

Group Rings Isomorphic to an Integral Group Ring With Periodic Group Basis

Eung Tai Kim
Department of Mathematics

I. Introduction

Let G be a group and R be a ring with unity. The mapping $\varepsilon : R[G] \rightarrow R$ defined by $\varepsilon(\sum a_x x) = \sum a_x$ is an R -homomorphism. This mapping ε is called the augmentation mapping of $R[G]$. The kernel of this augmentation mapping is called the augmentation ideal of $R[G]$ and we denote it by $\omega(R[G])$. That is,

$$\omega(R[G]) = \{ \sum a_x x \in R[G] \mid \sum a_x = 0 \}.$$

Now if $H \triangleleft G$, there is a mapping $\rho_H : R[G] \rightarrow R[G/H]$ given by $\rho_H(\sum a_x x) = \sum a_x \bar{x}$ where $\bar{x} = xH$ is the image of x in G/H . This mapping is an R -algebra homomorphism, which is called the natural homomorphism. The kernel of this homomorphism of ρ_H is an ideal of $R[G]$ which is equal to $\omega(R[H])R[G]$.

Let $\{g_i\}$ be a subset of G , H be the subgroup it generates and K be a field. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (g_i - 1)K[G] &= \omega(K[H])K[G], \\ \sum K[G](g_i - 1) &= K[G]\omega(K[H]). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore if H is infinite then left and right annihilators of $\omega(K[G])$ are zero. That is, $l(\omega(K[H])) = r(\omega(K[H])) = 0$. If H is finite and \hat{H} denotes the sum of the elements of H in $K[G]$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} l(\omega(K[H])) &= K[G]\hat{H}, \quad r(\omega(K[H])) = \hat{H}K[G], \\ l(\hat{H}) &= K[G]\omega(K[H]), \quad r(\hat{H}) = \omega(K[H])K[G] \quad [3; \text{p. 68}]. \end{aligned}$$

Let C be a finite conjugacy class of G and let \hat{C} be the sum in $R[G]$ of finitely many elements of C . We call these group ring elements \hat{C} the finite class sums. If $x \in G$ and R is commutative ring then we let C_x be the class containing x . Then the center, $C(R[G])$, of $R[G]$ has as an R -basis the set of all finite class sums. Furthermore, the structure constant c_{xyz} defined by $\hat{C}_x \hat{C}_y = c_{xyz} \hat{C}_z$ are given by

$$c_{xyz} = \text{the number of ordered pairs } (x', y')$$

with $x' \in C_x, y' \in C_y$, and $x'y' = z$ [3; pp.113-114].

Now for a group G , we define

$$\Delta = \Delta(G) = \{x \in G \mid |G : C_G(x)| < \infty\},$$

$$\Delta^+ = \Delta^+(G) = \{x \in \Delta \mid o(x) < \infty\}.$$

Then Δ and Δ^+ are characteristic subgroups of G and Δ^+ is a locally finite subgroup of G [3; p.116]. Clearly if R is commutative $C(R[G]) \subseteq R[\Delta(G)]$.

We now define a map $tr : R[G] \rightarrow R$, called the trace mapping, by $tr(\sum a_x x) = a_1$ (coefficient of identity of G). This mapping is clearly a R -module homomorphism and for all elements $\alpha = \sum a_x x$ and $\beta = \sum b_x x$ of $R[x]$ we have $tr\alpha\beta = \sum a_x b_{x^{-1}} = \sum b_x a_{x^{-1}} = tr\beta\alpha$ if R is commutative.

Let us define a mapping $*$: $R[G] \rightarrow R[G]$ by $(\sum a_x x)^* = \sum a_x x^{-1}$. Then this mapping is an antiautomorphism of $R[G]$ with order 2.

Suppose the integral group rings $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ and $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ are isomorphic.

Then

$$\mathbf{Q}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}[H] \cong \mathbf{Q}[H]$$

and we conclude that $K[G] \cong K[H]$ for all fields K of characteristic 0 [4].

Moreover

$$GF(p)[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[G]/p\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]/p\mathbf{Z}[H] \cong GF(p)[H]$$

and $K[G] \cong K[H]$ for all fields K of characteristic p [4]. In other words, $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ determines $K[G]$ for all fields K , and therefore the integral group ring must hold more information.

The results listed below are a number of properties of G and $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ that are determined just by the ring structure of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$.

Let G be a finite group, and suppose that we are given the ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ along with its augmentation mapping $\varepsilon : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. Then the following objects are determined.

- (1) The elements of the center $Z(G)$ of G .
- (2) The trace mapping $tr : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.
- (3) $\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ and $\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G]$, hence the isomorphism class of G/G' where G' is the commutator subgroup of G .
- (4) The isomorphism class of G/G'' where G'' is the second derived subgroup of G .
- (5) The mapping $*$: $C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$.
- (6) The class sums in $\mathbf{Z}[G]$.
- (7) The lattice of normal subgroups of G .

The part (1) is due to Berman [1] and part (6) to Glauberman. Glauberman's original

proof appeared in [4]. The part (4) is due to Whitcomb [5] and Jackson [2], and the other parts are proved in [3; pp. 665-669].

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the above properties in the case when G is periodic, and to prove some related theorems.

II. Preliminary lemmas

The following lemmas will be used in the proofs of the main theorems.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a finitely generated abelian group and let g be an element of G with $g \neq 1$. Then there exists a subgroup N with $g \notin N$ and G/N is cyclic.*

Proof. By the assumption there exists a finite subset $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of G such that $a_i \neq 1$ for all i and $G = \langle a_1 \rangle \langle a_2 \rangle \dots \langle a_n \rangle$ is a direct product. Then g is represented by $g = g_1 g_2 \dots g_n$, $g_i \in \langle a_i \rangle$, uniquely. Since $g \neq 1$, $g_k \neq 1$ for some k . Now let $N = \langle a_1 \rangle \dots \langle a_{k-1} \rangle \langle a_{k+1} \rangle \dots \langle a_n \rangle$, then $g_k \notin N$. Hence $g \notin N$ and $G/N \cong \langle a_k \rangle$ is cyclic.

