reported that radiographic

and ultrasonographic kidney lengths were closely correlated with actual kidney size, and in this study using the K/Ao ratio was sufficient to prove that absolute kidney length was not the factor leading to significant differences in radiographic kidney size by comparing kidney length with different anatomic structures, L2 and the aorta. Third, this study included dogs without clinical evidence of renal disease, and thus dogs with subclinical renal disease may be included in this study. Finally, changes in radiographic kidney size in Miniature Schnauzer dogs with renal disease were not studied, and further study research is needed.

In conclusion, Miniature Schnauzer dogs have breed-specific short vertebrae and consequently a larger radiographic kidney size. In this study, the normal radiographic kidney size of Miniature Schnauzer dogs was 3.31 ± 0.26 , which was greater than the standard reference in normal adult dogs. Thus, care should be taken when evaluating radiographic kidney size in Miniature Schnauzer dogs to prevent falsely diagnosed renomegaly. Further studies in Miniature Schnauzer dogs with known renal disease are necessary.

References

1. Seiler GS. The kidneys and ureters., Schwarz T. The large bowel. In: Thrall DE (ed): Textbook of veterinary diagnostic radiology, 6th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Saunders, 2013;705–725.
2. Dennis R, Kirberger RM, Barr F, Wrigley RH. Urogenital tract. In: Dennis R, Kirberger RM, Barr F, Wrigley RH (eds): Handbook of small animal radiology and ultrasound: techniques and differential diagnoses, 2nd ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Saunders, 2010;297–330.
3. Thornton GW. Radiographs in the diagnosis of disease conditions of the urinary system. *Vet Radiol* 1962;3:1–12.
4. Soldo D, Brkljacic B, Bozikov V, Drinkovic I, Hauser M. Diabetic nephropathy: Comparison of conventional and duplex Doppler ultrasonographic findings. *Acta Radiol* 1997;38:296–302.
5. Mackay EM. Kidney weight, body size and renal function. *Arch Intern Med* 1932;50:590–594.
6. Lamb CR. Abdominal ultrasonography in small animals: intestinal tract and mesentery, kidneys, adrenal glands, uterus and prostate. *J Small Anim Pract* 1990;31:295–304.
7. Tyson R, Logsdon SA, Werre SR, Daniel GB. Estimation of feline renal volume using computed tomography and ultrasound. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 2013;54:127–132.

8. Bakker J, Olree M, Kaatee R, de Lange EE, Moons KGM, Beutler JJ, Beek FJA. Renal volume measurements: accuracy and repeatability of US compared with that of MR imaging. *Radiology* 1999;211:623–628.
9. Daniel GB, Mitchell SK, Mawby D, Sackman JE, Schmidt D. Renal nuclear medicine: a review. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1999;40:572–587.
10. Nyland TG, Fisher PE, Gregory CR, Wisner ER. Ultrasonographic evaluation of renal size in dogs with acute allograft rejection. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1997;38:55–61.
11. Felkai CS, Voros K, Vrabely T, Karsai F. Ultrasonographic determination of renal volume in the dog. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1992;33:292–296.
12. Nyland TG, Kantrowitz BM, Fisher P, Olander HJ, Hornof WJ. Ultrasonic determination of kidney volume in the dog. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1989;30:174–180.
13. Barr FJ. Evaluation of ultrasound as a method of assessing renal size in the dog. *Small Anim Pract* 1990;31:174–179.
14. Barrera R, Duque J, Ruiz P, Zaragoza C. Accuracy of ultrasonographic measurements of kidney of dogs for clinical use. *Revista Científica* 2009;19:576–583.

15. Konde LJ, Wrigley RH, Park RD, Lebel JL. Ultrasonographic anatomy of the normal canine kidney. *Vet Radiol* 1984;25:173–178.
16. Barr FJ, Holt PE, Gibbs C. Ultrasonographic measurements of normal renal parameters. *J Small Anim Pract* 1990;31:180–184.
17. Griffiths GJ, Cartwright G, McLachlan MSF. Estimation of renal size from radiographs: is the effort worthwhile? *Clin Radiol* 1974;26:249–256.
18. Churchill JA, Feeney DA, Fletcher TF, Osborne CA, Polzin DJ. Effects of diet and aging on renal measurements in uninephrectomized geriatric bitches. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1999;40:233–240.
19. Olesen S, Genster HG. Estimation of renal volume and function in dogs from the radiological appearance. *Invest Urology* 1970;7:363–370.
20. Finco DR, Stiles NS, Kneller SK, Lewis RE, Barrett RB. Radiologic estimation of kidney size of the dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1971;159:995–1002.
21. Mareschal A, d' Anjou MA, Moreau M, Alexander K, Beauregard G. Ultrasonographic measurement of kidney-to-aorta ratio as a method of estimating renal size in dogs. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 2007;48:434–438.

