



저작자표시-비영리-변경금지 2.0 대한민국

이용자는 아래의 조건을 따르는 경우에 한하여 자유롭게

- 이 저작물을 복제, 배포, 전송, 전시, 공연 및 방송할 수 있습니다.

다음과 같은 조건을 따라야 합니다:



저작자표시. 귀하는 원저작자를 표시하여야 합니다.



비영리. 귀하는 이 저작물을 영리 목적으로 이용할 수 없습니다.



변경금지. 귀하는 이 저작물을 개작, 변형 또는 가공할 수 없습니다.

- 귀하는, 이 저작물의 재이용이나 배포의 경우, 이 저작물에 적용된 이용허락조건을 명확하게 나타내어야 합니다.
- 저작권자로부터 별도의 허가를 받으면 이러한 조건들은 적용되지 않습니다.

저작권법에 따른 이용자의 권리는 위의 내용에 의하여 영향을 받지 않습니다.

이것은 [이용허락규약\(Legal Code\)](#)을 이해하기 쉽게 요약한 것입니다.

[Disclaimer](#)

의학박사 학위논문

Embryonic stem cell-derived  
photoreceptor precursor cells  
differentiated by coculture with  
retinal pigment epithelial cells

망막색소상피세포 공배양을 이용한 배아줄기세포  
유래 시각세포 전구세포 분화 유도

2021년 8월

서울대학교 대학원

의학과 안과학전공

신 주 영

Embryonic stem cell-derived  
photoreceptor precursor cells  
differentiated by coculture with  
retinal pigment epithelial cells

지도 교수 유 형 곤

이 논문을 의학박사 학위논문으로 제출함

2021년 4월

서울대학교 대학원

의학과 안과학전공

신 주 영

신주영의 의학박사 학위논문을 인준함

2021년 7월

위 원 장 \_\_\_\_\_

부위원장 \_\_\_\_\_

위 원 \_\_\_\_\_

위 원 \_\_\_\_\_

위 원 \_\_\_\_\_

# Abstract

Photoreceptor cells change light signals into biological signals, and are essential in the formation of vision. However, the development of photoreceptor cells from stem cells has been more challenging with disappointingly low yields and complicated processes. As retinal pigment epithelial cells (RPE) are essential for the survival and maintenance of photoreceptors, they may play a role in the development of photoreceptors. Human embryonic stem cells were induced to differentiate into neural precursor cells and then cocultured with RPE cells to obtain cells showing retinal photoreceptor features. Immunofluorescent staining, reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), and microarray analysis were performed to identify photoreceptor markers, and a cGMP assay was used for *in vitro* functional analysis. After subretinal injection in rat animal models, retinal function was determined with electroretinography and optokinetic response detection, and immunofluorescent staining was performed to assess the survival of the injected cells. Cocultured cells were positive for rhodopsin, red and blue opsin, recoverin, and phosphodiesterase 6 beta on immunofluorescent staining and RT-PCR. Serial detection of stem cell-, neural precursor-, and photoreceptor-specific markers was

noted in each stage of differentiation with microarray analysis. Increased cGMP hydrolysis in light-exposed conditions compared to that in dark conditions was observed. After the subretinal injection in the rats, preservation of optokinetic responses was noted up to 20 weeks, while electroretinographic response decreased. Survival of the injected cells was confirmed with positive immunofluorescence staining with anti-human nuclei antibody at 8 weeks. Cells showed photoreceptor-specific features when stem cell-derived neurogenic precursors were cocultured with RPE cells.

**Keyword :** retinal pigment epithelial cell, photoreceptor precursor cell, co-culture, human embryonic stem cell, retinal degeneration

**Student Number :** 2012-30513

# Table of Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction.....	1
Chapter 2. Materials and Methods.....	4
Chapter 3. Results .....	16
Chapter 4. Discussion.....	28
Bibliography.....	34
Abstract in Korean .....	39

## List of Tables

Table 1. Primary antibody information.....	14
Table 2. Gene-specific primer sequences.....	15

## List of Figures

Figure 1. Differentiation process of photoreceptor precursor cells from human embryonic stem cells via spherical neural masses.....	13
Figure 2. Alternative placement of human embryonic stem cell-derived retinal pigment epithelial cells and spherical neural mass cells to identify optimal co-culture method for photoreceptor precursor cell differentiation .....	20
Figure 3. Immunofluorescence staining and real time-polymerase chain reaction of human embryonic stem cell-derived photoreceptor precursor cells.....	21
Figure 4. Expression of multiple photoreceptor markers in stem cell-derived photoreceptor precursor cells.....	22
Figure 5. Microarray heatmap showing the expression of markers representative of different stages of retinal	

photoreceptor cell differentiation ..... 23

Figure 6. n vitro functional evaluation by measuring the level of cyclic guanosine monophosphate hydrolysis in stem cell-derived photoreceptor precursor cells ..... 24

Figure 7. Amplitudes of dark-adapted 10 electroretinogram b waves in Royal College of Surgeons rats ..... 25

Figure 8. Maximal cycle per degree on optokinetic response in Royal College of Surgeons rats. .... 26

Figure 9. Immunofluorescence staining of the injected cells in the subretinal area in Royal College of Surgeons rats ..... 27