LEMMA 2. *Let G be a finitely generated group and $\{N_\lambda\}$ be*

$$\{N_\lambda\} = \{N \triangleleft G \mid G/N \text{ is cyclic}\}.$$

Then $G' = [G, G] = \bigcap N_\lambda$.

Proof. Clearly we have $G' \subseteq \bigcap N_\lambda$. Conversely suppose $g \in G - G'$. Then $\bar{g} \neq \bar{1}$ in $G/G' = \bar{G}$. Since \bar{G} is finitely generated abelian group, there exists a subgroup \bar{N} with $\bar{g} \notin \bar{N}$ and \bar{G}/\bar{N} cyclic by the above lemma. Let N be the inverse image of \bar{N} in G . Then $N \triangleleft G$, $G/N \cong \bar{G}/\bar{N}$ and hence G/N is cyclic. Also since $\bar{g} \notin \bar{N}$, we have $g \notin N$. Thus if $g \in G'$ there exists a normal subgroup N of G with $g \notin N$ and G/N cyclic, i.e. $g \notin \bigcap N_\lambda$. Therefore $G' = \bigcap N_\lambda$.

LEMMA 3. *Let G be a group, K be a field. Suppose α is an algebraic element of $K[G]$ over K with minimal polynomial $f(\zeta) \in K[\zeta]$ and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the distinct roots of $f(\zeta)$ in some algebraic closure of K .*

(1) *If $\text{char } K = 0$, then there exist rational numbers r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n satisfying $0 < r_i$ and $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n = 1$ with*

$$\text{tr } \alpha = r_1 \lambda_1 + r_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + r_n \lambda_n.$$

(2) *If $\text{char } K = p \neq 0$ and if either G is p' -group or $f(\zeta)$ is separable, then there exist $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in GF(p)$ with $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n = 1$ and*

$$\text{tr } \alpha = r_1 \lambda_1 + r_2 \lambda_2 + \dots + r_n \lambda_n.$$

Proof. The proof can be found in [3; pp.50-51].

LEMMA 4. Let α be an element of an integral group ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ with $\alpha^n=1$ for some positive integer n and $\text{tr}\alpha \neq 0$. Then $\alpha = \pm 1$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{Q}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}[G] \supseteq \mathbf{Z}[G] \ni \alpha$, we can see that α is an element of $\mathbf{Q}[G]$. Since $\alpha^n=1$, α is algebraic over the rational number field \mathbf{Q} and its minimal polynomial $f(\zeta) \in \mathbf{Q}[\zeta]$ is a divisor of ζ^n-1 and separable over \mathbf{Q} . Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be the roots of $f(\zeta)$ in the complex number field, where $m = \deg f(\zeta)$. Then by the above lemma, there exist rational numbers r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m satisfying $0 < r_i$ and $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_m = 1$ with

$$\text{tr}\alpha = r_1\lambda_1 + r_2\lambda_2 + \dots + r_m\lambda_m.$$

Now since $|\lambda_i|=1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq |\text{tr}\alpha| &= |r_1\lambda_1 + \dots + r_m\lambda_m| \leq |r_1\lambda_1| + \dots + |r_m\lambda_m| \\ &= r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_m = 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$1 = |\text{tr}\alpha| = |r_1\lambda_1 + \dots + r_m\lambda_m| = |r_1\lambda_1| + \dots + |r_m\lambda_m|, \text{ i.e. } \text{tr}\alpha = \pm 1$$

and there exist positive real numbers k_1, \dots, k_{m-1} such that

$$r_2\lambda_2 = r_1\lambda_1 k_1, \quad r_3\lambda_3 = r_1\lambda_1 k_2, \quad \dots, \quad r_m\lambda_m = r_1\lambda_1 k_{m-1}.$$

Then $r_i = |r_i\lambda_i| = |r_1\lambda_1 k_{i-1}| = r_1 k_{i-1}$, that is k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{m-1} are rational numbers and the equality $\pm 1 = \text{tr}\alpha = r_1\lambda_1(1 + k_1 + \dots + k_{m-1})$ shows that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are rational roots of $f(\zeta)$. But the rational n th roots of 1 in the complex number field are 1 and -1 only. So $\deg f(\zeta) = m = 2$ or 1. Suppose $\deg f(\zeta) = 2$, then $f(\zeta) = \zeta^2 - 1$ and the roots of $f(\zeta)$ are 1 and -1 . Say $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$. Then we have

$$r_1\lambda_1 + r_2\lambda_2 = r_1 - r_2 = \text{tr}\alpha = \pm 1.$$

This contradicts the fact $1 > |r_1 - r_2| \geq 0$. Therefore the minimal polynomial of α is $\zeta - 1$ or $\zeta + 1$, hence $\alpha = \pm 1$.

LEMMA 5. Let $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and $\delta: \mathbf{Z}[H] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be the augmentation mappings of integral group rings. If the ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ is isomorphic onto $\mathbf{Z}[H]$, then there exists a ring isomorphism $\eta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ satisfying $\delta\eta = \varepsilon$. For this isomorphism η we have

$$(1) \quad \eta(\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])) = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H]).$$

$$(2) \quad \text{The mapping } \bar{\eta}: \mathbf{Z}[G]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])$$

defined by $\bar{\eta}(\alpha + \omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])) = \eta(\alpha) + \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\theta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ be an isomorphism. Then $\delta\theta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a \mathbf{Z} -algebra homomorphism and for all $g \in G$ $\delta\theta(g)$ is a unit in \mathbf{Z} and equal to ± 1 . Let us denote

$G_0 = \{\delta\theta(g)^{-1}g \mid g \in G\}$, then G_0 becomes a multiplicative group in $Z[G]$. If we define $\phi : G \rightarrow G_0$ by $\phi(g) = \delta\theta(g)^{-1}g$, this ϕ is a group isomorphism that extend to an automorphism $\Phi : Z[G] \rightarrow Z[G] = Z[G_0]$ and $\delta\theta(\delta\theta(g)^{-1}g) = 1$. In other words, if we replace G by G_0 , then $\delta\theta : Z[G_0] \rightarrow Z$ becomes the ordinary augmentation mapping of $Z[G_0]$. Now we denote $\eta = \theta\Phi$. Then $\eta : Z[G] \rightarrow Z[H]$ is an isomorphism and for any $\alpha = \sum a_g g \in Z[G]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\eta(\alpha) &= \delta\theta\Phi(\alpha) = \delta\theta(\sum a_g \phi(g)) = \delta\theta(\sum a_g \delta\theta(g)^{-1}g) \\ &= \sum a_g \delta\theta(\delta\theta(g)^{-1}g) = \sum a_g = \varepsilon(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\delta\eta = \varepsilon$.