22. England GCW. Renal and hepatic ultrasonography in the neonatal dog. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1996;37:374–382.
23. Feeney DA, Thrall DE, Barber DL, Culver DH, Lewis RE. Normal canine excretory urogram: effects of dose, time, and individual dog variation. *Am J Vet Res* 1979;40:1596–1604.
24. Lee R, Leowijuk C. Normal parameters in abdominal radiology of the dog and cat. *J Small Anim Pract* 1982;23:251–269.
25. Lobacz MA, Sullivan M, Mellor D, Hammond G, Labruyère J, Dennis R. Effect of breed, age, weight and gender on radiographic renal size in the dog. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 2012;53:437–441.
26. Grandage J. Some effects of posture on the radiographic appearance of the kidneys of the dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1975;166:165–166.
27. Shiroma JT, Gabriel JK, Carter RL, Scruggs SL, Stubbs PW. Effect of reproductive status on feline renal size. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 1999;40:242–245.
28. Jung Y, Jeong J, Kim M, Lee H, Kim N, Lee K. Is it true that the Miniature Schnauzer have larger vertebral heart scale? – vertebral heart scale (VHS) in normal Miniature Schnauzer dogs. *J Vet Clin* 2012;29:148.

29. Barella G, Lodi M, Sabbadin LA, Faverzani S. A new method for ultrasonographic measurement of kidney size in healthy dogs. *J Ultrasound* 2012;15:186–191.
30. Farrant P, Meire HB. Ultrasonic measurement of renal inclination; its importance in measurement of renal length. *Brit J Radiol* 1978;51:628–630.

국문초록

신장 크기는 신장 질환에 의해 변화할 수 있으며, 신장 크기 변화를 발견하는 것은 진단과 예후 평가를 위해 중요하다. 본 연구에서는 정상 Miniature Schnauzer 견은 짧은 척추체로 인해 방사선 영상에서 신장 크기(신장 길이와 두 번째 요추 길이의 비)가 과대평가될 수 있을 것이라고 가설을 세웠다. 본 연구는 임상적으로 정상인 Miniature Schnauzer 견과 다른 품종의 견에서 방사선 영상에서 신장 크기와 두 번째 요추(L2)길이, 초음파 영상에서 신장 크기(신장 길이와 대동맥 직경의 비)를 평가하고 이를 집단 간 비교하여, 척추체 길이가 방사선 영상에서 신장 크기에 미치는 영향을 평가하고, 나아가 방사선 영상에서 Miniature Schnauzer 견의 신장 크기를 재정립하고자 하는 것이다. 신장 질환 및 요추 이상과 관련한 임상적 증거가 없는 49 마리의 Miniature Schnauzer 견과 54 마리의 다른 품종의 견(대조군)에서 촬영된 복부 방사선 및 초음파 영상을 후향적으로 평가하였으며, 방사선 영상에서 신장 크기는 Miniature Schnauzer 군(3.31 ± 0.26)에서 대조군(2.94 ± 0.27)에 비해 유의적으로 컸다. 상대적인 L2 길이로써 L2 길이와 너비의 비는 Miniature Schnauzer 군(1.11 ± 0.06)에서 대조군(1.21 ± 0.09)에 비해 유의적으로 짧은 것이 확인되었다. 그러나 초음파 영상에서 신장 크기는 Miniature Schnauzer 군(6.75 ± 0.67)과 대조군(7.16 ± 1.01) 모두에서 정상 범위 이내이거나 정상 범위에 매우 근접하였다. 따라서 Miniature Schnauzer 견은 품종

특이적으로 짧은 L2 길이로 인해 방사선 영상에서 신장 크기가 다른 품종에 비해 더 크게 측정되며, 이는 기존에 알려진 정상 성견의 신장 크기보다 크다. 방사선 영상에서 Miniature Schnauzer 견의 신장 크기를 평가할 때는 신장 종대로 잘못 진단하지 않도록 주의가 필요할 것이다.

.....
주요어 : 개, Miniature Schnauzer, 신장 크기, L2,
방사선

학 번 : 2013-21557