## List of Abbreviations

ARPE19, Adult Retinal Pigment Epithelial cell line–19

bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor

cGMP, cyclic guanosine monophosphate

EB, embryonic body

ERPE, embryonic stem cell–derived retinal pigment epithelial cell

ES cell, embryonic stem cell

GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3–phosphate dehydrogenase

NP, neural precursor

PAX6, paired box 6

PDE6 $\beta$ , phosphodiesterase 6 beta

RCS rat, Royal College of Surgeons rat

RPE65, retinal pigmented epithelium–specific 65–kDa protein

RPE cell, retinal pigment epithelial cell

RT–PCR, reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction

SNM, spherical neural mass ERG, electroretinogram

ZO1, zonula occludens 1

# Chapter 1. Introduction

Photoreceptor cells absorb photons and transform light signals into biological signals in the retina, which is essential for the formation of vision. Loss of these photoreceptors leads to the deterioration of vision, which is a common late-stage feature of many forms of retinal degeneration, such as retinitis pigmentosa, cone-rod dystrophy, and age-related macular degeneration, despite the various differences in the early pathophysiologic changes. As the damage occurring to photoreceptor cells is considered to be irreversible, there is no known effective treatment for these conditions, despite numerous efforts.

Embryonic stem (ES) cells have been considered a possible source of cell therapy for retinal degeneration. Retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells, which are pigmented cells that form the outer blood retinal barrier, play various roles in maintaining the retina. They have been considered target cells for cell replacement therapy for retinal degeneration, and various methods have been established to generate RPE cells from pluripotent stem cells. However, as retinal degeneration is characterized by photoreceptor dysfunction and death, causing eventual deterioration of vision, it may be theoretically most effective if photoreceptors can be

replaced along with RPE cells. The development of photoreceptor cells from stem cells has been more challenging due to disappointingly low yields and complicated processes, which have mostly involved various two- and three-dimensional culture systems[1-11] and supplementation with various exogenous inhibitors or growth factors, including Noggin, Dkk1, LeftyA, DAPT, insulin-like growth factor 1, acidic or basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF), triiodothyronine, sonic hedgehog, retinoic acid and taurine.[1-3, 5, 12-14] Therefore, the key to clinical application of stem cell-derived cell therapy is to generate retinal photoreceptor cells by using a simpler, more controllable method without genetic modification using viral vectors.

The differentiation of retinal cells takes place in a microenvironment with many cell-to-cell interactions through direct contact or diffusible signaling molecules. As RPE cells are essential for the survival and maintenance of photoreceptors, they may play a role in the development of photoreceptors. Previous studies have shown that RPE-photoreceptor interactions by contact and secreted factors are important for photoreceptor differentiation and maturation. In earlier studies using ES cells, mouse retinal explant coculture was used for retinal photoreceptor differentiation stimulation.[12, 13] More recently, photoreceptors were derived

from ES cells or induced pluripotent stem cells by the formation of three-dimensional optic cup-like structures [5, 7] with the development of the neural retina adjacent to the RPE cells, which provide RPE-derived factors. However, very little is known about how RPE cells assist in the derivation of photoreceptor cells.

The method of differentiation of retinal photoreceptor precursors from human ES cells by coculture with RPE cells and the results of *in vitro* and *in vivo* functional evaluation studies of these cells are reported. Subretinal transplantation was performed in Royal College of Surgeons (RCS) rats, a widely used retinal degeneration animal model, and the survival of these cells and their effect on retinal function were evaluated.

## **Chapter 2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1. Differentiation of photoreceptor precursor cells from human ES cells via spherical neural mass (SNM) by coculture with ES-derived RPE cells**

As previously reported, human ES cells (SNUhES3 line, previously established and reported[15]) were induced to differentiate into neuroepithelial cells through the *in vitro* developmental process of the formation of the spherical neural mass (SNM) from the embryoid body (EB) .[16–18] Briefly, human ES cells were detached and transferred into culture dishes with essential 6 medium (GIBCO, Grand Island, NY, USA) for 5 days to generate EBs, which were then attached onto CELLstart CTS (GIBCO)–coated dishes and cultured in neural precursor (NP) selection medium including 0.5% N2 supplement (GIBCO) for 5 days. Then, the medium was switched to NP expansion medium with 1% N2 supplement and 40 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF; GIBCO). After 7 to 14 days, neural rosettes and neural tube structures were mechanically isolated and then cultured in suspension in NP expansion medium to form SNMs. When grown to

approximately 0.5–1 mm in diameter, these SNMs can be passaged for use in further studies by mechanically cutting them into 6 to 8 pieces and maintaining them in suspension culture for 5 to 7 days to allow them to grow. Some SNMs in early culture (passages 1 to 4) show optic vesicle-like structures that balloon outward, and these cystic portions were separated and fragmented by mechanical dissection under a stereomicroscope and cultured in a CELLstart-coated dish for 1 to 4 weeks in RPE medium with 1% N2 supplement and 1% B27 supplement (GIBCO), which resulted in the generation of cells showing typical RPE morphologies that were previously described as ES-derived RPE cells. Detailed characteristics of these ES-derived RPE cells can be found elsewhere. [17] (Figure 1)