(1) We have

$$\omega(Z[G]) = \ker \varepsilon = \ker \delta\eta = \eta^{-1}(\ker \delta) = \eta^{-1}(\omega(Z[H])),$$

hence $\eta(\omega(Z[G])) = \omega(Z[H])$.

(2) This follows immediately from the above results.

Now let A be an algebra over a field and define $[A, A]$, the commutator subspace of A , to be the subspace spanned by all Lie products $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$ with $\alpha, \beta \in A$. Then we have

LEMMA 6. *Let A be an algebra over a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m \in A$ and if $n > 0$ is a given integer, set $q = p^n$. Then there exists an element $\beta \in [A, A]$ with*

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m)^q = \alpha_1^q + \alpha_2^q + \dots + \alpha_m^q + \beta.$$

Proof. The proof can be found in [3; pp. 45-46].

LEMMA 7. *Let $\alpha = \sum a_x x$ be an element of a group ring $K[G]$ over a field K . Then $\alpha \in [K[G], K[G]]$ if and only if the sum of the coefficients a_x over each conjugacy class of G is zero. Moreover if $\tau : K[G] \rightarrow K$ is a linear functional, then τ annihilates $[K[G], K[G]]$ if and only if it is constant on the conjugacy classes of G .*

Proof. The proof can be found in [3; p. 46].

III. Group rings isomorphic to $Z[G]$ with periodic group basis G

In this section we will derive some properties determined just by the ring structure of $Z[G]$ with the periodic group basis G .

THEOREM 1. Let G be a group, $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be its augmentation mapping and $Z(G)_T$ be the torsion subgroup of the center $Z(G)$ of G . Then

(1) $Z(G)_T = \{u \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \mid u^n = 1 \text{ for some positive integer } n \text{ and } \varepsilon(u) = 1\}$ where $C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ is the center of the ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$.

(2) If H is a group with $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$, then there exists an isomorphism $\eta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ such that the restriction on $Z(G)_T$ induce the group isomorphism $\eta: Z(G)_T \rightarrow Z(H)_T$. In particular if G and H are periodic then $Z(G) \cong Z(H)$.

Proof. (1) Clearly each element $z \in Z(G)_T$ is a central unit of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ of finite multiplicative order and with $\varepsilon(z) = 1$. Conversely, let u be any central unit of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ of finite multiplicative order and with $\varepsilon(u) = 1$. Then the image u^* of u under the antiautomorphism $*$: $\mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G]$ defined by $(\sum a_x x)^* = \sum a_x x^{-1}$ also has this property, and hence, because u and u^* commute, so does $\alpha = uu^*$. Now if β is any element of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$, then $tr\beta\beta^*$ is the sum of the squares of the coefficients of β , and hence $tr\beta\beta^* \geq (tr\beta)^2$. In particular, because α is invariant under $*$, it follows by induction that $tr\alpha^{2^n} \geq (tr\alpha)^{2^n}$ for any nonnegative integer n . But $tr\alpha$ is a nonnegative integer and α has finite multiplicative order so that we must have $tr\alpha = 1$ and $u = \pm z$ for some $z \in Z(G)$. Because $\varepsilon(u) = 1$, this fact follows.

(2) Let $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and $\delta: \mathbf{Z}[H] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be the augmentation mappings of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ and $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ respectively. Then by Lemma 5, there exists a ring isomorphism $\eta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ with $\delta\eta = \varepsilon$. Now by the above (1) we have

$$Z(G)_T = \{u \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \mid u^n = 1 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \geq 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon(u) = 1\},$$

$$Z(H)_T = \{v \in C(\mathbf{Z}[H]) \mid v^n = 1 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \geq 1 \text{ and } \delta(v) = 1\}.$$

For any $u \in Z(G)_T$, $u^n = 1$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $n \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon(u) = 1$ so $\eta(u)^n = \eta(u^n) = \eta(1) = 1$ and $\delta(\eta(u)) = \varepsilon(u) = 1$, that is $\eta(u) \in Z(H)_T$. Therefore the mapping $\eta: Z(G)_T \rightarrow Z(H)_T$ is the well defined group isomorphism. In particular if G and H are periodic $Z(G)_T = Z(G)$ and $Z(H)_T = Z(H)$. Hence $Z(G) \cong Z(H)$.

THEOREM 2. Let G and H be groups and G' and H' be their commutator subgroups respectively. Suppose $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$. Then for any ring isomorphism $\theta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$, we have

$$(1) \theta(\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G]) = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H'])\mathbf{Z}[H]$$

$$(2) \mathbf{Z}[G]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H'])\mathbf{Z}[H]$$

$$(3) (G/G')_T \cong (H/H')_T. \text{ In particular, if } G \text{ and } H \text{ are periodic, then } G/G' \cong H/H'.$$

Proof. (1) Let I denote the ideal of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ generated by the set A of all Lie products

of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$, i.e. $A = \{\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}[G]\}$. Because the natural mapping $\mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G/G']$ maps $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ onto a commutative ring, we see that I is contained in $\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G]$, the kernel of this mapping. Conversely, $\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G]$ is generated by all terms of the form

$$ghg^{-1}h^{-1} - 1 = (gh - hg)g^{-1}h^{-1} \in I,$$

thus we have equality $I = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G]$. Similarly, if we denote J to be the ideal of $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ generated by the set B of all Lie products of $\mathbf{Z}[H]$, then $J = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H'])\mathbf{Z}[H]$. Since $\theta : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ is an isomorphism, $\theta(A) = B$ and hence $\theta(I) = J$, so

$$\theta(\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G]) = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H'])\mathbf{Z}[H].$$

(2) If we define the mapping $\bar{\theta} : \mathbf{Z}[G]/I \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]/J$ by $\bar{\theta}(\alpha + I) = \theta(\alpha) + J$, $\bar{\theta}$ is an isomorphism.