For the generation of photoreceptor precursor cells, a noncontact coculture system with transparent permeable cell culture inserts suspended in a 6-well plate was used for the coculture of SNM-derived neural precursors and ES-derived RPE cells. The neural tube-like portions of the SNMs from passages 3 to 8 were mechanically cut into small pieces of approximately 100–200  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter and enzymatically dissociated into single cells, after which they were transferred onto CELLstart-coated surfaces of culture dishes. These cells were cocultured with ES-derived

RPE cells in medium supplemented with N2 and B27 for up to 21 days to induce differentiation into photoreceptor precursor cells (Figure 1). To identify the optimal coculture method, alternative placement of SNM-derived single cells and ES-derived RPE cells was attempted by using the following combinations: 1) SNM-derived single cells in the insert and ES-derived RPE cells in the bottom of the well, 2) ES-derived RPE cells in the insert and SNM-derived single cells in the bottom of the well, and 3) SNM-derived single cells on the outer surface of the insert and ES-derived RPE cells in the bottom of the well (Figure 2). During the differentiation period, the medium was changed every other day.

## **2.2. Immunofluorescent staining of cultured cells for the identification of photoreceptor marker expression**

Cultured cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. After blocking for 1 hour in 3% bovine serum albumin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) in PBS (GIBCO) containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma), the samples were incubated overnight at 4° C in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (Sigma) containing the primary antibodies. The primary antibodies are listed in Table 1. To

detect the primary antibodies, Alexa Fluor® 488 donkey anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG (1:200, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA), Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG (1:200, Molecular Probes, Eugene) and Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey anti-goat IgG were used. The cell nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Molecular Probes).

### **2.3. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for the identification of photoreceptor marker expression**

RT-PCR was performed as described previously.[17] Total cellular RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Ca, USA), and cDNA was synthesized from- RNA using AMV reverse transcriptase (RT) and oligo-dT as a primer according to the manufacturer' s instructions (AccuPower RT PreMix; Bioneer, Taejeon, Korea). PCR amplification was performed with Taq Polymerase (HiPi Plus 5x PCR Premix; Elpis Biotech, Taejeon, Korea) using a standard procedure. The primer sequences are summarized in Table 2.



## **2.4. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and microarray analysis**

For the microarray analysis, total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA), purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen, Valencia, USA), and then amplified using the Ambion Illumina RNA amplification kit (Ambion, Austin, USA) to yield biotinylated cRNA, which was then reverse-transcribed into cDNA using a T7 oligo(dT) primer. The second-strand cDNA was synthesized, transcribed *in vitro*, and labeled with biotin-NTP, and the labeled samples were hybridized to each human HT-12 expression v.4 bead array for 16–18 hours at 58° C according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, USA). Detection of the array signal was carried out using Amersham Fluorolink streptavidin-Cy3 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK), and arrays were scanned with an Illumina bead array reader confocal scanner. Raw data were extracted using the software provided by the manufacturer (Illumina GenomeStudio v2011.1 and Gene Expression Module v1.9.0). Samples from each stage of differentiation with the same cell counts were used, and three biological replicates were analyzed for each stage; the median values of the replicates were used for further analysis.

## **2.5. *In vitro* functional phototransduction pathway characterization with a cGMP assay**

*In vitro* functional evaluation of the phototransduction pathway was performed by measuring the level of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) with an enzyme immunoassay kit (Biotrack [EIA] System; GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) as described previously.[19, 20] The cGMP level was measured according to the manufacturer' s protocol in ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cells (cocultured for 7 days) under dark conditions, and then the cells were maintained in ambient daylight for 24 hours to evaluate whether the cGMP level was decreased under light-exposed conditions due to the increase in cGMP hydrolysis by functional phototransduction. A phosphodiesterase inhibitor, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX, 50 mM), was added 48 hours before the determination of the cGMP levels to evaluate whether the observed decrease in the cGMP level under light-exposed conditions was inhibited.

## **2.6. Immunofluorescent staining and *in vivo* functional**

## **evaluation using electroretinography and optokinetic response measurement after subretinal injection of ES-derived retinal cells in a retinal degeneration animal model**

The animals were treated according to the regulations in the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research, and the study was approved by the IACUC of Seoul National University Hospital. The 3-week-old RCS rats were grouped into 5 groups: group 1 with no injection, group 2 with media-only injection, group 3 with ES-derived RPE cell ( $10^5$  cells/ $2\ \mu\text{L}$ ) injection, group 4 with ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cell ( $10^5$  cells/ $2\ \mu\text{L}$ ) injection, and group 5 with an injection of a 1:1 mixture of ES-derived RPE cells and photoreceptor precursor cells ( $10^5$  cells/ $2\ \mu\text{L}$ ), with 5 rats per group based on the resource equation method.[21] RCS rats were anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of tiletamine/zolazepam and xylazine. The pupils were dilated with eye drops containing 0.5% tropicamide and 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride (HCl), and topical anesthetic eye drops with 0.5% proparacaine HCl were administered. Before implantation, the putative ES-derived RPE cells or photoreceptor precursor cells (cocultured for 7 days) were

incubated with DAPI (10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) for 30 minutes, washed several times to remove the DAPI in the media, and then dissociated by incubation for 5 minutes in 0.05% trypsin/0.1% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid at 37° C. Under visualization with an operating microscope, the injection of cells in a 2  $\mu\text{L}$  volume into the dorsal subretinal space was performed via a transscleral approach using a 33-gauge needle attached to a Hamilton syringe. Immediately after injection, the fundus was examined, and any animals with massive subretinal hemorrhage or vitreous hemorrhage were removed from the study. Cyclosporin A (210 mg/L, Cipro-N; Chong Kun Dang, Seoul, Korea) was administered in the drinking water starting 1 day before transplantation until enucleation was performed. The electroretinogram (ERG) was measured at 4, 8, 12, and 20 weeks, and the amplitude of the dark-adapted 10 ERG b wave was assessed. The maximal cycle per degree of optokinetic response was also measured. The animals were sacrificed at 1 week and 8 weeks after injection, and the enucleated eyes were rapidly frozen in embedding compound (FSC 22; Leica Microsystems, IL, USA). The cryosectioned eyes were used for immunofluorescent staining to evaluate the survival of the injected cells as described in the previous section.

## **2.7. Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY); differences with  $p < 0.05$  were regarded as statistically significant. Mann–Whitney U–test was used to test the significance of comparisons among groups.




Figure 1. Differentiation process of photoreceptor precursor cells from human embryonic stem cells via spherical neural masses. Abbreviations: EB, embryonic body; NP, neural precursor; bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; ERPE, embryonic stem cell-derived retinal pigment epithelial cells.

Table 1. Primary antibody information.

Antibody	Dilution	Source	Location
<b>Polyclonal antibody (rabbit)</b>			
$\beta$ -Tubulin	1:1000	BioLegend	San Diego, CA
Opsin, blue	1:200	Chemicon	Temecula, CA
Opsin, red/green	1:100	Chemicon	Temecula, CA
Recoverin	1:100	Chemicon	Temecula, CA
PDE6 $\beta$	1:50	abcam	Cambridge, UK
ZO1	1:50	ThermoFisher	Rockford, IL
RPE65	1:100	Novus biologicals	Littleton, CO
Bestrophin	1:100	Novus biologicals	Littleton, CO
PAX6	1:100	Novus biologicals	Littleton, CO
Rhodopsin	1:100	Millipore	Temecula, CA
<b>Monoclonal antibody (mouse)</b>			
$\beta$ -Tubulin	1:500	BioLegend	San Diego, CA
Anti-human nuclei	1:50	Millipore	Temecula, CA
PAX6	1:100	Chemicon	Temecula, CA

**Table 2. Gene-specific primer sequences**

Gene	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence
Rhodopsin	CACAGGATGCAATTT GGAGG	CCTTCTGTGTGGTGGC TGAC
$\beta$ -Tubulin	CAACAGCACGGCCATC CAGG	CTTGGGGCCCTGGGCC TCCGA
Nestin	CAGCTGGCGCACCTCA AGATG	AGGGAAGTTGGGCTCA GGACTGG
GAPDH	ACCACAGTCCATGCCA TCAC	TCCACCACCCTGTTGC TGTA



## **Chapter 3. Results**

### **3.1. Identification of the most efficient coculture method for the differentiation of retinal photoreceptor precursor cells from ES cells**

After coculture of SNM-derived single cells with ES-derived RPE cells, immunofluorescent staining revealed the presence of neuronal cells expressing rhodopsin. These cells showed a morphology with small nuclei and relatively short neurites. To identify the optimal coculture method, the alternative placement of the ES-derived RPE cells and the cells derived from the SNM neural tube-like portions was attempted, as shown in Figure 2. Coculture of SNM-derived single cells in the inserts with ES-derived RPE cells in the bottom of the wells was the most efficient method, as coculture with ES-derived RPE cells in the inserts and SNM-derived single cells in the bottom of the wells or coculture with SNM-derived single cells on the outer surface of the inserts and ES-derived RPE cells in the bottom of the wells resulted in fewer rhodopsin-positive cells.

### **3.2. *In vitro* expression of photoreceptor markers on**

**immunofluorescence, polymerase chain reaction, and microarray analysis, and *in vitro* functional evaluation of phototransduction with a cGMP assay**

On immunofluorescence staining, rhodopsin expression was markedly increased in cells cocultured with ES-derived RPE cells compared to that in cells that were not cocultured with RPE cells, as shown in Figure 3A. RT-PCR was used to evaluate the amount of rhodopsin expression according to the length of the coculture period, and the expression of rhodopsin was increased most after 7 days of coculture, and the expression of the early neural marker nestin decreased over time (Figure 3B). These cells were also positive for other photoreceptor markers, such as red and blue opsin, recoverin and phosphodiesterase 6 beta on immunofluorescence assay. (Figure 4). Microarray analysis showed the increased expression of markers representative of stem cells, neural differentiation, and retinal photoreceptor cells at each stage of differentiation (Figure 5). On *in vitro* functional evaluation of the phototransduction pathways that was performed by measuring the level of cGMP hydrolysis, a decrease in the cGMP level was observed when the ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cells were maintained under

light compared to when they were kept in the dark ( $P < 0.05$ ). With the addition of a phosphodiesterase inhibitor, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, the difference in cGMP levels under light and dark conditions was abolished (Figure 6).