(3) Since $\mathbf{Z}[G]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[G/G']$ and $\mathbf{Z}[H]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H'])\mathbf{Z}[H] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/H']$, we have $\mathbf{Z}[G/G'] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/H']$. So by Theorem 1, $(G/G')_{\tau} \cong (H/H')_{\tau}$. In particular if G and H are periodic, $G/G' \cong H/H'$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let G and H be two periodic groups and let the following series are the upper central series of G and H respectively.*

$$\begin{aligned} \{1\} &= Z_0(G) \subseteq Z(G) = Z_1(G) \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_n(G) \subseteq \dots, \\ \{1\} &= Z_0(H) \subseteq Z(H) = Z_1(H) \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_n(H) \subseteq \dots. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$, then we have

$$\mathbf{Z}[G/Z_n(G)] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/Z_n(H)]$$

and

$$Z_{n-1}(G)/Z_n(G) \cong Z_{n-1}(H)/Z_n(H)$$

for all n .

Proof. By Theorem 1, there exists an isomorphism $\eta : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ such that the restriction $\eta : Z(G) \rightarrow Z(H)$ is a group isomorphism. So that

$$Z_1(G)/Z_0(G) \cong Z(G) \cong Z(H) \cong Z_1(H)/Z_0(H).$$

Now let $\rho_1 : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G/Z(G)]$ and $\rho_2 : \mathbf{Z}[H] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H/Z(H)]$ be the natural homomorphisms. Then $\ker \rho_1 = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[Z(G)])\mathbf{Z}[G]$ and $\ker \rho_2 = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[Z(H)])\mathbf{Z}[H]$, hence $\eta(\ker \rho_1) \cong \ker \rho_2$.

Furthermore we have

$$\mathbf{Z}[G]/\ker \rho_1 \cong \mathbf{Z}[G/Z(G)], \quad \mathbf{Z}[H]/\ker \rho_2 \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/Z(H)].$$

Now if we define $\bar{\eta} : \mathbf{Z}[G]/\ker \rho_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]/\ker \rho_2$ by $\bar{\eta}(\alpha + \ker \rho_1) = \eta(\alpha) + \ker \rho_2$, $\bar{\eta}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $\mathbf{Z}[G/Z(G)] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/Z(H)]$. Because the groups $G/Z(G)$ and

$H/Z(H)$ are also periodic we have $Z(G/Z(G)) \cong Z(H/Z(H))$ by Theorem 1. Hence

$$Z_2(G)/Z_1(G) \cong Z_2(H)/Z_1(H).$$

Inductively assume that $Z[G/Z_{n-1}(G)] \cong Z[H/Z_{n-1}(H)]$. Then by Theorem 1, $Z(G/Z_{n-1}(G)) \cong Z(H/Z_{n-1}(H))$ and hence

$$Z_n(G)/Z_{n-1}(G) \cong Z_n(H)/Z_{n-1}(H).$$

Now, by the same way as the above proof, we have

$$Z[(G/Z_{n-1}(G))/Z(G/Z_{n-1}(G))] \cong Z[(H/Z_{n-1}(H))/Z(H/Z_{n-1}(H))],$$

thus $Z[G/Z_n(G)] \cong Z[H/Z_n(H)]$, and hence $Z(G/Z_n(G)) \cong Z(H/Z_n(H))$, that is $Z_{n+1}(G)/Z_n(G) \cong Z_{n+1}(H)/Z_n(H)$.

THEOREM 3. *Let G be a periodic group with the trace mapping $tr_G : Z[G] \rightarrow Z$, and let U be the Z -linear span of the set*

$$A = \{\alpha \in Z[G] \mid \alpha^n = 1 \text{ for some } n \in Z, n \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \neq \pm 1\}.$$

Then,

(1) $Z[G] = Z \dot{+} U$ (direct sum of Z -modules).

(2) If $\pi : Z[G] \rightarrow Z$ be the projection of the above direct sum onto the factor Z , then $\ker tr_G = U$ and $tr_G = \pi$.

(3) Let H be any group with $Z[G] \cong Z[H]$ and let $tr_H : Z[H] \rightarrow Z$ be the trace mapping of $Z[H]$, then for any ring isomorphism $\theta : Z[G] \rightarrow Z[H]$ we have $tr_H \theta = tr_G$.

Proof. (1) Clearly $Z \cap U = 0$. If g is an element of G distinct from identity then $g^n = 1$ for some positive integer n and $g \neq \pm 1$ in $Z[G]$. Hence $g \in A$. That is $G - \{1\} \subseteq A$. Let α be an element of $Z[G]$, then $\alpha = \sum a_g g = a_1 + \sum_{g \neq 1} a_g g$ where $a_1 \in Z$ and $\sum_{g \neq 1} a_g g \in U$. Hence $Z[G] = Z \dot{+} U$.

(2) It is clear that $\ker tr_G \subseteq U$. Conversely suppose that there exists an element $\alpha \in U - \ker tr_G$. Then $\alpha = \sum b_i \alpha_i$ for some $b_i \in Z$, $\alpha_i \in A$ and $tr_G \alpha \neq 0$. Now since $0 \neq tr_G \alpha = \sum b_i tr_G \alpha_i$, there exists an element α_k of A with $tr_G \alpha_k \neq 0$, $\alpha_k^n = 1$ for some positive integer n and $\alpha_k \neq \pm 1$. But by Lemma 4, $\alpha_k = \pm 1$, thus we have a contradiction. Therefore $\ker tr_G = U$, and hence $tr_G = \pi$.