### **3.3. Subretinal transplantation of ES-derived cells in a retinal degeneration rat model**

When visual function was assessed at 4, 8, 12, and 20 weeks after subretinal transplantation of ES-derived cells, decreased amplitudes of the ERG b waves were observed in all 5 groups with no significant difference (Figure 7A). The maximal cycle per degree in which RCS rats showed an optokinetic response showed a gradual decreasing trend in control group 1, while group 2 showed a decreased response from 4 weeks and throughout, suggesting injection itself inducing some lesions. Response was relatively preserved in groups 3 to 5 compared to the decrease observed in groups 1 and 2 at 20 weeks (Figure 7B). After enucleation at week 1, immunofluorescence staining of the eyes of RCS rats showed that cells positive for human markers were clumped in the subretinal space and were mixed with cells positive for the photoreceptor markers red and blue opsin. There were

similar findings showing positive staining for the same markers in RCS rats sacrificed at 8 weeks after injection, suggesting long-term survival of these cells (Figure 8).






Figure 2. Alternative placement of human embryonic stem cell – derived retinal pigment epithelial cells and spherical neural mass cells to identify optimal co-culture method for photoreceptor precursor cell differentiation. (A) SNM–derived single cells in the insert and ES–derived RPE cells in the bottom of the well, (B) ES–derived RPE cells (ERPE) in the insert and SNM–derived single cells in the bottom of the well, (C) SNM–derived single cells on the outer surface of the insert and ES–derived RPE cells in the bottom of the well.



**Figure 3.** Immunofluorescence staining (A) and RT-PCR (B) of human embryonic stem cell (ES)-derived photoreceptor precursor cells. Immunofluorescence staining comparing the expression of rhodopsin (lower row) in spherical neural mass (SNM)-derived single cells co-cultured with human embryonic stem cell (ES)-derived retinal pigment epithelial cells compared to that of cells that were not co-cultured. Polymerase chain reaction results for the expression of rhodopsin to evaluate the amount of expression according to the coculture period. The expression of rhodopsin was most increased on day 7 of co-culture, and the expression of the early neural marker nestin decreased over time.



**Figure 4. Expression of multiple photoreceptor markers in stem cell-derived photoreceptor precursor cells.** Red opsin, blue opsin, recoverin and phosphodiesterase 6 beta (PDE 6B) were expressed on immunofluorescence staining.




Figure 5. Microarray heatmap showing the expression of markers representative of different stages of retinal photoreceptor cell differentiation (embryonic stem cell (ES), embryonic body (EB), spherical neural mass (SNM), and after co-culture(CC)).









Figure 6. *In vitro* functional evaluation by measuring the level of cyclic guanosine monophosphate hydrolysis in stem cell-derived photoreceptor precursor cells. When kept in the dark or maintained in ambient daylight for 24 hours, a decrease in the cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) level was observed when ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cells were kept in light condition compared to when they were kept in dark condition (\* $P < 0.05$ , Mann Whitney U test). When a phosphodiesterase inhibitor, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (50 mM), was added 48 hours before the determination of cGMP levels, the difference in the cGMP levels in light and dark conditions was abolished.



**Figure 7. Amplitudes of dark-adapted 10 electroretinogram b waves in Royal College of Surgeons rats.** Group 1 with no injection, group 2 with media-only injection, group 3 with embryonic stem cell (ES)-derived retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cell ( $10^5$  cells/ $2 \mu\text{L}$ ) injection, group 4 with ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cell ( $10^5$  cells/ $2 \mu\text{L}$ ) injection, and group 5 with an injection of a 1:1 mixture of ES-derived RPE cells and photoreceptor precursor cells ( $10^5$  cells/ $2 \mu\text{L}$ ). Gradual decrease in amplitude is observed in all groups with no significant difference. Error bars indicate standard deviation, and asterisks (\*) indicate statistical significance of  $P < 0.05$  (Mann Whitney U test). indicate standard deviation, and asterisks (\*) indicate statistical significance of  $P < 0.05$  (Mann Whitney U test).



**Figure 8. Maximal cycle per degree on optokinetic response in Royal College of Surgeons rats.** Group 1 with no injection, group 2 with media-only injection, group 3 with embryonic stem cell(ES)-derived retinal pigment epithelial(RPE) cell ( $10^5$  cells/ $2 \mu$  L) injection, group 4 with ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cell ( $10^5$  cells/ $2 \mu$  L) injection, and group 5 with an injection of a 1:1 mixture of ES-derived RPE cells and photoreceptor precursor cells ( $10^5$  cells/ $2 \mu$  L). Preservation of optokinetic response was observed in group 3 (embryonic stem cell(ES)-derived retinal pigment epithelial cells), group 4 (ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cells), and group 5 (1:1 mixture of both cells), compared to groups 1 (no injection) and 2 (media only injection), with statistical significance in some groups. Error bars



**Figure 9. Immunofluorescence staining of the injected cells in the subretinal area in Royal College of Surgeons rats. Rats sacrificed at 1 week and 8 weeks were positive for anti-human nuclei (H. Mix) and the photoreceptor markers red and blue opsin.**

## Chapter 4. Discussion

Cells with properties consistent with those of retinal photoreceptor precursors were derived from human ES cells using coculture with RPE cells. These cells showed positive staining of markers for photoreceptors, and increased cGMP hydrolysis under light-exposed conditions. When implanted in RCS rats, these cells survived for up to 8 weeks, with preservation of functional response on optokinetic response for up to 20 weeks.

The importance of the microenvironment for stem cell-derived photoreceptor differentiation has been demonstrated by various studies.[5, 12, 13, 22] Both direct physical contact between photoreceptors and RPE and RPE-derived secretory factors are considered important for photoreceptor development. Coculture methods for photoreceptor cell differentiation were reported more than a decade ago, while earlier studies used retinal explants[12, 13] instead of RPE cells, which were used by our method. In more recent studies, the successful derivation of 3D retinal cups has been reported.[7, 11, 22] In these methods, RPE cells derived from stem cells also aid the differentiation of cells with photoreceptor properties in the neural retina. Zhong et al[7] suggested that physical contact with the RPE may not be required, at least at the beginning of functional maturation. In the 3-D retinal tissue

cultures, RPE cells were clustered at the tips of the retinal cups far away from the photoreceptors, indicating that direct physical contact with the RPE was not required for photoreceptor differentiation. On the contrary, Akhtar et al[23] described the accelerated photoreceptor differentiation of human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived retinal organoids by contact coculture with primary mouse RPE cells. Their results suggested that conditioned media derived from the RPE did not influence the expression of different photoreceptor markers, which indicated that direct contact between the RPE and retinal organoids was mandatory. In our method, we hypothesized that secretory factors derived from the RPE may induce the differentiation of photoreceptor cells, which we isolated in separate dishes for noncontact coculture. By isolating the cells rather than culturing them as a whole retinal 3-D structure, the maintenance of the culture was easier compared to that of 3-D retinal cultures, which may sometimes grow to a larger size with increasing demand of exogenous factors. Additionally, our method of coculture utilizing separate dishes is more convenient for generating a single cell suspension of each cell type separately for injection into retinal degeneration animal models and for the potential development as a cell therapy for clinical application. As photoreceptor cells are cells

with polarity, it may be considered that culturing the whole retina in a 3-D culture and implanting the cultured retina as a whole may be more effective; however, intact whole retinal structures may not be necessary to produce an effect on the host retina. The majority of previous studies that cultured the retina in a 3-D structure dissociated the cells into a single cell suspension for injection into animal models.

The mechanism of RPE-induced photoreceptor differentiation remains to be clarified in future studies. RPE cells are known to secrete multiple pigment epithelium-derived factors and various other growth factors, such as vascular endothelial growth factor, which are known to play a role in the maintenance of retinal photoreceptors. However, very little is known about their mechanism of action in the differentiation of photoreceptors. We also evaluated RPE conditioned media to induce photoreceptor precursor cells, but these cells showed negative results for expression of photoreceptor markers. A sequential and continuous secretory interaction between the RPE and induced photoreceptor cells is suspected, but future studies are needed to evaluate the exact mechanism. Proteomic or transcriptomic analysis may help to identify the molecular mechanism of how RPE coculture enhances the differentiation and maturation of photoreceptor precursor cells

while also contributing to the investigation of photoreceptor development and pathophysiology. Identification of the specific factor that most contributes to photoreceptor differentiation may lead to a further increase in the efficiency of the production of ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cells.

After subretinal injection in retinal degeneration animal models, the injected cells had no effect on preserving the electroretinographic response but had a relatively larger effect on preserving the optokinetic response. This may be due to various causes, including that the degeneration process may have caused diffuse degeneration throughout the retina with a decrease in the electroretinographic response, while focal residual areas adjacent to the injection site may have been positively affected by the injected cells, which contributed in preserving some optokinetic response, as previously reported.[24] Also, very little is known about how these cells affect the host retina when they are injected in animal models. There were no eminent interactions observed between the injected cells and the host retina in the histological studies. However, the injected human cells may have a neuroprotective effect rather than effect by integration and new synaptic connection. In our study, ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cells were injected at only one time point in RCS rats to evaluate whether they could aid in the



survival of the retinal cells fated to degeneration. We did not evaluate the effect in the earliest stages or later stages, and further studies should investigate at which time point it may be most effective to inject the cells in RCS rats. Further studies should be conducted with more sensitive functional study methods to confirm these findings in various other retinal degeneration animal models. Additionally, higher dosages of ES-derived photoreceptor precursor cells, combination of photoreceptor precursor cells with ES-derived RPE cells at various ratios, or repeated injections may further improve outcomes in future studies.

Noncontact coculture with ES-derived RPE cells induced the differentiation of retinal photoreceptor precursor cells, which was performed with a simple method without any genetic modification or 3-D culture. Moreover, minimal supplementation with various exogenous inhibitors or growth factors and the avoidance of transgenic approaches allows easier potential clinical application, as all the supplements used in our method can be modified to use agents free of xeno-derived material. This method also allows possible cryopreservation in certain stages of the culture process, such as the passages of SNMs or ES-derived RPE cells, which aids in scaling production to generate larger amounts for clinical usage. The RPE cells used for coculture can also be

potentially substituted with other sources of cells with RPE features, such as ARPE or cultured human primary RPE cells, to enhance availability and convenience and aid in the adaptation of the method for large-scale production as a cell therapeutic method. However, further studies evaluating such substitutions are required in the future before clinical application.

There are some limitations of this study. The differentiated photoreceptor precursor cells are not identical to adult photoreceptor cells, exhibiting all the features of a mature photoreceptor cell. The differentiated precursor cells comprise a rather heterogenic population with varying expression of photoreceptor markers, and it is not clear whether the photoreceptor precursor markers observed by immunofluorescent staining and microarray assays are colocalized within the same cells. However, the cells derived from SNMs showed neural differentiation by forming neurites, and no undifferentiated stem cell properties were observed during the culture process. Further *in vivo* studies are needed to evaluate safety prior to clinical application of these ES-derived cells for replacement therapy in retinal degenerative diseases.