(3) Let V be the Z -linear span of the set

$$B = \{\beta \in Z[H] \mid \beta^n = 1 \text{ for some } n \in Z, n \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta \neq \pm 1\}.$$

Then clearly $\theta(A) = B$ and $\theta(U) = V$. Clearly $Z \cap V = 0$ and

$$Z[H] = \theta(Z[G]) = \theta(Z \dot{+} U) = Z \dot{+} \theta(U) = Z \dot{+} V.$$

Hence $Z[H] = Z \dot{+} V$. Now utilizing Lemma 4, we can prove that $\ker tr_H \supseteq V$ by the

same way in the proof of the above (2). For any $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[G]$, α is uniquely represented as $\alpha = a + u$, $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, $u \in U$ and $tr_G \alpha = a$. Hence

$$tr_H \theta(\alpha) = tr_H \theta(a + u) = tr_H(a + \theta(u)) = a + tr_H(\theta(u)) = a = tr_G \alpha$$

since $\theta(u) \in \theta(U) = V$ and $V \subseteq \ker tr_H$. Therefore $tr_H \theta = tr_G$.

THEOREM 4. *Let G be a periodic group. Let p_1, p_2, \dots be the infinitely many distinct primes with $p_n \equiv -1 \pmod{n!}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Then,*

(1) *For any element α in the center $C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ there exists a positive integer m and the unique element β in $C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ satisfying*

$$\beta \equiv \alpha^{p_n} \pmod{p_n C(\mathbf{Z}[G])}$$

for all $n \geq m$, and we have $\alpha^ = \beta$ where $*$: $C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ is the mapping given by $(\sum a_x x)^* = \sum a_x x^{-1}$.*

(2) *Let H be a periodic group with $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$. Then for any isomorphism $\theta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$, we have*

$$\theta(\alpha^*) = \theta(\alpha)^*$$

for any element α in $C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$.

Proof. (1) Let α be an element of $C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ and let $N = \langle \text{supp } \alpha \rangle$. Since for any $x \in \text{supp } \alpha$ the conjugacy class C_x containing x is contained in $\text{supp } \alpha$ and for any $g \in G$ $g^{-1}C_x g = C_x$, N is a finitely generated normal subgroup of G contained in $\Delta^+(G) = \Delta(G)$. Furthermore since $\Delta^+(G)$ is a locally finite subgroup of G , N is finite and $C(\mathbf{Z}[N]) \subseteq C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$. Let m be a positive integer with $|N| \mid m!$. We show first that $\alpha^{p_m} = \alpha^* + p_m \delta$ for some $\delta \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$. Let

$$- : \mathbf{Z}[N] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[N]/p_m \mathbf{Z}[N] \cong GF(p_m)[N]$$

denote the natural homomorphism and write $\alpha = \sum a_x x$.

Then by Lemma 6 and 7,

$$\bar{\alpha}^{p_m} = \sum_{x \in N} \bar{a}_x^{p_m} \bar{x}^{p_m} + \bar{\gamma}$$

where the sum of the coefficients in $\bar{\gamma}$ over each conjugacy class of N is zero. Note that $\bar{a}_x^{p_m} = \bar{a}_x$ and that $\bar{x}^{p_m} = \bar{x}^{-1}$ because $p_m \equiv -1 \pmod{m!}$ and $|N| \mid m!$. Thus we have $\bar{\alpha}^{p_m} = \bar{\alpha}^* + \bar{\gamma}$, and if $\gamma \in \mathbf{Z}[N]$ is defined by $\alpha^{p_m} = \alpha^* + \gamma$, then we conclude that the sum of the coefficients of γ over each conjugacy class of N is divisible by p_m . Now α^{p_m} and α^* belong to $C(\mathbf{Z}[N]) \subseteq C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ so that γ is also central, and we can write $\gamma = \sum n_i \hat{C}_i$ as an integral linear combination of finite class sums. But then the sum of the coefficients of the i -th conjugacy class is $n_i \cdot |C_i|$. Because p_m is prime to $|N|$, p_m does not divide $|C_i|$, thus we

must have $p_m | n_i$. Hence $\gamma = p_m \delta$ for some $\delta \in C(\mathbf{Z}[N]) \subseteq C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$, and we have $\alpha^{p_m} = \alpha^* + p_m \delta$.

Now since $|N| | n!$ for all integer n such that $n \geq m$, we have

$$\alpha^{p^n} \equiv \alpha^* \pmod{p_n C(\mathbf{Z}[G])}$$

for all $n \geq m$. Now suppose $\alpha^{p^n} \equiv \beta \pmod{p_n C(\mathbf{Z}[G])}$ for some $\beta \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ and for all $n \geq m$. Then

$$\alpha^* - \beta \in \bigcap_{n \geq m} p_n C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq m} p_n \mathbf{Z}[G] = 0,$$

thus we have $\alpha^* = \beta$.

(2) Let $\theta : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ be an isomorphism, then the restriction $\theta : C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Z}[H])$ is also an isomorphism. For any $\alpha \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$, there exists a positive integer m and there exist the unique $\beta \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ and $\delta \in C(\mathbf{Z}[H])$ with

$$\beta = \alpha^{p^n} + \gamma_n, \gamma_n \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G]),$$

$$\delta = \theta(\alpha)^{p^n} + \theta(\gamma_n), \theta(\gamma_n) \in C(\mathbf{Z}[H])$$

for all $n \geq m$. Then $\alpha^* = \beta$ and $\delta = \theta(\alpha)^*$. Therefore since $\delta = \theta(\beta)$, we have $\theta(\alpha)^* = \theta(\alpha^*)$.