## Bibliography

1. Hirami Y, Osakada F, Takahashi K, Okita K, Yamanaka S, Ikeda H, Yoshimura N, Takahashi M. Generation of retinal cells from mouse and human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Neurosci Lett* 2009; 458(3):126–31.
2. Lamba DA, McUsic A, Hirata RK, Wang PR, Russell D, Reh TA. Generation, purification and transplantation of photoreceptors derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *PLoS One* 2010; 5(1):e8763.
3. Tucker BA, Park IH, Qi SD, Klassen HJ, Jiang C, Yao J, Redenti S, Daley GQ, Young MJ. Transplantation of adult mouse iPS cell-derived photoreceptor precursors restores retinal structure and function in degenerative mice. *PLoS One* 2011; 6(4):e18992.
4. Homma K, Okamoto S, Mandai M, Gotoh N, Rajasimha HK, Chang YS, Chen S, Li W, Cogliati T, Swaroop A, Takahashi M. Developing rods transplanted into the degenerating retina of *Crx*-knockout mice exhibit neural activity similar to native photoreceptors. *Stem Cells* 2013; 31(6):1149–59.
5. Tucker BA, Mullins RF, Streb LM, Anfinson K, Eyestone ME, Kaalberg E, Riker MJ, Drack AV, Braun TA, Stone EM. Patient-

specific iPSC–derived photoreceptor precursor cells as a means to investigate retinitis pigmentosa. *Elife* 2013; 2:e00824.

6. Decembrini S, Koch U, Radtke F, Moulin A, Arsenijevic Y. Derivation of traceable and transplantable photoreceptors from mouse embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cell Reports* 2014; 2(6):853–65.
7. Zhong X, Gutierrez C, Xue T, Hampton C, Vergara MN, Cao LH, Peters A, Park TS, Zambidis ET, Meyer JS, Gamm DM, Yau KW, Canto–Soler MV. Generation of three–dimensional retinal tissue with functional photoreceptors from human iPSCs. *Nat Commun* 2014; 5:4047.
8. Kaewkhaw R, Kaya KD, Brooks M, Homma K, Zou J, Chaitankar V, Rao M, Swaroop A. Transcriptome Dynamics of Developing Photoreceptors in Three–Dimensional Retina Cultures Recapitulates Temporal Sequence of Human Cone and Rod Differentiation Revealing Cell Surface Markers and Gene Networks. *Stem Cells* 2015; 33(12):3504–18.
9. Lakowski J, Gonzalez–Cordero A, West EL, Han YT, Welby E, Naeem A, Blackford SJ, Bainbridge JW, Pearson RA, Ali RR, Sowden JC. Transplantation of Photoreceptor Precursors Isolated via a Cell Surface Biomarker Panel From Embryonic Stem Cell–Derived Self–Forming Retina. *Stem Cells* 2015; 33(8):2469–82.
10. Zhou S, Flamier A, Abdouh M, Tetreault N, Barabino A,

Wadhwa S, Bernier G. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into cone photoreceptors through simultaneous inhibition of BMP, TGFbeta and Wnt signaling. *Development* 2015; 142(19):3294–306.

11. Nakano T, Ando S, Takata N, Kawada M, Muguruma K, Sekiguchi K, Saito K, Yonemura S, Eiraku M, Sasai Y. Self-formation of optic cups and storable stratified neural retina from human ESCs. *Cell Stem Cell* 2012; 10(6):771–85.

12. Ikeda H, Osakada F, Watanabe K, Mizuseki K, Haraguchi T, Miyoshi H, Kamiya D, Honda Y, Sasai N, Yoshimura N, Takahashi M, Sasai Y. Generation of Rx+/Pax6+ neural retinal precursors from embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; 102(32):11331–6.

13. Lamba DA, Karl MO, Ware CB, Reh TA. Efficient generation of retinal progenitor cells from human embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006; 103(34):12769–74.

14. Mellough CB, Sernagor E, Moreno-Gimeno I, Steel DH, Lako M. Efficient stage-specific differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells toward retinal photoreceptor cells. *Stem Cells* 2012; 30(4):673–86.

15. Oh SK, Kim HS, Ahn HJ, Seol HW, Kim YY, Park YB, Yoon CJ, Kim DW, Kim SH, Moon SY. Derivation and characterization of

new human embryonic stem cell lines: SNUhES1, SNUhES2, and SNUhES3. *Stem Cells* 2005; 23(2):211–9.

16. Cho MS, Hwang DY, Kim DW. Efficient derivation of functional dopaminergic neurons from human embryonic stem cells on a large scale. *Nat Protoc* 2008; 3(12):1888–94.

17. Cho MS, Kim SJ, Ku SY, Park JH, Lee H, Yoo DH, Park UC, Song SA, Choi YM, Yu HG. Generation of retinal pigment epithelial cells from human embryonic stem cell–derived spherical neural masses. *Stem Cell Res* 2012; 9(2):101–9.

18. Cho MS, Lee YE, Kim JY, Chung S, Cho YH, Kim DS, Kang SM, Lee H, Kim MH, Kim JH, Leem JW, Oh SK, Choi YM, Hwang DY, Chang JW, Kim DW. Highly efficient and large–scale generation of functional dopamine neurons from human embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008; 105(9):3392–7.

19. Jomary C, Jones SE. Induction of functional photoreceptor phenotype by exogenous Crx expression in mouse retinal stem cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2008; 49(1):429–37.

20. Jomary C, Jones SE, Lotery AJ. Generation of light–sensitive photoreceptor phenotypes by genetic modification of human adult ocular stem cells with Crx. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; 51(2):1181–9.

21. Charan J, Kantharia ND. How to calculate sample size in

animal studies? *J Pharmacol Pharmacother* 2013; 4(4):303–6.

22. Gonzalez–Cordero A, West EL, Pearson RA, Duran Y, Carvalho LS, Chu CJ, Naeem A, Blackford SJI, Georgiadis A, Lakowski J, Hubank M, Smith AJ, Bainbridge JWB, Sowden JC, Ali RR. Photoreceptor precursors derived from three–dimensional embryonic stem cell cultures integrate and mature within adult degenerate retina. *Nat Biotechnol* 2013; 31(8):741–7.

23. Akhtar T, Xie H, Khan MI, Zhao H, Bao J, Zhang M, Xue T. Accelerated photoreceptor differentiation of hiPSC–derived retinal organoids by contact co–culture with retinal pigment epithelium. *Stem Cell Res* 2019; 39:101491.

24. Gamm DM, Wang S, Lu B, Girman S, Holmes T, Bischoff N, Shearer RL, Sauve Y, Capowski E, Svendsen CN, Lund RD. Protection of visual functions by human neural progenitors in a rat model of retinal disease. *PLoS One* 2007; 2(3):e338.

# Abstract

광수용체 세포는 빛자극을 생물학적 신호로 변환하는 역할을 하며, 시각의 형성에 중요한 역할을 하고 있다. 그러나, 지금까지 줄기세포에서 광수용체 세포를 분화시키는 방법은 매우 복잡한 과정을 거쳐야 하고, 성공율 또한 낮아서 임상적으로 활용하기에는 매우 제한적이다. 망막색소상피세포는 광수용체 세포의 생존 및 유지에 매우 중요한 역할을 하고 있기 때문에, 이러한 광수용체 세포의 분화에 기여할 수 있을 것으로 기대된다. 인간배아줄기세포에서 신경전구세포를 분화시키고, 이 신경전구세포를 인간 배아줄기세포에서 분화시킨 망막색소상피세포와 공배양시켜서 광수용체 세포의 성질을 보이는 세포를 분화시킬 수 있었다. 면역형광염색, RT-PCR, 그리고 마이크로어레이 데이터 분석을 시행하였다. 또한, cGMP 발현 분석을 통해 *in vitro* 기능 평가를 시행하였다. 공배양을 통해 분화 유도한 광수용체 세포를 망막질환 쥐 동물모델에 망막하 주사 후, 망막전위도검사 및 시운동성안진검사를 이용하여 시기능을 측정하였고, 면역형광염색을 통해 주사한 세포의 생존을 확인하였다. 면역형광염색 및 RT-PCR에서 rhodopsin, red and blue opsin, recoverin, 그리고 phosphodiesterase 6 beta 와 같은 광수용체 마커의 발현을 확인할 수 있었고, 마이크로어레이 데이터에서 분화 유도 단계별로 순차적으로 줄기세포, 신경전구세포, 그리고 광수용체에서 특이적으로 발현되는



마커가 확인되었다. 분화된 광수용체 전구세포는, 어두운 곳에 있을 때보다 빛에 노출되었을 때 cGMP의 분해가 증가되는 것이 관찰되었다. 망막질환 쥐 동물모델에 망막하 주사하였을 때, 망막전위도에서는 큰 호전을 관찰되지 않았으나, 시운동성안진검사에서는 일부 호전이 20주째까지 관찰되었다. 주사 후 8주에 희생시킨 쥐 동물모델에서 항-인간 세포핵 항체로 면역형광염색 하였을 때, 망막하에 주사한 인간유래 세포가 생존하고 있는 것을 확인하였다. 망막색소상피세포와 공배양을 통하여 보다 간결하게 인간유래 배아줄기세포에서 광수용체 세포의 성질을 가지고 있는 전구세포를 분화시킬 수 있었고, 이는 향후 세포치료제 개발에 이용될 수 있는 방법으로 기대된다.

**Keyword :** retinal pigment epithelial cell, photoreceptor precursor cell, co-culture, human embryonic stem cell, retinal degeneration

**Student Number :** 2012-30513

\* 본 졸업 논문의 일부는 현재 Molecular Vision (Shin JY, Ma DJ, Lim MS, Cho MS, Kim YJ, Yu HG. Embryonic stem cell-derived photoreceptor precursor cells differentiated by coculture with RPE cells. Mol Vis 2021;27:XXX-XXX.)에 출판 완료된 내용을 포함하고 있습니다.

\* This work was supported by the Bio & Medical Technology Development Program of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Korean Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (grant number: NRF-2015M3A9B4067004), and the Korea Research-Driven Hospital (grant number: HI14C1277) through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI) funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare (MHW), Republic of Korea. Also, the Korean Association of Retinal Degeneration generously supported this study.