THEOREM 5. Let G be a group, \mathcal{E} be the set of all finite class sums in $\mathbf{Z}[G]$, and let \mathcal{L} be the lattice of all finite normal subgroups of G . Define

$$L = \{\alpha \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \mid \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } tr(\alpha\alpha^*) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\},$$

$$M = \{\alpha \in L \mid \alpha \neq \beta + \gamma \text{ for any } \beta, \gamma \in L\}$$

where $\varepsilon : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the augmentation mapping. Then

(1) $\mathcal{E} = M$

(2) $\mathcal{L} = \{\text{supp } \alpha \mid \alpha \in L, \alpha^2 = n\alpha \text{ for some integer } n\}$

Proof. (1) Let α be an element of L . If $\alpha = \sum n_i \hat{C}_i$ is written as an integral linear combination of finite class sums, then $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \sum n_i |C_i|$ and $tr(\alpha\alpha^*) = \sum n_i^2 |C_i|$. Because n_i is an integer, we have $n_i^2 \geq n_i$ with equality if and only if $n_i = 0$ or 1 . Hence

$$L = \{\alpha \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \mid \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha = \sum n_i \hat{C}_i \text{ with } n_i = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$$

and elements of \mathcal{E} are the atom of L . In other words, $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\alpha \in L$ and α can not be written as $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ with $\beta, \gamma \in L$. That is, $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\alpha \in M$. Hence $\mathcal{E} = M$.

(2) If $N \in \mathcal{L}$, N is a normal subgroup of G and N is a finite union of some finite conjugacy classes of G . Hence $\hat{N} \in L$ and $(\hat{N})^2 = |N| \hat{N}$. Conversely if $\alpha \in L$ with $\alpha^2 = n\alpha$ for some integer n , then $(\text{supp } \alpha)(\text{supp } \alpha) \subseteq \text{supp } \alpha$, and hence $\text{supp } \alpha = N$ is a finite normal subgroup of G .

COROLLARY 2. Let G and H be two periodic groups, \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be the finite class sums in $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ and $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ respectively, and let \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 be the lattices of finite normal subgroups of G and H respectively. Suppose $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\eta : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ satisfying the following facts:

- (1) $\eta(\mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{E}_2$
- (2) There exists a lattice isomorphism $f : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ given by $f(N) = \eta(N)$ for all $N \in \mathcal{L}_1$.
- (3) For any $N \in \mathcal{L}_1$, we have $\mathbf{Z}[G/N] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/\eta(N)]$.

Proof. (1) We define

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \{\alpha \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \mid \alpha \neq 0, \text{tr}(\alpha\alpha^*) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\}, \\ M_1 &= \{\alpha \in L_1 \mid \alpha \neq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \text{ for any } \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in L_1\}, \\ L_2 &= \{\beta \in C(\mathbf{Z}[H]) \mid \beta \neq 0, \text{tr}(\beta\beta^*) = \delta(\beta)\}, \\ M_2 &= \{\beta \in L_2 \mid \beta \neq \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{ for any } \beta_1, \beta_2 \in L_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where ε and δ are augmentation mappings of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ and $\mathbf{Z}[H]$ respectively. Then by Lemma 5, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, there exists an isomorphism $\eta : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]$ with $\delta\eta = \varepsilon$ and $\text{tr}(\eta(\alpha)) = \text{tr}\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[G]$, and $\eta(\alpha)^* = \eta(\alpha^*)$ for $\alpha \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$. Also by Theorem 5, $\mathcal{E}_1 = M_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 = M_2$. Now for the isomorphism η , $\alpha \in C(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ if and only if $\eta(\alpha) \in C(\mathbf{Z}[H])$, $\text{tr}(\alpha\alpha^*) = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ if and only if $\text{tr}(\eta(\alpha)\eta(\alpha)^*) = \delta(\eta\alpha)$, and $\alpha \neq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ if and only if $\eta(\alpha) \neq \eta(\alpha_1) + \eta(\alpha_2)$ for $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in L_1$. Therefore we have $\eta(L_1) = L_2$ and $\eta(M_1) = M_2$, and hence $\eta(\mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{E}_2$.

(2) By Theorem 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \{\text{supp } \alpha \mid \alpha \in L_1 \text{ and } \alpha^2 = n\alpha \text{ for some integer } n\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \{\text{supp } \beta \mid \beta \in L_2 \text{ and } \beta^2 = n\beta \text{ for some integer } n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta(\mathcal{E}_1) = \mathcal{E}_2$ and $\alpha^2 = n\alpha$ if and only if $\eta(\alpha)^2 = n\eta(\alpha)$, $\eta(\text{supp } \alpha) = \text{supp } \eta(\alpha)$. Hence $\eta(\mathcal{L}_1) = \mathcal{L}_2$. Therefore the mapping $f : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ defined by $f(N) = \eta(N)$ is clearly a lattice isomorphism.

(3) Let N be a finite normal subgroup of G and let $\rho_1 : \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G/N]$ and $\rho_2 : \mathbf{Z}[H] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H/\eta(N)]$ be the natural homomorphisms. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \rho_1 &= \omega(\mathbf{Z}[N])\mathbf{Z}[G] = r(\hat{N}), \\ \ker \rho_2 &= \omega(\mathbf{Z}[\eta(N)])\mathbf{Z}[H] = r(\widehat{\eta(N)}) = \eta(r(\hat{N})), \end{aligned}$$

where $r(\hat{N})$ is the right annihilator of \hat{N} in $\mathbf{Z}[G]$. Hence $\eta(\ker \rho_1) = \ker \rho_2$. Since $\mathbf{Z}[G]/r(\hat{N}) \cong \mathbf{Z}[G/N]$, $\mathbf{Z}[H]/\eta(r(\hat{N})) \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/\eta(N)]$, and the mapping $\bar{\eta} : \mathbf{Z}[G]/r(\hat{N}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]/\eta(r(\hat{N}))$ given by $\bar{\eta}(\alpha + r(\hat{N})) = \eta(\alpha) + \eta(r(\hat{N}))$ is an isomorphism, we have $\mathbf{Z}[G/N] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H/\eta(N)]$.

THEOREM 6. Let G be a group and let H be a periodic subgroup of G . Then the mapping

$$\sigma : H \rightarrow \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\mathbf{Z}[G]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$$

given by $\sigma(h) = h-1 + \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ is a group homomorphism from H onto the additive abelian group with $\ker\sigma = H' = [H, H]$, and hence

$$H/H' \cong \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\mathbf{Z}[G]/\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G]).$$

Proof. In the following, let \equiv denote congruence modulo $\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$. First, observe that for $x, y \in G$

$$xy-1 = (x-1) + (y-1) + (x-1)(y-1).$$

In particular, if $x, y \in H$, then $(x-1)(y-1) \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ so that we have

$$\sigma(xy) \equiv xy-1 \equiv (x-1) + (y-1) \equiv \sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$$

and σ is indeed a group homomorphism. Next observe that $\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\mathbf{Z}[G]$ is spanned as a \mathbf{Z} -module by all terms of the form $(h-1)g$ with $h \in H$, $g \in G$. Thus because

$$(h-1)g = (h-1) + (h-1)(g-1) \equiv \sigma(h),$$

we see that σ is onto.

It remains to find the kernel of σ . Because the homomorphism is onto an abelian group, we know at least that $\ker\sigma \supseteq H'$. Let $h \in \ker\sigma$ so that $h-1 \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$. Let $T = \{t_\lambda\}$ be a right transversal for H in G with $T \ni t_0 = 1$. If $g \in G-H$, then $g = at_\lambda$ for some $a \in H$ and $t_\lambda \in T$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Because $g-1 = (a-1) + a(t_\lambda-1)$ and because $\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])$ is an ideal in $\mathbf{Z}[H]$, we have clearly

$$\omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G]) = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])^2 + \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])(t_\lambda-1).$$

Hence we can write

$$h-1 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \alpha_\lambda(t_\lambda-1)$$

with $\alpha_0 \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])^2$ and with $\alpha_\lambda \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. But this yields

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^n \alpha_\lambda t_\lambda = (h-1) - \alpha_0 + \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \alpha_\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}[H],$$

thus we can conclude that $\alpha_\lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$ and that $h-1 = \alpha_0 \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])^2$. Hence we can write

$$h-1 = \alpha_0 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r a_i(x_i-1) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^s b_j(y_j-1) \right)$$

where $a_i, b_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $x_i, y_j \in H$. Now let $H_0 = \langle h, x_i, y_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s \rangle$. Then H_0 is a finitely generated subgroup of H and $h-1 \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H_0])^2$. Let N be a normal subgroup of H with H/N cyclic. Since H is periodic, H/N is a finite cyclic group. Then $H_0/N \cap H_0$

is isomorphic to a subgroup of H/N , and hence also finite cyclic group, say $H_0/N \cap H_0 = \langle y \rangle$. Let $x = (N \cap H_0)h = y^m$. Then by way of the natural homomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z}[H_0] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H_0/N \cap H_0] = \mathbf{Z}[\langle y \rangle] \text{ we see that}$$

$$x-1 \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[\langle y \rangle])^2 = (y-1)^2 \mathbf{Z}[\langle y \rangle]$$

so that $x-1 = (y-1)^2 \beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}[\langle y \rangle]$. Because $x = y^m$, this yields

$$(y-1) \{ (1+y+\dots+y^{m-1}) - (y-1)\beta \} = 0.$$

But the annihilator of $y-1$ in $\mathbf{Z}[\langle y \rangle]$ certainly consists of all \mathbf{Z} -multiples of $\widehat{\langle y \rangle}$ so that we must have

$$1+y+\dots+y^{m-1} - (y-1)\beta = k \widehat{\langle y \rangle}$$

for some integer k . Applying the augmentation mapping now yields $m = k \cdot o(y)$ so $o(y)$ divides m and $x = y^m = 1$. In other words $h \in N \cap H_0 \subseteq N$ and because this is true for all such N with H/N cyclic, we conclude that $h \in H'$ by Lemma 2. Thus $\ker \sigma = H'$, and $H/H' \cong \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\mathbf{Z}[G] / \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$.

THEOREM 7. *Let G be a periodic group and let $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be the augmentation mapping. Set $E = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$, $I = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\mathbf{Z}[G]$ and set*

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{ \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[G] \mid \alpha \text{ is a unit in } \mathbf{Z}[G] \text{ with } \varepsilon(\alpha) = 1 \text{ and} \\ &\quad \alpha + I \text{ has finite multiplicative order in } \mathbf{Z}[G]/I \}, \\ T &= \{ \alpha \in S \mid \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{IE} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

(1) *The sets S and T are multiplicative groups with $T \triangleleft S$ and $S/T \cong G/G''$, where G'' is the second derived group of G .*

(2) *For any periodic group H , if $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$ then $G/G'' \cong H/H''$.*

Proof. (1) Since $\mathbf{Z}[G]/I$ is isomorphic onto the commutative ring $\mathbf{Z}[G/G']$, the set S and T are clearly multiplicative groups and $T \triangleleft S$.

Because we certainly have $G \subseteq S$, it follows the mapping $\tau: G \rightarrow S/T$ given by $\tau(g) = gT$ yields a group homomorphism into S/T . The goal is to show that τ is onto and that $\ker \tau = G''$. First let $g \in \ker \tau$ so that

$$g-1 \in IE = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \subseteq I.$$

Because I is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G/G']$, we see immediately that $g \in G'$. But then, by Theorem 6 with $H = G'$ we see that

$$g-1 \in \omega(\mathbf{Z}[G'])\omega(\mathbf{Z}[G])$$

if and only if $g \in H' = G''$. Thus $\ker \tau = G''$.

Finally, we show that τ is onto. Let W be a transversal for G' in G . Because I is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[G/G']$, it follows immediately from Theorem 1, applied to $\mathbf{Z}[G/G']$, that $S \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in W} (w+I)$.

Now, in Theorem 6 with $H=G'$, because σ is onto we have

$$I = \bigcup_{h \in G'} (h-1+IE)$$

and hence

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in W} (w+I) \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in W} \bigcup_{h \in G'} (w+h-1+IE).$$

But

$$w+h-1 = hw - (h-1)(w-1) \in hw + IE$$

so that

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{w \in W} \bigcup_{h \in G'} (hw + IE) = \bigcup_{g \in G} (g + IE).$$

In particular, if $\alpha \in S$, then there exists $g \in G$ with $\alpha \equiv g \pmod{IE}$. Because $\alpha g^{-1} \in S$ and $\alpha g^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{IE}$, we have $\alpha g^{-1} \in T$ and $\alpha \equiv gT = \tau(g)$. This shows that τ is onto, and the result follows.

(2) Let $\delta: \mathbf{Z}[H] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be the augmentation mapping. Set $E_0 = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H])$,

$$I_0 = \omega(\mathbf{Z}[H'])\mathbf{Z}[H] \text{ and set}$$

$$S_0 = \{\beta \in \mathbf{Z}[H] \mid \beta \text{ is a unit in } \mathbf{Z}[H] \text{ with } \delta(\beta) = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\beta + I_0 \text{ has finite multiplicative order in } \mathbf{Z}[H]/I_0\},$$

$$T_0 = \{\beta \in S_0 \mid \beta \equiv 1 \pmod{I_0 E_0}\}.$$

Then by the same way as the above (1), we see that $S_0/T_0 \cong H/H''$. Now, by Lemma 5 and Theorem 2, there exists an isomorphism

$$\eta: \mathbf{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H] \text{ with } \delta\eta = \varepsilon, \eta(E) = E_0 \text{ and } \eta(I) = I_0.$$

Furthermore the mapping $\bar{\eta}: \mathbf{Z}[G]/I \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[H]/I_0$ given by $\bar{\eta}(\alpha+I) = \eta(\alpha) + I_0$ is an isomorphism. Thus we easily see that $\eta(S) = S_0$, $\eta(T) = T_0$ and hence the mapping $\theta: S/T \rightarrow S_0/T_0$ given by $\theta(\alpha T) = \eta(\alpha) T_0$ is a group isomorphism. Therefore we have $G/G'' \cong H/H''$.

COROLLARY 3. *Let G and H be the periodic metabelian groups. If $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$, then $G \cong H$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{Z}[G] \cong \mathbf{Z}[H]$. Then by the foregoing theorem we have $G/G'' \cong H/H''$. But since G and H are metabelian G' and H' are abelian, so that $G'' = H'' = \{1\}$. Hence we have $G \cong H$.

References

- Berman, D., *On the equation $x^m=1$ in an integral group ring*, Ukrainsk. Matem. Zh. 7 (1955), 253-261.
- Jackson, D.A., *The groups of units of the integral group rings of finite metabelian and finite nilpotent groups*, Quart. J. Math. (2) 20 (1969), 319-331.
- Passman, D.S., *The algebraic structures of group rings*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977.
- Passman, D.S., *Isomorphic groups and group rings*, Pac. J. Math. 15(1965), 561-583.
- Whitcomb, A., *The group ring problem*, Thésis, Univ. of Chicago, 1967.

〈要約〉

整數環 위의 周期群 基底의 群環에 同型인 群環

金 應 泰

이 論文에서 有限群 G 에 대한 整數環 위의 群環 $Z[G]$ 에 대하여, Berman, Glauberman, Whitcomb, Jackson, Passman 등에 의하여 밝혀진 內容을 周期群 G 에 대한 群環 $Z[G]$ 에 一般化하여 다음과 같은 結果가 성립함을 밝혔다.

周期群 G, H 에 대하여, $Z[G] \cong Z[H]$ 이면 다음이 성립한다.

1. $Z(G) \cong Z(H)$. 단, $Z(G), Z(H)$ 는 각각 群 G, H 의 中心이다.
2. $G/G' \cong H/H'$. 단, G', H' 은 각각 G, H 의 交換子部分群이다.
3. $\{1\} = Z_0(G) \subseteq Z_1(G) \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_n(G) \subseteq \dots$,
 $\{1\} = Z_0(H) \subseteq Z_1(H) \subseteq \dots \subseteq Z_n(H) \subseteq \dots$

을 각각 G, H 의 upper central series라 하면, 모든 n 에 대하여 $Z[G/Z_n(G)] \cong Z[H/Z_n(H)]$ 이고 $Z_{n-1}(G)/Z_n(G) \cong Z_{n-1}(H)/Z_n(H)$.

4. tr_G, tr_H 를 각각 $Z[G], Z[H]$ 의 trace map이라 하면, 임의의 同型寫像 $\theta: Z[G] \rightarrow Z[H]$ 에 대하여 $tr_H \theta = tr_G$.
5. $\theta: Z[G] \rightarrow Z[H]$ 를 同型寫像이라 할 때, 임의의 $\alpha \in C(Z[G])$ 에 대하여 $\theta(\alpha^*) = \theta(\alpha)^*$ 단, $C(Z[G])$ 는 $Z[G]$ 의 中心이고 $\alpha = \sum a_x x$ 라 할 때 $\alpha^* = \sum a_x x^{-1}$ 이다.
6. $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ 를 각각 G, H 의 有限正規部分群 전체로 이루어진 束(lattice)이라 할 때, 각 $N \in \mathcal{L}_1$ 에 대하여 $f(N) = \eta(N)$ 인 束同型寫像 $f: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ 와 環同型寫像 $\eta: Z[G] \rightarrow Z[H]$ 가 존재하고 $Z[G/N] \cong Z[H/\eta(N)]$ 이다.
7. G 를 임의의 群, H 를 G 의 周期部分群이라 할 때, 群 H/H' 은 덧셈군 $\omega(Z[H])Z[G]/\omega(Z[H])\omega(Z[G])$ 과 同型이다. 단, $\omega(Z[G]), \omega(Z[H])$ 은 각각 $Z[G], Z[H]$ 의 augmentation ideal이다.